

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-489B V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-489B V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	ERNEST HOGAN DECREED HOLDER , ID
Current Owner	C V CATTLE INC 3124 NIPPER ROAD BANCROFT, ID 83217

Priority Date: 06/01/1955

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
---------------	------------------

GROUND WATER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	06/01 to 09/30	0.310 CFS	75.00 AF

Total Diversion:Location of Point(s) of Diversion

GROUND WATER	NE1/4NE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 24, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		
GROUND WATER	NW1/4NE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 24, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		
GROUND WATER	NE1/4NW1/4NW1/4	Sec. 19, Twp 07S, Rge 40E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	39E	24	40.0																40.0
07S	40E	19						36.0											36.0
								L 1											

Total Acres: 76

Conditions of Approval:

1. C03 RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-489B V1

2. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:Comments:Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector: OR
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	1/30/2003 4:06:13 PM	rwarner			Active
Priority Date	1/30/2003 4:06:58 PM	rwarner			Active
POD	1/30/2003 4:08:29 PM	rwarner			Active
Source	1/30/2003 4:24:38 PM	rwarner			Active
POU	1/30/2003 4:24:51 PM	rwarner			Active
Use	1/30/2003 4:26:08 PM	rwarner			Active
Season	1/30/2003 4:27:47 PM	rwarner			Active
Season	1/30/2003 4:27:55 PM	rwarner			Active
Quantity	1/30/2003 4:29:00 PM	rwarner			Active
Summary			01/30/2003	rwarner	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Contacts	1/30/2003 4:06:15 PM	rwarner	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment?

Comment for 1: desktop review

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-489B V1

Priority Date	1/30/2003 4:06:59 PM	rwarner	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/30/2003 4:08:30 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (Yes) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/30/2003 4:23:57 PM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/30/2003 4:24:15 PM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/30/2003 4:23:39 PM	rwarner	Edited point location
Source	1/30/2003 4:24:39 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/30/2003 4:24:52 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/30/2003 4:25:15 PM	rwarner	Edited shape boundary
POU	1/30/2003 4:25:36 PM	rwarner	Edited PLS
Use	1/30/2003 4:26:09 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?


IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-489B V1

Season	1/30/2003 4:27:48 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a standard Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
Season	1/30/2003 4:27:56 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a standard Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	1/30/2003 4:29:02 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (Yes) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (Yes) 10. Is a irrigation a purpose of use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required? Description for Question 10 - 0.27 cfs x 122 days = 75 acre-ft/season
Summary	1/30/2003 4:29:52 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?



State of Idaho Department of Water Resources Eastern Region

Claim No.: 29-486B, 29-487B, &
29-489B.



0.4 0 0.4 0.8 Miles

Irrigated Acres = 76

- Well.shp
- Place of use.shp
- Working place of use.shp
- Sections
- QQ



SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
Prepared by R. Warner
Date: January, 2003

29-489 Total flow under this right
is. 350 gal per minute, or 0.78 cfs.

L.V. Cattle claimed 0.78 cfs on A29-489B.
and Bill Hatch claimed 0.78 on A29-489A

This claim appears to be unclaimed. Determine if the system is tied together.

Season of use is June, July, Aug & Sept.

Land in claim A29-489B is described in
the decree.

Pool in claim A29-489A. has been enlarged
Only the land in $5\frac{1}{2}$ SE Sec 13, T5, R38E
is described in the decree.

File 5E-4
Vol. 6, 1141

11NS

29-RW

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARIBOU

ERNEST HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

R. O. HATCH: LEROY HOGAN; GEORGE N. CARTER, State Reclamation
Engineer of the State of Idaho; ALL UNKNOWN OWNERS OR CLAIMANTS
OF ANY INTEREST IN THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WATER RIGHT, TO-wit:

1,300 gallons per minute from five (5) wells located in
and irrigating the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Town-
ship 7 South, Range 39 E.B.M., the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of
Section 18, Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M. The NE $\frac{1}{4}$
of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, Township 7 South, Range 39,
E.B.M. and the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township
7 South, Range 40 E.B.M., all being in the County of
Caribou, State of Idaho, said water rights originating on
100 gallons per minute on May of 1952, 550 gallons per
minute in June 1953, 300 gallons per minute from June
1954 and 350 gallons per minute in June 1955,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT

The above entitled action came on for trial before the Court
without a jury, on the 25th day of June, 1959, at Soda Springs,
Idaho, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorney,
Callis A. Caldwell, and no defendants having filed any responsive
pleadings, nor having made any appearance whatsoever, and an
entry of default having been filed in the above matters, and
testimony having been offered and the Court having filed its
findings of fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Judgment,
now, pursuant to said order for judgment, it is upon consideration,
ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

29-0485

First: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1952, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #1, and during the months of June, July, August,
and September at the rate of 100 gallons per minute. (.223 cfs)

29-0486

Second: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and

160 Acres.

2.89 cfs.

$\frac{2.89 \text{ cfs}}{160 \text{ ac}} = 0.018 \frac{\text{cfs}}{\text{ac}}$

FILED

RECORDED

MAY 16 1996

NOV 3 2005

UNRECORDED

allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #2, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 250 gallons per minute, (.5575 cfs).

29-0487 Third: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #3, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute. (.669 cfs)

29-0488 Forth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1954, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #4, and during the months of June, July,

29-0489 August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute. (.669 cfs)
Fifth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1955, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #5, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 350 gallons per minute. (.7805 cfs)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That the plaintiff pay his own cost and disbursements.

Dated this 2nd day of July, 1959.

/s/ Darwin D. Brown
DISTRICT JUDGE

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss #43029
I hereby certify that this instrument was
filed for record at the request of
Darwin D. Brown, at 5 minutes past 9 o'clock
A.M., this 15th day of July A.D., 1959
in my office and duly recorded in Book
3 of Judgments at page 68.
Fee, nil. /s/ L. Ray Davis

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss

I. L. Ray Davis, Clerk, Auditor, and Recorder of Caribou County, Idaho, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original Judgment as the same appears on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this office at Soda Springs, Idaho, this 23rd day of February, 1960.

L. Ray Davis,
Clerk, Auditor & Recorder,
Caribou County, Idaho

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

MAY 16 1996

MAY 4 1991

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A29-00489B
Date Received: 2/13/1990
Receipt No: C014654
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: C V CATTLE INC.
Address: ~~RT 1, BOX 1360~~ *3124 Nipper Rd.*
BANCROFT, ID 83217

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1955

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	39E	24		NE	NE		CARIBOU

5. Description of diverting works:
FIVE WELLS PUMPS MAINLINE TO LATERALS WITH SPRINKLERS

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.780		
			0.31		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.780 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 266.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	39E	24		NE	NE	IRR	39.0 ✓

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
					Section Acres	39.0
	40E	19	NW	NW	IRR	37.0 ✓
					Section Acres	37.0
					Total Acres	76.0

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
29-0486/0487/0489/2425

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

ERNEST HOGAN

Decree Plaintiff

vs

R. O. HATCH ET. AL.

Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____ of
_____, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization document in the space below as _____ of
_____ Title
_____ and that the statements contained in the
Organization foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent

Title and Organization

Date

State of Idaho)
County of _____) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this _____ day
of _____ 19 _____

Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A29-00489B
Date Received: 1/23/1990
Receipt No: C014654
Received By: *RF*

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RECEIVED

JUL 16 1990

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

1. Name: C V CATTLE INC.
Address: RT 1, BOX 1360
BANCROFT, ID

83217

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1955

3. Source: GROUNDWATER

Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	39E	24	NE	NE			CARIBOU

A2900489B



5. Description of diverting works:
FIVE WELLS PUMPS MAINLINE TO LATERALS WITH SPRINKLERS

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.780		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.780 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 266.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	39E	24	NE	NE		IRR	39.0

Section Acres 39.0

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	40E	19	NW	NW	IRR	37.0
Section Acres						37.0
Total Acres						76.0

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
29-0486/0487/0489/2425

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

ERNEST HOGAN

Decree Plaintiff

vs

R. O. HATCH ET. AL.

Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____ of
C.V. CATTLE Co Inc. Title _____, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization document in the space below as _____ of
C.V. CATTLE Co Inc. Title _____ and that the statements contained in the
Organization foregoing document are true and correct.

Londo Perkins
Signature of Authorized Agent

C.V. CATTLE Co Inc.
Title and Organization

2/13/90
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Ala) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 13 day
of February 19 90

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Boise, ID
My Commission Expires June 10, 1991

Water right number - A29-00489

Adj. flag translation: ADJUDICATION CLAIM

Twn	Rng	Sec	Tract	Lot	Acres	Place of Use Code	Description	County
07S	40E	18	NW SW	3	32.0	01	IRRIGATION	(29) CARIBOU
07S	40E	18	SW SW	4	35.0	01	IRRIGATION	(29) CARIBOU

Total Acres: 192.0

+ - - Diversion Means - FIVE WELLS PUMPS MAINLINE TO LATERALS WITH SPRINKLERS

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use - NONE

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - 29-0486/0487/0489/2425

Remarks

4 P/D'S SESE SEC 13, T07S, R39E
1 P/D SWSE SEC 13, T07S, R39E
|
COMPETING CLAIMANTS - HATCH & C V CATTLE - WAS HANDLED BY
SPLITTING THIS RECORD INTO A29-00489A TO HATCH & A29-00489B TO
C V CATTLE INC.

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - -
No Old Water Right Numbers Found+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - -
No Conditions of Approval found.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - +	+ - - - - Decree Defendant - - - +	+ - Decree Date - +
ERNEST HOGAN	R. O. HATCH ET. AL.	00/00/0000

+ - - - - Comments - - - - -
No Comments Found+ - - Audit Fields - - +
SHAFF

+ - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - -

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 00/00/0000	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 02/13/1990	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- E012045	Water District	-
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- OR	Presumption Flag	-

Water Right Number - A29-00489

Adj. Flag Translation: ADJUDICATION CLAIM

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***

HATCH

BILL P.

1 - 2437 W. TOWNLEY AVE.

2 -

3 - ONE CO-CLAIMANT

City- PHOENIX

State- AZ Zip- 85021

Phone - 602-943-6853

1 - 2437 W. TOWNLEY AVE.

2 -

3 - ONE CO-CLAIMANT

City- PHOENIX

State- AZ Zip- 85021

Phone - 602-943-6853

1 - RT 1 BOX 1360

2 -

3 - SECOND CLAIMANT

City- BANCROFT

State- ID Zip- 83217

Phone - 208-000-0000

1 - DECREED HOLDER

2 -

3 -

City- N/A

State- ID Zip- 00000

Phone - 208-000-0000

*** O - ORIGINAL OWNER ***

HOGAN

ERNEST

+ - - - - Stage - - - +

+ - - - - Status - - - +

+ - - Priority Date - - +
06/01/1955+ - - - - Region - - - +
15 Error in Region

Z - SEE REMARKS

+ - - - - Source - - - - +
GROUNDWATER

+ - - - - Tributary - - - - +

+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County (Blank if no Index Code)

07S 39E 13 SW SE (29) CARIBOU

07S 39E 13 SE SE (29) CARIBOU

+ - - - - Water Use - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume

01 - IRRIGATION

04/01

11/01

0.780

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.780 480.0+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County

07S 39E 13 NE SE 25.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU

07S 39E 13 NW SE 23.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU

07S 39E 13 SW SE 38.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU

07S 39E 13 SE SE 39.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU

From: DWR03::SHAFF 20-FEB-1990 11:49:06.81
To: DWR70::CARLSEN
CC: SHAFF
Subj: RE: A29-00489

YES, A "SPLIT" IS THE WAY I WOULD PROPOSE TO HAVE THE CLAIMS
PRESENTED. IF YOU LOOK AT THE DECREE YOU WILL SEE SOME
UNCLEAR POINTS ABOUT THE RIGHTS. ADDED TO THIS TROUBLE, I
CONCLUDE SOME BAD BLOOD EXISTS BETWEEN THESE GENTLEMEN.
ASSIGNING SUFFIXES IS AN APPROPRIATE WAY TO GO UNTIL WE/THEY
SETTLE WHO HAS WHAT.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

From: DWR70::CARLSEN
To: DWR03::SHAFF
CC:
Subj: A29-00489

20-FEB-1990 09:07:53.48

THE ABOVE NOTICE HAS BEEN MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE CLAIM AS FILED BY BILL HATCH. MR. HATCH FILED ON THE ENTIRE RIGHT AND IT APPEARS THAT C V CATTLE WANTS TO DO THE SAME. DO WE RECORD THESE SITUATIONS AS SPLIT RIGHTS?

ERNEST

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

From: DWR03::SHAFF
To: DWR70::CARLSON
CC: SHAFF
Subj: A29-00489

14-FEB-1990 18:02:50.15

I see in my scramble to do some Caribou Co. claims I overlooked this claim had already been claimed by Hatch. I have modified A29-00489 to the owner C V CATTLE as you will see and changed, at the very least, the amount of water. I see "we" will have to modify this record back to Hatch's claim, create a "split" for Hatch's claim and give C V Cattle the other split for its claim. I could just send you C V Cattle's claim and toss it all into your control to avoid any more blunders on this end. Let me know if that is what you would prefer.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992