

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-486B V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-486B V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	C V CATTLE INC 3124 NIPPER ROAD BANCROFT, ID 83217

Priority Date: 06/01/1953

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
GROUND WATER	

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	06/01 to 09/30	0.220 CFS	54.00 AF
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>		53.2

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

GROUND WATER	NE1/4NW1/4NW1/4	Sec. 19, Twp 07S, Rge 40E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		
GROUND WATER	NE1/4NE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 24, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		
GROUND WATER	NW1/4NE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 24, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	39E	24	40.0																40.0
07S	40E	19						36.0											36.0
								L 1											

Total Acres: 76

Conditions of Approval:

1. C03 RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.
2. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-486B V1

Comments:Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector: OR
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	1/28/2003 3:16:28 PM	rwarner			Active
Priority Date	1/28/2003 3:17:36 PM	rwarner			Active
POD	1/28/2003 4:16:38 PM	rwarner			Active
POU	1/28/2003 4:16:39 PM	rwarner			Active
Source	1/28/2003 4:16:40 PM	rwarner			Active
Use	1/28/2003 4:16:41 PM	rwarner			Active
Season	1/28/2003 4:16:42 PM	rwarner			Active
Quantity	1/28/2003 4:16:43 PM	rwarner			Active
Priority Date	1/29/2003 11:47:43 AM	rwarner			Active
POD	1/29/2003 11:48:13 AM	rwarner			Active
Source	1/29/2003 12:29:27 PM	rwarner			Active
POU	1/29/2003 12:29:43 PM	rwarner			Active
Use	1/29/2003 12:31:57 PM	rwarner			Active
Season	1/29/2003 12:33:04 PM	rwarner			Active
Quantity	1/29/2003 12:35:03 PM	rwarner			Active
Summary			01/29/2003	rwarner	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Contacts	1/28/2003 3:16:29 PM	rwarner	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment?

Comment for 1: desktop review

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-486B V1

Priority Date	1/28/2003 3:17:37 PM	rwarnar	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
Priority Date	1/29/2003 11:47:43 AM	rwarnar	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/28/2003 4:16:39 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD?
POU	1/28/2003 4:16:41 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Source	1/28/2003 4:16:42 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Use	1/28/2003 4:16:43 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
Season	1/28/2003 4:16:44 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License?
Quantity	1/28/2003 4:16:44 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License?
POD	1/29/2003 11:48:13 AM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (Yes) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/29/2003 12:28:44 PM	rwarnar	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 12:28:57 PM	rwarnar	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 12:29:09 PM	rwarnar	Edited point location

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-486B V1

Source	1/29/2003 12:29:27 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/29/2003 12:29:43 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/29/2003 12:30:42 PM	rwarnar	Edited shape boundary
POU	1/29/2003 12:31:20 PM	rwarnar	Edited PLS
Use	1/29/2003 12:31:57 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	1/29/2003 12:33:04 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	1/29/2003 12:35:03 PM	rwarnar	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (No) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 7. Is a flow measurement required? (Yes) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (Yes) 10. Is a irrigation a purpose of use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required? Description for Question 7 - decreed. Description for Question 10 - 0.22 cfs x 122 days = 54 acre-ft/yr

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES


Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-486B V1

Summary	1/29/2003 12:36:03 PM	rwarnr	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?
---------	-----------------------	--------	--



State of Idaho Department of Water Resources Eastern Region

Claim No.: 29-486B, 29-487B, &
29-489B.



0.4 0 0.4 0.8 Miles

Irrigated Acres = 76

PROFILE

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005



- Well.shp
- ▨ Place of use.shp
- ▤ Working place of use.shp
- ▭ Sections
- ▭ QQ

GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
Prepared by R. Warner
Date: January, 2003

Claims
A29-2425, A29-486B and A29-489B
Field visit November 20, 2001
Accompanied by Rondo Perkins



Photo 1. Photo name: 298_cvcattle_well

Photo 1 was taken at 12:01 pm looking south at 1 of 3 wells used by C.V. Cattle Inc. on property located in the NENE section 24, township 7 south, range 39 east and the NWNW of section 19, township 7 south, range 40 east of the Boise Meridian. The 10 hp submersible pump is located in the NENE of section 24. Site Tag A0004531. This well might also be used under license 29-2425.



Photo 2. Photo name: 299_cvcattle_well2

Photo 2 was taken at 12:15 pm looking south at the second well used under the 29-RW decree. The pump is a 10 hp submersible pump also located in the NENE of section 24. This well might be the well under the license 29-2425.

Other water rights used on this place of use is 29-487B

All 3 wells owned by C. V. Cattle Inc. are connected together by a mainline. The mainline extends down past the last pump into the NENW section 19, township 7 south, range 40 east of the Boise Meridian.

Note: Bill Hatch also owns some of the original decreed property and has claimed 5 wells under these decrees.

PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

November 29, 2001

Rondo Perkins
C. V. Cattle Inc.
3124 Nipper Road
Bancroft, ID 83217

Ref: 29-2425, 29-486B, 29-489B and 29-487B

Subject: Additional information
Address Update

Dear Rondo,

I hope this letter finds you well and that you and your family had a nice Thanksgiving. I wanted to let you know what I found on the two wells by the old Hogan property. I searched our database on the two wells and came up with nothing. Either the previous owners had never developed the wells enough to file for a water right or it was a stock well. You'll have to see what information you can find out about the wells.

We need to update your address in our system so I've enclosed two address change forms for you to sign and return to me as soon as possible. There are two forms because your house well has already been decreed and I have to send that form to Boise and the other form is for me to update the claims I'm currently reviewing.

In reviewing the information on the wells for the old Hogan place I have some additional questions. The decree discusses a total of 5 wells. I have more than 5 wells claimed under this decree. How many of the wells we visited are from the original 5 wells? If they aren't when were they drilled and put to beneficial use? Do you have an abstract on this property that I could look at? The Global Positioning system (GPS) located two of the three wells in the NENE of section 24, township 7 south, range 39 east and one in the NWNW of section 19, township 7 south, range 40 east of the Boise Meridian. Is one of the wells in section 24 associated with license 29-2425? Is it separate or is it connected to the other two wells? Are all of these wells connected to the Hatch wells? If not, which wells are connected with the Hatch wells?

PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

I would appreciate it if you could give me a call in Soda as soon as possible so we can discuss these wells. Thanks for your cooperation.

Happy Holidays,

Cindy E. Bird

Enclosure: Address Change form (2)
Return address envelope

PROFTLED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2001 10:08 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Candice,

I think we will have to consider an enlargement because at the decreed diversion rate allows for 145 irrigated acres. Bill Hatch is claiming 192 irrigated acres and C.V. Cattle is claiming 76 acres, or 268 acres. The decree describes 5 QQ's for the POU. Can it be broken down to 29 acres per QQ? This would allow me to figure out how much each claimant would get and who needs to file what for an enlargement.

Happy Holidays
Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2001 8:39 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Cindy --

I had Lorie fax you some info. Looking at the complaint, I would say that Plaintiff has the exclusive right to the well water during those months because from the complaint it appears that that is all the water that the wells were producing. I hope this clarifies.

The decree could be read to give Hatch use of the water in the other months -- what is he claiming? Otherwise, Hatch would have b/u claims that a junior to Hogan's if they are based on the same wells. We would go with the standard SOU for the area for Hatch's claims and would probably add shoulder remarks to Hogan's (Rondo) -- Ernie what do you think? We need to go with inch/acre unless we do a Hubble and we would consider an enlargement. Ernie, your input?

If you have further questions, let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2001 11:54 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Good Morning Candice,

I need a clarification on decree 29-RW a ground water decree between Ernest Hogan and R.C. Hatch. Both Bill Hatch and C. V. Cattle (Rondo Perkins) have claimed the water under this decree. The way I've interrupted the decree is that Hogan (Rondo Perkins) has the right to use the water on his property during the months of June, July, August and September from the 5 wells. But it doesn't say that Hatch can't also use the water. Or is this decree for Hogan (Rondo) and Hatch's claims would fall under b/u claims? Because they weren't licensed. What season of use and diversion rate (inch per acre) would be allowed for Hatch? Hatch has also claimed some additional irrigated acres under his claims. Would we allow the expansion?

thanks
cb

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

PROFILED

11-15-01, emailed Candice for a clarification on this decree because this decree is also claimed by C. V. Cattle (Hogan).

Can both parties claim these rights? It appears that the decree was for Hogan and not Latch?

Is the system connected? Yes.

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

PROFILED

29-486. Total division is 0.56 cts.

C.V. Cattle claimed all of the division under A29-486B, and Bill Hatch claimed 0.56 under A29-10205.

This appears to be unclaimed. Emailed Candice for a clarification of this decree.
11-15-01.

PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

File 5E-4
Vol. 6, 1141

1145

29-RW

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARIBOU

ERNEST HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

R. O. HATCH: LEROY HOGAN; GEORGE N. CARTER, State Reclamation
Engineer of the State of Idaho; ALL UNKNOWN OWNERS OR CLAIMANTS
OF ANY INTEREST IN THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WATER RIGHT, TO-wit:

1,300 gallons per minute from five (5) wells located in
and irrigating the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Town-
ship 7 South, Range 39 E.B.M., the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of
Section 18, Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M. The NE $\frac{1}{4}$
of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, Township 7 South, Range 39,
E.B.M. and the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township
7 South, Range 40 E.B.M., all being in the County of
Caribou, State of Idaho, said water rights originating on
100 gallons per minute on May of 1952, 550 gallons per
minute in June 1953, 300 gallons per minute from June
1954 and 350 gallons per minute in June 1955,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT

The above entitled action came on for trial before the Court
without a jury, on the 25th day of June, 1959, at Soda Springs,
Idaho, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorney,
Callis A. Caldwell, and no defendants having filed any responsive
pleadings, nor having made any appearance whatsoever, and an
entry of default having been filed in the above matters, and
testimony having been offered and the Court having filed its
findings of fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Judgment,
now, pursuant to said order for judgment, it is upon consideration,
ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

29-0485

First: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1952, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #1, and during the months of June, July, August,
and September at the rate of 100 gallons per minute. (.223 cfs)

29-0486

Second: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and

PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

INDEXED

MAY 1 1960

allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #2, and during the months of June, July, August,
and September, at the rate of 250 gallons per minute. (.5575 cfs)

29-0487

Third: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #3, and during the months of June, July, (.669 cfs)
August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute.

29-0488

Fourth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1954, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #4, and during the months of June, July,
August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute. (.669 cfs)

29-0489

Fifth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1955, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #5, and during the months of June, July,
August, and September, at the rate of 350 gallons per minute. (.7805 cfs)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That the plaintiff pay his own cost and
disbursements.

Dated this 2nd day of July, 1959.

/s/ Darwin D. Brown
DISTRICT JUDGE

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss #43029
I hereby certify that this instrument was
filed for record at the request of
Darwin D. Brown, at 5 minutes past 9 o'clock
A.M., this 15th day of July A.D., 1959
in my office and duly recorded in Book
3 of Judgments at page 68.
Fee, nil. /s/ L. Ray Davis

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss

I, L. Ray Davis, Clerk, Auditor, and Recorder of Caribou
County, Idaho, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is
a full, true and correct copy of the original Judgment as the same
appears on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed
the seal of this office at Soda Springs, Idaho, on this 23rd day of
February, 1960.

L. Ray Davis,
Clerk, Auditor & Recorder

MAY 16 1996

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

MAY 4 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576 *ENC*

RENUMBERED: A29-00486B 8/24/90
Ident. Number: A29-00486
Date Received: 2/13/1990
Receipt No: CQ/4654
Received By: *[Signature]*

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RECEIVED

JUL 16 1990

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

1. Name: C V CATTLE INC.
Address: RT 1, BOX 1360
BANCROFT, ID 83217

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1953

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	39E	24	NE	NE			CARIBOU

5. Description of diverting works:
WELL & PUMP

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	06/01	09/30	0.560		
			0.22		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.560 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 266.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	39E	24	NE	NE		IRR	39.0
						Section Acres	39.0
	40E	19	NW	NW		IRR	37.0
						Section Acres	37.0



MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1992

10. Place of Use: Continued

Total Acres 76.0

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

RTS. 29-0485, 0487, 0488, 0489. 12425

14. Remarks:

THIS IS WELL #2 OF THE FIVE WELLS WHICH IRRIGATE THE P/U.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

ERNEST HOGAN

Decree Plaintiff

vs

R. O. HATCH ET. AL.

Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am PRESIDENT of
Title
C.V. CATTLE CO., INC., that I have signed the foregoing
Organization
document in the space below as PRESIDENT of
Title
C.V. CATTLE CO., INC. and that the statements contained in the
Organization
foregoing document are true and correct.

[Signature]
Signature of Authorized Agent

PRESIDENT C.V. CATTLE CO., INC.
Title and Organization

2/13/90

Date

State of Idaho)
County of Ada) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 13 day
of February 19 90

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Boise, ID
My Commission Expires June 10, 1994

August 27, 1990

C.V. Cattle, Inc.
Rt. 1, Box 1360
Bancroft, ID 83217

RE: Notices of Claim

Dear Claimant:

The water right numbers assigned to your Notices of Claim for your property in Caribou County had previously been used. Therefore, we have renumbered your Notices from A29-00487 to A29-00487B and from A29-00486 to A29-00486B and modified Receipt No. C014654 to reflect these changes.

The enclosed forms are exact duplicates of the original notices but with the newer water right numbers. Please retain these Notices for your records and future reference.

I apologize for any inconvenience caused by the renumbering of these Notices. The issuing of duplicate numbers occurs when there are several offices used by the public to file their Notices of Claim.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call.

Respectfully submitted,


Ernest Carlsen
Adjudication Supervisor

EC:jt

Enclosure: 2 Notices of Claim

File: GC

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

Water Right Number - A29-00486B

Adj. Flag Translation: ADJUDICATION CLAIM

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
C V CATTLE INC.

1 - RT 1 BOX 1360

2 -

3 -

City- BANCROFT

State- ID Zip- 83217

Phone - 208-000-0000

+ - - Priority Date - - + + - - - Region - - - + + - - - Stage - - - + + - - - Status - - - - +
06/01/1953 15 Error in Region D - DECREED+ - - - - Source - - - - - + + - - - - - Tributary - - - - - +
GROUNDWATER+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County (Blank if no Index Code)
07S 39E 24 NE NE (29) CARIBOU+ - - - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION 06/01 09/30 0.560
+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.560 266.0+ - - - - Place of Use - - - - - +
TwN Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County
07S 39E 24 NE NE 39.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU
07S 40E 19 NW NW 37.0 01 IRRIGATION (29) CARIBOU

Total Acres: 76.0

+ - - Diversion Means - WELL & PUMP

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - RTS. 29-0485, 0487, 0488, 0489.

+ - - - - Remarks - - - - - +
THIS IS WELL #2 OF THE FIVE WELLS WHICH IRRIGATE THE P/U.+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
No Old Water Right Numbers Found+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 00/00/0000	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 02/14/1990	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- C014654	Water District	-
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- OR	Presumption Flag	-

DO NOT FILM

RECEIPT
For Monies Paid
to the
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Receipt No: C014654

Date: 02/14/90
Time: 07:42:59

Received
From: C V RANCHES

Type of Payment	Program Acct Class	Amount
ADJUDICATION FEE - C V CATTLE INC.	0410162 9337 6490	\$1,479.00
Total		\$1,479.00

CHECK 6976

WR/NO: A29-00121 A29-00124 A29-00122 A29-00123 A29-00125
A29-10318 A29-10319 A29-10320 A29-00489B A29-02425
A29-00486B A29-00487B

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Region: STATE

Signature: *Ernest Carlson*
24 Aug 90.

Numbers changed from A29-00486 & A29-00487

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1992