

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-485A V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-485A V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	DOLORES H HATCH 2437 W TOWNLEY AVE PHOENIX, AZ 85021 (602)943-6853
Current Owner	BILL P HATCH 2774 N HATCH LOOP RD BANCROFT, ID 83217 (208)648-7316
Current Owner	DOLORES H HATCH 2774 N HATCH LOOP RD BANCROFT, ID 83217 (208) 648-7316
Current Owner	BILL P HATCH 2437 W TOWNLEY AVE PHOENIX, AZ 85021 (602)943-6853

Priority Date: 06/01/1952

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

SourceTributary

GROUND WATER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	06/01 to 09/30	0.130 CFS	32.00 AF

Total Diversion:Location of Point(s) of Diversion

GROUND WATER CARIBOU County	NW1/4SE1/4SE1/4	Sec. 13, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
GROUND WATER CARIBOU County	NE1/4SE1/4SE1/4	Sec. 13, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
GROUND WATER CARIBOU County	NW1/4SE1/4SE1/4	Sec. 13, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
GROUND WATER CARIBOU County	NE1/4SW1/4SE1/4	Sec. 13, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.
GROUND WATER CARIBOU County	NW1/4SE1/4SE1/4	Sec. 13, Twp 07S, Rge 39E, B.M.

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-485A V1

	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	Totals
07S 39E 13															38.0	39.0	77.0
07S 40E 18											35.0						35.0
											L 4						

Total Acres: 112

Conditions of Approval:

1. C03 RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.
2. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:Comments:Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal:
 Owner Name Connector: OR
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	1/27/2003 1:45:00 PM	rwarner			Active
Priority Date	1/27/2003 1:47:08 PM	rwarner			Active
POD	1/27/2003 1:48:10 PM	rwarner			Active
Source	1/27/2003 3:15:11 PM	rwarner			Active
POU	1/27/2003 3:15:40 PM	rwarner			Active
Use	1/27/2003 3:17:31 PM	rwarner			Active
Season	1/27/2003 3:19:10 PM	rwarner			Active
Quantity	1/27/2003 3:28:14 PM	rwarner			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-485A V1

Summary

01/27/2003

rwarner

Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Summary	1/27/2003 3:29:24 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?
POD	1/29/2003 11:18:40 AM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 11:18:52 AM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 11:19:06 AM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 11:19:20 AM	rwarner	Edited point location
POD	1/29/2003 11:19:34 AM	rwarner	Edited point location
Contacts	1/27/2003 1:45:02 PM	rwarner	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: desktop review
Priority Date	1/27/2003 1:47:11 PM	rwarner	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/27/2003 1:48:12 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (Yes) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/27/2003 3:13:33 PM	rwarner	Edited point location

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-485A V1



POD	1/27/2003 3:13:48 PM	rwarner	Edited point location
Source	1/27/2003 3:15:11 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/27/2003 3:15:40 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (No) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU? (Yes) 8. Can the claim be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 13. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POU? (Yes) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/27/2003 3:16:27 PM	rwarner	Edited shape boundary
Use	1/27/2003 3:17:31 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-485A V1

Season	1/27/2003 3:19:10 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 7. Does the claim include an enlargement in the Period of Use? (No) 9. Was an enlargement claim filed? (No) 10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (Yes) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? (No) 17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a standard Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	1/27/2003 3:28:15 PM	rwarner	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (No) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 7. Is a flow measurement required? (Yes) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (Yes) 10. Is a irrigation a purpose of use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required? Description for Question 7 - Recommended flow rate is equivalent to the original acreage decreed. Description for Question 10 - 192 acres x 3.5 acre-ft/acres = 672 AFA



Townships , 7S40E, 7S39E





400 0 400 800 Feet



Townships , 7S40E, 7S39E



Townships , 7S40E, 7S39E




400 0 400 800 Feet






State of Idaho Department of Water Resources Eastern Region

**Claim No.: 29-485A, 29-488A,
29-489A, & 29-10205.**



0.4 0 0.4 0.8 Miles

Irrigated Acres = 112



-  Well.shp
-  Working place of use.shp
-  Sections
-  QQ

GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
Prepared by R. Warner
Date: January, 2003



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

November 29, 2001

Bill P. Hatch
Dolores H. Hatch
2437 W. Townley Ave
Phoenix, AZ 85021

Ref: 29-485, 29-488, 29-489A and 29-10205

Subject: Additional information

Dear Bill and Dolores,

I hope this letter finds you well and that you and your family had a nice Thanksgiving.

In reviewing the information on the wells for the old Hogan place I have some additional questions. The decree discusses a total of 5 wells. I have more than 5 wells claimed under this decree. How many of the wells you claimed are from the original 5 wells? If they aren't when were they drilled and put to beneficial use? Do you have an abstract on this property that I could look at? Your claim discusses four wells in the SESE of section 13 and one in the SWSE of section 13 all within township 7 south, range 39 east of the Boise Meridian. Are all of these wells connected? How many of these wells are connected with the wells owned by C.V. Cattle Inc.? If not, which wells are connected with the C. V. Cattle's wells?

The other issue is that you have claimed additional acreage not included in the original decreed place of use. This will require that you file an enlargement or beneficial use claim to include the additional acreage. If the property was developed prior to March 25, 1963 you can file a beneficial use claim otherwise you will need to file an enlargement claim. If you have to file for the additional acreage under an enlargement claim no additional flow will be allowed for the additional acreage. If the water was put to beneficial use on the additional land prior to 1963 then you can file a beneficial use claim. I've enclosed a brochure of the evidence of priority required to file a beneficial use claim. The filing fee will be \$155.00 for either claim. Only one priority date can be filed on per form. So if the additional wells were developed on separate dates then you will have to file additional claims. Additional forms are available on our website www.idwr.state.id.us. The filing fee would also increase respectfully.

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

Also, your claimed diversion rate under this decree will be reduced to reflect the portion of land you own under these decreed rights. If you have any additional question please feel free to contact me at the office in Soda. Thanks for your cooperation.

Happy Holidays,

Cindy E. Bird

Enclosure: Notice of Claim Form
Instructions for the Notice of Claim Form
Evidence of Priority Brochure

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

FILED

29-485A

Decreed for Bill Hatch.

29-10210	Spring	Stockwater.	0.05.
29-10211	Spring	Stockwater.	0.05.
29-10212	Spring	domestic.	0.04
29-10214	Spring	Stockwater.	0.05.

outstanding Claims.

A29-10205.	G.W.	Spring Irrigation	1.23.
2348	G.W.	Irrigation.	0.6.
489A.	G.W.	Irrigation.	0.78.
488	G.W.	Irrigation.	0.67
485A	G.W.	Irrigation.	0.22.

PROFILED

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

MEMORANDUM

DATE: January 3, 2002

FROM: Ernie Carlsen

TO: Cindy Bird

THRU: Candice McHugh

RE: Season of Use for Rights 29-485 through 29-489

Some time ago you sent me an email seeking advice on how to address the claimed season of use for rights decreed in the case of Hogan vs Hatch dated July 2, 1959. The comments below outline my assessment on how to proceed with a recommendation concerning the season of use.

- Rights 29-485 through 29-489 were decreed with a season of use of June, July, August and September (121 days) for diversion from five wells.
- Rights based on this decree were claimed in the SRBA with a season of use of May 15 to August 15 (91 days). (per email)
- Claimed season of use starts earlier and ends sooner than decreed season of use.
- IDWR's standard season of use for this area is April 1 to October 31.
- Claimed season of use is within the parameters of IDWR's standard season of use.
- I.C., Sec 42-1425 provides for an accomplished transfer in season of use if change occurred prior to November 19, 1987, and there is no injury to other water rights.
- Chapter 7 of the Claims Review Manual discusses options concerning evaluation and recommendation of the season of use for decreed ground water rights.
- Because the claimed season of use is actually shorter than the decreed season of use, though it is shifted to start 15 days earlier than the decreed season of use, the claimants appear to be asserting just a change and not enlargement of the decreed rights or additional water rights based on beneficial use.
- Where there is just a change in the season of use neither a season of use shoulder remark nor addition beneficial use claim is needed.
- To determine whether to recommend the claimed season of use (with condition code C10) or recommend the decreed season depends on when the change occurred. If prior to November 19, 1987, use C10. The claimant must provide documentation or evidence to support this recommendation. For a change after November 19, 1987, recommend the decreed season of use and suggest the claimant file a transfer to modify the season of use.

-----Original Message-----

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2001 8:39 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Cindy --

I had Lorie fax you some info. Looking at the complaint, I would say that Plaintiff has the exclusive right to the well water during those months because from the complaint it appears that that is all the water that the wells were producing. I hope this clarifies.

The decree could be read to give Hatch use of the water in the other months -- what is he claiming? Otherwise, Hatch would have b/u claims that a junior to Hogan's if they are based on the same wells. We would go with the standard SOU for the area for Hatch's claims and would probably add shoulder remarks to Hogan's (Rondo) -- Ernie what do you think? We need to go with inch/acre unless we do a Hubble and we would consider an enlargement. Ernie, your input?

If you have further questions, let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2001 11:54 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Good Morning Candice,

I need a clarification on decree 29-RW a ground water decree between Ernest Hogan and R.C. Hatch. Both Bill Hatch and C. V. Cattle (Rondo Perkins) have claimed the water under this decree. The way I've interrupted the decree is that Hogan (Rondo Perkins) has the right to use the water on his property during the months of June, July, August and September from the 5 wells. But it doesn't say that Hatch can't also use the water. Or is this decree for Hogan (Rondo) and Hatch's claims would fall under b/u claims? Because they weren't licensed. What season of use and diversion rate (inch per acre) would be allowed for Hatch? Hatch has also claimed some additional irrigated acres under his claims. Would we allow the expansion?

thanks
cb

REPORT OF WELL DRILLER State of Idaho

OCT 30 1967

State law requires that this report shall be filed with the State Reclamation Engineer within 30 days after completion or abandonment of the well.

WELL OWNER:

Name B. O. HATCH

Address HATCH IDAHO

Owner's Permit No. 30584

NATURE OF WORK (check): Replacement well ☐
New well ☒ Deepened ☐ Abandoned ☐

Water is to be used for: IRRIGATION

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION: Rotary ☐ Cable ☒
Dig ☐ Other ☐

Casing Schedule: (explain) Threaded ☐ Welded ☒
12 3/4 Diam. from 0 ft. to 103 ft.
10 3/4 Diam. from 0 ft. to 214 ft.
16 Diam. from 0 ft. to 44 ft.
16 Diam. from 0 ft. to 44 ft.
Thickness of casing: 2 1/2 Material: Steel
Steel ☒ concrete ☐ wood ☐ other ☐

PERFORATED? Yes ☒ No ☐ Type of perforator used: TORCH

Size of perforations: 6 " by 1/4 "
160 perforations from 80 ft. to 103 ft.
270 perforations from 103 ft. to 214 ft.
perforations from 0 ft. to 0 ft.
perforations from 0 ft. to 0 ft.

WAS SCREEN INSTALLED? Yes ☐ No ☐

Manufacturer's name _____ Model No. _____
Type _____
Diam. _____ Slot size _____ Set from _____ ft. to _____ ft.
Diam. _____ Slot size _____ Set from _____ ft. to _____ ft.

CONSTRUCTION: Well gravel packed? Yes ☐
No ☒ size of gravel _____ Gravel placed from _____ ft. to _____ ft. Surface seal provided? Yes ☐ No ☐ To what depth? _____ ft. Material used in seal: _____

Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes ☐
No ☒ Type of water: _____
Depth of strata _____ ft. Method of sealing strata off: _____

Surface casing used? Yes ☒ No ☐
Cemented in place? Yes ☐ No ☒

Locate well in section


LOCATION OF WELL: County CARIBOU
SE 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec. 13 T. 7 S. R. 39 E.

Use other side for additional remarks

Size of drilled hole: 12 3/4 Total depth of well: 214 Standing water level below ground: Flowing Temp. Fahr. _____ Test delivery: 200 gpm or _____ cfs Pump? ☐ Bail ☐ Size of pump and motor used to make test: _____

Length of time of test: _____ Hrs. _____ Min. Drawdown: _____ ft. Artesian pressure: _____ ft. above land surface Give flow _____ cfs or 200 gpm. Shutoff pressure: _____ Controlled by: Valve ☒ Cap ☒ Plug ☐ No control ☐ Does well leak around casing? Yes ☐ No ☒

DEPTH	MATERIAL	WATER
FEET	FEET	YES OR NO
0	10' Soil	
10	10' CLAY	
20	10' CLAY	
30	10' CLAY	
40	10' CLAY	
50	10' CLAY	
60	10' CLAY	
70	10' CLAY	
80	10' CLAY	
90	10' CLAY	
100	10' CLAY	
110	10' CLAY	
120	10' CLAY	
130	10' CLAY	
140	10' CLAY	
150	10' CLAY	
160	10' CLAY	
170	10' CLAY	
180	10' CLAY	
190	10' CLAY	
200	10' CLAY	
210	10' CLAY	
220	10' CLAY	
230	10' CLAY	
240	10' CLAY	
250	10' CLAY	
260	10' CLAY	
270	10' CLAY	
280	10' CLAY	
290	10' CLAY	
300	10' CLAY	
310	10' CLAY	
320	10' CLAY	
330	10' CLAY	
340	10' CLAY	
350	10' CLAY	
360	10' CLAY	
370	10' CLAY	
380	10' CLAY	
390	10' CLAY	
400	10' CLAY	
410	10' CLAY	
420	10' CLAY	
430	10' CLAY	
440	10' CLAY	
450	10' CLAY	
460	10' CLAY	
470	10' CLAY	
480	10' CLAY	
490	10' CLAY	
500	10' CLAY	
510	10' CLAY	
520	10' CLAY	
530	10' CLAY	
540	10' CLAY	
550	10' CLAY	
560	10' CLAY	
570	10' CLAY	
580	10' CLAY	
590	10' CLAY	
600	10' CLAY	
610	10' CLAY	
620	10' CLAY	
630	10' CLAY	
640	10' CLAY	
650	10' CLAY	
660	10' CLAY	
670	10' CLAY	
680	10' CLAY	
690	10' CLAY	
700	10' CLAY	
710	10' CLAY	
720	10' CLAY	
730	10' CLAY	
740	10' CLAY	
750	10' CLAY	
760	10' CLAY	
770	10' CLAY	
780	10' CLAY	
790	10' CLAY	
800	10' CLAY	
810	10' CLAY	
820	10' CLAY	
830	10' CLAY	
840	10' CLAY	
850	10' CLAY	
860	10' CLAY	
870	10' CLAY	
880	10' CLAY	
890	10' CLAY	
900	10' CLAY	
910	10' CLAY	
920	10' CLAY	
930	10' CLAY	
940	10' CLAY	
950	10' CLAY	
960	10' CLAY	
970	10' CLAY	
980	10' CLAY	
990	10' CLAY	
1000	10' CLAY	

Work started: June 11 1967
Work finished: June 30 1967
Well Driller's Statement: This well was drilled under my supervision and this report is true to the best of my knowledge.
Name: DAVE WYLLIE
Address: BENNINGTON IDAHO
Signed by: Dave Wyllie
License No. 246 Date: Oct 26 1967

SE NW Sec 13, T7S, R39E

Oct 26, 1967

10:44pm. 12-3-01

6 wells drilled
2 springs.

Only 4 used.

Ernest Hogan -

3 wells. Ernest.

South of.

2 directly south

1 west 40.

Rondo - little flat.

1 well.

(2 drilled after Ernest was dead).

late 50's. early 1960

EXAM INFORMATION

Exam Date

6/12/01.

Exam Time

9:30 Am.

CLAIM(S)

Bill & Dolores Hatch decreased rights.

NAME

A29-485, 488, 489A, 1005, 2348

ADDRESS

PHONE

(208) 648-7316

DOMESTIC

STOCKWATER

IRRIGA

OTHER RIGHTS

DIRECTIONS

Bancroft - east
Chesterfield Hwy -
~8 mi Hatch Loop Rd.
white pickett fence - East side
2774 Hatch loop Road

11:46 Am - 6/4/01
phone number not
in service.

Cindy.

943-6853.

B/U claim need for extended season?

8/3/01 Eric/Den: NO add a shoulder remark. to include the May 15th
start date, no end date, on both rights, as long as
no other party is injured.

DOGS

DATE

6/4/01

APPOINTMENT

Dolores Hatch

6/12/01

Bill Hatch. (through the summer)

Decrees:

May 15th - Aug. 15th

May 1 - Sept. 1 -

Season. - Last 18+19 yrs.

Carl & Roy have
started irrigating.

Wife's home -

Bill & Dolores Hatch have had
this home for ~~15~~ 35-40 yrs.

Well depth:

- 2 pumps running / 3 lines.

- alfalfa.

5 total / 4 POD'S in the SESE section 13.
1 POD SWSE section 13.

DM. irrigation well. in the
SESE (far right) well has
not been used since 1983.

192
83
275
- 24
251

Roy has 1983

Ross Hogan - (Mosses 12-1340)

Ernest Hogan.

192
80
272

11-27-01 Analysis of decree 29-RW.
Under decree 29-RW. 29-485 - 489

div rate: $1300 \text{ gpm} * \frac{0.002675}{0.00223} = 2.899 \text{ cfs} \quad (3.478 \text{ cfs})$

Inch/ac. = $\frac{3.478}{2.899} = 144.95 \text{ Ac.} \quad (145 \text{ Ac.}) \quad 174 \text{ Acres}$
173.875

POU described: (POD of 5 wells)

80	S 1/2 SE	Sec 13.	T7S R39E.
40	SW SW	Sec 18	T7S 40E.
40	NENE	Sec 24	T7S, 39E.
40	NW NW	Sec 19	T7S 40E.
200 AC			

$\frac{145 \text{ AC}}{5 \text{ QQ}} = 29 \text{ AC/qq}$

CU cattle 58 acres. 76 AC claimed
18 AC. over.
Bill Hatch 87 Acres. 192 AC. claimed
25 AC. over +
105 AC.

$\frac{2.89 \text{ cfs}}{200 \text{ AC}} = 0.01445 \text{ cfs/ac.}$

CLAIMED: POU analysis:

38 SWSE, 39 SESE	Hatch	S 1/2 SE	Sec 13 ; T7S, 39E.
35 NESE, 23 NWSE		SWSW	Sec 18 ; T7S, 40E.
32. NWSW, 35 SWSW	CU cattle.	NENE	Sec 24 ; T7S, 39E
39 NENE		NW NW	Sec 19 ; T7S 40E
37 NW NW			
268 AC			

DECREED POU.

77 AC. S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE
35 AC. SWSW.
39 AC. NENE.
37 AC. NWNW
188 AC.

SOU: June, July, Aug, Sept.

Bill Hatch Claimed 80 Acres outside
the described POU.

If the POU is calculated at 29 acres
per 5 described QQ's then
Bill Hatch would have **87 acres**
and C.V. Cattle would have 58 acres.

Then Bill Hatch would need to file
an ~~expansion~~ enlargement for
105 acres.

C.V. Cattle would need to file an
enlargement for 18 acres.

Summary:

C.V. Cattle 58 ac. decreed - 18 ac. enlargement
diversion rate: $1.16 \text{ cfs } (58 * 0.02)$.

Bill Hatch. 87 ac. decreed - 105 ac. enlargement.
diversion rate: $1.74 \text{ cfs } (87 * 0.02)$

Total diversion: 2.90 cfs (1300 gpm).
@ 1 in/ac. irrigate acres equals 145 ac.

ratio of diversion rates:

$$\text{C.V. Cattle } \frac{58}{145} = 0.40$$

$$\text{Bill Hatch } \frac{87}{145} = 0.60$$

W/R	Div. rate	C.V. Cattle (0.4)	Bill Hatch (0.6)
29-485	0.223	0.089 0.09	0.134 0.13
29-486	0.5575	0.223 .22	0.334 0.34
29-487	0.669	0.268 .27	0.401 0.40
29-488	0.669	0.268 .27	0.401 0.40
29-489	0.7805	0.312 .31	0.468 0.47
		<u>1.16</u>	<u>1.74</u>

recommended diversion rates.

	<u>C.V. cattle</u>	<u>B. Hatch.</u>
29-485	0.09	0.13
29-486	0.22	0.34
29-487	0.27	0.40
29-488	0.27	0.40
29-489	0.31	0.47
	<u>1.16</u>	<u>1.74</u>

Listed POD's

B. Hatch.

1 P/D SWSE Sec. 13. 7S, 39E.

4 P/D. SESE

(Lic 29-2318 POD NWSE Sec. 13)
(80 ac. N 1/2 SE Sec 13. 0.6 cfs)

C.V. Cattle.

2 P/D NENE Sec 24; 7S, 39E

1 P/D NW NW Sec 19, 7S, 39E

(Lic. 29-2425 POD NENE Sec 24)

Analysis of licenses 29-2348
29-2425. (Bill Hatch).

license 29-2348.

POD. NWSE S13 T7S, 39E. - Different

POD than the 5 wells under license 29-2425.

PO4: NESE 40 ac.

NWSE 40 ac.

div. rate 0.60.

Claimed: POD matches.

div. rate: 0.60 cfs. (match).

(Claimed) PO4 change:

24	SWNE.
25	SENE.
15	NESE.
16	NWSE.
80	ac.

When did the change occur?

Hogan well #3 T7S 39E S. 13. SESE.

April Koach - Boise Check for well logs. Only one well log was listed in the QQ for the decree 29-RW.

- Mailed letters to both Hatch & Perkins to clarify the original wells.

Rondo Perkins.

A 29-2425.

POU: NENE Sec. 24, T5, 39E.

Is. one of the wells that I visited this well? Is it connected to the 5 wells under decree 29-RW.

* Rondo might need to also claim a portion of the w/a's under decree 29-RW. If the rights are proportionally split since they are connected. ~~Or~~ the diversion rate will reflect the irrigated acres.

$$76 \text{ ac} \times 0.02 = 1.52 \text{ cfs.}$$


$$\text{dec. 29-2425} = 0.33 \text{ cfs.}$$

$$\text{portions of 29-485-489} = 1.16$$

$$\underline{1.49 \text{ cfs.}}$$


e-mailed
Ernie / Candice
to find out.

Decree 29-RW



2000 0 2000 Feet

- Rondo perkins pod.shp
- Drigby stock spring.shp
- Waypoint-cest.shp
- ▲ More chesterfield.shp
- ▲ Feeder canal.shp
- ▲ Majrds.shp
- Twm-Rge
- Sections
- QQ
- ▲ Hydro100.shp



about 80

Well Log Form 1
2-2-3/63WELL LOG AND REPORT TO THE
STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHORECEIVED
OCT 1 1962
Department of Reclamation

SUBMIT WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER COMPLETION OF WELL: SEE IDAHO STATUTES 42-236

Permit No. 4 Well No. 1 County BinghamOwner Ernest HoganAddress Bainbridge IdahoDriller C. H. WilliamsAddress R. 4, Blanding IdahoWell location $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 24 T. 7 N. R. 39 E.Site of drilled hole 16"

Locate well in section

NW 1/4	NE 1/4
Sec. <u>24</u>	
SW 1/4	SE 1/4

Total depth of well 295'Give depth to standing water from the ground 5' Water temp 68° F.Test delivery was g.p.m. or c.f.s. Drawdown was feet Pump? Hand?

Size of pump and motor used to make test

Length of time of test hours minutesIf flowing well, give flow c.f.s. or g.p.m. and of shut off pressure

If flowing well, described control well

Water will be used for IRRIGATION (TYPE AND SIZE OF VALVE, ETC.)Thickness of casing 1/4" Casing material Steel Weight of casing per lineal footDiameter, length and location of casing 280' 16" (STEEL, CONCRETE, WOOD, ETC.)(CASING 12" IN DIAMETER OR LESS, GIVE INSIDE DIAMETER;
CASING OVER 12" IN DIAMETER, GIVE OUTSIDE DIAMETER)

CASING RECORD

Diam. Casing	From Foot	To Foot	Length	Remarks—seals, grouting, etc.
<u>16"</u>	<u>top</u>	<u>280'</u>	<u>280'</u>	

Number and size of perforations 1" 18" located 270' feet to 10' feet from groundDate of commencement of well Oct 19 1961 Date of completion of well Jan 9 1962

- 390 - 24

WELL LOG

From Foot	To Foot	Type of Material	Water-bearing Formation See Yes or No	Casing Perforated See Yes or No
0	23			
23	31	Brickwork (Clay)		
31	40	Heavy sand		
40	52	Red sand, small stones		
52	59	Gravel		
59	62	Clay		
62	89	Gravel		
89	103	Gravel (large & small stones)		
103	110	Gravel, small stones, small stones		
110	130	Clay & gravel		
130	139	Gravel & clay		
139	157	Clay		
157	165	Gravel & clay		
165	192	Gravel & clay & small stones		
192	204	Gravel & clay		
204	220	Gravel & clay		
220	226	Gravel & clay (small stones)		
226	230	Gravel & clay		
230	250	Gravel & clay		
250	254	Gravel & clay		
254	262	Gravel & clay (small stones)		
262	266	Gravel & clay		
266	272	Gravel & clay		
272	295	Gravel & clay		
If more space is required use Sheet No. 2				

WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT

The well was drilled under my supervision and the above information is complete, true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed C. L. Williams

By _____

Dated 1/12, 1965

License No. 4

Well Driller's Helper _____

REPORT OF WELL DRILLER State of Idaho

OCT 20 1967

State law requires that this report shall be filed with the State Reclamation Engineer within 30 days after completion or abandonment of the well.

WELL OWNER:
Name Roy Hogan
Address BLATCH IDAHO

Owner's Permit No. 625048
NATURE OF WORK (check): Replacement well ☐
New well ☒ Deepened ☐ Abandoned ☐

Water is to be used for: IRRIGATION

METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION: Rotary ☐ Cable ☒
Dug ☐ Other ☐

(explain)
CASING SCHEDULE: Threaded ☐ Welded ☒
16 "Diam. from 0 ft. to 5 1/2 ft.
"Diam. from ft. to ft.
"Diam. from ft. to ft.
"Diam. from ft. to ft.
Thickness of casing: 0.250 Material:
Steel ☒ concrete ☐ wood ☐ other ☐

(explain)
PERFORATED? Yes ☒ No ☐ Type of
perforator used:

Size of perforations: 6 " by 1/4 "
5 1/2 perforations from 0 ft. to 5 1/2 ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.
perforations from ft. to ft.

WAS SCREEN INSTALLED? Yes ☐ No ☐


Manufacturer's name
Type Model No.
Diam. Slot size ft. from ft. to ft.
Diam. Slot size ft. from ft. to ft.

CONSTRUCTION: Wall gravel packed? Yes ☐
No ☐ size of gravel Gravel
placed from ft. to ft. Surface seal
provided? Yes ☐ No ☐ To what depth?
 ft. Material used in seal

Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes ☐
No ☒ Type of water:
Depth of strata ft. Method of sealing
strata off:

Surface casing used? Yes ☐ No ☐
Cemented in place? Yes ☐ No ☐

Locate well in section



LOCATION OF WELL: County CARIBOU
SE 1/4 NW 13 T. 7 N. R. 39 E

Use other side for additional remarks

Size of drilled hole: 16" Total
depth of well: 97 Standing water
level below ground: Temp.
Fahr. Test delivery: 500 gpm
or cfs Pump? ☒ Bail ☐
Size of pump and motor used to make test:
12" TURBINE TRANTER
Length of time of test: Hrs. Min.
Drawdown: 50 ft. Artesian pressure: ft.
above land surface Give flow cfs
or gpm. Shutoff pressure:
Controlled by: Valve ☐ Cap ☐ Plug ☐
No control ☐ Does well leak around casing?
Yes ☐ No ☐

DEPTH	MATERIAL	WATER
FROM TO		YES OR NO
FEET FEET		
0 7	TOP SOIL	
7 21	GRAVEL & SAND	
21 43	"	
43 47	"	
47 50	CLAY	
50 92	CRACKED LAVA	
92 96	CLAY	
96 97	GRAVEL	

Work started: May 1964
Work finished: June 6, 1964
Well Driller's Statement: This well was
drilled under my supervision and this report
is true to the best of my knowledge.
Name: Roy Hogan
Address: BLATCH IDAHO
Signed by: Roy Hogan
License No. Date: OCT 26 1967

SE NW Sec 13 T7S, R39E

OCT 26, 1967

REPORT OF WELL DRILLER
State of IdahoOCT 30 1967
Department of Reclamation

State law requires that this report shall be filed with the State Reclamation Engineer within 30 days after completion or abandonment of the well.

WELL OWNER:

Name ERNEST HOGANAddress HarbOwner's Permit No. 100000NATURE OF WORK (check): Replacement well ☐
New well ☒ Deepened ☐ Abandoned ☐Water is to be used for: IRRIGATIONMETHOD OF CONSTRUCTION: Rotary ☐ Cable ☒
Dug ☐ Other ☐

(explain)

CASING SCHEDULE: Threaded ☐ Welded ☒

12" Diam. from 0 ft. to 54.6 ft.

"Diam. from ft. to ft.

"Diam. from ft. to ft.

Thickness of casing: 2.00 Material:Steel ☒ concrete ☐ wood ☐ other ☐

(explain)

PERFORATIONS? Yes ☒ No ☐ Type of
perforator used: TRACSize of perforations: 6 " by 15 "

15 perforations from 20 ft. to 23 ft.

20 perforations from 32 ft. to 42 ft.

22 perforations from 48 ft. to 54 ft.

perforations from ft. to ft.

WAS SCREEN INSTALLED? Yes ☐ No ☐

Manufacturer's name

Type Model No.

Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to

Diam. Slot size Set from ft. to

CONSTRUCTION: Well gravel packed? Yes ☐

No. size of gravel Grave

place from ft. to ft. Surface seal

provided? Yes ☐ No ☐ To what depth?

ft. Material used to seal:

Did any strata contain unusable water? Yes ☐No. ☒ Type of water:

Depth of strata ft. Method of sealing

strata off:

Surface casing used? Yes ☐ No ☐Cemented in place? Yes ☐ No ☐

Locate well on section

LOCATION OF WELL: County CAR
NW x SE Sec. 13 T. 7 N. R. 39 E

Use other side for additional remarks

Size of drilled hole: 12 Total
depth of well: 56.6 Standing water
Level below ground: Temp.
Fahr. 30 Test delivery: 30 gpm
or cfs Pump? ☐ Bail ☒
Size of pump and motor used to make test:Length of time of test: Hrs. Min.
Drawdown: 0 ft. Artesian pressure: ft.
above land surface Give flow cfs
or gpm. Shutoff pressure:
Controlled by: Valve ☐ Cap ☐ Plug ☐
No control ☐ Does well leak around casing?
Yes ☐ No ☐DEPTH MATERIAL WATER
FROM TO YES OR NO
FEET FEET

0 3 Top Soil

3 9 White Clay

9 21 Limestone

21 22 Gravel

22 35 Yellow

35 41 Gravel

41 47 Gravel

47 54 Gravel

54 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

56 56 Gravel

OCT 26, 1967

USGS

NWSE Sec 13, T7S, R39E

No well in NWSE

WELL LOG AND REPORT TO THE STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHO

Log No. _____
Rec. _____, 19____
Well No. _____
Permit No. _____

(DO NOT FILL IN)

Owner Ransom Hatch Address Hatch, Idaho
Driller Port A. Hutchins Address Pennington, Idaho Lic. No. 66
Location of Well: NW 1/4 NW 1/4 Sec. 13, T. 7 N, R. 39 E, Paulsen County,
and _____ feet N/S, and _____ feet E/W from _____ Corner of _____ 1/4 Sec. _____
Size of Drilled Hole 8" Total depth of Well 208
Give depth of standing water from surface _____ Water Temp. _____ °Fahrenheit
On pumping test delivery was _____ g.p.m. or _____ c.f.s. Drawdown was _____ feet.
Size of pump and motor used to make the test _____
Length of time pumped during test was _____ hr., _____ minutes.
If flowing well, give flow in c.f.s. _____ or g.p.m. _____ and shut in pressure _____
If flowing well, describe control _____
Water will be used for land (TYPE AND SIZE OF VALVE, ETC.) _____
Thickness of casing _____ Weight of casing per linear foot _____
Casing material _____
Diameter, length and location of casing _____
(CASING 12" IN DIAMETER AND UNDER GIVE INSIDE DIAMETER;
CASING OVER 12" IN DIAMETER GIVE OUTSIDE DIAMETER.)
Number and size of perforations _____ located _____ feet to _____ feet
from surface of ground.
Other perforations _____
Date of commencement of well _____ Date of completion of well _____
Type of well rig _____

CASING RECORD

DIAM. CASING	FROM FEET	TO FEET	LENGTH	REMARKS—SEALS, GROUTING, ETC.

GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of Water, Etc.

75-39E-13 NW 1/4 13-5

WELL LOG

From Feet	To Feet	Type of Material	Drilling Time		Water-bearing Formation Ans. Yes or No	Casing Perforated Ans. Yes or No
			Hrs.	Min.		
0	43	Clay				
43	46	Gravel & water				
46	50	Clay				
50	51	Gravel & water				
51	65	Clay				
65	78	Gravel				
78	83	Clay				
83	85	Gravel				
85	104	Clay				
104	108	Gravel				
108	111	Clay				
111	115	Gravel & clay				
115	118	Clay				
118	123	Gravel				
123	126	Clay				
126	139	Gravel				
139	170	Clay				
170	171	Gravel				
171	-	If more space is required use Sheet No. 2				

WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and the above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed.....

By.....

Dated..... 19

License No. 66

WELL LOG AND REPORT TO THE STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHO

Log No. **P. 01**
 Rec. **120954**
 Well No. **Department of Reclamation**
 Permit No.

(DO NOT FILL IN)

Owner **Hogan Well #3 18" hole.** Driller **Cushman & Jackson**
 Address **Barcroft Idaho** Address **Blackfoot Idaho** Lic. No. **16**
 Location of Well: **SE 1/4 SE 1/4 Sec. 13 T. 7 N. R. 39 W.** **Clatsop** County.
 and feet N/S, and feet E/W from corner of 1/4 1/4 Sec.
 Water will be used for **Irrigation** Total depth of well **167 Feet.**
 Size of drilled hole **18"** Weight of casing per linear foot **60 lbs.**
 Thickness of casing **5/16"** Casing material **Steel**
 Diameter, length and location of casing **51 Feet 18" 43 Feet 7" 16" 13" 10" 10"**
 (Casing 12" in diameter and under give inside diameter; casing over 12" in diameter give outside diameter.)
 Number and size of perforations **16-- 2 1/2"** located **21** feet to **45** feet
 from surface of ground.
 Other perforations: **10" perforated 8 X 5 Every 8" to 4 Rows**
 If flowing well, give flow in c.f.s. or g.p.m. **NO** and shut in pressure
 If non-flowing well, give depth of standing water from surface **16 Feet**
 If flowing well, describe control works **NO** (Type and size of valve, etc.)
 On pumping test delivery was **NO TEST** g.p.m. or c.f.s. Drawdown was feet
 Length of time pumped during check was **NO** hr. min. Water temp. ° Fahrenheit.
 Date of commencement of well **Aug 19, 1954** Date of completion of well **Sept. 1, 1954**
 Type of well rig **28-L Bucyrus-Erie**

CASING RECORD

Dist. Casing	From Feet	To Feet	Length	Remarks — Seals, Grouting, Etc.
18	0	51	51 + 540c	
16	71	114	43 10'	
10	122	165	43 7"	

GENERAL INFORMATION — Pumping Test, Quality of Water, Etc.

75-39 E

13 SE-SE

WELL LOG

From Feet	To Feet	Type of Material	Drilling Time		Water-bearing Formations Met. Yes or No	Casing Perforated Met. Yes or No
			Hrs.	Min.		
0	5	CLAY				
5	17	Gravel	12	12		
17	21	Gravel + clay (First water)				
21	28	Loose Rock				
28	45	Gravel + Rock (Some water)				
45	50	CLAY				
50	54 1/2	mach lava				
54 1/2	58 1/2	SOFT LAVA				
58 1/2	62	SAND + Gravel				
62	73	mach lava				
73	78	Hard Lava & crevices				
78	92	Black Lava				
92	95	SOFT LAVA				
95	98	SOFT LAVA with clay				
98	101 1/2	Hard Black lava				
101	105	CLAY				
105	116	CLAY + Gravel				
116	121 1/2	CLAY + Gravel				

If more space is required use Sheet No. 2

WELL DRILLERS STATEMENT

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and the above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Signed *William A. Johnson*
By *William A. Johnson* *W. A. Johnson*

Dated . 19 .

License No. 16

Subscribed and sworn before me this day of . 19 .

Notary Public

My commission expires

Residing at

NOTARIZATION NOT NECESSARY
UNDER NEW LAW

SEP 22 1954

Well Driller, Department of Reclamation
Well Location 7-293-1-2

Well location 7-29-60

WELL !CG

[illegible]

Bird, Cindy

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Wednesday, January 02, 2002 4:38 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice; Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Cindy,

You have asked a number of questions concerning this decree and hopefully I can help with the majority of them. First you had a question concerning the season of use on Mr. Hatch's claims. I prepared the attached memo entitled Bill Hatch (reviewed by Candice) to address the SOU issue. Claims by CV Cattle are not involved since they reflect the decreed season of use.



Bill Hatch.doc

The nature of the decree identifies a specific well (by number not location) and rate of diversion for each right. But all five rights have the same ppu of five 1/41/4s. Based on the claimed places of use there are 188 acres irrigated with in the decreed pou. At present we can not identify the original five wells since there are now eight wells between the two claimants being claimed as points of diversion.

As I understand it, ownership of the decreed place of use is divided between Bill Hatch and CV Cattle Inc. Bill Hatch owns 3/5s and CV Cattle owns 2/5s. For the decreed rights, Mr. Hatch has claimed all the diversion rate for each of the five decreed rights (29-485, 29-488, 29-489A, 29-10205 {combined 29-486 and 29-487}) for a total of 2.90 cfs. His claims have a ppu of 192 acres including 112 acres (three 1/41/4s) of the decreed place of use. For the decreed rights CV Cattle Inc claimed a total diversion rate of 2.01 cfs (29-486B, 0.56 cfs; 29-487B, 0.67 cfs; 29-489B, 0.78 cfs). Its claims have a pou of 76 acres, both 1/41/4s are part of the original decreed place of use.

Unless the claimants can provide some sort of documentation describing ownership of the water rights, my suggestion is to divide each right so the claimants get a proportionate share based on the number of acres they currently own of the original place of use (roughly 3/5s {112 acres} and 2/5s {76 acres}). Each claim would have a C03 and C05 condition.

Concerning Mr. Hatch if there is no perfected water right appurtenant to the 80 acres he claimed that is not part of the decreed place of use then he has a few options. The least attractive is to simply limit irrigation within the 192 acres to 112 acres. If the additional land was placed under irrigation prior to March 25, 1963, he can file a beneficial use claim and provide the necessary documentation to support the earlier priority date and any additional diversion rate. This is complicated by the fact that 29-2348 was licensed to 80 acres in the N1/2SE1/4 Section 13. He is claiming an accomplished change in place of use for this license to eliminate any overlap between it and the decreed rights. For there to be a beneficial use right on the N1/2SE1/4 Section 13 the accomplished change in place of use for 29-2348 would have to predate March 25, 1963 (possible but not likely). Lastly if the accomplished change in place of use for 29-2348 did not predate March 25, 1963 and irrigation of the 80 acres started after that date, but prior to November 19, 1987, he can file an enlargement claim for the additional 80 acres (with not additional diversion rate).

To split the rights CV Cattle will need to file additional claims. I would not charge them a late fee but would request a claim fee.

29-485. (0.22 cfs)

This claim appears to be only claimed by Bill Hatch.

Rondo Perkins / C.V. Cattle doesn't appear to have claimed this right?

11-15-01 I emailed Candice for an explanation / clarification on this decree.

Is the system connected?

Field exam Tuesday 11-26-01 with Rondo Perkins indicates that the system is connected. All of the wells are connected.

504: June, July, Aug, Sept.

Bird, Cindy

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2001 2:28 PM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

I'm going to defer to Ernie on how to determine the number of acres per QQ. Enlargement claims appear appropriate though.

Thanks,

Candice

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Tuesday, November 27, 2001 10:08 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Candice,

I think we will have to consider an enlargement because at the decreed diversion rate allows for 145 irrigated acres. Bill Hatch is claiming 192 irrigated acres and C.V. Cattle is claiming 76 acres, or 268 acres. The decree describes 5 QQ's for the POU. Can it be broken down to 29 acres per QQ? This would allow me to figure out how much each claimant would get and who needs to file what for an enlargement.

Happy Holidays

Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2001 8:39 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Cindy --

I had Lorie fax you some info. Looking at the complaint, I would say that Plaintiff has the exclusive right to the well water during those months because from the complaint it appears that that is all the water that the wells were producing. I hope this clarifies.

The decree could be read to give Hatch use of the water in the other months -- what is he claiming? Otherwise, Hatch would have b/u claims that a junior to Hogan's if they are based on the same wells. We would go with the standard SOU for the area for Hatch's claims and would probably add shoulder remarks to Hogan's (Rondo) -- Ernie what do you think? We need to go with inch/acre unless we do a Hubble and we would consider an enlargement. Ernie, your input?

If you have further questions, let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2001 11:54 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Good Morning Candice,

I need a clarification on decree 29-RW a ground water decree between Ernest Hogan and R.C. Hatch. Both Bill Hatch and C. V. Cattle (Rondo Perkins) have claimed the water under this decree. The way I've interrupted the decree is that Hogan (Rondo Perkins) has the right to use the water on his property during the months of June, July, August and September from the 5 wells. But it doesn't say that Hatch can't also use the water. Or is this decree for Hogan (Rondo) and Hatch's claims would fall under b/u claims? Because they weren't licensed. What season of use and diversion rate (inch per acre) would be allowed for Hatch? Hatch has also claimed some additional irrigated acres under his claims. Would we allow the expansion?

thanks
cb

Rondo Perkins, Bill Hatch

Bird, Cindy

From: McHugh, Candice
Sent: Monday, November 19, 2001 8:39 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: Decree 29-RAW clarification

claims

Cindy --

I had Lorie fax you some info. Looking at the complaint, I would say that Plaintiff has the exclusive right to the well water during those months because from the complaint it appears that that is all the water that the wells were producing. I hope this clarifies.

The decree could be read to give Hatch use of the water in the other months -- what is he claiming? Otherwise, Hatch would have b/u claims that a junior to Hogan's if they are based on the same wells. We would go with the standard SOU for the area for Hatch's claims and would probably add shoulder remarks to Hogan's (Rondo) -- Ernie what do you think? We need to go with inch/acre unless we do a Hubble and we would consider an enlargement. Ernie, your input?

If you have further questions, let me know.

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, November 15, 2001 11:54 AM
To: McHugh, Candice
Cc: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Decree 29-RAW clarification

Good Morning Candice,

I need a clarification on decree 29-RW a ground water decree between Ernest Hogan and R.C. Hatch. Both Bill Hatch and C. V. Cattle (Rondo Perkins) have claimed the water under this decree. The way I've interrupted the decree is that Hogan (Rondo Perkins) has the right to use the water on his property during the months of June, July, August and September from the 5 wells. But it doesn't say that Hatch can't also use the water. Or is this decree for Hogan (Rondo) and Hatch's claims would fall under b/u claims? Because they weren't licensed. What season of use and diversion rate (inch per acre) would be allowed for Hatch? Hatch has also claimed some additional irrigated acres under his claims. Would we allow the expansion?

thanks
cb

- additional land claimed by Bill Hatch will need an enlargement claim
license 29-2348 allows irrigation of 80 Ac. diff. QQ's than Decree.
- additional land claimed by C.V. Cattle?
lic. 29-2425 allows for irrigation of 76 Ac. on the same QQ's. (0.6 cfs).



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, P.O. Box 83728, Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

JIM KEMPTORNE
GOVERNORKARL L. BREMER
DIRECTOR

FAX Transmittal Cover Sheet

Number of pages including this one: 6Date: 11/19/01To: Cindy BirdFax No.: 208 547 3939From: Candice McHughFax. No.: 208 327 5400Phone No.: 208 327 5404

Subject: _____

In Addition: There is now a way to research water rights over the Internet. The system is a graphical or text query system and can be accessed from the IDWR home page:

<http://www.idwr.state.id.us>

From that link, select "Snake River Basin Adjudication" toward the lower left hand of the screen. Then select "Map" or "Text" on the next page.

"TEXT" lets you search water rights via Name, Legal, Source, etc.

Enter in the information you know. Information can be entered under more than one tab. After you have completed entering your information, select "Search"

"MAP" allows you to search water rights graphically.

Use the tools on the Left side of the screen to Zoom in and out. To find out what each button does, hold the cursor over the button for a second. To the Right side of the screen are different layers that can be turned on or off. As you zoom in to your place in question, different layers will appear on the right side of the screen.

A HELP function is available within the application or you can call 1-800-451-4129 or (208)327-7906 for help.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARIBOU

ERNEST HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

-vs-

R. O. HATCH; LEROY HOGAN;
GEORGE N. CARTER, State Reclamation Engineer of the State of Idaho;
ALL UNKNOWN OWNERS OR CLAIMANTS OF ANY INTEREST IN THE FOLLOWING
DESCRIBED WATER RIGHT, to-wit:

1,300 gallons per minute from five (5) wells located in
and irrigating the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Township
7 South, Range 39 E.B.M. The SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section
18, Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M. The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the
NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, Township 7 South, Range 39 E.B.M.
and the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township 7 South,
Range 40 E.B.M., all being in the County of Caribou,
State of Idaho, said water rights originating on 100
gallons per minute in May of 1952, and 550 gallons per
minute in June of 1953, 300 gallons per minute from
June 1954, and 350 gallons per minute in June 1955,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT (CIVIL)

Comes now the plaintiff in the above entitled action
and for cause of action against the defendants complains and
alleges as follows:

I.

That at all times mentioned in the complaint plaintiff,
Ernest Hogan, was, and now is, a resident of the County of Caribou,
State of Idaho.

II.

That at all times mentioned herein the plaintiff was,
and is, the owner of: the S $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Township
7 South, Range 36 E.B.M. The SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 18,
Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M. The NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section
24, Township 7 South, Range 39 E.B.M. And the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of
Section 19, Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M., all being in the
County of Caribou, State of Idaho.

RECORDED

MAY 16 1996

III.

That in the early Spring of 1952 the plaintiff drilled and cased a well located and identified in "Exhibit A" as Well No. 1 and said "Exhibit A" being a map attached hereto and made a part hereof. Said well was completed in the early Spring of 1952 and was immediately put to beneficial use in irrigating a portion of the above described property. The well was used for approximately four months each and every year thereafter and produced approximately 100 gallons per minute when in use, all of said water being used on said premises.

IV.

That in the early Spring of 1953 the plaintiff drilled and cased wells located and identified as Well No. 2 and Well No. 3. Said wells were completed in the early Spring of 1953 and were immediately put to beneficial use in irrigating a portion of the above described property. The wells were used for approximately four months each and every year thereafter and Well No. 2 produced 250 gallons per minute when in use, and Well No. 3 produced 300 gallons per minute when in use, all of said water being used on said premises.

V.

That in the early Spring of 1954 the plaintiff drilled and cased a well located and identified as Well No. 4. Said well was completed in the early Spring of 1954 and was immediately put to beneficial use in irrigating a portion of the above described property. The well was used for approximately four months each and every year thereafter and produced 300 gallons per minute when in use, all of said water being used on said premises.

VI.

That in the early Spring of 1955 the plaintiff drilled and cased a well located and identified as Well No. 5. Said well was completed in the early Spring of 1955 and was immediately put to beneficial use in irrigating a portion of the above

MAY 16 1996

described property. The well was used for approximately four months each and every year thereafter and produced 350 gallons per minute when in use, all of said water being used on said premises.

VII.

That the above described wells have been used to irrigate the above described premises through the use of a sprinkler system.

VIII.

That as each of the above described wells became operative the plaintiff used all of the water obtained therefrom and as above described beneficially for irrigating purposes and all of said water was used on the above described premises.

IX.

That prior to the drilling of the first well the plaintiff had a water right of two days and nights of spring runoff water each spring from an 18 mile creek but that prior to the drilling of the first well said creek diminished to the state that it became of little value for irrigation purposes and has remained in this condition to the present time.

X.

That George W. Carter is the State Reclamation Engineer of the State of Idaho and in serving in such capacity may have some interest in and to the above described waters.

XI.

Plaintiff further alleges that there are certain persons interested in this matter as they too have drilled wells in the immediate vicinity of the wells drilled by the plaintiff and that the names and addresses of said parties are as follows, to-wit: R. O. Hatch, Bancroft, Idaho; LeRoy Hogan, Bancroft, Idaho.

That the interests of the above interested parties do not conflict with the interest claimed herein by plaintiff.

XII.

Plaintiff further alleges that there are certain persons interested in this controversy whose names are unknown to the

RECEIVED
MAY 16 1996

plaintiff and who claim some right, title, and interest in and to the above described waters; and all of said unknown persons are made party defendants under the style of "all unknown owners or claimants of any interest in the following described water right".

XIII.

Plaintiff further alleges that he is now and has since June of 1952 appropriated and beneficially used 100 gallons per minute for approximately four months during the summer from Well No. 1.

That he has appropriated and beneficially used 250 gallons per minute for approximately ⁴ months during the summer from Well No. 2 since June of 1953, and 300 gallons per minute for approximately four months during the summer from Well No. 3 since June of 1953.

That he is now and has since June of 1954 appropriated and beneficially used 300 gallons per minute for approximately four months during the summer from Well No. 4.

That he is now and has since June of 1955 appropriated and beneficially used 350 gallons per minute for approximately four months during the summer from Well No. 5.

That he is the owner of, in possession of, and entitled to the possession of the above described waters and that said right and title be established from June 1952 for 100 gallons per minute, from June 1953 550 gallons per minute, from 1954 for 300 gallons per minute, and from June 1955 for 350 gallons per minute.

XIV.

Plaintiff further alleges that the defendants, and each of them, however designated, have no right, title, or interest in and to the waters above described.

RECORDED

MAY 16 1996

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays judgment as follows:

1. That the defendants, and each of them, be required to set forth any claim or right they have in and to the herein described water or any part or portion thereof and that any claim be adjudged and decreed to be without right or foundation.

~~2~~ 2. That upon final hearing it be decreed and adjudged that the plaintiff has the right to an ownership of 100 gallons per minute from Well No. 1 from June of 1952 and to be used during the months of June, July, August and September.

~~3~~ 3. That upon final hearing it be decreed and adjudged that the plaintiff has the right to and ownership of 250 gallons per minute from Well No. 2 and 300 gallons per minute from Well No. 3 from June of 1953 and to be used during the months of June, July, August and September.

~~4~~ 4. That upon final hearing it be decreed and adjudged that the plaintiff has the right to and ownership of 300 gallons per minute from Well No. 4 from June of 1954 and to be used during the months of June, July, August and September.

~~5~~ 5. That upon final hearing it be decreed and adjudged that the plaintiff has the right to an ownership of 350 gallons per minute from Well No. 5 from June of 1955 and to be used during the months of June, July, August and September.

6. That title to the said waters be quieted in the plaintiff and against the defendants, and each of them, and against the world.

7. That the plaintiff may have such other and further or different relief as to the Honorable Court may seem meet and equitable in the premises.

Callie A. Caldwell
Attorney for Plaintiff

LAW OFFICE
CALLIE A. CALDWELL
ATTORNEY AT LAW
POCATELLO, IDAHO

MAY 16 1996



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

900 N. Skyline Dr., Suite A, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-1718 - (208) 525-7161- Fax (208) 525-7177

EASTERN REGION

May 23, 2001

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

BILL P & DOLORES H HATCH
2774 N HATCH LOOP RD
BANCROFT ID 83217

RE: CHANGE IN ADDRESS FOR A29-00485, A29-00488, A29-00489A, A29-02348,
A29-10205, A29-10210, A29-10211, A29-10212 AND A29-10214

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Hatch:

Water right numbers A29-00485, A29-00488, A29-00489A, A29-02348 and A29-10205
have been changed to reflect the correct Arizona address and the Idaho address.

Water right numbers A29-10210, A29-10211, A29-10212 and A29-10214 will be
processed and sent to you at a later date.

If you have any questions feel free to contact this office at 208-525-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheri Vandermeulen

Cheri Vandermeulen
Records Clerk

FILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

RECEIVED

MAY 22 2001

Department of Water Resources
Phoenix Region

CHANGE IN ADDRESS

This form is solely for purposes of notifying IDWR of a change in address of an adjudication claim.

[] Water Right Number(s): 29-485, 29-488, 29-489A
29-2348, 29-10205, 29-10210pd.
29-10211pd, 29-10212pd, 29-10214pd.

[] Old Mailing Address: 2437 W. Townley Ave.
Phoenix, AZ 85331

[] New Mailing Address: 28247 W. 55th Street
Cave Creek, AZ 85331

[] Telephone Number: 1- 480 - (513-0486)

[] Signature of Claimant: Bill A. Hatch

[] Date: May 14, 2001

FOR IDWR USE ONLY:

Initial Review and Data-Entry

Date: By:

Further Action Needed:

Further Action Taken

Date: By:

Final Review and Data-Entry

Date: By:

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

PROFILED



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

900 N. Skyline Dr., Suite A, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-1718 - (208) 525-7161- Fax (208) 525-7177

EASTERN REGION

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

May 9, 2001

BILL P & DOLORES H HATCH
28247 N 55TH ST
CAVE CREEK AZ 85331-6327

RE: CHANGE OF ADDRESS FOR A29-00485, A29-00488, A29-00489A, A29-02348, A29-10205, A29-10210, A29-10211, A29-10212, A29-10214

Dear Mr. & Mrs. Hatch:

It has come to our attention that your address may have recently changed. The address currently recorded on the above referenced water right(s) is as follows:

Bill P & Dolores H Hatch
2437 W Townley Ave
Phoenix AZ 85021

We recommend if, in fact, the address in question has been changed, you file a "Change in Address" with this department in order that we may send all further correspondence to the appropriate address.

Enclosed is a Change in Address form. Please fill out the form completely, sign and date it and return it to my attention.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please call 525-7161.

Respectfully submitted,

Cheri Vandermeulen

Cheri Vandermeulen
Records Clerk

PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

Enclosure(s)

Note:
We are at our place in Idaho usually six months in the summer, May thru October. Idaho Address:
2774 N. Hatch Loop Rd.
Bancroft, Idaho 83217
Tel. # 208 (648-7316)
~ Celebrating Our Centennial Year of Service to Idaho 1895-1995 ~

Skyline Dr. Suite A
Hls, Idaho 83402-1713

ADDRESS SERVICE
REQUESTED

Roger

★ ★ U.S. POSTAGE P8352
1030 8983 \$01.060 FEB 19
5 2 0 1 MAILED FROM PHOENIX



BILL P HATCH
DOLORES H HATCH
2437 W TOWNLEY AVE
PHOENIX AZ 85021

NOE mailing

29-488A
29-485A
29-489A
29-10205

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

RECEIVED


MAR 4 2003

Postage and Fees Paid
Postnet

ing
at
in Idaho
ness but
answer.
C
3/28/03

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Eastern District Office
N. Skyline Dr., Suite A
Boise Falls, ID 83402-1718

RECEIVED



INTERNATIONAL SERVICE REQUESTED

MAY 09 2001

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

29-485

29-488

29-489A

29-2348

29-10205

29-10210 pd.

29-10218 pd.


29-10212 pd.

29-10214 pd.

HATCH, BILL P
HATCH, DOLORES H
COURTNEY AVE

HATCH437* 850212070 1101 07 05/05/01
RETURN TO SENDER
HATCH, BILL P
28247 N 55TH ST
CAVE CREEK AZ 85331-6327

85021



PROFILED

SCANNED
NOV 30 2005

File 5E-4
Vol. 6, 1141

11NS

29-RW

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF CARIBOU

ERNEST HOGAN,

Plaintiff,

-VS-

R. O. HATCH: LeROY HOGAN; GEORGE N. CARTER, State Reclamation
Engineer of the State of Idaho; ALL UNKNOWN OWNERS OR CLAIMANTS
OF ANY INTEREST IN THE FOLLOWING DESCRIBED WATER RIGHT, TO-wit:

1,300 gallons per minute from five (5) wells located in
and irrigating the S $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 13, Town-
ship 7 South, Range 39 E.B.M., the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of
Section 18, Township 7 South, Range 40 E.B.M. The NE $\frac{1}{4}$
of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, Township 7 South, Range 39,
E.B.M. and the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 19, Township
7 South, Range 40 E.B.M., all being in the County of
Caribou, State of Idaho, said water rights originating on
100 gallons per minute on May of 1952, 550 gallons per
minute in June 1953, 300 gallons per minute from June
1954 and 350 gallons per minute in June 1955,

Defendants.

JUDGMENT

The above entitled action came on for trial before the Court
without a jury, on the 25th day of June, 1959, at Soda Springs,
Idaho, the plaintiff appearing in person and by his attorney,
Callis A. Caldwell, and no defendants having filed any responsive
pleadings, nor having made any appearance whatsoever, and an
entry of default having been filed in the above matters, and
testimony having been offered and the Court having filed its
findings of fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order for Judgment,
now, pursuant to said order for judgment, it is upon consideration,
ordered, adjudged and decreed as follows:

29-0485

First: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1952, be given and
allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State
of Idaho from Well #1, and during the months of June, July, August,
and September at the rate of 100 gallons per minute. (0.22 cfs)

29-0486

Second: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and

PROFILE

ENCLOSURE

MAY 16 1996

SC 7
NC 205

allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #2, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 250 gallons per minute.

29-0487

300

Third: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1953, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #3, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute.

29-0488

300

Fourth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1954, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #4, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 300 gallons per minute.

29-0489

350

Fifth: That the plaintiff, as of June 1, 1955, be given and allowed to beneficially use the subterranean waters of the State of Idaho from Well #5, and during the months of June, July, August, and September, at the rate of 350 gallons per minute.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That the plaintiff pay his own cost and disbursements.

Dated this 2nd day of July, 1959.

/s/ Darwin D. Brown
DISTRICT JUDGE

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss #43029
I hereby certify that this instrument was
filed for record at the request of
Darwin D. Brown, at 5 minutes past 9 o'clock
A.M., this 15th day of July A.D., 1959
in my office and duly recorded in Book
3 of Judgments at page 68.
Fee, nil. /s/ L. Ray Davis

SCANNED

NOV 30 2005

STATE OF IDAHO)
County of Caribou) ss

I, L. Ray Davis, Clerk, Auditor, and Recorder of Caribou County, Idaho, do hereby certify that the within and foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original Judgment as the same appears on file in my office.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of this office at Soda Springs, Idaho, on this 23rd day of February, 1960.

MAY 16 1996

L. Ray Davis,
Clerk, Auditor & Recorder,
Caribou County, Idaho

MAY 4 2001

Log for claim A29-00485

Spatial Dynamics LTD

6/8/00

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
07s39e	13	NESE	25	22	
07s39e	13	NWSE	23	21	
07s39e	13	SWSE	38	37	POD placement?
07s39e	13	SESE	39	40	
07s40e	18	NWSW	32	36	
07s40e	18	SWSW	35	36	
Totals:			192	192	

AJ5809NP
No. A29-00485

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 03/21/00
PAGE: 46

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	BILL P HATCH	06/01/1952	IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	0.220 CFS	
C	DOLORES H HATCH					
	2437 W TOWNLEY AVE					
	PHOENIX AZ 85021			TOTAL DIVERSION:	0.220 CFS	
	(602) 943-6853			CONSUMPTIVE USE:		480.00 AFA

Water Source: GROUNDWATER

Tributary to:

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T07S R39E S13 SWSE SESE CARIBOU County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

				NE				NW				SW				SE								
T	R	S	:	NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	:	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	:	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	:	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	:	SUM
				Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		
07S	39E	13	:					:					:					:	25	23	38	39	:	125.0
07S	40E	18	:					:					:			03-32	04-35	:					:	67.0
																						TOTAL ACRES		192.0

POU County: CARIBOU

Non-Irrigation Uses: NONE

Other Rights: 29-0488/0489/10205

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):
A29-00488 A29-00489A A29-10205

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of Use:
A29-00486A A29-00487A A29-00488 A29-00489A A29-02348 A29-10205

Remarks: GEN 4 P/D'S SESE SEC 13, T07S, R39E
1 P/D SWSE SEC 13, T07S, R39E

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Audit: 01/23/90 WILSON 06/01/94 COX 03/29/95 POST-STD

MAY 4 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A29-00485A

Date Received: 1/23/1990

Receipt No: E012045

Received By: Kew

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name: BILL P. HATCH 602-943-6853
Address: 2437 W. TOWNLEY AVE.
PHOENIX, AZ 85021

OR

Name: DOLORES H. HATCH 602-943-6853
Address: 2437 W. TOWNLEY AVE.
PHOENIX, AZ 85021

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1952

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	39E	13	SW	SE	SE		CARIBOU
			SE	SE			CARIBOU

5. Description of diverting works:
FIVE WELLS PUMPS MAINLINE TO LATERALS WITH SPRINKLERS

6. Water is used for the following purposes: 6/1 to 7/30

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.220		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.220 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 480.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

A29-00485

Page 1

Date: 01/23/90

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1992

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres		
07S	39E	13	NE	SE		IRR	25.0		
			NW	SE		IRR	23.0		
			SW	SE		IRR	38.0		
			SE	SE		IRR	39.0		
						Section Acres	125.0		
	40E	18	NW	SW	3	IRR	32.0		
			SW	SW	4	IRR	35.0 ✓		
			Section Acres						67.0
			Total Acres						192.0

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
29-0488/0489/10205

14. Remarks:
4 P/D'S SESE SEC 13, T07S, R39E
1 P/D SWSE SEC 13, T07S, R39E

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

ERNEST HOGAN

Decree Plaintiff

vs

R. O. HATCH ET. AL.

Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Bill & Janet* Date: *2/8/90*

Date: _____

State of Idaho)

County of _____)

SS.

State of Arizona
County of Maricopa

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this *8th* day

of *February* 19 *90*

Robert J. Bailey
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at *Phoenix, AZ*

My Commission Expires *March 21, 1992*

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1982

A29-00485

