

06/17/2003

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285J

NAME AND ADDRESS: PEGGY L CHANDLER
PO BOX 144
INKOM ID 83245

SEREN R CHANDLER
PO BOX 144
INKOM ID 83245

SOURCE: INMAN CREEK

TRIBUTARY: RAPID CREEK

QUANTITY: 0.200 CFS

The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos. 29-00285A, 29-00258B, 29-00285C, 29-00285E, 29-00285F, 29-00285G, 29-00285I, and 29-00258J.

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T07S R36E S11 SESWSE Within BANNOCK County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
IRRIGATION

PERIOD OF USE
04/01 10/31

QUANTITY
0.200 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BANNOCK County

T07S R36E S11 SESW 7.6

7.6 ACRES TOTAL

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 29-00307E IS LIMITED TO THE
IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 7.6 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.


OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: Decreed




Water Right Claim Number A29-00285J
Township , 7S36E

08/24/2000





Water Right Claim Number A29-00285J
Township , 7S36E

08/24/2000



Water Right Claim Number A29-00285J
Township , 7S36E

08/24/2000



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285J V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285J V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	E M WEBB
	, ID
Current Owner	PEGGY L CHANDLER PO BOX 144 INKOM, ID 83245 (208)775-3360
Current Owner	SEREN R CHANDLER PO BOX 144 INKOM, ID 83245 (208)775-3360
Original Owner	PHILLIP FERGUSON
	INKOM, ID 83245
Original Owner	A J DAMRON
	, ID
Original Owner	FRED M KELSEY
	, ID
Original Owner	SAMUEL HARGRAVES
	, ID

Priority Date: 06/01/1884

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

Source

INMAN CREEK

Tributary

RAPID CREEK

Beneficial Use

IRRIGATION

From To

04/01 to 10/31

Diversion Rate

0.200 CFS

Annual VolumeTotal Diversion:

0.200 CFS

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

INMAN CREEK

SE1/4SW1/4SE1/4

Sec. 11, Twp 07S, Rge 36E, B.M.

BANNOCK County

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	36E	11												7.6					7.6

Total Acres: 7.6

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285J V1

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

1. Quantity The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos. 29-00285A, 29-00258B, 29-00285C, 29-00285E, 29-00285F, 29-00285G, 29-00285I, and 29-00258J.
2. Place of Use - Irrigation USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 29-00307E IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 7.6 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.

Comments:

1. csaxton 1/2/2003 Priority Date
Comment: Priority date just listed in the decree as 1884. But WR database shows it as 6/1/1884. Recommend as WR database indicates.
2. csaxton 1/2/2003 POD
Comment: POD not defined in Decree. No C03.

Documentation of Ownership of the Current Place of Use

Is this property subject to any liens, deeds of trust, mortgages, or contracts or other encumbrances?

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
Defendant:
Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Notice of Error Flag:
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector: OR
Water District Number: 29F
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	1/2/2003 1:51:05 PM	csaxton			Active
Priority Date	1/2/2003 1:52:12 PM	csaxton			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285J V1

POD	1/2/2003 1:54:00 PM	csaxton	Active
Source	1/2/2003 1:57:08 PM	csaxton	Active
Source	1/2/2003 1:59:18 PM	csaxton	Active
POU	1/2/2003 2:01:22 PM	csaxton	Active
Use	1/2/2003 2:02:14 PM	csaxton	Active
Season	1/2/2003 2:02:35 PM	csaxton	Active
Quantity	1/2/2003 2:03:50 PM	csaxton	Active
Summary		01/02/2003 csaxton	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
Priority Date	1/2/2003 1:52:13 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
Contacts	1/2/2003 1:51:06 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: FE 9/13/01
POD	1/2/2003 1:54:00 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/2/2003 1:54:28 PM	csaxton	Edited point location
POD	1/2/2003 1:54:44 PM	csaxton	Edited PLS
POU	1/2/2003 2:01:22 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285J V1

Source	1/2/2003 1:57:09 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (No) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the source? (Yes) 8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer? (Yes) 10. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the source? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 8 - See comments.
Source	1/2/2003 1:59:18 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the source? (Yes) 10. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the source? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required? Description for Question 10 - FE 9/13/01
POU	1/2/2003 2:01:35 PM	csaxton	Edited shape boundary
POU	1/2/2003 2:01:50 PM	csaxton	Edited PLS
Use	1/2/2003 2:02:14 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Season	1/2/2003 2:02:36 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285J V1


Quantity	1/2/2003 2:03:50 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (Yes) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	1/2/2003 2:07:43 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?



STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Water Right(s): 29-00285J, 29-00307E




Total Irrigated Acres: 7.6

PROFILE

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

-  Point(s) of Diversion
-  Place of Use
-  Quarter Quarter Lines
-  Section Lines



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: C. Saxton
Date: January 2003

Saxton, Craig

Subject: FW: Rotation Remark

Craig,

My understanding is that the following be added as a quantity remark:

The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos.

The waterusers will have to work out their rotation schedules. I don't see a need for a remark requiring this, unless someone else has a different idea...

Dave

-----Original Message-----

From: Saxton, Craig

Sent: Friday, June 13, 2003 12:58 PM

To: Tuthill, Dave; McHugh, Candice; Carlsen, Ernie

Subject: RE: Rotation Remark

Hello,

I need some guidance on if we are adding the remark requiring that a rotation plan for that year be submitted to the Department for administrative purposes.

I can not tell from the E-mail if a decision was made on this subject.

Thanks,

Craig S.

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

PROFILED

9-19-02: Current ditch From Inman put in 60's

: From mid 60's to 80's sprinkler Everything

: old ditch to Serens Northern Piece Abandon

: James V
Sam Chandler } check for springs

9-19-02: Spring License - overflow From Sams Spring

: 50 Gal Barrel

17 Pic of Barrel

: Sams Box about 50' up From Serens
Barrel.

27 looking N at
Black Pine

Actual Spring up about 30' From
Sams Box.

From E's 646064 = 6 satellites
GPS 192641

- Could Run 2 1/2 mile Lines 68 heads

- Now Sam runs about 42 heads
George

17 Pic of Pipe + Plug

1-2-03: The Intent of this claim is for use from Inman Ck
on POU S. of Inman Rd

: Deeded as main Channel of Rapid Ck but claimed POU
could only have been flood Irrigated from Inman Ck.
NO A in Source

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

PROFILED

James V
9-13-01: Dad Passed in 1981 - After Passing - James V's Lot
FE Split to Samy George, Seven

9-11-02: Source is actually Inman CK

POU is actually about 8 acres
; POU is around Seven's Home

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Claim Report 29-285J

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285J

Owner Type Name and Address
 Current Owner PEGGY L CHANDLER ✓
 PO BOX 144
 INKOM, ID 83245
 (208)775-3360

Current Owner SEREN R CHANDLER ✓
 PO BOX 144
 INKOM, ID 83245
 (208)775-3360

Priority Date: 06/01/1884 ✓

Basis: Decreed ✓

Status: Active ✓

Source Tributary
~~RAPID CREEK~~ Inman Ck ~~PORTNEUF RIVER~~ Rapid Ck

Beneficial Use	From	To	Diversion Rate	Annual Volume
IRRIGATION	3/15 to 11/15	7/1	0.200 CFS	
		10/31	0.014	0.20
	Total Diversion:		0.200 CFS	N/A
			0.014	0.20

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

~~RAPID CREEK~~ Inman Ck ✓
 BANNOCK County SE 1/4 NW 1/4 SW 1/4
 SE SW SE ✓
 Sec. 11, Twp 07S, Rge 36E, B.M.

~~RAPID CREEK~~ ✓
 BANNOCK County SE 1/4 SW 1/4 SE 1/4 ✓
 Sec. 11, Twp 07S, Rge 36E, B.M.

Place of Use ✓
 IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE	NW	SW	SE	Totals
07S	36E	11	NE	NW	SW	SE	10.0
Total Acres: 10 7.6							7.6

Conditions of Approval:Remarks:

1. General WATER USED JOINTLY ON ROTATION.
 SEE DECREE FOR INDIVIDUAL LAND DESCRIPTIONS.
 *** SPLIT RIGHT HAS BEEN CLAIMED AS FOLLOWS:

Dates and Other Information:

Claim Received Date: 4/2/1990
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector: OR
 Water District Number: 29F
 Mitigation Plan: False

C16
 This right " 29.00307E is limited to 7.6 ac
 0.18 cfs

PROFILED

SCANNED
 JUL 20 2005

Split history for Decreed Right 29-00285

WR	Claimed	Source	Decreed Owner of POU	Rec	Revised	
29-00285 0.2 rotational	29-00285A Chandler, Sam	<i>Inman</i>	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	20			20	20	
	29-00285B Chandler, George	<i>Inman</i>	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	22.7			22.7	22.7	
	29-00285C Spraker, Irvin	<i>Rapid</i>	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	1.5			1.5	1.5	
	29-00285E Anderson, Melvin	<i>Webb</i>	Hargraves, Samuel	*		Full Share
	0.2			0.029	0.200	
	102			54.1	54.1	
	29-00285F Anderson, Melvin	<i>Webb</i>	Webb, E.M	*		Full share
	0.2			0.029	0.200	
	102			54.1	54.1	
	29-00285G Kent, Wes	<i>Rapid</i>	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.16		Damron, A.J.	0.01	0.20	Amended claim Requested.
	8			0.3	4.2	1/2 share
	29-00285H Morrison, MD	<i>Rapid</i>	Damron, A.J.	* Disallowed	Disallowed	1/2 share
	0.2					
	10					
	29-00285I Chandler, James	<i>Rapid</i>	Ferguson, Phillips	*		1/2 share
	0.2			0.014	0.200	
	10			4.6	4.6	
	29-00285J Chandler, Seren	<i>Rapid</i>	Ferguson, Phillips	*		1/2 share
	0.2			0.014	0.200	
	10			7.6	7.6	

Total Recommended CFS/Shares =	0.114	N/A
Unclaimed Shares =	0.086	N/A
Total Check=	0.200	
Total Acreage	164.900	

* Anderson, Melvin claimed two shares of the rotational right. I don't know which claim is for which decreed piece of property. Calimed as PPU
7 original users: 0.20cfs / 7 users = 0.02857 per share holder

Each user recommended the full decreed diversion rate to be used only in rotation with the other users of the right.

JUL 20 2005

SCANNED

PROFILED

[Send To Printer](#)

[Back To TerraServer](#)

USGS 4 km NE of Inkom, Idaho, United States 23 Jul 1999



Image courtesy of the U.S. Geological Survey

SCANNED

More Useful Everyday

JUL 20 2005

MSN Home | My MSN | Hotmail | Search | Shopping | Money | People &

PROFILED

Saxton, Craig

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2002 1:24 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie; McHugh, Candice
Subject: Rotational Right 29-00285

Hi,

Ok, I did manage to speak with George Chandler. Here is what he said:

He said that 29-00285 was originally decreed to 5 people (we know there were actually 7 users). George said that they rotated every 5 day's were each person got the full 10 inches or 0.20 cfs on day a week (this would work better with the decreed 7 users, but this is what he said).

When asked who the users were on the rotational right now, he confirmed that everyone who had claimed part of 29-00285 were users of the right and used the right. With one exception...he never said that MD Morrison used his portion of the rotational right (which I don't think he does), but George confirmed that it was understood that MD Morrison held a portion of this right.

I asked about current practice. He stated that they split up the right and each use an for example... inch of water at all times at the same time for everyone. This makes me think we might want to pro-rate the diversion rate. In addition, George confirmed that George, Sam, Wes, and Irvin all have a part of one of the originally decreed portions. So...if we prorated the right between the original 7 (example would be 0.0285 cfs for George Whitworths original property), then one of those portions would need to be pro-rated out to the four users (so George's 0.0285 cfs would need to be split 4 times for 0.007 cfs). If we did it this way, we are going to have extra diversion rate because 2 of the original 7 users portions were not claimed....could this be a possible accomplished transfer to save loss of diversion rate?

Different from what Irvin Spraker said: George said that they did hire a water master as recent as 5 years ago, but they did not regulate right 29-00285 as a fully rotated right as originally done at time of the decree.

Your thoughts/guidance?

Let me know if you have further questions.

Thanks,

Craig

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

11/26/2002

Saxton, Craig**Subject:** FW: 29-00285 Rotational

Hey Ernie,

Attached are several files:

- 1) JPG of Decreed POU
- 2) JPG of possible recommended POU overlying Decreed POU
- 3) Shape file for Decreed POU
- 4) Shape file for possible recommended POU
- 5) Updated 29-00285 spread sheet

Let me know if you don't receive one of these.

Now, to summarize:

Original Owners of Decreed right 29-00285:

Damron, A.J.	Current owners of Property: Morrison, MD Kent, Wes
Ferguson, Phillip	Chandler, James Chandler, Seren
Hargraves, Samuel	Anderson, Melvin
Webb, E.M	Anderson, Melvin
Kelsey, Fred	Not claimed
Richardson, John & Fanny	Not claimed
Whitworth, George	Chandler, Sam Chandler, George Spraker, Irvin Kent, Wes

So, some of the rotational rights haven't been claimed, and some have been claimed by several users.

Lets note some other things:

- 1) Wes Kent only claimed a portion of the rotational right. If he uses the water year round, he should claim portions of 29-00297 and 29-00314 also.
- 2) Absolutely nothing was claimed on Richardson or Kelsey property. No high water, low water, rotational, bene-use, etc.
- 3) Note EM Webbs property could never have been irrigated by Rapid Creek. Could only be irrigated from Webb Creek. Yet he was still included as part of the rotation.
- 4) Even though it is not possible now, MD Morrison's property could have historically been irrigated from Rapid Creek.

PROFILED**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

1/3/2003

I guess take a look at this and let me know if you would like additional information. When completed, I can pass this stuff onto Candice.

I appreciate your help with this.

Thanks Ernie,

Craig

PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

SCANNED

JUN 11 2001

Log for claim A29-00285J

Spatial Dynamics LTD

8/24/00

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
07s36e	11	SESW	10	38	Indiscernible
Totals:			10	38	

Audit: 06/21/90 DCARLSON 04/04/94 THOMPSON 03/29/95 POST-STD

JUN 11 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A29-00285J
Date Received: 4/02/1990
Receipt No: M006448
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: SEREN R. CHANDLER
Address: BOX 144 *367 E Inman*
INKOM, ID 83245

208-775-3360

OR
Name: PEGGY L. CHANDLER
Address: BOX 144
INKOM, ID 83245

208-775-3360

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1884

3. Source: RAPID CREEK

Trib. to: PORTNEUF RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	36E	11	SE	NW	SW		BANNOCK
			SE	SW	SE		BANNOCK

5. Description of diverting works:
DAMS/ DITCHES

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.200		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.200 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 25.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
INCIDENTAL STOCKWATERING

A2900285J



10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	36E	11	SE SW		IRR	10.0

Section Acres 10.0

Total Acres 10.0

11. Place of use in counties: BANNOCK

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
29-00307

14. Remarks:

WATER USED JOINTLY ON ROTATION.
SEE DECREE FOR INDIVIDUAL LAND DESCRIPTIONS.
*** SPLIT RIGHT HAS BEEN CLAIMED AS FOLLOWS:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

CHARLES E. STEWART, ET. AL.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

A. J. DAMRON, ET. AL.
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____
_____ Date: _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

SE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER:

39576 J

Ident. Number

A25-00285 (SPLIT)

Date Received

APR 4/2/1990

Receipt Number

M006448

NOTICE OF CLAIM
TO A
WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RECEIVED
APR 02 1990

Department of Water Resources

Please type or print clearly

1. Name of Claimant (s) CHANDLER, SEREN R. & PEGGY L. Phone (208) 775-3360Mailing Address Box 144, INKOM, ID Zip 832452. Date of Priority (Only one (1) per claim) 06/01/18843. Source of water supply (a) RAPID CREEK
which is tributary to (b) PORTNEUF RIVER.4. a. Location of existing point of diversion is: Township T07S Range R36E Section 11,
SE 1/4 of NW 1/4 of SW 1/4, Govt. Lot, B.M., County of BANNOCKAdditional points of diversion if any: SE SWSE, SEC. 11, T07S, R36E

b. If instream flow, beginning point of claimed instream flow is:

Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4,

Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____

ending point is: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____

_____ 1/4, Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____

5. Description of existing diversion works (Dams, Reservoirs, Ditches, Wells, Pumps, Pipelines, Headgates, Etc),
including the dates of any changes or enlargements in use, the dimensions of the diversion works as
constructed and as enlarged and the depth of each well.DIVERSION DAMS IN CREEKS WITH
DITCHES TO PLACES OF USE.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

6. Water is claimed for the following purposes:

(both dates are inclusive)

(cfs)

(acre feet)

For IRRIGATION purposes from 03/15 to 11/15 amount 0.20 or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

7. Total quantity claimed (a) 0.20 (cfs) and/or (b) _____ (acre feet)

8. Total consumptive use claimed is 25.0 acre feet per annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses; describe fully (eg. Domestic: Give number of households served; Stockwater: Type and number of livestock Etc.) STOCKWATER INCIDENTAL TO IRRIGATION

10. Description of place of use:

a. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below.

b. If water is used for other purposes, place a symbol of use (example: D for Domestic) in the corresponding place of use below. See instructions for standard symbols.

TWP	RNG	SEC	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	
<u>07.5</u>	<u>36E</u>	<u>11</u>												<u>10.0</u>					<u>10.0</u>

Total number of acres irrigated 10.0

11. In which county (ies) are lands listed above as place of use located? BANNOCK

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes X No _____
If your answer is No, describe in Remarks below the authority you have to claim this water right.

13. Describe any other water rights used at the same place and for the same purposes as described above.
A29-00 307 or None ()

14. Remarks: THIS SPLIT RT. IS USED BY THE CLAIMANT ON A ROTATIONAL BASIS. ALONG WITH SEVERAL OTHER SPLITS OWNED BY OTHER USERS. THE SPLIT NO. SUFFIX NEEDS TO BE ASSIGNED FOR THIS RT. THE SECOND P/D LISTED IS FROM INMAN CK. TRIBUTARY TO RAPID CK.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

Last Name CHANDLER Ident No. A29-00285 (SPLIT)

Copies: White -State, Yellow-Claimant

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile

A large grid of 16x16 squares, each containing a crosshair pattern, used for handwriting practice. The grid is composed of 16 columns and 16 rows of squares. Each square contains a crosshair pattern consisting of a vertical line, a horizontal line, and two diagonal lines intersecting at the center. The lines are thin and black, and the squares are separated by thin black borders. The overall grid is used for practicing letter formation and alignment.

NOV 13 1992

Ident No. A-29-002056A17 Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant



MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1992

15. Basis of Claim (check one) Beneficial Use _____ Posted Notice _____ License _____ Permit _____

Decree X

If applicable provide IDWR Water Right Number 29-00285

Court _____ Case Number _____ Decree Date _____

Plaintiff vs Defendant _____

16. Signature (s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read, and understand the form entitled

"How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X

wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments 1

For Individuals: I do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant (s) X *Seren R. Chandler* Date 4/2/90

Date _____

For Organizations: I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____

_____ Title
of _____, that I have signed the foregoing

Organization
document in the space below as _____ of _____

Title
Organization

and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent _____

Title and Organization _____ Date _____

State of Idaho/or _____)
County of BANNER) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 2nd day of APR 1990

SEAL

Notary Public

Residing at

My Commission Expires

Please Print Name

17. Notice of Appearance:

Notice is hereby given that I, _____ will be acting as attorney at law on behalf of the claimant signing above, and that all notices required by law to be mailed by the director to the claimant signing above should be mailed to me at the address listed below.

Signature _____

Address _____

Date _____

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1992

Last Name CHANDLER Ident. Number 29-00285(SPLIT)

Copies White-State, Yellow-Claimant