

06/17/2003

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285C

NAME AND ADDRESS: IRVIN L SPRAKER
745 N OLD HWY 91
INKOM ID 83245

SOURCE: RAPID CREEK

TRIBUTARY: PORTNEUF RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.200 CFS

The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos. 29-00285A, 29-00258B, 29-00285C, 29-00285E, 29-00285F, 29-00285G, ~~29-00285H~~, 29-00285I, and 29-00258J.

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T07S R36E S14 NWSWSW Within BANNOCK County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE

PERIOD OF USE

QUANTITY

IRRIGATION

04/01 10/31

0.200 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION Within BANNOCK County

T07S R36E S14 SWSW 1.5

1.5 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:



This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: Decreed

Water Right Claim Number A29-00285C
Township , 7S36E



08/23/2000

5 198






Water Right Claim Number A29-00285C
Township , 7S36E

08/23/2000



Water Right Claim Number A29-00285C
Township , 7S36E

08/23/2000



IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285C V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285C V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	IRVIN L SPRAKER 745 N OLD HWY 91 INKOM, ID 83245 (208)775-3410

Priority Date: 06/01/1884

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
RAPID CREEK	PORTNEUF RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01	10/31	0.200 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>		0.200 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

RAPID CREEK	NW1/4SW1/4SW1/4	Sec. 14, Twp 07S, Rge 36E, B.M.
BANNOCK County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	36E	14																	1.5

Total Acres: 1.5

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

1. Quantity The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos. 29-00285A, 29-00258B, 29-00285C, 29-00285E, 29-00285F, 29-00285G, 29-00285H, 29-00285I, and 29-00258J.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
 Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285C V1

Comments:

1. csaxton 1/3/2003 POD

Comment: POD not defined in Decree. No C03.

2. csaxton 6/17/2003 Diversion Rate

Comment: Recommend full decreed diversion rate but to be used only in rotation.

Documentation of Ownership of the Current Place of Use

Is this property subject to any liens, deeds of trust, mortgages, or contracts or other encumbrances?

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:

Enlargement Use Priority Date:

Enlargement Statute Priority Date:

Defendant:

Plaintiff:

Civil Case Number:

Judicial District:

Notice of Error Flag:

State or Federal: S

Owner Name Connector:

Water District Number:

Generic Max Rate Per Acre:

Generic Max Volume Per Acre:

Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:

Swan Falls Dismissed:

DLE Act Number:

Carey Act Number:

Mitigation Plan: False

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Contacts	1/3/2003 2:42:25 PM	csaxton			Active
Priority Date	1/3/2003 2:42:49 PM	csaxton			Active
POD	1/3/2003 2:43:06 PM	csaxton			Active
Source	1/3/2003 2:44:55 PM	csaxton			Active
POU	1/3/2003 2:45:10 PM	csaxton			Active
Use	1/3/2003 2:48:50 PM	csaxton			Active
Season	1/3/2003 2:49:12 PM	csaxton			Active
Quantity	1/3/2003 2:50:08 PM	csaxton			Active
Summary			01/03/2003	csaxton	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
POD	1/3/2003 2:43:43 PM	csaxton	Edited PLS

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285C V1

Contacts	1/3/2003 2:42:25 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment? Comment for 1: FE 11/1/01
Priority Date	1/3/2003 2:42:49 PM	csaxton	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/3/2003 2:43:05 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
POD	1/3/2003 2:43:25 PM	csaxton	Edited point location
Source	1/3/2003 2:44:55 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/3/2003 2:45:10 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	1/3/2003 2:48:30 PM	csaxton	Edited shape boundary
Use	1/3/2003 2:48:50 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 29-285C V1


Season	1/3/2003 2:49:12 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	1/3/2003 2:50:08 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	1/3/2003 2:51:21 PM	csaxton	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 6. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 7. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 9. Would you like to set the verification date?



STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Water Right(s): 29-00285C




Total Irrigated Acres: 1.5

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

-  Point(s) of Diversion
-  Place of Use
-  Quarter Quarter Lines
-  Section Lines



PROFILE

GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: C. Saxton
Date: January 2003

02/18/2003

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Craig

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: April 21, 2003

Water right number: 29-285C

745 ~~729~~ IRVIN L SPRAKER
N OLD HWY 91

INKOM ID 83245

Telephone Number: (208) 775-3410

Done 3/25/03

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

address change

Legal description
OK

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: *25 Mar - 6 2003*

SIGNATURE:

Irvin Spraker

Please print your name:

IRVIN SPRAKER

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161

PROFILED

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

Saxton, Craig

Subject: FW: Rotation Remark

Craig,

My understanding is that the following be added as a quantity remark:

The water rights listed below shall only be used in rotation as provided for in Stewart v. Damron, Decree (Fifth Jud. Dist. Ct., Bannock County, June 22, 1906) and are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.20 cfs: Right Nos.

The waterusers will have to work out their rotation schedules. I don't see a need for a remark requiring this, unless someone else has a different idea...

Dave

-----Original Message-----

From: Saxton, Craig

Sent: Friday, June 13, 2003 12:58 PM

To: Tuthill, Dave; McHugh, Candice; Carlsen, Ernie

Subject: RE: Rotation Remark

Hello,

I need some guidance on if we are adding the remark requiring that a rotation plan for that year be submitted to the Department for administrative purposes.

I can not tell from the E-mail if a decision was made on this subject.

Thanks,

Craig S.

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

CHANGE IN ADDRESS

This form is solely for purposes of notifying IDWR of a change in address of an adjudication claim.

[] Water Right Number(s): ^{Done} 29-7209, ^{Done} 29-7729, ^{Done} 29-297C
^{Done} 29-285C

[] Old Mailing Address: Irvin Spraker
729 N Old Hwy 91
Tukom Rd 83245

[] New Mailing Address: 745 N Old Hwy 91
Tukom Rd 83245

[] Telephone Number: 775-3410

[] Signature of Claimant: Irvin Spraker

[] Date: 25 March 2003

FOR IDWR USE ONLY:

Initial Review and Data-Entry

Date: By:

Further Action Needed:

Further Action Taken

Date: By:

Final Review and Data-Entry

Date: By:

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

IDAHO DEPT. OF WATER RESOURCES
EASTERN REGION

CONVERSATIONAL MEMORANDUM

DATE: 11-8-02

PAGE: 1 OF 1

CLAIM NUMBER: 29-00285C

NAME OF PARTY: Rotational Right - Irvin Spraker

EMPLOYEE: Craig Saxton

RE: Irvin said Rotational Right used two different
ways. When water gets low they hire a watermaster
and take turns. He said the other way the users use
the right is just to use a portion of it. For instance
Irvin will just run 1 rainbird.

Irvin also said Wes Kent is on the rotation
and does not irrigate his pasture, just around the
barn

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

PROFILED



11-1-91 : Two 57Hp Paper Pumps
FE 17 PTO Backly T2
: went to Nevada in 1985 - Irrigated E side at
that time.

: Pump in Place: GE
Model 5KC39QV101
HP 3/4
3/4 in Pipe
: For House

: Ditch on East side below tree on N side - Picture

: 1 line 17 4in line 18 Heads
17 Generally. Then
North Field Take 7 section

10-8-02: Seems that Claimant didn't want this Recommended
as PDU with 29-00297C. that is not historical
Practice

1-3-3 : PDD Not Defined in Decree NO C/D

3-25-03: A1 Ruberry Processed a C/A today.

SCANNED
PROFILE JUL 20 2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Claim Report 29-285C

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 29-285C

Owner Type Name and Address
 Current Owner IRVIN L SPRAKER ✓
 729 N OLD HWY 91
 INKOM, ID 83245
 (208)775-3410

Priority Date: 06/01/1884 ✓

Basis: Decreed ✓

Status: Active ✓

Source Tributary
 RAPID CREEK ✓ PORTNEUF RIVER ✓ *1/4 share*

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION ✓	4/15 to 10/15 4/1 10/31		0.200 CFS <i>not .20</i>	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>		0.200 CFS <i>not 0.20</i>	<i>n/a</i>

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

RAPID CREEK ✓ *nw* SW1/4SW1/4 ✓ Sec. 14, Twp 07S, Rge 36E, B.M. ✓
 BANNOCK County

Place of Use ✓

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
07S	36E	14											1.5						1.5

Total Acres: 1.5 ✓

Conditions of Approval:*C18*Remarks:Comments:Dates and Other Information:

Claim Received Date: 9/19/1988
 State or Federal: S
 Mitigation Plan: False

PROFILED

SCANNED
 JUL 20 2005

Split history for Decreed Right 29-00285

WR	Claimed	Source	Decreed Owner of POU	Rec	Revised	
29-00285 Share Per User 0.2 0.02857 rotational	29-00285A Chandler, Sam	Inman	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	20			20	20	
	29-00285B Chandler, George	Inman	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	22.7			22.7	22.7	
	29-00285C Spraker, Irvin	Rapid	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.2			0.01	0.20	
	1.5			1.5	1.5	
	29-00285E Anderson, Melvin	Webb	Hargraves, Samuel	*		Full Share
	0.2			0.029	0.200	
	102			54.1	54.1	
	29-00285F Anderson, Melvin	Webb	Webb, E.M	*		Full share
	0.2			0.029	0.200	
	102			54.1	54.1	
	29-00285G Kent, Wes	Rapid	Whitworth, George	*		1/4 share
	0.16		Damron, A.J.	0.01	0.20	Amended claim Requested.
	8			0.3	4.2	1/2 share
	29-00285H Morrison, MD	Rapid	Damron, A.J.	* Disallowed	Disallowed	1/2 share
	0.2					
	10					
	29-00285I Chandler, James	Rapid	Ferguson, Phillips	*		1/2 share
	0.2			0.014	0.200	
	10			4.6	4.6	
	29-00285J Chandler, Seren	Rapid	Ferguson, Phillips	*		1/2 share
	0.2			0.014	0.200	
	10			7.6	7.6	

Total Recommended CFS/Shares =	0.114	N/A
Unclaimed Shares =	0.086	N/A
Total Check=	0.200	
Total Acreage	164.900	

* Anderson, Melvin claimed two shares of the rotational right. I don't know which claim is for which decreed piece of property. Calimed as PPU
 7 original users: 0.20cfs / 7 users = 0.02857 per share holder

Each user recommended the full decreed diversion rate to be used only in rotation with the other users of the right.

SCANNED
 JUL 20 2005
 PROFILE

29-00497C

POD

Field Exam 11/01/01



Looking west. Current POD put in place in 1984 when claimant acquired property. Pump in place is General Electric: $\frac{3}{4}$ HP: Model 5KC39QN101. Current pump is just used to irrigate around his home. During the irrigation season claimant uses two 5 HP pacer pumps, or a PTO Berkly T2. The generally runs 18 heads at a time (csaxton 11/02/01).

PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

29-00497C

POD

Field Exam 11/01/01



Looking west. Current POD put in place in 1984 when claimant acquired property. Pump in place is General Electric: $\frac{3}{4}$ HP; Model 5KC39QN101. Current pump is just used to irrigate around his home. During the irrigation season claimant uses two 5 HP pacer pumps, or a PTO Berkly T2. The generally runs 18 heads at a time (csaxton 11/02/01).

PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

29-00497C

POU

Field Exam 11/01/01



Looking East. Claimant's white home in the background. This picture shows the south irrigated field. Rapid Creek behind me in picture (csaxton 11/02/01).

PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

29-00497C

POU

Field Exam 11/01/01



Looking SW. Picture shows the irrigated field west of Rapid creek. Rapid creek to the right of the picture (csaxton 11/02/01).

PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 20 2005**

29-00497C Historical Ditch Field Exam 11/01/01



Looking West. Two pictures show historical ditch that used to carry Shaw Hollow water to the West side of the claimants property (csaxton 11/2/01)

SCANNED

JUL 20 2005

PROFILED

Saxton, Craig

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Tuesday, November 26, 2002 1:24 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie; McHugh, Candice
Subject: Rotational Right 29-00285

Hi,

Ok, I did manage to speak with George Chandler. Here is what he said:

He said that 29-00285 was originally decreed to 5 people (we know there were actually 7 users). George said that they rotated every 5 day's were each person got the full 10 inches or 0.20 cfs on day a week (this would work better with the decreed 7 users, but this is what he said).

When asked who the users were on the rotational right now, he confirmed that everyone who had claimed part of 29-00285 were users of the right and used the right. With one exception...he never said that MD Morrison used his portion of the rotational right (which I don't think he does), but George confirmed that it was understood that MD Morrison held a portion of this right.

I asked about current practice. He stated that they split up the right and each use an for example... inch of water at all times at the same time for everyone. This makes me think we might want to pro-rate the diversion rate. In addition, George confirmed that George, Sam, Wes, and Irvin all have a part of one of the originally decreed portions. So...if we prorated the right between the original 7 (example would be 0.0285 cfs for George Whitworths original property), then one of those portions would need to be pro-rated out to the four users (so George's 0.0285 cfs would need to be split 4 times for 0.007 cfs). If we did it this way, we are going to have extra diversion rate because 2 of the original 7 users portions were not claimed....could this be a possible accomplished transfer to save loss of diversion rate?

Different from what Irvin Spraker said: George said that they did hire a water master as recent as 5 years ago, but they did not regulate right 29-00285 as a fully rotated right as originally done at time of the decree.

Your thoughts/guidance?

Let me know if you have further questions.

Thanks,

Craig

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

11/26/2002

Saxton, Craig

Subject: FW: 29-00285 Rotational

Hey Ernie,

Attached are several files:

- 1) JPG of Decreed POU
- 2) JPG of possible recommended POU overlying Decreed POU
- 3) Shape file for Decreed POU
- 4) Shape file for possible recommended POU
- 5) Updated 29-00285 spread sheet

Let me know if you don't receive one of these.

Now, to summarize:

Original Owners of Decreed right 29-00285:

Damron, A.J.	Current owners of Property: Morrison, MD Kent, Wes
Ferguson, Phillip	Chandler, James Chandler, Seren
Hargraves, Samuel	Anderson, Melvin
Webb, E.M	Anderson, Melvin
Kelsey, Fred	<i>Not claimed</i>
Richardson, John & Fanny	<i>Not claimed</i>
Whitworth, George	Chandler, Sam Chandler, George Spraker, Irvin Kent, Wes

So, some of the rotational rights haven't been claimed, and some have been claimed by several users.

Lets note some other things:

- 1) Wes Kent only claimed a portion of the rotational right. If he uses the water year round, he should claim portions of 29-00297 and 29-00314 also.
- 2) Absolutely nothing was claimed on Richardson or Kelsey property. No high water, low water, rotational, bene-use, etc.
- 3) Note EM Webbs property could never have been irrigated by Rapid Creek. Could only be irrigated from Webb Creek. Yet he was still included as part of the rotation.
- 4) Even though it is not possible now, MD Morrison's property could have historically been irrigated from Rapid Creek.

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

I guess take a look at this and let me know if you would like additional information. When completed, I can pass this stuff onto Candice.

I appreciate your help with this.

Thanks Ernie,

Craig

PROFILED

SCANNED
JUL 20 2005

SCANNED

JUN 11 2001

Log for claim A29-00285C

Spatial Dynamics LTD

8/23/00

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
07s36e	14	SWSW	1.5	14	Indiscernible
Totals:			1.5	14	

AJ5809NP
No. A29-00285C

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 05/18/00
PAGE: 22

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	IRVIN L SPRAKER 729 N OLD HWY 91 INKOM ID 83245 (208) 775-3410	06/01/1884	IRRIGATION	04-15 10-15	0.200 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION:					0.200 CFS	
CONSUMPTIVE USE:						3.70 AFA

Water Source: RAPID CREEK

Tributary to: PORTNEUF RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T07S R36E S14 SWSW BANNOCK County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NE				NW				SW				SE				
NENE NWNE SWNE SENE				NENW NWNW SWNW SENW				NESW NWSW SWSW SESW				NESE NWSE SWSE SESE				
T	R	S	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	: Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac Lt Ac	SUM		
07S	36E	14	:	:	:	:	01	:	:	:	:	:	:	1.5		
TOTAL ACRES													1.5			

POU County: BANNOCK

Non-Irrigation Uses: NONE

Other Rights: A2900297C FOR MAIN PART OF FARM

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):
29-10018 A29-00285G A29-00297C A29-10018 A29-10071

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of Use:
A29-00285G A29-00297C

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Audit: 10/06/88 MULLINS 04/04/94 THOMPSON 03/29/95 POST-STD 04/13/00 CVANDERM

JUN 11 2001

452

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A29-00285C
Date Received: 8/18/1988
Receipt No: E002455
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: IRVIN SPRAKER 208-775-3410
Address: 729 N. OLD HYWAY 91
INKOM, ID 83245

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1884

3. Source: RAPID CREEK Trib. to: PORTNEUF RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07S	36E	14	SW	SW			BANNOCK

5. Description of diverting works:
ELECTRIC PUMP (SMALL) TO SPRINKLE LAWN AND GARDEN

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/15	10/15	0.200		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.200 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 3.7 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	36E	14	SW	SW		IRR	1.5
Section Acres							1.5



10. Place of Use: Continued

Total Acres 1.5

11. Place of use in counties: BANNOCK

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A2900297C FOR MAIN PART OF FARM

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Water Right Number: 290285
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date: 6/22/1906

CHARLES STEWART ET AL
Decree Plaintiff

vs

A. J. DAMRON
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Irvin Spraker Date: 30 Aug 1988

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bannock) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 30 day
of Aug 19 88 Carol Broadie
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Mr. Cannon
My Commission Expires 9-18-88

A29-00285C

RIDGE

T75

place of use

Creek

POB

NOV 13 1992

GREENWICH FILMED

