

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED
WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-7082

NAME AND ADDRESS:

RONALD ESPLIN
747 E 1000 N
SHELLEY ID 83274

SOURCE:

Source:

Tributary:

GROUND WATER

~~If the surface water right(s) appurtenant to the place of use is sold, transferred, leased or used on any other place of use, this right to divert groundwater shall not be used without an approved transfer pursuant to Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or approval of the Department if a transfer is not required.~~

The right holder shall make full beneficial use of all surface water rights available to the right holder for irrigation of the lands authorized to be irrigated under this right. The right holder shall limit the diversion of ground water under this right to those times when the surface water supply is not available or the surface water supply is not reasonably sufficient to irrigate the place of use authorized under this right.

QUANTITY:

2.740 CFS

720.000 AFY

0.000 APY

After specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install a suitable measuring device or shall enter into an agreement with the Department to determine the amount of water diverted from power records and shall annually report the information to the Department.

~~This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 0.02 cfs per acre nor exceed a combined annual maximum diversion volume of 2020.0 af at the field headgate for the lands above.~~

~~This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 4.0 afa per acre at the field headgate for irrigation of the lands above.~~

PRIORITY DATE:

02/26/1980

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S8 NESWSE
Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

Purpose of use

Period of use:

Quantity:

IRRIGATION

04/01 10/31

2.740 CFS

720.00 AFY

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION within BINGHAM County

T01S R37E S08 SWNE 8.5

T01S R37E S08 SENE 13.0

T01S R37E S08 NESW 2.5

T01S R37E S08 SESW 17.0

T01S R37E S08 NESE 39.0

T01S R37E S08 NWSE 36.0

T01S R37E S08 SWSE 27.0

T01S R37E S08 SESE 37.0

180 ACRES TOTAL

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED
WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - License

If the surface water right(s) appurtenant to the place of use is sold, transferred, leased or used on any other place of use, this right to divert groundwater shall not be used without an approved transfer pursuant to Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or approval of the Department if a transfer is not required.

02/04/2004

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-7082

NAME AND ADDRESS: RONALD ESPLIN
747 E 1000 N
SHELLEY ID 83274

VOID

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

The right holder shall make full beneficial use of all surface water rights available to the right holder for irrigation of the lands authorized to be irrigated under this right.

The right holder shall limit the diversion of ground water under this right to those times when the surface water supply is not available or the surface water supply is not reasonably sufficient to irrigate the place of use authorized under this right.

If the surface water right(s) appurtenant to the place of use is sold, transferred, leased or used on any other place of use, this right to divert groundwater shall not be used without an approved transfer pursuant to Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or approval of the Department if a transfer is not required.

QUANTITY: 2.740 CFS

After specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install a suitable measuring device or shall enter into an agreement with the Department to determine the amount of water diverted from power records and shall annually report the information to the Department.

This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 4.0 afa per acre at the field headgate for irrigation of the lands above.

This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 0.02 cfs per acre nor exceed a combined annual maximum diversion volume of 2020.0 af at the field headgate for the lands above.

PRIORITY DATE: 02/26/1980

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S8 NESWSE Within BINGHAM County

**PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:**

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	2.740 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T01S R37E S08	SWNE	8.5	T01S R37E S08	SENE	13.0
T01S R37E S08	NESW	2.5	T01S R37E S08	SESW	17.0
T01S R37E S08	NESE	39.0	T01S R37E S08	NWSE	36.0
T01S R37E S08	SWSE	27.0	T01S R37E S08	SESE	37.0

180 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: License




State of Idaho

Division of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Water Right(s): 27-07082



1320 0 1320 2640 Feet

- Point of Diversion
- ▨ Place of Use
- ▭ Section
- ▭ Quarter Quarter

COPIED
MAY 10 2003

PROFILED



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by R. Mikesell
Date: January 2004

02/04/2004

RECEIVED

JUN 23 2005

Department of Water Resources

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 27-7082

RONALD ESPLIN
747 E 1000 N
SHELLEY ID 83274
Telephone Number: (208) 357-3328

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):
2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:
3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

X I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 6-21-05 SIGNATURE: Ronald Espin
Please print your name: Ronald Espin

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161

PROFILED

MAILED
MAY 05 2005

KMT
6/27

Notes

1/29/04 Please put a copy of the licensing exam & license in the file. CB

1/30/04 emailed Michael Cicilli to find out about getting the W/R shape.

Recommend as claimed CB.

See claim

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are no margins, text, or other markings on the paper.

Date:

1/30/04

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-07082

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

License

Reviewer: ABDate: 1/30/04

Priority Date

Claim No. _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *license*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-07082

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? none

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

license

_____Transfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 1/30/04

Point of Diversion

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

SourceClaim No.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☒)

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-0 7082☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

licenseTransfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 1/30/04

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-07082☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use

Number of Homes

Number of Stock

Acre Limit

Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use

Number of Homes

Number of Stock

Acre Limit

Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use

Number of Homes

Number of Stock

Acre Limit

Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

License

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 4/30/04

Purpose of Use

Claim No.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9

If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.

If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-07082☒ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

License

Reviewer: CBDate: 1/29/04

Period of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes () No ()
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Quantity

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☒) No (☐)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☒) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Combined limit - yes.

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

01M Qty Cond.

065 Source Cond.

104 Source Cond.

R60 Qty Cond.

WB7 Qty Cond.

Can copy

from
27-7103

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-7082

Reviewer

CB

Date

1/30/24

RONALD ESPLIN

IRRIGATION

143

VIEW OF
WELL

POINT OF
DIVERSION

MANIFOLD
SYSTEM



CONT.

PART OF
MANIFOLD
SYSTEM AND
BOOSTER PUMPS.



PERMIT
NUMBER

27-07082

RONALD ESPINO

IRRIGATION

25/3

PLACE OF
USE

VIEW
NORTHWEST
FROM ^{SW} CORNER
OF SW, SE
40



VIEW NORTH
TOWARD
POINT OF
DIVERSION

PERMIT
NUMBER

27-07082

RONALD ESPLIN

IRRIGATION

3-13

VIEW OF
PLACE OF USE
NORTH EAST



VIEW NORTH
EAST



PERMIT
NUMBER

27-07082

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Water Right Report 27-7082

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 27-7082

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	RONALD ESPLIN 747 E 1000 N SHELLEY, ID 83274 (208)357-3328

Priority Date: 02/26/1980

Basis: License

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
GROUND WATER	

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 to 10/31	2.740 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	2.740 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

GROUND WATER	NE1/4SW1/4SE1/4	Sec. 8,	Twp 01S,	Rge 37E, B.M.
BINGHAM County				

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals	
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE		
01S	37E	8			8.5	13.0					2.5				17.0	39.0	36.0	27.0	37.0	180.0

Total Acres: 180

Conditions of Approval:

1. 01M After specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install a suitable measuring device or shall enter into an agreement with the Department to determine the amount of water diverted from power records and shall annually report the information to the Department.
2. 065 The right holder shall make full beneficial use of all surface water rights available to the right holder for irrigation of the lands authorized to be irrigated under this right. The right holder shall limit the diversion of ground water under this right to those times when the surface water supply is not available or the surface water supply is not reasonably sufficient to irrigate the place of use authorized under this right.
3. 104 If the surface water right(s) appurtenant to the place of use is sold, transferred, leased or used on any other place of use, this right to divert groundwater shall not be used without an approved transfer pursuant to Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or approval of the Department if a transfer is not required.
4. R60 This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 4.0 afa per acre at the field headgate for irrigation of the lands above.
5. WB7 This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 0.02 cfs per acre nor exceed a combined annual maximum diversion volume of 720.0 af at the field headgate for the lands above.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 27-7082

Remarks:

Comments:


Dates and Other Information:

Licensed Date: 7/10/2003
Decreed Date:
Water Supply Bank Enrollment Accepted:
Water Supply Bank Enrollment Removed:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
State or Federal:
Owner Name Connector:
Water District Number:
Generic Max Rate Per Acre: 0.02
Generic Max Volume Per Acre: 4
Decree Defendant:
Decree Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:

TOTAL

3. Delivery System Diagram: Indicate all major components and distances between components. Indicate well size/ditch size/pipe l.d. as applicable. SEE MAP / PHOTOS / ATTACHMENTS



Scale: 1" = _____
 _____ Copy of USGS Quadrangle Attached Showing location(s) of _____
 _____ (point(s) of diversion and place(s) of use (required).
 _____ Aerial Photo Attached _____
 _____ (required for irrigation of 10+ acres)
 _____ Photo of Diversion and System Attached


Well or Diversion Identification No.*	Motor Make	Hp	Motor Serial No.	Pump Make	Pump Serial No. or Discharge Size
	U.S. MOTOR	100			8"

*Code to correspond with No. on map and aerial photo

D. FLOW MEASUREMENTS

Measurement Equipment	Type	Make	Model No.	Serial No.	Size	Calib. Date
PRESSURE GAGE		U.S.G.			0-100	

2. Measurements: DUL/D6 SPLIC TEST 20 PSI AT 40836FH AND 54 PSI AT 12306FH



T015, R37E

NO GOV LOTS



27-07082

27-07082

8 9 8
HEL

PLACE OF
DIVERSION

PLACE OF
USE

N
↓

TOIS, A37E

772
9596

180
2696

FIELD EXAM NOTES

EXAM # 27-07082

DATE OF EXAM 5/6/96

PERMITEE NAME Ronald Eyles

OTHER WATER RIGHTS SAME POD 2 Zone off pumps

OTHER WATER RIGHTS SAME POU Canal Right

PUMP #1 HP 100 MAKE US Mfg SERIAL # _____
COLOR AND DESCRIPTION Gray over Green
OUTPUT DIAMETER _____ SYSTEM PRESSURE _____ PSI WELL DEPTH 120'
STATIC H2O 80' WELL DEPTH _____ DYNAMIC PUMP _____
PSI GAGE TYPE _____ CHECK VALVE [Y] [N] GATE VALVE [Y] [N]

PUMP #2 HP _____ MAKE _____ SERIAL # _____
COLOR AND DESCRIPTION _____
OUTPUT DIAMETER _____ SYSTEM PRESSURE _____ PSI WELL DEPTH _____
STATIC H2O _____ WELL DEPTH _____ DYNAMIC PUMP _____
PSI GAGE TYPE _____ CHECK VALVE [Y] [N] GATE VALVE [Y] [N]

BOOSTER PUMP #1 HP _____ MAKE _____ SERIAL # _____
COLOR AND DESCRIPTION _____

BOOSTER PUMP #2 HP _____ MAKE _____ SERIAL # _____
COLOR AND DESCRIPTION _____

CIRCLE PIVOT [Y] [N] NUMBER OF TOWERS _____ PIVOT LENGTH _____
PRESSURE [H] [L]

HAND LINES (Y) [N] NUMBER OF LINES 8 LENGTH OF LINES 8 1/4 mi

RAIN BIRDS PER LINE (32) 8 NOZZEL DIAMETER 5/32


SURFACE WATER TYPE OF MEASUREING DEVICE _____

AA METER PIGMY METER WEIR OR FLUME BUCKET/WATCH _____/_____

USE BACK OF PAGE FOR SKETCH AND MEASUREMENTS OF SYSTEM

27-07082

27-07082



E. NARRATIVE/REMARKS/COMMENTS

WATER IS LIFTED BY PUMP ABOUT 80+ FEET TO
A SYSTEM MANIFOLD WHICH DISTRIBUTES WATER TO
VARIOUS MAIN LINES. WATER IS THEN REDISTRIBUTED
BY 8 LATERAL HAND LINES. EACH HAND LINE IS APPROX.
1/4 MILE LONG WITH 32 MAIN BIRDS PER LINE; DISCHARGE
ORifice IS 5/32".

$$GPM = \frac{5}{32} \text{ ORifice AT } 54 \text{ PSI} = 534 \text{ GPM} \times 32 \times 8 = \frac{1,367.04 \text{ GPM}}{448.8}$$

$$= 3.0459 \text{ CFS}$$

Have conditions of permit approval been met? ☒ yes ☐ no

BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO

IN THE MATTER OF PERMIT
NO. 27-7082 IN THE NAME OF
RONALD ESPLIN

ORDER OF REINSTATEMENT

This matter having come before the Department of Water Resources (Department) as a result of preparing Permit No. 27-7082 for issuance of a license, the Department finds, concludes and orders:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Department approved Application for Permit No. 27-7082 to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho with a proposed priority of APRIL 20, 1977.
2. On APRIL 17, 1986 the Department advised the permit holder that a license examination fee was required before an examination could be conducted to confirm the extent of use developed under the permit. The examination fee was due on JUNE 16, 1986.
3. The license examination fee was not paid within one year of the date, and the permit lapsed for failure to pay the license examination fee.

MICROFILMED

JUN 12 1989

4. The license examination fee was received on
APRIL 24, 1989, 1042 day(s) late.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

1. The Director may advance the priority date of the permit one day for each day the license examination fee is late, pursuant to the provisions of Section 42-217, Idaho Code.

2. The date of priority of Permit No. 27-7082 should be advanced 1042 day(s).

ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Permit to Appropriate Water No. 27-7082 is reinstated.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the date of priority of Permit No. 27-7082 is advanced to FEBRUARY 26, 1980.

Dated this 16th day of May, 1989.

J. Glen Saxton
Chief, Water Allocation Bureau

MICROFILMED

JUN 12 1989



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

STATE OFFICE, 450 W. State Street, Boise, Idaho

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

A. KENNETH DUNN
Director

27-7007
PERMIT, WRIGHT
W. 2 P. 2 63
SHRIMP, 11 P. 274

Mailing address:
Statehouse
Boise, Idaho 83720
(208) 334-4440

April 17, 1987

Dear Permit Holder:

LAPSE NOTICE
License Examination Fee

You are hereby notified that under the provisions of Section 42-217 and 42-218a, Idaho Code, the above referenced permit will lapse and be on no further force nor effect on June 17, 1987, for failure of the permit holder to submit either the license examination fee or an acceptable field examination report prepared by or under the supervision of a certified water right examiner.

Provisions of § 42-217, Idaho Code, and the Beneficial Use Examination Rules and Regulations provide that failure of the permit holder to submit either the fee or an acceptable field examination report within one (1) year and sixty (60) days after notification by the director that the submittal is due is cause for the director to reject the proof of beneficial use and lapse the permit. The Department notified you of the required submittal on April 17, 1986.

The lapsed permit can be reinstated upon a showing of reasonable cause for late submittal of proof of beneficial use, if you submit to the Department on or before August 17, 1987, either the license examination fee or an acceptable field examination report prepared by or under the supervision of a certified water right examiner.

If you have questions, please contact any office of the Department.

Sincerely,

L. Glen Saxton
L. GLEN SAXTON, Chief
Operations Bureau

jf



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
STATE OFFICE, 450 W. State Street, Boise, Idaho

JOHN V. EVANS
GOVERNOR

A. KENNETH DODD

27-7082
F. SPLIN, RONALD
PT. 2 BOX 63
SHELLEY, ID 83274

Mailing address:
Storehouse
Boise, Idaho 83720

138144

April 17, 1

CERTIFIED MAIL

EXAMINATION FEE NOTICE

Dear Permit Holder:

Department records show that proof of beneficial use has been submitted for the above-referenced permit, but that a field examination has not yet been conducted to determine the extent of beneficial use of the water for licensing purposes.

House Bill 671 (effective April 4, 1986) enacted by the 1986 Idaho Legislature requires the payment of a fee before this department can conduct the field examination needed to issue a license confirming use of water under the permit. This letter is notification that the license examination fee is due within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter. The examination fee information sheet (enclosed) must be returned with the fee.

As an alternative to submitting the fee, the department will accept a field examination report completed by a certified water right examiner from the private sector. If you choose to have the examination done by a certified water right examiner, you need not submit the license examination fee to the department, but you must within sixty (60) days of the date of this letter return to the department, the examination fee information sheet showing appropriate information together with a statement from the certified examiner to verify that you have retained a certified examiner to conduct the field examination. If you choose this alternative, a completed field examination report must be submitted within one year of the date of this letter. You cannot later change your decision to use this alternative and submit the fee needed for the department to conduct the field examination, but you may change to another certified examiner.

Certified water right examiners are licensed engineers, geologists, or land surveyors certified by the department to conduct water right examinations. The procedure for certifying examiners results from the recently enacted HB 671 and is being developed at this time. A listing

#50

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-7082
Date Received: 7/22/2003
Receipt No: E029023
Received By: D C

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

RONALD ESPLIN Phone: (208)357-3328

747 E 1000 N
SHELLEY ID USA 83274

2. Date of Priority: 02/26/1980

3. Source: GROUND WATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
01S	37E	8	NE SW SE	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

well with 100 hp pump

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/01	10/31	2.74	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
2.74 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 Lot	Use	Acres
01S	37E	8	SE NE	IRRIGATION	13
			NE SW	IRRIGATION	2.5
			SE SW	IRRIGATION	17

NE	SE	IRRIGATION	39
SW	SE	IRRIGATION	27
SW	NE	IRRIGATION	8.5
NW	SE	IRRIGATION	36
SE	SE	IRRIGATION	37

Section Acres 180

Total Acres 180

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? No

13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 0.02 cfs per acre nor exceed a combined annual maximum diversion volume of 720.0 af at the field headgate for the lands above.

The right holder shall make full beneficial use of all surface water rights available to the right holder for irrigation of the lands authorized to be irrigated under this right. The right holder shall limit the diversion of ground water under this right to those times when the surface water supply is not available or the surface water supply is not reasonably sufficient to irrigate the place of use authorized under this right.

If the surface water right(s) appurtenant to the place of use is sold, transferred, leased or used on any other place of use, this right to divert groundwater shall not be used without an approved transfer pursuant to Section 42-222, Idaho Code, or approval of the Department if a transfer is not required.

This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than 4.0 afa per acre at the field headgate for irrigation of the lands above.

After specific notification by the Department, the right holder shall install a suitable measuring device or shall enter into an agreement with the Department to determine the amount of water diverted from power records and shall annually report the information to the Department.

15. Basis of Claim: License

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Ronald Eplin Date: 7-22-07

_____ Date:

State of Idaho

County of Bonneville) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this

22 of July 2003

Dave Carlson

Notary Public

Residing at Rigby, Id

My commission Expires 2/26/2008

