

08/31/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-4004

NAME AND ADDRESS: CHERYL COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

DANA COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

QUANTITY: 0.040 CFS
3.430 AFY

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1916

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
2 POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN T1S, R37E, S3, NWNW.

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
COMMERCIAL	01/01 12/31	0.040 CFS <u>3.43 AFY</u>

PLACE OF USE: COMMERCIAL Within BINGHAM County
T01S R37E S03 Lot 4 NWNW

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE
PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

08/19/2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-4004
NAME AND ADDRESS: CHERYL COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

DANA COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

UOD

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.040 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1916

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County

2 POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN T1S, R37E, S3, NWNW.

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE

PERIOD OF USE

QUANTITY

COMMERCIAL

01/01 12/31

0.040 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

COMMERCIAL Within BINGHAM County

T01S R37E S03 Lot 4 NWNW

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE
PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

SCANNED
MAR 27 2006

FILED

09/26/2003

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-4004

NAME AND ADDRESS: CHERYL COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

DANA COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.040 CFS

The quantity of water under this right shall not exceed 13,000 gallons per day.

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1916

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
2 POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN T1S, R37E, S3, NWNW.

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
COMMERCIAL

PERIOD OF USE
01/01 12/31

QUANTITY
0.040 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: Beneficial Use

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE
PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	DANA COLLINS 10439 S 1ST E IDAHO FALLS ID 83401 (208) 524-2565	06/01/1916	INDUSTRIAL	09-01 07-31	0.22 CFS	
				TOTAL DIVERSION:	0.22 CFS	
C	CHERYL COLLINS 10439 S. 1ST E. IDAHO FALLS ID 83401 (208) 524-2565					

Water Source: GROUNDWATER

Tributary to:

Stage: STATUTORY CLAIM

Point(s) of Diversion: T01S R37E S03 NWNW

BINGHAM County

Place of use Legal Description for: INDUSTRIAL

		NE				NW				SW				SE			
T	R S	NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE
		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac
01S	37E 3	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

POU County: BINGHAM

Non-Irrigation Uses: I/TRANSPORT & WASHING POTATOES.

Other Rights: NONE

Dates: Exam Made Date:

Verified Date:

Misc: Water District Number:

Field Inspection Flag:

Presumption Flag:

JUL 25 2006

By

Clerk

Deputy Clerk

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Subcase No. 27-4004

Case No. 39576

STANDARD FORM 5

STIPULATED ELEMENTS OF A
WATER RIGHT

This form is used to report the stipulated elements of one water right acquired under state law and/or one federal reserved water right. Submission of this form will not automatically result in the issuance of a partial decree. The Presiding Judge or Special Master will conduct any hearing necessary to determine whether the facts, data, expert opinions and law support the issuance of a partial decree for the water right.

The parties agree that the Snake River Basin Adjudication Court has jurisdiction of the parties and subject matter to enter a partial decree for this water right; that they have been served with sufficient process, according to the law; and that they have appeared, prosecuted, and defended their positions with regard to this water right dispute.

The parties and IDWR agree and stipulate that the elements of this water right should be described per the attached. The parties and IDWR have further indicated their concurrence by initialing each of the attached pages.


CLAIMANTS/OBJECTORS:



Cheryl Collins

7-25-06

Date



Dana Collins

Date

RECEIVED

AUG 02 2006

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

STANDARD FORM 5 – Water Right No. 27-4004

OBJECTOR:

Jeanette Wolfley 7/25/06
Jeanette Wolfley Date
Attorney for Shoshone-Bannock Tribes

RESPONDENT:

[Signature] 7-25-06
~~David J. Barber~~ *Roger Car* Date
Attorney for State of Idaho

IDWR Concurrence:

Ernest Carlsen 7-25-06
Ernest Carlsen Date
IDWR Adjudication Supervisor

Candice McHugh 7/25/06
Candice McHugh Date
Deputy Attorney General
Attorney for IDWR

David J. Barber 7/25/06
David J. Barber Date
Attorney for State of Idaho

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-4004
NAME AND ADDRESS: CHERYL COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

DANA COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.040 CFS

3.43 AFY

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1916

POINT OF
DIVERSION:

T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
T01S R37E S3 NWNW Lot 4 Within BINGHAM County
2 POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN T1S, R37E, S3, NWNW.

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
COMMERCIAL

PERIOD OF USE
01/01 12/31

QUANTITY
0.040 CFS
3.43 AFY

PLACE OF USE: COMMERCIAL Within BINGHAM County
T01S R37E S03 Lot 4 NWNW

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE
PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

*Sm^s
Emc*

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on July 29, 2006, I mailed the original and copies of this form, including all attachments, to the following persons by mailing the original and/or copies, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

CLERK OF THE DISTRICT COURT
SNAKE RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION
253 THIRD AVENUE NORTH
P. O. BOX 2707
TWIN FALLS, IDAHO 83303-2707

IDWR REPOSITORY
PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098

CHERYL COLLINS
DANA COLLINS
10439 S 1ST E
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401

STATE OF IDAHO
Represented by:
DAVID J. BARBER
PO BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

SHOSHONE-BANNOCK TRIBES
Represented by:
JEANETTE WOLFLEY
SPECIAL COUNSEL
SHOSHONE-BANNOCK TRIBE
202 N ARTHUR
POCATELLO, ID 83202



Signature of person or attorney mailing form

August 23, 2005

CHERYL COLLINS
10439 S 1ST ST E
IDAHO FALLS ID 83401

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Notice of Claim to a Water Right No. 27-4004

Dear Claimant:

This letter is to inform you that your water right has been changed to reflect the correct place of use.

Please call this office at (208) 525-7161 if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,

Katy Jackson
Office Specialist 1

PROFILED **SCANNED**
MAR 27 2006

Notes

9/23/03 Recommending both POD's under this right because they are located in the same building approximately 10' apart.

Purpose has changed from Industrial to commercial,

Notes

CLAIMS A27-04004 and A27-11238

1/ This facility is the old Shelby Sugar Factory.

2/ Both wells sit side by side, inside the main facility. This should be "one" claim rather than two. Claimant was advised.

3/ Current use is "Commercial" For a Storage facility (Army Surplus equipment). There are 15 other buildings on-site but no water goes there at present.

4/ Water use is for bathrooms, drinking usage + fire control inside this Commercial Facility. Use is minimal as there is no processing water used as when it was a Sugar Factory.

5/ One well is on the main level + has a 7.5hp Johnson pump. The other well is a few feet away but in an excavation + is covered over by boxes for safety. Horsepower of 2nd pump is unknown.

6/ GPS data - 0652462 File # 11238
0253445
TAG # A0012460

A27-04004 } combine
A27-11238 }

Date: 9/23/03

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: 27-4004

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

X

Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

use evidence from both claim files
to create one claimReviewer: CBDate: 9/25/03

Priority DateClaim No. 27-4004

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. B.U.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-04004
427-11238

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 15, R 37E, Sec. 3, L-4, NW, NW. County: BinghamSource: G-W. Tributary:_____2 POD's
____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
<u>15</u>	<u>37E</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>NW</u> <u>NW</u>	<u>Bingham</u>	<u>G-W</u>
GPS Coordinates: <u>0652462</u>					<u>0253445</u>	<u>File # 11238</u>
					<u>TAG # A0012460</u>	
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

On-site + GPSLink POD's

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 9/25/03

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27 - 4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes () No (X)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes () No (X)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes () No ()
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes () No ()
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes () No ()
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes () No (X)
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes () No (X)
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes () No ()
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes () No ()
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes (X) No ()
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes () No (X)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4004☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
<u>Commercial</u>				

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

On-site

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 9/25/03

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☒ No ☒
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☒ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4004☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

GPS

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 9/25/03

Place of Use

Claim No. 27-4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License.
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b
Yes ☐ No ☒
- 2a. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
- 2b. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Does the parent right have a POU?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
Yes ☐ No ☐
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-4684☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Commercial	1-1	12-31	0.04	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.04 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam, limited to 13,000 gpd

Reviewer: CBDate: 9/25/03

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2 Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6 Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12 Yes ☒ No ☐
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8 Yes ☒ No ☐
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11 Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10 Yes ☐ No ☒
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12 Yes ☐ No ☒
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12 Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13 Yes ☒ No ☐
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15 Yes ☐ No ☒
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☒
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18 Yes ☐ No ☐
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete. Yes ☐ No ☒

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☐ No ☒
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Is a flow measurement required?
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
Yes ☒ No ☒
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.
Yes ☒ No ☐

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-4004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes ☐ No ☒

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes ☐ No ☐

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes ☐ No ☒

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes ☒ No ☐

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes ☒ No ☐

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes ☒ No ☐

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes ☐ No ☒

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes ☐ No ☒

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Q-5 NO

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18 Gen Cond

F02 (2) POD'S T-15, R37E Sec 3 NW NW L4

C04 Exp Cond

N13 Qty Cond

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

none

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-4004

Reviewer

CB

Date

9/25/03

Claims A27-04004 + A27-11238



Both wells
inside facility

Old Sugar Factory, now a storage facility

Claims A27-04004 + A27-11238



Well with 7.5 hp pump

Claims A27-04004 & A27-11238



Second well under floor, under boxes
Shown above

ARMY SURPLUS WAREHOUSE

P.O. Box 1523
7012 S. Daisy Lane
Idaho Falls, ID 83403-1523

208-529-4753, Fax 208-529-4754
asw@armysurpluswarehouse.com


State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
15 W. Center Street
Soda Springs, ID 83276


RE: Evidence of Priority
2 Claims: A27-11238 & A27-04004

06/17/2003

Enclosed is a copy of the deed for the property which these water rights belong. Water rights are listed in the deed. Also I have enclosed a page from the book, Bingham County: A History, which tells about the Shelley Sugar Factory in 1917. This building occupies the land that these water rights belong to. I believe this is enough proof to substantiate these claims. The Sugar factory was a large food processing factory which required large quantities of water to wash and process sugar beets and other food products.

Thank you for your attention in this matter,


Dana Collins, Owner
Army Surplus Warehouse



384686

SPECIAL WARRANTY DEED

The Grantor, THE COUNTY OF BINGHAM, IDAHO, for and in good and valuable consideration in hand paid, transfers and conveys to DANA COLLINS and CHERYL COLLINS, husband and wife, the Grantees, whose address is 10439 So 1st E., Idaho Falls, ID the following described real estate, situated in the County of Bingham, State of Idaho:

A portion of Lot 4 and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 3, Township 1 South, Range 37 E.B.M., Bingham County, Idaho, described as Beginning at the NW corner of said Section 3; thence E. along the Section line 1249.80 feet; thence S. 0°42' E. 387.42 feet; thence S. 89°08'23" W. 1054.64 feet; thence N. 0°00'42" W. 46.91 feet; thence S. 89°44' W. 200.10 feet; thence N. 0°00'52" E. 357.24 feet to the point of beginning, EXCEPTING therefrom the existing County Road right-of-way along the North and West sides thereof, and ALSO EXCEPTING the existing railroad rights-of-way.

TOGETHER WITH all and singular the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, including all water and water rights, ditch and ditch rights.

The Grantor warrants against encumbrances suffered or created by the Grantor, free and clear of encumbrances except all taxes, assessments, and charges to be paid by the Grantee and any lien or encumbrance revived or placed on said premises by, through or under the Grantee.

DATED this 23rd day of October, 1989.

THE COUNTY OF BINGHAM, IDAHO

By: Dale Arave

STATE OF IDAHO)

: ss.

COUNTY OF Bingham

On this 23rd day of October, in the year 1989, before me a Notary Public for said State, personally appeared DALE ARAVE known or identified to me to be the Commissioner of Bingham County, in the State of Idaho, that executed the said instrument, and acknowledged to me that such County executed the same.



Debbie Poole
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at Blackfoot, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 3-7-92

384686

BINGHAM COUNTY RECORDER
BLACKFOOT, IDAHO
OCT 23 1989

1989 OCT 23 PM 4:56

RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF
FIRST AMERICAN TITLE COMPANY




First American Title Company

distance to work they would issue "B" stamps and for trucks they would have "T" stamps. Dad would let the milk hauler get his daily gas without coupons then settle up once or twice a month. One day a gas stamp inspector from the government was in and noticed a large amount of "T" stamps in the cash register and asked where we got all those stamps, but Dad just said we had a lot of good truck customers.

Also during the war, rubber was a very scarce item so people could not get new tires for their cars. I will always remember one farmer that came in to get his tire fixed. I found cut up pieces of Levi pants inside the tire to cover up the holes so the inner tube would not stick out through the tire. I was surprised that this worked and got the farmer a few more miles on his tires. A person could fill up a 20 gallon gas tank for \$5.

One day we were cleaning the lube room floor with gasoline and the gas got too close to the old coal stove and it started an instant fire with flames to the ceiling. We were quite excited for a few moments and ready to call the fire department, then the flames disappeared as fast as they came. When the gas burned itself out, we sprayed water on the walls. Dad employed all his children as they became of age, which helped them earn their way through school and college. The salesman that came by would kid dad about having a red-headed secretary (my sister Wanda). --By Orval Butler

SHELLEY UTAH-IDAHO SUGAR COMPANY



Shelley Sugar Factory 1917

The Utah-Idaho Sugar Company built its first factory at Lehi, Utah, in 1891. The sugar-factory at Lincoln, Idaho, went into operation in 1903. John E. Kelley and Joseph Oler signed the first contract to grow sugar beets in the Shelley District.

In 1916 John Kelley was authorized by the company to purchase 180 acres of land from Grant Hubbel to build a sugar factory in Shelley. The contract was given to E. H. Dyer and Company, Salt Lake City, Utah. The factory was completed in the fall of 1917 at a cost of \$600,000. George D. Hansen hauled the first load of sugar-beets to the new Shelley Sugar-Factory.

On September 20, 1917, T. J. Bennett chaired a monster celebration for the opening; 3,000 people

attended. They had a program and dance at Ensign Hall.

The original capacity was 500 tons per day. During the 1917 season 253 growers planted 3,473 acres of sugar beets, produced 15,476 tons of beets, which made 30,563 bags of sugar. Production doubled in 1918.

The factory did not operate in 1919 because of a serious White Fly infestation. It reopened in 1920 and was closed in 1921-1922, 1926-1927, and 1931 because of Curly Top. The peak year of production was 1940 when 334,073 bags of sugar were produced. The last year of operation was in 1942.

The building was used for a fresh-pack potato warehouse and later by Rogers fresh-pack potatoes. (Files of Shelley Pioneer and Hortensen Hanson)

--By Ruby Hanft

SPRINGFIELD DOMESTIC SCIENCE CLUB

March 1910, in a temporary building of canvas and lumber surrounded by sagebrush, open land, and desert, a farmers' institute was held. At the request of the women of the community, Mrs. Nora Bales of the Academy of Idaho organized a club for the women of the area that was to become an outstanding part of the community.

With Mrs. Bales as chairman and Mrs. Jane Nelson as secretary pro-tem, the following officers were elected: Mrs. H. K. Wiley, president; Mrs. W. A. Coe, vice-president; and Mrs. A. J. Snyder, secretary-treasurer. Mrs. W. A. Edwards later filled the treasurer's office for many years.

Charter members were: Mesdames A. J. Snyder, H. K. Wylie, W. A. Coe, I. N. Noyer, Ralph Davis, Jake Baird, William Gibson, Henry Chandler, Andrew Nelson, W. A. Edwards, A. L. Shelman, David Wiltamouth, Ancel Rupe, E. M. Johnson.

The first meeting was held at the home of Mrs. A. J. Snyder on June 1, 1910. A committee to draw up a constitution was appointed, and the foundation laid for a club that has met on the first Wednesday of each month; usually at the home of a member, with a business meeting, program, and refreshments. They carried out their purpose to study the best and most scientific methods that were available to improve their homemaking skills, to develop themselves and their homes as a part of the community, to create good will between families, neighbors, schools, and churches, and to develop the area into a good place for their families to live. The club name chosen was "The Domestic Science Club of Springfield." Any woman interested in the goals of the club was eligible to become a member. The constitution included a set of officers, elected by ballot, terms of office and annual dues of \$1 per member.

In 1910 the group voted to join the District Federation of Women's Clubs of Idaho. The membership in the State Federation dates from 1918. They began sending delegates to the conventions, the club



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Dana Collins
10439 South 1st East
Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401

May 12, 2003

Re: Evidence of Priority

Claim No.(s) A27-04004

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its water right review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a license, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. **As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.**

It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled "Evidence of Priority". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "What Kind of Evidence is Required" and "Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date".

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible.

.....
The Department cannot recommend the court decree the Notice if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.
.....

Please submit the documentation supporting the priority date by June 30, 2003, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice number. If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"
Adjudication Claim Report

SCANNED

JUL 16 2001

The Sugar Factory located in Bingham County built by C. H. Nyer + Co. for Utah Idaho Sugar Co. in 1917 is owned and operated by Dana and Cheryl Collins. We use the water for fire protection, testing large boats for leaks, and cleaning and rebuilding used military surplus equipment and also sanitation use for bathrooms. Also can sprinkle any landscaping.

A copy of the building of the Sugar Factory is submitted with this letter stating when it was built. The article is taken out of a book called "Beet Sugar in The West" A history of the Utah Idaho Sugar Co., 1891-1966 written by Leonard J. Arrington.

Cheryl Collins
MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1990
1-30-95

BEET SUGAR IN THE WEST

*A History of the
Utah-Idaho Sugar Company, 1891-1966*

By
LEONARD J. ARRINGTON

University of Washington Press
SEATTLE AND LONDON, 1966


MICROFILM
NOV 13 1999

distance to work they would issue stamps and for trucks they would have "T" stamps. Dad would let the milk hauler get his daily gas without coupons then settle up once or twice a month. One day a gas stamp inspector from the government was in and noticed a large amount of "T" stamps in the cash register and asked where we got all those stamps, but Dad just said we had a lot of good truck customers.

Also during the war, rubber was a very scarce item so people could not get new tires for their cars. I will always remember one farmer that came in to get his tire fixed. I found cut up pieces of Levi pants inside the tire to cover up the holes so the inner tube would not stick out through the tire. I was surprised that this worked and got the farmer a few more miles on his tires. A person could fill up a 20 gallon gas tank for \$5.

One day we were cleaning the lube room floor with gasoline and the gas got too close to the old coal stove and it started an instant fire with flames to the ceiling. We were quite excited for a few moments and ready to call the fire department, then the flames disappeared as fast as they came. When the gas burned itself out, we sprayed water on the walls. Dad employed all his children as they became of age, which helped them earn their way through school and college. The salesman that came by would kid dad about having a red-headed secretary (my sister Wanda). --By Orval Butler

SHELLEY UTAH-IDAHO SUGAR COMPANY



Shelley Sugar Factory 1917

The Utah-Idaho Sugar Company built its first factory at Lehi, Utah, in 1891. The sugar-factory at Lincoln, Idaho, went into operation in 1903. John E. Kelley and Joseph Oler signed the first contract to grow sugar beets in the Shelley District.

In 1916 John Kelley was authorized by the company to purchase 180 acres of land from Grant Hubbel to build a sugar factory in Shelley. The contract was given to E. H. Dyer and Company, Salt Lake City, Utah. The factory was completed in the fall of 1917 at a cost of \$600,000. George D. Hansen hauled the first load of sugar-beets to the new Shelley Sugar-Factory.

On September 20, 1917, T. J. Bennett chaired a monster celebration for the opening; 3,000 people

attended. There was a program and dance at Ensign Hall.

The original capacity was 500 tons per day. During the 1917 season 253 growers planted 3,473 acres of sugar beets, produced 15,476 tons of beets, which made 30,563 bags of sugar. Production doubled in 1918.

The factory did not operate in 1919 because of a serious White Fly infestation. It reopened in 1920 and was closed in 1921-1922, 1926-1927, and 1931 because of Curly Top. The peak year of production was 1940 when 334,073 bags of sugar were produced. The last year of operation was in 1942.

The building was used for a fresh-pack potato warehouse and later by Rogers fresh-pack potatoes. (Files of Shelley Pioneer and Hortensen Hanson)

--By Ruby Hanft

SPRINGFIELD DOMESTIC SCIENCE CLUB

March 1910, in a temporary building of canvas and lumber surrounded by sagebrush, open land, and desert, a farmers' institute was held. At the request of the women of the community, Mrs. Nora Bales of the Academy of Idaho organized a club for the women of the area that was to become an outstanding part of the community.


With Mrs. Bales as chairman and Mrs. Jane Nelson as secretary pro-tem, the following officers were elected: Mrs. H. K. Wiley, president; Mrs. W. A. Coe, vice-president; and Mrs. A. J. Snyder, secretary-treasurer. Mrs. W. A. Edwards later filled the treasurer's office for many years.

Charter members were: Mesdames A. J. Snyder, H. K. Wylie, W. A. Coe, I. N. Noyer, Ralph Davis, Jake Baird, William Gibson, Henry Chandler, Andrew Nelson, W. A. Edwards, A. L. Shelman, David Wiltamouth, Ancel Rupe, E. M. Johnson.

The first meeting was held at the home of Mrs. A. J. Snyder on June 1, 1910. A committee to draw up a constitution was appointed, and the foundation laid for a club that has met on the first Wednesday of each month; usually at the home of a member, with a business meeting, program, and refreshments. They carried out their purpose to study the best and most scientific methods that were available to improve their homemaking skills, to develop themselves and their homes as a part of the community, to create good will between families, neighbors, schools, and churches, and to develop the area into a good place for their families to live. The club name chosen was "The Domestic Science Club of Springfield." Any woman interested in the goals of the club was eligible to become a member. The constitution included a set of officers, elected by ballot, terms of office and annual dues of \$1 per member.

In 1910 the group voted to join the District Federation of Women's Clubs of Idaho. The membership in the State Federation dates from 1918. They began sending delegates to the conventions, the club

Bingham County History



Written and Compiled by
The People of Bingham County

Commemorating the State's 100th Birthday

1890 - 1990

There are only 500 copies of this book.
Your copy is Book Number 223

Published by Bingham County Historical Society Book Committee -- Blackfoot, Idaho.
Library of Congress No. 88-63771

Logo by Ruby Hansen Hanft

MICROFILMED
NOV 13 1990

h Fork district planted 45,733 tons and the . By 1920 the factory, gar. The peak year of ed 70,544 tons of beets and bags of sugar. The 41. It operated for a 42 and was thereupon 50 and a sugar-storage

plant cut more than one 600 pounds of sugar. \$12 million for beets,

1943

E. H. Dyer & Company cost the company only and" of the factory came . The capacity of the War I and to 900 tons gn 506 growers in the oducing 70,253 tons of gs of sugar. In March amated Sugar Company, 19. The plant was reed a peak production of rly Top this dropped to inactive in 1926, 1930- gn in 1937, the factory antled in 1943. At that

and I, the Brigham City s of sugar.

1919

a was constructed by the ilder of the 500-ton plant in the 1916 and 1917 sh, Washington, in 1918. es to beets and harvested 5 bags of sugar. During

the second year operations were only slightly improved as the district produced 12,984 tons of beets and the factory manufactured 29,704 bags of sugar. Apparently, these Oregon farmers were reluctant to engage in sugarbeet culture. According to Dan Gutleben, the Grant's Pass experience led Mark Austin to affirm that no sugar factory should ever be built in a territory which had not been tested by at least five years of beet-raising experience.

Shelley Factory, 1917-1950

The Shelley, Idaho, factory was built by E. H. Dyer & Company for Utah-Idaho Sugar Company in 1917. The original capacity was 500 tons per day. During its first season, 253 growers planted 3,473 acres to sugarbeets and produced 15,476 tons of beets. The factory manufactured 30,563 bags of sugar. The production more than doubled in 1918. Because of serious White Fly infestation, the factory did not operate in 1919. It reopened again in 1920, when 100,543 bags of sugar were produced. Due to Curly Top, the factory was inactive in 1920-1921, 1926-1927, and 1931. The peak year of production was 1940, when 334,073 bags of sugar were produced. The last year of operation was 1942. Beets grown in the district were sliced at the Blackfoot factory, and after the closure of that factory, by the Idaho Falls factory. The Shelley factory was dismantled in 1950. The building is now used as a sugar storage warehouse.

In 20 intermittent campaigns, the Shelley factory manufactured approximately 300 million pounds of sugar. Some \$15 million in U and I earnings were expended in the district for beets, labor, and supplies.

North Yakima Factory, 1917-1925

Erected in North Yakima (Union Gap), Washington, by E. H. Dyer & Company for Utah-Idaho Sugar Company in 1917, this factory had an original capacity of 750 tons. During its first campaign in 1917, 611 growers planted 6,515 acres of sugarbeets. Only 4,356 acres were harvested, however, because of Curly Top disease, yielding 47,478 tons. The factory produced 118,624 bags of sugar. During the second campaign in 1918, production fell off to 78,777 bags of sugar. White Fly infestation was even heavier in 1919, when only 11,541 tons of beets were produced in the entire district. This did not warrant opening the factory. The factory remained inactive until 1925, when the machinery was moved to Chinook, Montana. During a part of this period, the use of the factory was proffered to Yakima Valley fruitgrowers who were in danger of heavy losses because of shortages in railroad cars. Some 1,000 carloads of fruit were stored in the factory in 1922.

MICROFILMED

NOV 13 1995

of Idaho
Water Resources
EXTENSION OF TIME
FILING CLAIM(S)

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request by the claimant for an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

COLLINS, DANA/CHERYL (208) 524-2565
Name of Claimant Phone Number
10439 S. First E Idaho Falls, ID 83404
Mailing Address City State Zip
BINGHAM May 25, 1989
County(s) where place of use is located Date claim originally due
INDUSTRIAL 27-04004
Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested

State why an extension of time is requested explaining how a diligent effort has been made to meet the deadline:

Claimant purchased property November 1989
from Bingham County (owners). Assumed
water rights had been filed. County Commissioner
said they would take care of it.

Claimant requests an extension to June 30, 19 90
Charles Collins 5-30, 19 90
Signature Date of request
Owner
Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby [☒ granted] [☐ denied] for the following reasons:

A notice was not mailed to this address.

and if granted the filing deadline is extended to July 31, 19 90
Signed this 30 day of June, 19 90

[Signature]
Director or his designated agent

Mail to: Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard St.
Boise, ID 83706

MICROFILMED
NOV 12 1992

STATE OF IDAHO

CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT

Under the provisions of Section 42-225a, Idaho Code, a person may file a claim to a right to use water which has been established by diversion and application to beneficial use. **The filing of this claim does not confirm the water right but will serve for information purposes only.** It may, however, become the basis for future adjudication of the water right by the Court.

Notice is hereby given that ~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~ PRIDE-PAK, INC.
(name of claimant)
of 3100 Rollandet, Idaho Falls, Idaho, claims a right to the diversion and beneficial use of
(mailing address)

the public water of the State of Idaho

The extent and nature of said claim is as follows:

- (1) Describe source of water: (name of stream, lake, spring, etc., or ground water) ground water
_____, tributary to , _____

- (2) Describe the purpose for which the water has been used and the time during the year when you have used the right claimed:

Amount 100 gpm Use Industrial Period of Use: From Sept. 1 To July 31

Amount _____ Use _____ Period of Use: From _____ To _____

Amount Use Period of Use: From To
~~(cfs)~~ gpm (dom., irri., (both dates inclusive)

- (3) Total quantity of water claimed: .22 cubic feet per second, or _____ acre feet per annum, and/or 100 gallons per minute.

- (4) Priority claimed: (the date water was first applied to beneficial use) 1916

A claim is not acceptable on a ground-water source with a priority later than March 25, 1963 or on a surface-water source with a priority later than May 20, 1971. An exception to this rule is that a claim may be filed on domestic use from a ground-water source.

- (5) A) Point of diversion: (give location of point where water is diverted from its source)
 NW ¼ NW ¼, Sec. 3 Twp. 1S Rge. 37E B. M. County of Bingham

Additional points of diversion: _____

B) Describe means of diversion of water: (pump and pipeline, well, diversion dam, reservoir, length of ditch and field, etc.) Give sizes and capacities; be as specific as possible. Well depth 160 - 180 ft, 10" well casing
4" pump 7½ HP 100 gpm used within NW¼NW¼, Sec. 3, T. 3S, R. 37E, B.M.

- 6) A) Describe location of use by listing number of irrigated acres within each 40-acre tract in appropriate box. If use is not for irrigation, place an "X" in appropriate box to show location.

[illegible]

Total No. of acres _____

- B) If the water is used for other than irrigation, fully describe that use, being as specific as possible:

Water is used for the transport and washing of potatoes being shipped for the fresh market.

- 7) If you are claiming water as a member of an organization, list name of organization: ~~PRIDE-PARTNERS~~
PRIDE-PAK, INC.

- Remarks The well being used is old, drilled about 1916, estimated at 160 to 180 ft. deep, previously used by U & I Sugar Co. for the manufacture of sugar from sugar beets. Presently being used by Rogers Brothers Co. as fresh potato shipping shed.

x point of Direction
& place of use

4 3 2

Range 37E

T
o
w
n
s
h
i
p
1
S

Scale: 2 inches equal 1 mile.

Map should show the location of the point of diversion and the place of use of the water by 40-acre subdivisions, section, township and range of the public land survey system.

State of Idaho)
) ss.
County of Bonneville)

Be it known that the undersigned, being duly sworn, deposes and says that he, she, they subscribed the foregoing claim to a water right, together with all attached information, and that the matters and facts therein are true to the best of the affiants knowledge.

Sworn to before me this 11th day of December, 1974. (Claimant) President

Notary Public

Residing at Idaho Falls, Idaho

My commission expires May 15, 1976

For Department Use Only

Received by MB Date 12-13-74
Prelim. check by MB Date 12-9-74
\$10.00 fee received by MB Date 12-9-74
Approved for publication by MB Date 12-14-74
Published in New Blackfoot Times
Dates 12-14-74 to 12-15-74

Exception filed by: _____ Forwarded to claimant by: _____ Date: _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A27-04004
Date Received: 9/06/1989
Receipt No: 8015030
Received By: EMC

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: DANA COLLINS 208-524-2565
Address: 10439 S. 1ST E.
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401
AND/OR
Name: CHERYL COLLINS 208-524-2565
Address: 10439 S. 1ST E.
IDAHO FALLS, ID 83401

A2704004

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1916

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
01S	37E	3	NW	NW			BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
WELL, PUMP AND DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
INDUSTRIAL	09/01	07/31	0.220		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.220 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
I/TRANSPORT & WASHING POTATOES.

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
01S	37E	3	NW	NW	4	INDUST	

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: STATUTORY CLAIM

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Cheryl Collier Date: 7-24-90

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bonneville) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 24 day
of July 19 90.

Ernest Carlson
Notary Public



Residing at Alto Falls, ID.
My Commission Expires 6-14-1994.

UNITED STATES
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

112°07'30"

410000m.E.

43°22'30"


4802000m.N.

TIN

TIS

Rge. 37E.

Place of Use



MICROFILMED

NOV 12 1992