

08/06/2004

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-2018

NAME AND ADDRESS: CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC
C/O MARY ANN BURCH
950 E 700 N
SHELLEY ID 83274

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 1.880 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 11/21/1910

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T04S R39E S27 NWSNW Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/15 10/31	1.880 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T04S R39E S27	NENW	12.0	T04S R39E S27	NWNW	26.0
T04S R39E S27	SWNW	7.6	T04S R39E S27	SENW	14.0
T04S R39E S27	NESW	1.2	T04S R39E S27	NWSE	0.3
T04S R39E S28	NENE	21.0	T04S R39E S28	NWNE	2.4
T04S R39E S28	SENE	9.5			

94 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

THE REMAINDER OF THIS RIGHT WAS FORFEITED FOR NON-USE FROM 1974 TO 2004.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: **License**

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PLACE OF USE PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-2018



1000 0 1000 2000 Feet


IRRIGATED ACRES 94.1

LEGEND

- ⊙ Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections
- Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T4S, R39E:
(part of) Sec. 27, NENW, NWNW,
SWNW, SENW, NESW, NWSE.
(part of) Sec. 28, NENE, NWNE, SENE.

Point(s) of Diversion: T4S, R39E:
Sec. 27, SENW.




GIS Place of Use Illustration
1992 Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: August 2004

SCANNED

FEB 01 2006

PROFILED



27
SE NW

T 7 S
R 39
E

year of photo?

PRINTED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-2018
Date Received: 8/12/2003
Receipt No: E029100
Received By: EMC

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC Phone: (208) 346-6887
C/O MARY ANN BURCH
950 E 700 N
SHELLEY ID USA 83274

2. Date of Priority: 11/21/1910

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
04S	39E	27	NW SE NW	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

PORTABLE PUMP OPEN DISCHARGE FOR FLOOD IRRIGATION

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/15	10/31	3.2	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
3.2 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 Lot	Use	Acres
04S	39E	27	NE NW	IRRIGATION	12
			NW NW	IRRIGATION	29
			SW NW	IRRIGATION	7.9

PROFILED

SE	NW	IRRIGATION	17
NE	SW	IRRIGATION	1.3
NW	SE	IRRIGATION	4

Section Acres 71.2

04S	39E	28	NE	NE	IRRIGATION	28
			NW	NE	IRRIGATION	11
			SW	NE	IRRIGATION	11
			SE	NE	IRRIGATION	11
			SE	NW	IRRIGATION	1.1

Section Acres 62.1

Total Acres 133.3

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: License

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Owner/President of

 Title
CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC., that I have signed the foregoing
 Organization
 document in the space below as Owner/President of

 Title
CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC and that the statements contained in the
 Organization
 foregoing document are true and correct.

Mary Ann Lurea
 Signature of Authorized Agent
Owner/President
 Title and Organization
8/12/03
 Date

PROFILED

State of Idaho)
County of Bonneville) SS.


Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 12 of August 2003

Jennifer Crabtree

Notary Public

Residing at Idaho Falls

My commission Expires 9/23/03



PROFILED

March 28, 2007
H:\03\137224\BirdLtr03_19_07.doc



222 N. 32nd Street
Suite 700
P.O. Box 31318
Billings, MT 59107.1318
Phone: 406.656.6399
Fax: 406.656.6398
www.hkminc.com

RECEIVED

FEB 13 2008

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Ms. Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, ID 83276

RE: Snake River Adjudication, Basin 27, United States Objection to thirteen claims as listed herein

Dear Ms. Bird:

The United States has directed HKM Engineering to attempt to reach a settlement on thirteen claims in which the U.S. had filed objections. That process is on-going, however HKM has found difficulty in reaching an agreement on some of the thirteen claims. That difficulty is basically due to the differences between HKM's findings and IDWR's Recommendations. Of those thirteen claims HKM has developed a file for eleven of the claims for your review. Not included in these eleven files are claims 27-102 and 27-12108. Those claims are in the process of settlement or have as yet not undergone negotiation investigations.

We have developed a summary datasheet for each of the eleven claims that identifies key issues and information explaining our position on each claim. The information was derived from IDWR documents, notes and comments, HKM's stereo aerial photography analysis results and HKM's field investigations. Included are HKM's recommended acreage and diversion rates associated with our analysis and findings.

HKM is respectfully requesting you to review our findings on the eleven water right recommendations to determine if there is anything you can do to help resolve our differences. If not, future hearings and additional litigation costs will unfortunately be the result. The main issue surrounding these claims is their idle condition and our unwillingness to accept the IDWR recommended irrigated acreages for claims that we believe have been idle since 1980 and longer.

Each of the eleven claims and its associated recommendation is unique, but all of them have a long history of inactivity, or no historic activity, for all or part of the claimed place of use. If our findings indicated current or recent irrigation had occurred as of 1987, the U.S. did not object to those acres.

In one case, a claimant wants to transfer a water right that has not been used in over 30 years as of 2003 (per IDWR documents), to a new proposed wetland project. The USDA indicates the wetland project will be entirely natural with no man induced diversion of water. The claimant also stated he has no interest in irrigation. We have been unable to negotiate with the claimant due to the acreage recommended by IDWR.

We have found some claims to be inaccurately mapped and the claimant was agreeable to changing the place of use to match the correct place of use. That occurred with claim 27-102 and we prepared a map and submitted it to IDWR. We anticipate that claim to be settled due to insignificant differences.

There are also claimed lands being sub-irrigated without a man-made distribution system or located above the claimed ditch and can't be irrigated. We believe these areas should be deleted from the IDWR recommendations.

For Settlement Purposes Only

SCANNED

FEB 14 2008

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Ms. Cindy E. Bird
March 28, 2007
Page 2

These types of problems need to be addressed so settlement talks can move forward with the claimants and their attorneys. We, at HKM, do believe our goals are the same as yours and we appreciate your time in helping to resolve these issues. If a settlement can be attained we anticipate a significant cost benefit to all parties in this adjudication.

There are six claims where HKM cannot identify current or historic (post 1980) irrigation and recommends that the claims be awarded no irrigated acres. The claimants are not willing to withdraw their claim if the IDWR maintains their current acreage recommendation. These claim numbers are: 27-49, 27-76, 27-2069, 27-2075, 27-2078, and 27-12045.

Our analysis of the remaining five claims indicate partial irrigation on the claimed lands and we are negotiating with some of the claimants at this time. These claim numbers are: 27-54, 27-2108, 27-2026, 27-2066 and 27-11317. The place of diversion and place of use will need to be modified on these to reflect the correct locations. We have also included some maps from our files on these claims for your review.

HKM would like to schedule a conference call and work session with IDWR personnel to discuss the above claims. We believe that would help us both understand our differences and hopefully resolve them. It may be necessary to schedule a field trip with IDWR and the claimants if possible this field season. We believe the field trip would help to resolve a number of these discrepancies. The window of opportunity for settlement requires that we address these issues at your earliest convenience. The United States has requested that we have responses completed by April 20, 2007.

Please call me with any questions you may have after you have had an opportunity to review our enclosed analysis, findings, and exhibits.

Respectfully submitted,

HKM ENGINEERING INC.


Loring Gurney

Enclosures

cc: Peter Monson
U.S. Attorney

For Settlement Purposes Only

SCANNED
FEB 14 2008

HKM'S FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SELECTED CLAIMS

Claim #	IDWR Documents & Comments	HKM Analysis	IDWR Recommended Acres/cfs	HKM Recommended Acres/cfs
27-2018	• 1910 Application for Permit #6875 for 160 acres with a diversion on both sides of the river. • Application to Transfer of Water Right for 160 acres at 3.2 cfs on July 13, 1979. • "No 1987/88 NAPP photography available. Used 1992. 1974 orthoquad 293 shows the same imagery as the 1992 photo." • Notice of Claim to a Water Right on August 12, 2003 for 133 acres at 3.2 cfs. • IDWR on November 9, 2005 recommended diversion rate and acres reduced to 93.7 acres at a rate of 1.88 cfs.	• The transferred water right does not include lands to the east of the river. • The results of IDWR analysis of the 1992 aerial photography is not identified in the record. • HKM evaluated the claim using 1980, 1987 and 1992 aerial photography and found no irrigation on the east side of the river in any of those years. • HKM found 63.08 acres of irrigation on the west side of the river in 1980, 1987 and 1992. The distribution system was evident as well as the cropping boundary. • HKM is working with the claimant on settlement. • Although HKM believes the claimed area of beneficial use is 63.08 acres, we would agree to a maximum of 72.2 acres based on a combination of IDWR and HKM mapping.	93.7/1.88	63.08/1.3

↓
N

NHAP 227-128
9-4-80
8102-LP

NAPP 305-183

7-24-87

27-2018

N
↑



NAPP 4924-185

7-29-92

27-2018



Notes

7/15/04 met with Skip. He said they have 2 ponds in the NWSE NW of Sec. 27, T4S, R39E.

They move the pump back and forth across the bridge.

The pond is on both sides of the bridge in Secs 27 & 28. See Skip drawing.

8/2/04 licensed at 1" per acre.

No 1987/88 Napp photography available used 1992 from Terra Server.

1974 Orthoquad 299 shows the same imagery as the 1992 photography.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: Poll

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 7/22/04

Please create a Poll from the drawing from Skip.
Please let me know the number of acres in ea. QQ. (Marked with Post-it Notes).

Note: According to Skip they do about 20-30 acres east of the bridge.

Res.

PROFILED

SCANNED

FEB 01 2006

Claim no. A27-2018

Claimant Contact Log

Date 7-13-04 Remarks Agent initials

HWJ

1/ This exam done on 7-13-2004 with Russ Burch who is the son of Mary Ann Burch.

2/ The Point of Diversion is a mobile John Deere diesel (No HP) motor + pump. GPS location is; 2672694 GPS Alt # -272018 1318004 GPS Tag # A000025

Pump is occasionally moved across the bridge to the East side of the Blackfoot River to irrigate acres shown on attached maps. The original transfer does not place the acreage accurately but that seems to be a function of poor maps to verify actual places of use.

3/ Point of diversion is the SENE of Sec 27 T4S R39E. Old ditch system is in place + appears in B&W air photo right at bridge. Claimants also move pump across river to irrigate 20-30 acres on the East side.

4/ Phone contact for Russ Burch is 785-8082 + cell 251-9210

FILED

PROFILED

A27-2018, John Deere Diesel motor & pump
at diversion point





A27-208, outlet of pump into ditch

PROFILED

PROFILED

A27-2018, irrigation ditch,
Looking west.





A27-2018 - inserted ground on East

Side of Blackfoot River

PROTILED




A27-208 - irrigated ground on
east side of Blackfoot River

PROFILED




A27-2018 - Irrigated ground on East
side of Blackfoot River.

PROFILED



27-2018



PROFILED

TO: SKip

SUBJECT: POU/POD change

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 10/6/03

Information from Mary Ann show the pump was purchase 6/1979, the transfer was approved 7-79. But the claimed POD doesn't match the transfer. Also, there was no change in POU, but it doesn't match the w/r.

Please get with Mary Ann to determine POD/POU and when the change was made.

☐ For your information

☒ Response required

CB
signature

PROFILED

Notes

10/3/03 Mary Ann called to see if we were able to locate the pump on the imagery. I said we did but she still need to send me copies of the information

After further review of the file; there was an approved transfer 2318 7-1979 that changed the pod's but NO change in the POD.

The claimed POD:POU doesn't match the license or transfer. Mary Ann said her mom's journal describes the location change of the pump? Her ledger might be referring to the transfer.

Review information from Mary Ann to determine if more information is required.

GPS 2672694
1318004

File 27-2018

Photo #1 Pump

John Doe Doe-1

GPS Tag A0000225


Get additional Air photo for area
N of B&W. photo. See
att-POD also

PROFILE

Cindy,

Hope this is all
the information
you need.

Please contact me
if necessary.
Mary Ann



Comment: John Deere motor and centrifugal lift pump lifting water from the Blackfoot river, just beyond it, onto the irrigated pasture on the Subject Ranch.

fee92-5/41-5

TOWN & COUNTRY APPRAISAL SERVICE

PROFILE

1979	NUMBER	TO WHOM SOLD, QUANTITY AND KIND, OR, TO WHOM PAID	PAID OUT (COLS. 2 TO 20 INC.)
May	4 9	Boyd Jolley Co. - gas! Diesel	286.65
"	4 923	Utah Power - well utilities	16.66
"	4 924	Weld Steel - alfalfa seed	500.00
"	4 925	Perth Mill - opt. barley seed	302.00
"	4 926	Richard Ockerman - wages	464.65
"	4 927	IB&T - Pica ton depart	355.10
"	4 928	Reed Kern Bureau - refund due	
"	7 929	Gerald Hagan - Hay	280.00
"	14 930	Cal Ranch - pump	84.71
"	15 931	Cal Ranch - Wrens	39.36
"	932	Boddes Equip. - starting fluid	16.55
"	18 933	Void	
"	18 934	Dept. Water Resources - Piping fee	35.00
"	19 935	Don State - pump down part	1600.00
"	25 936	Phillips 66 - tire repair	3.00
"	25 936	Earl Ockerman - reimb. tire iron	178.73
			4162.41
Jan	4 937	Seig. Coop - Ground up	125.00
"	1 938	Richard Ockerman - wages	464.65
"	5 938	Animal Health Clinic - Vet. Supplies	75.00
"	5 940	Boyd Jolley Co. - Gas	112.35
"	5 941	Utah Power Dept - Well Utilities	11.62
"	5 942	Perth Mill - Opt seed	105.55
"	5 943	IR&S - Pica ton	219.62
"	944	Void	
"	18 945	Snake River Irrig Dist - Water	347.50
"	18 946	East. Ida. Grazing - Lease right	292.50
"	18 947	Connie Hester - Pica ton	440.25
"	18 948	Jolley Bldg. - Supplies	2.09
"	18 949	Moulton Drug - Vaccine	18.74
"	21 950	Don State Irrig. Pump	6100.00
"	21 951	Boyd Jolley Co. - Diesel	163.53

PROFILE

NEW PUMP TO IRRIGATE MEADOW
WE GAVE BEN AWAY 1979-1980

July 5, 1979

Dear Grandchildren,

The cattle traveled good, so we ate at Sid's Hole and to the top of Womack hill early. Joe, Rick and Renee' went to the valley. Later Rick brought the big truck and Trisha up. She had been promised a night on the trail. We had fun while the men checking the cows. Next morning beds away and breakfast started when Joe, Clint, Renee' and Rick came. Clint rode Fiddler and Joe rode McCue, so Earl drove the big truck while I drove pickup and trailer. I never could be far behind, as never knew when they'd want to load a calf. Joe, Trisha, Rick and Renee' went down. Joe, Rick and Renee' came back to help and we were to the underpass by 10:00. They rested the cattle and I got dinner. Afterwards, they pushed the cattle on to Supon Creek, and Joe, Rick and Renee' left. While Earl and Clint pushed cows back, I cleaned the trailer house. Of course Earl and Clint had to have a big bonfire. The last day Joe, Rick and Renee' up early. We only rested the cattle once, so to the ranch by noon. Earl took the trailer through the dry farm and down a steep hill through sagebrush. I drove the big truck down and rather scared doing it. They checked the cows. After eating, we went to the valley.

When we went down a week later, Rick was busy planting. Until the cattle left, there was some ground he couldn't do. We met Oleson's at the crossroads above Morgan bridge and stopped to have supper with them. Thereafter it seemed every time we went to the valley, someone was in the hospital. Melvin was in the first time and the next time, Elizabeth Ann and also Charles. Did hear Tana, Buck's daughter, had a baby girl. The 4th of June to see Merv and E. A. She looked terrible and very weak. She'd been in the hospital seven days.

I had planted the garden and bought two tomato plants to try. A flop, as too cold and only two small tomatoes set on by fall and never did ripen.

The Association had a meeting at Morgan bridge. Samson came over from Grays Lake to see about lumbering on Association ground. Bertha and Christie stayed to visit. Rick came with the tractor and was going through the ditch on the big meadow and was stuck. I went to help and he had a terrible time, as so sliding, but eventually got out.

My birthday no one came and I was such a grouch, Earl went over the trail.

When we went to the valley, June 15th, Mary Ann decided Clint and Trisha could go back up with us. They slept alone in the bunk. When the kids went with Earl to tend the water, I cleaned and started dinner. Clint and Trisha went fishing. Earl and Clint rode and they shot squirrels. Trisha played with the cat and the log doll house. They both caught minnows and put them in a pail. They were busy all the time. Mary Ann and Joe and little ones

Later that month, Joe, Rick, Trisha and Clint brought the big pump up. Instead of trying to water by the ditch, which had been wrecked when the river was high, they decided to buy the pump. They put the pipe in the Blackfoot River and the water would go into the ditch going to the meadow. It sure threw a big stream of water. While they were working on it, Elizabeth Ann and Merv came. The others left after getting the pump going. Shortly after, something went wrong and the pipe collapsed. Next morning, Earl and Merv took it to the valley to be fixed or replaced. That pump was a marvelous idea, but the worst thing to get started. Earl had a terrible time pumping the pressure up to get water. We had a very relaxing time while Merv and E. A. there.

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: POD / P04

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 10-1-03

Mary Ann Burch said you can see the
POD on the 1987/88 NAPP photography, 10' South
of the bridge.

Please check if it does, please create a
layout for POD & P04.

This is the best photo I could find for the POD. There is NOT
a .tif available for the area.

() For your information

10-2-03
BP

☒ Response required

Cindy B
signature

PROFILE

Notes

8/22/03.
9/19/03. Letter to Clement re changes in
POC + POD. BP

10/1/03 Mary Ann Burch 8:54 AM
June - 1979. Changed the pump.
Mom's journal, ledger from business.

9:03 AM. Called Skip about meeting these
guys tomorrow because they are going
back to the ranch. Left a message
on Skip's answering machine
Needs to have new ~~to~~ POD location.

9:55 AM Skip will call Mary Ann Burch
for an appointment. Skip's taking the
rest of the week off to go fishing.

10-1-03 11:47 AM. Mary Ann Burch said you
can see the pump - 10' south of the
bridge on the ArcView imagery.

PROFILED

Contacts Data Entry Form

[illegible]

Current Owner Joe Clark

Name connector: and ____, or ____, and/or ____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: CD

Date: 10/3/05

Contacts Data Entry Form

PROFILE

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-2018

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

X Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

License

Reviewer: CBDate: 10/3/03

Priority Date Data Entry Form

PROFILED

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-2018,

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-2018

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 45, R 39E, Sec. 27, NW, SE, NW, County: Bingham 2ptsSource: Blackfoot R. Tributary: Snake.☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
GPS Coordinates: <u>2672694</u> <u>1318004</u>						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						
GPS Coordinates: _____						

GPS 272018.
GPS TAG: A0000225No GPS PT
for 2nd POD.Other rights from this POD? NO

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Imaging

_____Transfers Changing POD NOReviewer: CBDate: 10/3/03

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

PROFILED

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27 - 2018

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

impry

Source

Claim No. 27 - 2018

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

PROFILED

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-2018☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/2/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

PROFILED

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-2018

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

PROFILED

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: _____

_____ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Link Shape

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

field exam

Transfers Changing POU *no*Reviewer: *CB*Date: *8/2/04*

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

PROFILE

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed?Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

PROFILED

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-2018☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/15	10/31	1.88	

Overall Diversion Rate 1.88

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

field exam

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/2/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 2018

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

7. Is a flow measurement required?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Quantity

FILED

Summary

Claim No. 27-2018

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

No. Comb. Limit

Summary

PROFILED

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

C03

C05.

P19 Remark Gen. 1974 to 2004

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

no

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

PROFILED

Claim No.: 27-2018

Reviewer CB

Date 10/3/03.

Date: 16 December 2003
To: Memo to File
From: Barbara
Re: Claim 27-2018
Claimant: Circle 2 Bar Ranch

I have researched the Water Master Reports for 1975 – 1983 concerning the above referenced claim.

There are no references to a Circle 2 Bar Ranch in the years searched. However, there is a reference using that claim number to a Earl Ockerman.

		Sec. Ft in 1983	Sec. Ft in 1984
Earl Ockerman	27-2018	450	none
Circle 2 Bar Ranch	27-2018	none	100

PROFILED

OWNER OR DITCH	DESCRIPTION OF LAND			
	Subdivision	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.
BINGHAM COUNTY				
BLACKFOOT RIVER				
1. Earl Ockerman	27-2018			
2. Don Esplin	27-0004			
3. Barlow Cook	27-001-002-003-004-005 Blackfoot Irrigation			
4. Arvel Fielding	27-004-013			
5. Vin Reid	27-004-014-022-023-028-021			
6. Fred Reid	27-004-014-021-022-029			
7. Wallace Reid	27-004-014-021-022-028			
8. Everett Lyon	27-023-029			
9. James Mattson	Blackfoot Irrigation			
10. Merrill Packer	27-004			
11. J.D. Robertson	27-016			
12. John Taney	27-029			
13. Lloyd W. Young	27-029			
EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO.				
14. Vera Belnap, Sec.	27-022-035			
FOX & WHITTEN WATER				
15. Rex Hale	27-004			
16. Herchell Mynarcik	27-004			
17. Fred Poindexter	27-023			
18. Neal Powell	27-004			
19. Annette Stephenson	27-004			
20. Francis Whitten	27-023			
21. Adrian Young	27-023			
HANSEN DITCH				
22. Lambert Produce	27-004-013-Blackfoot Irrigation			
LITTLE BUTTE CANAL CO.				
23. LeRoy Howell, Sec.	27-022-035-038-039-040-041			
MINERS DITCH CO.				
24. Eloise Hamilton, Sec.	27-003-004-017-020-022-029-Corbett Slough Blk. Irrig.			
STEVENS DITCH				
25. Shipley & Coles	27-012			
26. Harvey Coffman	27-027			
27. O.E. Cook	27-004			
28. Gordon Durney	27-027			
29. Dan Daniels	27-027			
30. Cordell Stewart	27-027			
31. Darwin Thompson	27-027			
32. Norman Wright	27-027-023			
CENTRAL DITCH CO.				
33. Stan McDaniels, Sec.	27-004-025-027-037 Blackfoot Irrigation			
RIVERTON DITCH CO.				
34. Albert Bell, Sec.	27-003-004-022-023-026-037. Blackfoot Irrig.			
SMITH MAXWELL DITCH CO.				
35. Robbie Robinson, Sec.	Smith Maxwell			
GRAVE CREEK				
36. Chesterfield Land & Livestock	27-4016			
RAWLINS CREEK				
37. Neil Bithell	27-023			
38. Merlin Frandsen	27-049			
BRUSH CREEK				
39. Gene Rishell	27-023-056			
MINER CREEK				
40. Earl Hunter	27-022			
CEDAR CREEK				
41. Ron Jensen	27-047-055			
42. Lloyd Stolworthy	27-054			
WOLVERINE				
43. Clinton Cox	27-050-051-004-022-035-016-029			
44. Lloyd Stolworthy	27-051			
SAND CREEK				
45. Vera P. Belnap	Sand Creek			
46. Basil Poulson	Sand Creek			
47. Frank Pratt	Sand Creek			
48. Florian Wernette	Sand Creek			

PROFILED

	Total in 24-hour Sec. Feet	Total Cost		Adapted Budget		Credits		Debits		Cost Per 24-Hr. Sec. Ft. \$_____
		\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	
1.	450	54	13	51	72			2	41	Total No. Day of Watermaster
2.	1500	180	43	145	08			35	35	days at \$ per day \$
3.	1575	189	45	161	48			27	97	Total No. Days of Asst. Watermaster
4.	550	66	16	69	39	3	23			days at \$ per day \$
5.	1050	126	30	133	73	7	43			Other expenses charged pro rata \$
6.	775	93	22	94	62	1	40			TOTAL COST \$
7.	775	93	22	94	62	1	40			Total No. 24-Hour Sec. Feet. Delivered
8.	450	54	13	56	77	2	64			Cost per 24-Hour Sec. Feet Delivered \$
9.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
10.	325	39	09	37	85			1	24	
11.	325	39	09	34	69			4	40	
12.	100	12	02	10	09			1	93	
13.	115	13	83	14	51		68			
14.	6700	805	93	843	87	37	94			
15.	200	24	06	23	97				09	
16.	75	9	02	50	46	41	44			
17.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
18.	260	31	28	26	49			4	79	
19.	200	24	06	25	23	1	17			
20.	475	57	14	49	20			7	94	
21.	200	24	06	24	60		54			
22.	1150	138	33	132	47			5	86	
23.	3918	471	29	439	03			32	26	
24.	2791	335	72	334	32			1	40	
25.	630	78	19	75	69			2	50	
26.	225	27	07	21	45			5	62	
27.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
28.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
29.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
30.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
31.	75	9	02	6	31			2	71	
32.	176	21	17	18	92			2	25	
33.	2174	261	51	235	54			25	97	
34.	2780	334	40	343	40	9	00			
35.	1038	124	86	167	92	43	06			
36.	175	21	05	20	19				86	
37.	100	12	03	12	62		59			
38.	200	24	06	25	23	1	17			
39.	200	24	06	22	08			1	98	
40.	175	21	05	12	62			8	43	
41.	150	18	05	18	92		87			
42.	150	18	05	18	92		87			
43.	2000	240	58	194	28			46	30	
44.	350	42	10	31	54			10	56	
45.	200	24	06	23	97				09	
46.	290	34	88	36	59	1	71			
47.	100	12	03	11	99				04	
48.	450	54	13	50	46			3	67	

OWNER OR DITCH	WATER RIGHT IDENT. NO.	DESCRIPTION OF LAND			
		Subdivision	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.
BLACKFOOT RIVER					
<i>new</i> 1. Circle 2 Bar Ranch		27-2018			
2. Don Esplin		27-004			
3. Barlow Cook		27-001-002-003-004-005 Blackfoot Irrigation			
4. Arvel Fielding		27-004-013			
5. Vin Reid		27-004-014-022-023-028-021			
6. Fred Reid		27-004-014-021-022-029			
7. Wallace Reid		27-004-014-021-022-028			
8. Everett Lyon		27-023-029			
9. James Mattson		Blackfoot Irrigation			
10. J.D. Robertson		27-016			
11. John Taney		27-029			
12. Lloyd W. Young		27-029			
<i>new</i> 13. Joe Oleson		27-4077			
EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO.					
14. Vera Balnap, Sec.		27-022-035			
FOX & WHITTEN WATER					
15. Rex Hale		27-004			
16. Herchell Mynarcik		27-004			
17. Fred Poindexter		27-023			
18. Neal Powel		27-004			
19. Annette Stephenson		27-004			
20. Francis Whitten		27-023			
21. Adrian Young		27-023			
22. Merrill Packer		27-004			
HANSEN DITCH					
23. Lambert Produce		27-004-013 Blackfoot Irrigation			
LITTLE BUTTE CANAL CO.					
24. LeRoy Howell, Sec.		27-022-035-038-039-040-041			
MINERS DITCH CO.					
25. Eloise Hamilton, Sec.		27-003-004-017-020-022-029 Corbett Slough Blkft Irrig.			
STEVENS DITCH					
26. Shipley & Coles		27-012			
27. Harvey Coffman		27-027			
28. O.E. Cook		27-004			
29. Gordon Durney		27-027			
30. Dan Daniels		27-027			
31. Cordell Stewart		27-027			
32. Darwin Thompson		27-027			
33. Norman Wright		27-027-023			
CENTRAL DITCH CO.					
34. Stan McDaniels, Sec.		27-004-025-027-037 Blackfoot Irrigation			
RIVERTON DITCH CO.					
35. Albert Bell, Sec.		27-003-004-022-023-026-037 Blackfoot Irrig.			
SMITH MAXWELL DITCH CO.					
<i>new</i> 36. Layne Hamilton, Sec.		Smith Maxwell			
GRAVE CREEK					
<i>new</i> 37. Walt Hamilton		27-7129			
38. Chesterfield Land & Livestock		27-4016			
RAWLINS CREEK					
39. Neil Bithell		27-023			
<i>new</i> 40. Joe Oleson		27-4079			
41. Merlin Frandsen		27-049			
BRUSH CREEK					
42. Gene Rishel		27-023-056			
MINER CREEK					
43. Earl Hunter		27-022			
CEDAR CREEK					
44. Ron Jensen		27-047-055			
45. Lloyd Stolworthy		27-054			
WOLVERINE					
46. Clinton Cox		27-050-051-004-022-035-016-029			
47. Lloyd Stolworthy		27-051			
SAND CREEK					
48. Vera P. Belnap		Sand Creek			
49. Basil Poulson		Sand Creek			
50. Bruce Bradshaw		Sand Creek			
51. Florian Wernette		Sand Creek			

PROFILE

Total in 24-Hour		Total Cost		Adopted Budget		Credits		Debits		Cost Per 24-Hr. Sec. Ft. S .1312294954	
Sec.	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.		
1.	100	13	12	58	03	44	91			Total No. Days of Watermaster	
2.	1320	173	22	193	44	20	22			days at \$ per day	\$
3.	600	78	74	203	11	124	37				
4.	600	78	74	70	93			7	81	Total No. Days of Asst. Watermaster	
5.	964	126	51	135	41	8	90			days at \$ per day	\$
6.	552	72	44	99	94	27	50			Only expenses charged pro rata	\$
7.	1176	154	33	99	94			54	39		
8.	420	55	12	58	03	2	91				
9.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
10.	300	39	37	41	91	2	54			TOTAL COST	\$
11.	80	10	50	12	90	2	40			Total No. 24-Hour Sec. Feet. Delivered	
12.	125	16	40	14	83			1	57		
13.	80	10	50					10	50	Cost per 24-Hour Sec. Feet. Delivered	\$
14.	7029	922	41	864	02			58	39		
15.	120	15	75	25	79	10	04				
16.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
17.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
18.	150	19	68	33	53	13	85				
19.	150	19	68	25	79	6	11				
20.	360	47	24	61	26	14	02				
21.	135	17	72	25	79	8	07				
22.	150	19	68	41	91	22	23				
23.	1150	150	91	148	30			2	61		
24.	4300	564	29	505	26			59	03		
25.	2978	390	80	359	92			30	88		
26.	775	101	70	83	82			17	88		
27.	193	25	33	29	02	3	69				
28.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
29.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
30.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
31.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
32.	80	10	50	9	67			83			
33.	238	31	23	22	90			8	53		
34.	2181	286	21	280	35			5	86		
35.	3096	406	29	358	50			47	79		
36.	783	102	75	133	86	31	11				
37.	80	10	50					10	50		
38.	175	22	97	22	57			40			
39.	80	10	50	12	90	2	40				
40.	80	10	50					10	50		
41.	100	13	12	25	79	12	67				
42.	100	13	12	25	79	12	67				
43.	80	10	50	22	57	12	07				
44.	150	19	68	19	34			34			
45.	150	19	68	19	34			34			
46.	1937	254	19	257	92	3	73				
47.	350	45	93	45	13			80			
48.	100	13	12	25	79	12	67				
49.	290	38	06	37	40			66			
50.	80	10	50	12	90	2	40				
51.	450	59	05	58	03			1	02		
	34947	4586	08	4651	12	401	48	336	44		

PROFILE

[illegible]

84

	Total in 24-hour Sec. Feet	Total Cost		Adopted Budget		Credits		Debits		Cost Per 24-Hr. Sec. Ft. \$	.1312294954
		\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.	\$	cts.		
52.	150	19	69	19	35			34		Total No. Days of Watermaster	
53.	550	72	17	70	93			1	24	days at \$	per day \$ 8000 00
54.	500	65	61	38	96			26	65	Total No. Days of Asst. Watermaster	
55.	150	19	69	19	34				35	days at \$	per day \$
56.	400	52	49	51	58				91	Other expenses charged pro rata	\$ 6700 00
57.	150	19	69	19	34				35	TOTAL COST	14700 00
58.	400	52	49	51	58				91	Total No. 24-Hour Sec. Feet. Delivered	44807
59.	350	45	93	45	14				79	Cost per 24-Hour Sec. Feet. Delivered	\$.1312294954
60.	350	45	93	45	14				79		
61.	100	13	12					13	12		
62.	200	26	25	25	79				46		
63.	500	65	61	58	03			7	58		
64.	150	19	69	41	27	21	58				
65.	150	19	69	19	34				35	Ft. Hall Project 60% \$8,820.00	
66.	1450	190	28	186	99			3	29	Leaving \$5,880.00 to be prorated	
67.	1450	190	28	206	33	16	05			to waterusers in Bingham and	
68.	500	65	61	141	85	76	24			Caribou Counties	
69.	80	10	50	51	58	41	08				
70.	80	10	50	45	14	34	64				
71.	400	52	49	45	14			7	35		
72.	350	45	93	45	14				79		
73.	900	118	10	109	61				8	49	
74.	400	52	49	51	58				91	Watermaster Salary	\$ 8,000.00
75.	150	19	69						19	Secretary Salary	315.00
										F.I.C.A. Taxes	560.00
										Mileage	3,700.00
	9860	1253	92	1389	15	189	59	94	36	Telephone & Bond	150.00
	34947	4586	08	4651	12	1293	92	1389	15	Ft. Hall Expense	1,733.12
	44807	5880	00	6040	27	1483	51	1483	51	Misc. Expense	241.88
						Total Credits	Total Debits			TOTAL EXPENSE	\$14,700.00
						591. 07	430 80				
							HWS				

1984

	OWNER OR DITCH	Water Right Ident. No.	DESCRIPTION OF LAND			
			Subdivision	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.
1.	Earl Ockerman		27-2018			
2.	Don Esplin		27-0004			
3.	Barlow Cook		27-001-002-003-004-005-Blackfoot Irrig.			
4.	Arvel Fielding		27-004-013			
5.	Vin Reid		27-004-014-022-023-028-021			
5.6.	Fred Reid		27-004-014-021-022-029			
7.	Wallace Reid		27-004-014-021-022-028			
6.8.	Everett Lyon		27-028-029			
9.	James Mattson		Blackfoot Irrigation			
7.10.	J.D. Robertson		27-016			
11.	John Taney		27-029			
8.12.	Lloyd W. Young		27-029			
13.	Joe Oleson		27-4077			
9.14.	Vera Belnap, Sec.		27-022-035			
15.	Rex Hale		27-004			
10.16.	Merchell Mynarcik		27-004			
17.	Fred Poindexter		27-023			
11.18.	Neal Powell		27-004			
19.	Annette Stephenson		27-023			
12.20.	Francis Whitten		27-023			
21.	Adrian Young		27-004			
13.22.	Merrill Packer		27-004 013 Blackfoot Irrigation			
23.	Lambert Produce		27-022-035-038-29-040-041			
14.24.	LeRoy Howell, Sec.		27-003-004-017-020-022-029			
25.	Eloise Hamilton, Sec		27-012			Corbet Slough Blkft. Irrig.
15.26.	Shipley & Coles		27-027			
27.	Harvey Coffman		27-004			
16.28.	O.E. Cook		27-027			
29.	Gordon Durney		27-027			
17.30.	Dan Daniels		27-027			
31.	Cordell Stewart		27-027			
18.32.	Darwin Thompson		27-027-023			
33.	Norman Wright		27-004-025-027-037 Blackfoot Irrigation			
19.34.	Stan McDaniels, Sec.		27-003-004-022-023-026-037			Blkft. Irrig.
35.	Albert Bell, Sec.		Smith Maxwell			
20.36.	Layne Hamilton, Sec.		27-7129			
37.	Walt Hamilton		27-4016			
21.38.	Cheserfield Land & Livestock		27-023			
39.	Neil Bithell		27-4079			
22.40.	Joe Oleson		27-049			
41.	Merlin Frandsen		27-023-056			
23.42.	Gene Rishel		27-022			
43.	Earl Hunter		27-047-055			
24.44.	Ron Jensen		27-054			
45.	Lloyd Stolworthy		27-050-051-004-022-035-016-029			
25.46.	Clinton Cox		27-051			
47.	Lloyd Stolworthy		Sand Creek			
26.48.	Vera P. Belnap		Sand Creek			
49.	Basil Poulson		Sand Creek			
50.	Bruce Bradshaw		Sand Creek			
27.51.	Florian Wernette		27-0073-2132			
52.	Don Allen		27-0074-0080			
28.53.	Calvin Bredge		27-0076			
54.	Alma Hubbard		27-0075			
29.55.	L.W. White		27-2009-2040			
56.	Mary Sheridan		27-2069-2075			
30.57.	Lake & Ellis		27-0094			
58.	Sydney Thompson		27-203-20238-2028			
31.59.	Grant Lloyd		27-7008 A&B			
60.	Stauffer Chemical		27-4115			
32.61.	Jougard Sheep Co.		27-2011			
62.	Harris Bros.		27-2003			
63.	E.S. Stucki		27-2054-2052			
33.64.	D.W. Beckstead		27-0070			
65.	Calvin Bennett		27-0042-0043-0062-0063-0066-0070			
34.66.	Presron Allen		27-0060-0061-0065-0066-0067-0068-0069			
67.	Roland Allen		27-0060-0061-0065-0066-0067-0068-0069			
35.68.	Bear Lake Cattle Assn.		27-0033			
69.	Tom Cellan		27-0049			
70.	Corbridge Bros		Trans. #1641 W.R. #35-001			
71.	Monsanto Chemical		27-097-098			
72.	J.H. Stockings		27-7127-7118 Trans. #2433 W.R. #01-0028A			
73.	Galen Christensen		27-7302			
74.	Conda Partnership		27-4066-21-4068			
75.	Lynn Rasmussen					

1.1963533

Proposed
Next
Year's
Budget

PAST SEASON'S C

T'S

Average
Cost for
5 Yr.
Period

	19_80	19_81	19_82	19_83	19_84	
1.	41 97	43 79	48 55	54 13	13 12	40 31
2.	139 17	115 34	136 19	180 43	173 22	148 87
3.	178 06	151 65	151 58	189 45	78 74	149 80
4.	54 24	56 60	65 13	66 16	78 74	64 17
5.	195 66	115 87	125 53	126 30	126 51	137 97
6.	---	79 03	88 82	93 22	72 44	83 38
7.	---	79 03	88 82	93 22	154 33	103 85
8.	47 07	49 12	53 29	54 13	55 12	51 75
9.	5 12	5 34	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
10.	28 14	29 37	32 57	39 09	39 37	33 71
11.	9 21	9 61	9 47	12 02	10 50	10 16
12.	---	12 28	13 62	13 83	16 40	14 83
13.	---	---	---	---	10 50	10 50
14.	675 49	744 47	792 47	805 93	922 41	788 15
15.	20 47	20 29	22 50	24 06	15 75	20 50
16.	40 94	42 71	47 37	9 02	10 50	30 11
17.	5 12	5 34	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
18.	16 78	21 36	24 87	31 28	19 68	22 79
19.	15 75	21 36	23 69	24 06	19 68	20 91
20.	38 37	41 65	46 19	57 14	47 24	46 12
21.	14 83	18 69	23 09	24 06	17 72	19 58
22.	35 82	32 04	35 53	39 09	19 68	32 43
23.	104 99	109 46	124 35	138 33	150 91	125 61
24.	370 54	390 55	412 12	471 29	564 29	441 76
25.	272 30	287 92	313 83	335 72	390 80	320 11
26.	47 07	59 80	71 06	78 19	101 70	71 55
27.	15 86	18 26	20 13	27 07	25 33	21 33
28.	5 12	5 34	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
29.	6 14	5 34	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
30.	---	5 14	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
31.	---	5 34	5 92	9 02	10 50	7 18
32.	16 37	17 09	18 95	21 05	31 23	20 90
33.	16 37	17 09	18 95	21 05	31 23	20 90
34.	262 62	223 95	221 10	261 57	286 21	267 88
35.	240 06	297 95	322 35	334 40	406 29	316 61
36.	169 36	174 18	157 62	124 86	102 75	145 75
37.	---	---	---	---	10 50	10 50
38.	16 37	17 09	18 95	21 05	31 23	20 90
39.	7 67	10 68	11 84	12 03	10 50	10 50
40.	---	---	---	---	10 50	10 50
41.	13 30	18 69	23 70	24 06	13 12	18 57
42.	15 35	18 69	20 72	24 06	13 12	18 57
43.	8 70	9 08	11 84	21 05	10 50	12 23
44.	12 28	37 38	17 76	18 05	19 68	21 33
45.	15 35	16 02	17 76	18 05	19 68	17 37
46.	113 74	158 61	165 53	182 37	254 19	174 99
47.	25 58	26 70	29 61	42 10	45 93	33 98
48.	19 44	20 29	22 50	24 06	13 12	19 68
49.	29 68	30 97	34 34	34 88	38 06	33 59
50.	9 72	10 15	11 25	12 03	10 50	10 50
51.	38 37	40 05	47 37	54 13	59 05	47 79
52.	33 82	36 02	37 76	38 04	39 69	38 37
53.	35 82	42 72	53 29	66 16	72 17	54 03
54.	22 51	32 04	35 53	36 09	65 61	28 36
55.	25 58	16 02	17 76	18 04	19 69	19 62
56.	71 63	42 72	47 37	48 11	52 49	52 46
57.	15 35	16 02	17 76	18 04	19 69	17 37
58.	---	---	---	---	---	---
59.	35 82	37 38	41 45	42 10	45 93	40 54
60.	15 35	32 04	41 45	42 10	45 93	35 37
61.	---	---	---	---	13 12	13 12
62.	42 98	44 85	23 70	24 06	26 25	32 37
63.	40 93	42 72	53 29	54 13	65 61	51 64
64.	32 35	34 17	37 90	38 43	19 69	32 42
65.	15 35	16 02	17 76	18 04	19 69	17 37
66.	183 82	154 85	171 72	174 42	190 28	167 42
67.	145 82	170 87	189 48	192 46	190 28	177 78
68.	112 56	117 47	130 47	132 32	65 61	111 69
69.	40 93	42 72	47 37	48 11	10 50	37 93
70.	40 93	42 72	47 37	48 11	10 50	35 54
71.	15 35	21 36	41 45	42 10	52 49	34 55
72.	33 26	37 38	41 45	42 10	45 93	40 02
73.	62 43	70 48	82 90	102 24	118 10	87 23
74.	---	---	---	---	---	---
75.	---	---	---	---	---	---

WATERMASTER				ASSISTANT WATERMASTER				Other Expense		Total Costs	
Year	Days	Salary	Total	Days	Salary	Total					
19 <u>80</u>		6,500 00						4,910 18		11,410 18	
19 <u>81</u>		7,000 00						5,417 04		12,417 04	
19 <u>82</u>		7,700 00						5,911 20		13,611 20	
19 <u>83</u>		8,000 00						6,084 74		14,084 74	
19 <u>84</u>		8,000 00						6,700 00		14,700 00	
Average		7,440 00						5,804 63		13,244 63	
WATERMASTER'S PROPOSED BUDGET											
Next Year		8,300 00						7,500 00		15,800 00	

PROFIT

Page 3

1984



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

19 September 2003

Circle Two Bar Ranch, Inc.
% Mary Ann Burch
950 East 700 North
Shelly, ID 83274

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claims 27-2018

Subject: CHANGES IN PLACE OF USE (POU) & POINT OF DIVERSION (POD)

Response Date: 19 October 2003

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources initial investigation of the Notice of Claim listed above indicates that there has been a change in the point of diversion and place of use from the original license.

A water right owner who has made a change in use of a water right prior to commencement of the SRBA without prior IDWR approval may claim the change in the SRBA. IDWR may recommend a right as changed, however, only if the change meets certain requirements. One of those requirements is that the changes not result in injury to other water rights, or that the change be conditioned to prevent injury to other water rights.

Before IDWR may recommend your claim, you must provide IDWR with the date the change was made and some evidence tending to support that date. If the change was made before November 19, 1987, then the date provided must include the month, day and year. If the change was made after November 19, 1987, then a specific date is not necessary, and the evidence need only support that the change occurred sometime after November 19, 1987.

PROFILE

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible.

This office must receive your response by the above referenced response date. If no response is received, then your claim will be recommended to the SRBA Court to be disallowed. If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,



Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp

Enclosure:

Copy of Claim(s) 27-2018

Copy of Application for Transfer of Water Right No. 2318

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 27-2018

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 27-2018

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	CRAIG WATTS
	, ZZ
Current Owner	CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC C/O MARY ANN BURCH 950 E 700 N SHELLEY, ID 83274 (208)346-6887

Priority Date: 11/21/1910

Basis: License

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
BLACKFOOT RIVER	SNAKE RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	/ to /	3.200 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	3.200 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

BLACKFOOT RIVER	NE1/4NW1/4	Sec. 27, Twp 04S, Rge 39E, B.M.
BINGHAM County		
BLACKFOOT RIVER	NE1/4NE1/4	Sec. 28, Twp 04S, Rge 39E, B.M.
BINGHAM County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
04S	39E	27					10.0	30.0	12.0	20.0									72.0
04S	39E	28	35.0	20.0	20.0	13.0													88.0

Total Acres: 160

Conditions of Approval:

1. R01 A measuring device of a type approved by this Department shall be maintained as a part of the diverting works.
2. R04 Use of water under this water right will be regulated by the watermaster of State Water District No. &DISTRICT.

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 27-2018

1. General TRANS. 2318.

Comments:

Dates and Other Information:

Licensed Date: 12/26/1979
Decreed Date:
Water Supply Bank Enrollment Accepted:
Water Supply Bank Enrollment Removed:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
State or Federal:
Owner Name Connector:
Water District Number: 27
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Decree Defendant:
Decree Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

B. DESCRIPTION OF PORTION OF RIGHT OWNED BY APPLICANT (If the entire right is owned by the applicant, omit part B).

1. Amount of water: a. _____ cubic feet per second
&/or
b. _____ acre feet per annum
2. Point of diversion _____ ¼ _____ ¼, Section _____ Township _____
Range _____, B.M., _____ County
Additional points _____

3. Place of use: _____

[illegible]


C. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT OR PORTION THEREOF, AFTER THE REQUESTED CHANGE

1. Change in point of diversion
- a. Amount of water to be diverted 3.20 c.f.s. &/or _____ acre feet
- b. Point(s) of diversion NE 1/4 NW 1/4, Section 27 Township 4S
Range 39E, B.M., Bingham County
Additional points NE 1/4 NE 1/4, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E
- c. Description of diverting works pump and open discharge flood irrigation
- d. Describe the reasons for applying for a change in the point(s) of diversion
point of diversion is closer to place of use
2. Change in place of use NO CHANGE
- a. Describe the lands or place of use to which the right will be transferred:

[illegible]

Total number of acres to be irrigated _____

3. Draw a map indicating the new point(s) of diversion and/or the new land to be irrigated. Show clearly the land involved by section, township and range number.



SCALE: 2 inches equal 1 mile. Draw plan in ink.


MICROFILMED

SCALE: 2 INCHES=1 MILE.

PLAN IN INK.

Printed

Sale by the Statesman Shop, Boise, Idaho.



Map 4.8 T.38 E.18 M.

RECEIVED
SEP 5 1911
OFFICE OF STATE ENGINEER

6875

Transfer No. 2318
Water Right No. 27 2018

Water Right No. 27 2018

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT

To Change the Point of Diversion and/or the Place of Use **APPROVED**

Name of applicant Circle Two Bar Ranch Inc. Phone 346-6887
Post office address c/o J. V. Burch, Rt. #2, Box 142, Shelley, Idaho 83274

A. DESCRIPTION OF RIGHT AS RECORDED

1. Right evidenced by:
- a. Decree to _____ (Name)
in case of _____ vs _____
dated _____ in _____ county of _____
(Name of court)
- b. License No. 27-2018 issued by the Idaho Department of Water Resources
- c. Claim No. _____ on file with the Idaho Department of Water Resources
2. If applicant is not the original holder of this right, explain how it was acquired and attach a copy of the deed or other evidence of ownership of the land to which the right is appurtenant _____
Through purchase of property (Craig Watts sold to Billy England, to Dan Morgan,
to Lorin Hogan, to Earl Ackerman who formed Circle Two Bar Ranch)
3. Source of water Blackfoot River tributary to Snake River
4. Date of priority 11/21/1910
5. Water is used for the following purposes:
amount 3.20 cfs for Irrigation purposes from * to *
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
amount _____ for _____ purposes from _____ to _____
(month/day - both dates inclusive)
6. Total amount of water under right: a. 3.20 cubic feet per second
&/or
b. _____ acre feet per annum
7. Location of original point of diversion is NW 1/4 of SE 1/4 of Section 27
Township 4S Range 39E, B.M., in Bannock** County
Additional points of diversion, if any SE 1/4, Sec. 27, T. 4S, R. 39E

8. Lands irrigated or place of use:

[illegible]

Total number of acres to be irrigated	160
---------------------------------------	-----

9. Describe any other water rights used for the same purposes as described above

*Not stated on license

** Originally described as Bannock which has since subdivided and is now in Bingham

4. Remarks _____

I hereby assert that no one will be injured by such change and the change does not constitute an enlargement in use of the original right. The information contained in this application is true to the best of my knowledge.

CIRCLE TWO RANCH, INC.
by J. V. Burrell, Sec.
(Signature of applicant)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th day of May, 19 79.

Carlson
(Notary public)

My commission expires 6-15-81

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

This is to certify that I have examined Application for Transfer of Water Rights No. _____ and said application is hereby APPROVED, subject to the following limitations and conditions:
(1) A totalizing measuring device of a type approved by the Department shall be permanently installed and maintained as part of the diverting works. (2) Use of water under this right is subject to control by the water master of State Water District No. 27, Blackfoot River.

Witness my hand this 13 day of July, 19 79.

Allen

FOR DEPARTMENT USE ONLY

Received by <u>dh</u>	Date <u>5-18-79</u>	Protest filed by _____
Prelim. check by <u>je</u>	Fee <u>\$25.00</u>	_____
Received by <u>dh</u>	Date <u>5-18-79</u> #11886	_____
Published in <u>Blackfoot News</u>		Copies of protest forwarded by _____
Pub. dates _____		Hearing held by _____ Date _____
Watermaster recommendation requested on _____		Recommended for <u>approval</u> denial
<u>4/4/79</u> rec'd. <u>4/22/79</u>		by <u>Ernest Carlson</u> J.S.

Warranty Deed

THIS INDENTURE, Made this 20th day of September
in the year of our Lord One Thousand Nine Hundred and sixty-five, between
Lorin W. Hogan and Berneice L. Hogan, husband and wife,
of Grace, County of Caribou, State of Idaho,
the parties of the first part, and

Earl Ockerman and Leonore Ockerman, husband and wife,
of Shelley, County of Bingham, State of Idaho,
the parties of the second part:

WITNESSETH: That the said parties of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of
THIRTY-FIVE THOUSAND AND NO/100- - - - - DOLLARS,
lawful money of the United States of America, to them in hand paid by the said parties of the second part, the receipt
whereof is hereby acknowledged

, do by these presents, Grant, Bargain, Sell and Convey and Confirm unto said parties
of the second part, and to their heirs and assigns forever: All that certain lot
piece or parcel of land situate, lying and being in the County of Bingham, State of Idaho, bounded
and more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Township 4 South, range 39 East of the Boise meridian:

Section 27: E1/4, NW1/4, EXCEPT THEREFROM, Beginning at the West quarter corner
of said Section, and running
thence North 860 feet;
thence North 46°00' East 120 feet;
thence North 83°30' East 300 feet;
thence South 61°00' East 720 feet;
thence South 30°30' East 730 feet;
thence West 1380 feet to the point of beginning.
NE1/4, EXCEPT THEREFROM, Beginning at the West quarter corner of
said Section and running
thence East 1380 feet to the true point of beginning;
thence South 43°40' East 1825 feet;
thence West 1320 feet;
thence North 1320 feet;
thence East 60 feet to the true point of beginning.

Section 28: NE1/4, EXCEPT THEREFROM, Beginning at the East quarter corner of said
Section, and running
thence West 2640 feet;
thence North 460 feet;
thence North 71°00' East 1750 feet;
thence South 21°00' East 860 feet;
thence North 46°00' East 950 feet;
thence South 660 feet to the point of beginning.

together with forty-five shares of the stock of Eastern Idaho Grazing Association.

Together with all and singular, the tenements, hereditaments and appurtenances thereunto belonging or in anywise appertaining, and
the rents, issues and profits thereof.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD, all and singular, the said premises, together with the appurtenances and privileges thereunto incident
unto the said parties of the second part, and to their heirs and assigns forever. And the said
parties of the first part, and their heirs the said premises in the quiet and peaceable possession
of the said parties of the second part their heirs and assigns against the said parties of the
first part, and their heirs and against all and every person or persons whomsoever, lawfully claiming
or to claim the same, shall and will warrant and by these presents forever defend.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, The said parties of the first part have hereunto set their hands
and seals the day and year first above written.

Signed, Sealed and Delivered in the Presence of

_____ } Lorin W. Hogan [Seal]
Berneice L. Hogan [Seal]

_____ [Seal]
_____ [Seal]

MICROFILMED

NOTICE OF PROPOSED
CHANGE OF
WATER RIGHT

NO. 27-2018

Notice is hereby given that Circle Two Bar Ranch, Inc., Shelley, Idaho has applied to the Department of Water Resources for a change in the point of diversion of the waters of Blackfoot River tributary to Snake River. The right sought to be changed is evidenced by License No. 27-2018 and is recorded as follows:

AMOUNT: 3.20 cfs
PRIORITY: Nov 21, 1910

DIVERSION POINT: NW¼SE¼,
SE¼SE¼, Sec. 27, T. 4S, R. 39E,
B.M., Bingham Co.

The water is used to irrigate 160 acres located within the NW¼, Sec. 27, NE¼, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

TO BE CHANGED AS
FOLLOWS

The water will be diverted from within the NE¼NW¼, Sec. 27, NE¼NE¼, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

Any protest against approval of the above proposed change of water right must be filed with the Idaho

Department of Water Resources,
1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls,
Idaho 83401 on or before June 25,
1979.

C. STEPHEN ALLRED
Director

(6090)
Pub: 6/7, 14

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

County of Bingham

I, State of Idaho David Mark Brown)
do solemnly)

Publisher

swear that I am the Publisher of The Blackfoot News which is a daily newspaper of general circulation published each day except Sunday at Blackfoot, Bingham County, Idaho, said newspaper having been so published at Blackfoot, aforesaid for more than one year past; that said newspaper heretofore complied with all the provisions of Chapter 134 of the Session Laws of Idaho of 1923, approved March 11, 1923, and duly designated, pursuant to law, a particular day of the week as the day on which legal notices required by law or by the order of any Court of competent jurisdiction within the State of Idaho shall be published to-wit: Thursday of each week; that the notice attached hereto and which is a part of this affidavit and part of the proof of publication was published in said issue or said

newspaper two consecutive weeks

the first publication having been made on the

7th day of June, 19 79

and the last publication having been made on the

11th day of June, 19 79

that said notice was published in the regular and entire issue of every number of the paper as aforesaid during the period and time of publication, and that notice was published in the newspaper and not in a supplement.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 18th

day of June, 19 79

Delvaire H. Johnson

Notary Public for the State of Idaho,
Residing at Blackfoot, Idaho

My commission expires
Oct. 30, 1980

RECEIVED
JUN 19 1979

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

JUN 19 1979

RECEIVED

 Bannock County *
 Blackfoot River *
 3.2 cubic ft. per second *
 Irrigation and domestic *
 Priority 11-21-1910 *

S T A T E O F I D A H O

WATER LICENSE NO. 6875

WHEREAS, On the 21st day of November, A. D. 1910, CRAIG WATTS, of Chesterfield, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, duly made application (No. 9937) to me for a permit to use three and two-tenths (3.2) cubic feet per second of the waters of BLACKFOOT RIVER, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, for irrigation and domestic purposes, and,

WHEREAS, On the 3rd day of December, A. D. 1910, Permit No. 6875, was issued to said applicant for the diversion of said water and providing for the completion of the works of diversion therein described on or before the 3rd day of June, A. D. 1911, and for the application to beneficial use of said water on or before the 3rd day of June, A. D. 1912, and

WHEREAS, On the 24th day of June, 1911, the holder of said permit filed application for extension of time within which to complete works, said application being duly approved by the State Engineer, whereby the time for completion of works under said permit was extended to August 21, 1911, and

WHEREAS, On the 21st day of August, A. D. 1911, CRAIG WATTS, the holder of said permit duly made proof of the completion of adequate works for the diversion of 3.2 cubic feet per second of said waters, as evidenced by my Certificate No. 6875, dated September 12, 1911, confirming the completion of works of sufficient capacity for diverting and conveying to the place of intended use 3.2 cubic feet per second of water, with date of priority of November 21, 1910, and,

WHEREAS, On the 21st day of August, A. D. 1911, CRAIG WATTS, the holder of said permit duly made proof of the application to beneficial use of 3.2 cubic feet per second of said water:

NOW, THEREFORE, By virtue of authority vested in me by the laws of the State of Idaho, I hereby grant and confirm to CRAIG WATTS, of Chesterfield, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, the holder and owner of said Permit No. 6875, a perpetual right, dating from November 21, 1910, to the use of three and two-tenths (3.2) cubic feet per second of the waters of BLACKFOOT RIVER, in the County of Bannock and State of Idaho, or so much thereof as may be necessary for the purposes hereinbelow mentioned, to be diverted at two points - one in NW4 SE4 and one in SE4 of SE4, Section 27, Township 4 South, Range 39 East of Boise Meridian, and conducted to and upon 160 acres as follows: 10 acres in NE4 of NW4: 30 acres in NW4 of NW4: 12 acres in SW4 of NW4: 20 acres in SE4 of NW4: Section 27: 35 acres in NE4 of NE4: 20 acres in NW4 NE4: 20 acres in SW4 NE4 and 13 acres in SE4 of NE4, Section 28, Township 4 South, Range 39 East of Boise Meridian, for the purpose of irrigation and domestic use, subject, however, to the laws of the State of Idaho applicable to a license for the use of the waters of the State, and subject, also, to the local or community customs, rules and regulations which have been or may be adopted from time to time by a majority of the users from a common source of supply, canal or lateral from which such water may be taken, when such rules and regulations have for their object the economical use of such water.

WITNESS, My hand and the seal of my office at Boise, Idaho, this 12th day of September, A. D. nineteen hundred and eleven.

A. E. Robinson,
 State Engineer.

27 2018

27-2018

No. 9937

APPLICATION FOR PERMIT

To Appropriate the Public Waters of the State of Idaho

1. Name of applicant: Craig Watts,

Postoffice address: Chesterfield,

County: Bannock State: Ida.

2. The quantity of water claimed is $3\frac{1}{5}$ cubic feet per second.

3. Source of water supply: Blackfoot river.

County of Bingham

4. Location of point of diversion: N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ S. $\frac{1}{2}$ No. 1 | S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ No. 2 S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ S. 27 T 4 S.R. 39 E

5. To be used for:

I. Irrigation and domestic use:

(a) Amount of land to be irrigated: 160 acres.

(b) In the following legal subdivisions: N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ S. 28. N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ S. 27. tp. 4 S.R. 39E.

6. Estimated cost of works: One Thousand dollars.

7. Description of works for diversion:

I. Kind of works: Diversion dams, and ditches.

II. Dimensions of works:

(a) Height of dam 2 feet, Material used in construction. log and rock.

(c) Size of headgate: Width 3 feet, height $3\frac{1}{2}$ feet.(d) Conduit Ditch, width at bottom No. 1 No. 2 feet, width at water line No. 1 No. 2
No. 2 2 feet, depth of water No. 1 2 feet No. 2 1 foot. Length of conduit is $\frac{1}{3}$ No. 1 No. 2
5/8 milesand it crosses the following quarter sections: No. 1 N.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of S.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ and S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ of
N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$ of S. 27 No. 2 W. $\frac{1}{2}$ of S.E. $\frac{1}{4}$ S. 27 to land described in 5 I (b) above which
is the point of intended use.

8. The time required for the completion of the construction of such work is - completed

9. Time required for the complete application of the water to the proposed use is 1 year
additional.BE IT KNOWN That the undersigned hereby makes application for a permit to appropriate the
public waters of the State of Idaho as herein set forth.

Craig Watts.

Applicant.

APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER.

The number of this permit is 6875.

Date of first receipt of application 9.00 a.m. November 21, 1910.

Recorded in Book 20, Page 6875. Approved December 3, 1910.

This is to certify that I have examined the within application for a permit to appropriate the public waters of the State of Idaho and hereby grant the same, subject to the following limitations and conditions:

Work to begin on or before February 1, 1911, and to continue diligently and uninterruptedly to completion, unless temporarily interrupted by circumstances over which permit holder has no control.

One-fifth of the work above specified to be completed on or before March 3, 1911.

The whole of said work to be completed on or before June 3, 1911.

The time for proof of beneficial use of water appropriated in accordance herewith, extended to June 3, 1912.

Witness my hand this third day of December, 1910.

D. G. Martin
State Engineer.

PERMIT NO. 6875

APPLICATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME

STATE OF IDAHO.)
County of Bannock.) ss

Craig Watts, being first duly sworn, upon his oath deposes and says:

He is the owner and holder of Permit to appropriate the Public waters of the State of Idaho, No. 6875.

Under the terms and conditions of said permit, construction work thereunder was required to be completed by June 3rd, 1911.

THAT, he is unable to complete such work within the required time, for the following reasons, to-wit:

I am 20 miles from a Postoffice and snowed in and was unable to get mail until it was too late to make proof on the 3rd of June, and sick horses and bad weather.

WHEREFORE, he asks that the State Engineer extend the time for the completion of construction work under said permit, to August 21st, 1911.

And further, deponent saith not.

Craig Watts

Subscribed and Sworn to before me this 23rd day of June.

C. V. Call, Justice of the Peace

My Commission expires 1912.

Date of receipt at office of State Engineer 9:00 A. M. June 24, 1911.

APPROVAL OF STATE ENGINEER.

I, A. E. Robinson, State Engineer of the State of Idaho, hereby extend the time for the completion of construction work under Permit to appropriate the Public Waters of the State of Idaho, No. 6875, to August 21, 1911.

Witness my hand this 24th day of June, 1911.

A. E. Robinson,
State Engineer

Notice of proof of completion of works and beneficial use of water received May 31, 1910, fixing August 21, 1911, as date and Chesterfield as place of proof.

Notice returned June 1st for completion as to date of proof and applicant asked to file application for extension of time.

Application for extension of time received and duly approved extending time for completion of works to August 21, 1911.

Notices of proof again received, setting August 21st as date and Chesterfield as place of proof.

Publication notice mailed the Idaho Republican, at Blackfoot, July 13th, and deposition blanks mailed C. V. Call, J. P. At Chesterfield.

Water Commissioner notified July 19th to make examination of works.

Affidavit of Publication received August 12, 1911

Depositions and fees received August 21, 1911

Report of water commissioner received September 5, 1911

Certificate and License issued September 12, 1911

Permit No. 6875

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION OF WORKS.

To All Whom it May Concern:

This is to certify that CRAIG WATTS, of Chesterfield, County of Bannock, and State of Idaho, the holder of Permit No. 6875, issued upon Application No. 9937, bearing date of priority of November 21, 1910, authorizing the diversion of 3.2 second feet of the waters of BLACKFOOT RIVER, County of Bannock, State of Idaho, for irrigation and domestic purposes, has fully complied with the provisions of the laws of the State of Idaho relating to the proof of completion of the works of diversion set out and described in said Permit; that said works are adequate for diverting and conveying to the place of intended use 3.2 second-feet of the waters of BLACKFOOT RIVER, that the point of diversion of said waters is in the Northwest quarter of Southeast quarter (NW4 SE4) and Southeast quarter of Southeast quarter (SE4 SE4) Section 27, Township 4 South, Range 39 East of Boise Meridian, and that the lands proposed to be irrigated by the use of said water are described as follows, to-wit: Northeast quarter (NE4) Section 28 and Northwest quarter (NW4) Section 27, Township 4 South, Range 39 East of Boise Meridian.

Witness my hand this 12th day of September, A. D. 1911

A. E. Robinson,

State Engineer of the
State of Idaho.

License issued for ^{g²} second ~~and~~

Page 895

WATER RIGHT NUMBER 27-2018

OWNER LAST NAME - WATTS FIRST NAME - CRAIG ORIG OWNER - 0
OWNER LAST NAME - CIRCLE TWO BAR RANCH INC. ✓ FIRST NAME - ORIG OWNER -
ADDRESS LINE 1 - C/O J. V. BURCH ADDRESS LINE 2 - RT. 2 BOX 142
ADDRESS LINE 3 - CITY - SHELLEY STATE - ID ZIP CODE - 83274
SOURCE - BLACKFOOT RIVER TRIBUTARY OF - SNAKE RIVER
STAGE - LICENSE STATUS - PRIORITY - 11-21-1910 COUNTY - BINGHAM ✓ TOTAL DIV. RATE - 3.2000 -CFS
TOTAL DIV. VOLUME - .00 AFA TRFR/AMEND - T WATER DIST. - 27 ✓ DLE/CAREY ACT - RIGHT BASIS -
DIVERSION POINT - NENW 27 04S 39E LOT REDIV - 272.0 475.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.6
NENE 28 04S 39E LOT REDIV - 272.0 475.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 62.5
FROM - TO - USE - IRRIGATION USE DIV. RATE - 3.2000 CFS USE DIV. VOLUME - .00 AFA
USE - IRRIGATION PLACE OF USE - TWN 04S RNG 39E SEC 28 TRACT NENE LOT AC 35 TRACT NENE LOT AC 20
TRACT SWNE LOT AC 20 TRACT SENE LOT AC 13
USE - IRRIGATION PLACE OF USE - TWN 04S RNG 39E SEC 27 TRACT NENW LOT AC 10 TRACT NWNW LOT AC 30
TRACT SWNW LOT AC 12 TRACT SENW LOT AC 20
DEGREE NAME - DATE - TOTAL ACRES 160
REMARKS - TRANS. 2318.
NON IRRIG USE -
OTHER RIGHTS FOR SAME USE -
PROOF DUE - PERMIT/DENIED - PROTESTED - HEARING - OLD NO. - 6875 ✓
PROOF MADE - FLD EXAM - COND. OF APPROVAL - R04 R01 ✓
DIVERSION MEANS -

WAX 07/19/77

IDENT. NO. 27-2018

I D A H O D E P A R T M E N T O F W A T E R R E S O U R C E S

WATER RIGHT DATA ENTRY LOG

[illegible]

WATER RIGHT ANALYSIS FORM

- DATA ENTRY -

Identification No. _____

Old No. _____

New No. _____

Record

1. Original Owner: Craig Watts

Present Owner: Circle Two Bar Ranch

2. Address: c/o J.V. Burch
Rt. 2 Box 142
3. City: Shelley State: Id Zip: 83274

4. A. Source of Water: Blackfoot River

B. Tributary of: Snake River

5. A. Stage (A,P,L,D,S,J): T

B. Status (D,L,R,C,A,I,F - leave blank if valid):

C. Priority Date: 11-21-1910

D. County: OS

E. Total Diversion Rate: 3.2

F. Total Diversion Volume:

G. Transfer/Amendment (P,T of A or blank): T

H. Water District: -

I. DLE/Carey Act (D,C or blank):

J. Right Basis (for adjudication claims A,B,C only):

	Tract	Sec.	Twp.	Rge.	Gov't Lot	Rediv.
6. A. Point of Diversion	<u>NE NW</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>39E</u>		
	<u>NE NE</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>45</u>	<u>39E</u>		

7. Period of Use, Use, Diversion Rate/Volume:

from _____ to _____ for ir purposes. Amount 3.2
(cfs or AC-FT/yr)

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

from _____ to _____ for _____ purposes. Amount _____

8. Use Code: A

[illegible]

9. A. Decree Name:

B. Decree Date:

10. Remarks: A. Trans. 2318.
B.
C.
D.
E.

11. Other Uses (Non-irrigation explanatory information):

12. Other Rights for Same Use:

13. A. Proof Due Date:

B. Date Permit Approved or Denied:

C. Protested Date:

D. Hearing Date:

E. Old Number:

F. Proof Made (P or blank):

G. Field Exam Made (P,R,F or blank):

H. Conditions of Approval: _____

14. Diversion Means (brief narrative):

July 15, 1979

Circle Two Bar Ranch, INC.
c/o J.V. Burch
Rt. 2, Box 142
Shelley, ID 83274

Gentlemen:

RE: Transfer of Water Right No. 27-2018

Enclosed is a copy of your approved Application for Transfer of the above referenced water rights (Transfer No. 2255). Be sure to note the conditions of approval as listed on the fourth page.

Sincerely,

L. GLEN SAXTON
Chief, Operations Bureau

LGS:rr

Enclosure

RECEIVED

RECEIVED

JUN 22 1979

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

Identification No. 27-2018

Transfer No. 27-2018

APPLICATION FOR TRANSFER OF WATER RIGHT

RECOMMENDATION OF WATERMASTER

I, Ed Hall, watermaster of Blackfoot River,
of Water District No. 27, County of Bingham, State of Idaho,
whose address is Box 6 Faith, Idaho 83236,
have read the attached copy of the application for transfer of water right for
Circle Two Bar Ranch Inc and to the best of my knowledge believe the
(name)
application to be true.

A. I hereby endorse Application for Transfer and recommend that the State
Reclamation Engineer approve the Transfer subject to the following conditions:

no special conditions

OR

B. I do not endorse approval of this Application for Transfer as requested
for the following reasons:

Dated at Faith, Idaho, this 20 day of June, 1979.

Edward Hall
(Signature)

RECEIVED

MINUTES OF ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING OF BOARD OF DIRECTORS
OF CIRCLE TWO-BAR RANCH, INC.

The organizational meeting of the Board of Directors of Circle Two-Bar Ranch, Inc., was held on the 17th day of April, 1972, and immediately following the organizational meeting of the shareholders of said corporation. The meeting was held at the offices of Furchner, Martsch & Baker, at 178 West Judicial Street, Blackfoot, Idaho. Those present were Earl Ockerman, Richard Ockerman and Joseph V. Burch, being all of the directors of said corporation. Also present were Leonore Ockerman, Mary Ann Burch and Renee Ockerman, wives of the directors. Also present was James L. Martsch, attorney for the corporation.

Earl Ockerman called the meeting to order and acted as temporary chairman.

Upon due and regular nominations and voting, the following persons were elected as officers of this corporation, to hold office until the annual meeting of the Board of Directors or until their successors are elected and qualified:

Earl Ockerman, President
Richard Ockerman, Vice-President
Joseph V. Burch, Secretary-Treasurer

The corporate By-Laws and the corporate seal, as heretofore approved and adopted by the shareholders at their organizational meeting, were duly and regularly approved and adopted by unanimous vote of the Board of Directors.

The transfer of farming and livestock assets owed by Earl Ockerman and Leonore Ockerman to the corporation was discussed. Upon motion made, seconded and unanimously carried, the President and Secretary were authorized to cause to be issued, properly executed and delivered to Earl Ockerman and Leonore Ockerman, husband and wife, and as community property, 4,000 shares of capital stock of this corporation and in exchange therefor, they are to forthwith transfer and convey to the corporation, and the corporation will accept the same in full payment of said shares all of the following described real and personal property, to-wit:

Cash for operation capital in the sum of \$1,000.00.

Personal Property:

One 1971 Dodge Two Ton Truck
One 1972 Dodge Three Quarter Ton Truck
45 Shares of Eastern Idaho Grazing Association stock
All livestock of every name and nature presently owned and used by said Earl Ockerman and Leonore Ockerman, whether or not shown on their depreciation schedule and including, but not limited to, the following:

100 Herford Cows
114 Yearlings
7 Bulls



June 8, 1979

**Ed Hall, Watermaster
Water District # 27
P. O. Box 6
Firth, Idaho 83236**

Dear Mr. Hall:

Re: Application for Transfer No. 27-2018

Please find enclosed a copy of the above referenced application and a watermaster recommendation form. Please review the application and complete the form, returning it to this office on or before June 25, 1979.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

**RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region**

RDC:dh

Enclosure

MICROFILMED

June 4, 1979

Ed Hall, Watermaster
Water District # 27
P. O. Box 6
Firth, Idaho 83236

Dear Mr. Hall:

Re: Application for Permit No. 27-2018

We are enclosing a copy of an application that may be of interest to your water district. Please review the application and submit any comments you may have to our Department as soon as possible. The last date of pretest is June 23, 1979 and we are required to take final action shortly thereafter.

If we may be of further assistance to you, please feel free to contact this office.

Very truly yours,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor
Eastern Region

RDC:dh

Enclosure

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Eastern Region

1515 Lincoln Road
Idaho Falls, ID 83401
(208) 523-7186

JUNE 1, 1979

The Blackfoot News
P. O. Box 70
Blackfoot, Idaho 83221

Gentlemen:

Enclosed you will find a legal notice which we wish to have published on the dates indicated (once a week for two consecutive weekly issues) in your newspaper. We have allowed ample time for you to submit a galley proof to this office for review before the first publication date. If you cannot publish the notice on the proposed dates, please contact us immediately.

An affidavit of publication must be submitted to the Department along with the publication bill. Your cooperation is appreciated.

Please send the affidavit of publication and publication billing to this office before JUNE 25, 1979.

Yours truly,

RONALD D. CARLSON
Supervisor

Encl.

RECEIVED
JUN 11 1979

**NOTICE OF PROPOSED
CHANGE OF
WATER RIGHT**

NO. 27-2018

Notice is hereby given that Circle Two Bar Ranch, Inc., Shelley, Idaho has applied to the Department of Water Resources for a change in the point of diversion of the waters of Blackfoot River tributary to Snake River. The right sought to be changed is evidenced by License No. 27-2018 and is recorded as follows:

AMOUNT: 3.20 cfs
PRIORITY: Nov. 21, 1910
DIVERSION POINT: NW¼SE¼, SE¼SE¼, Sec. 27, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M., Bingham Co.

The water is used to irrigate 160 acres located within the NW¼, Sec. 27, NE¼, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

**TO BE CHANGED AS
FOLLOWS**

The water will be diverted from within the NE¼NW¼, Sec. 27, NE¼NE¼, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

Any protest against approval of the above proposed change of water right must be filed with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, 1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401 on or before June 25, 1979.

C. STEPHEN ALLRED
Director

(6090)
Pub: 6/7, 14

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CHANGE OF WATER RIGHT

NO. 27-2018

Notice is hereby given that Circle Two Bar Ranch, Inc., Shelley, Idaho has applied to the Department of Water Resources for a change in the point of diversion of the waters of Blackfoot River tributary to Snake River. The right sought to be changed is evidenced by License No. 27-2018 and is recorded as follows:

AMOUNT: 3.20 cfs PRIORITY: Nov. 21, 1910

DIVERSION POINT: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 27, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M., Bingham Co.

The water is used to irrigate 160 acres located within the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

TO BE CHANGED AS FOLLOWS

The water will be diverted from within the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 28, T. 4S, R. 39E, B.M.

Any protest against approval of the above proposed change of water right must be filed with the Idaho Department of Water Resources, 1515 Lincoln Road, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401 on or before June 25, 1979.

C. STEPHEN ALLRED
Director

Published in the Blackfoot News, Blackfoot, Idaho on June 7 & 14, 1979.

MAILED
JUN 14 1979

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
TRANSFER ANALYSIS SHEET

INITIAL REVIEW BY: Ernest Carlson

- ✓ 3.5. 1. Right number 27-2018 Applicant Circle Two Bar Ranch Inc.
2. Type of right: ☐ Decree ☒ License ☐ Claim
3. Purpose of transfer: ☒ Change point of diversion ☐ Add diversion point(s) ☐ Change place of use
☐ Other _____
4. Check:
- ✓ a. ☒ Source ☒ Priority ☒ Amount ☒ Purpose ☒ Period of use
- ✓ b. ☒ Description of original point of diversion.
- ✓ c. ☒ Description of original place of use.
5. Amount of land &/or water transferred:
- ✓ a. ☒ All of right.
- b. ☐ Part of right (NOTE! Part B should be filled in only if part of the right is owned by the applicant).
- ✓ c. ☒ Check description of point(s) of diversion.
- d. ☒ Check description of place of use. No Change
- ✓ e. ☒ Check map (shows p.d. and p.u. after transfer).
- ✓ f. ☒ Check for correct signature, notarization, and fee.
- ✓ g. ☒ Check proof of ownership of right &/or land from which water is transferred.
- h. ☒ Check for expansion in use of right. Comments Place of Use Unchanged. N/A
- i. ☒ Check for existing water rights from same source, on land water is being transferred to.
Right number(s) _____
- j. ☐ Is use within a Watermaster District? ☒ Yes ☐ No
If yes, what district? W. D. No. 27 - Ed Hall

FINAL PROCESSING REVIEW BY: _____

1. Watermaster recommendation requested on 6-8-79 Received on 6-22-79
2. Is transfer published correctly? ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Protest received? ☐ Yes ☒ No

RECOMMENDED ACTION


1. ☒ Approve
2. ☐ Deny
3. Conditions* 1. Totalizing measuring device at points of diversion.
2. Water master control, water district 27
4. By Ernest Carlson Date 6/25/79

* All uses within Watermaster Districts require special conditions.

SCALE: 2 INCHES=1 MILE.

PLAN IN INK.

Printed at the Statesman Shop, Boise, Idaho.



REPORT OF ENGINEER

1. State name of applicant and number of permit: Craig Watts - 6875
2. State source of water supply: Blackfoot River
3. Location of point of diversion: (1) NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ (2) SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Section 27, Tp. 4 S., Range 33, East of Boise Meridian.
4. State whether or not the works of diversion are fully completed and in accordance with terms of permit: Yes
5. Describe works as they exist, giving dimensions of same as follows:
- (a) Width of conduit at bottom: 2'
 - (b) Width at water line: 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ '
 - (c) Depth of water: 1'
 - (d) Grade per mile: 10'
 - (e) Capacity of ditch: 4 second feet.
6. State whether or not water has been turned into works of diversion and if so, to what extent:
Has been used several years
7. Give exact location of lands to be irrigated. Give exact number of acres under cultivation in each forty acre tract:
- | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----------------|
| <u>NE$\frac{1}{4}$ N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 27</u> | <u>Cultivated</u> | <u>10 acres</u> |
| <u>N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$ N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>30 "</u> |
| <u>SW$\frac{1}{4}$ N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>12 "</u> |
| <u>SE$\frac{1}{4}$ N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>20 "</u> |
| <u>NE$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" 28 "</u> | <u>35 "</u> |
| <u>N.W.$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>20 "</u> |
| <u>SW$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>20 "</u> |
| <u>SE$\frac{1}{4}$ NE$\frac{1}{4}$</u> | <u>" " "</u> | <u>13 "</u> |
8. Submit map on enclosed plat, source of supply, point of diversion, route of ditch or ditches and cross hatch lands to be irrigated.

Remarks:

You will see by the plat that there is two ditches
Each of them are the same dimensions

STATE OF IDAHO,

County of _____

ss.


_____, being first duly sworn, deposes and says that the statements contained in the within report are correct to the best of his knowledge and belief.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this _____

day of _____

1911

My Commission Expires _____



6875

Bz

Notary Public.