

08/20/2004

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-37L

NAME AND ADDRESS: LORETTE ANDERSON
264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

DONALD ANDERSON
264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 1.080 CFS

This right when combined with all other rights shall provide no more than .02 cfs per acre nor more than 4.0 afa per acre for irrigation of the lands above.

PRIORITY DATE: 03/20/1886

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03S R35E S8 SWSENE Lot 8 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	1.080 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T03S R34E S13 NWSE 33.0

33 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: **Decreed**

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH CENTRAL DITCH.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-37L



2000 0 2000 4000 Feet


IRRIGATED ACRES 33.0

LEGEND

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections
- Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T3S, R34E:
(part of) Sec. 13, NWSE.

Point(s) of Diversion: T3S, R35E:
Sec. 8, SWSENE.




GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: August 2004

SCANNED
JAN 10 2006

12/21/2000

Water Right Claim Number 27-00037L
Township , 3S34E



400 0 400 800 Feet



SPATIAL
DYNAMICS

08/24/2004

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 27-37L

LORETTE ANDERSON
264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208)785-7181


DONALD ANDERSON
264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208)785-7181

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):
2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:
3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 7-5-05 SIGNATURE: Donald Anderson Lorette Anderson
Please print your name: Donald Anderson Lorette Anderson

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161



PROFILED

**SCANNED
JUL 14 2005**

**RECEIVED
JUL 13 2005
Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region**

Handwritten initials/notes in the bottom left corner.



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

30 June 2004

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Loretta & Donald Anderson
264 South 625 West
Blackfoot, ID 83221

COPY

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37L

Subject: CLAIM AMENDMENT

RESPONSE DATE: 14 July 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

Thank you for meeting with me concerning the above referenced water claim. I am recommending you amend your claim to reflect the correct acreage under irrigation.

I am enclosing the Amended Notice of Claim to A Water Right which reflects the changes discussed. Please sign this form before a notary public and return it to this office by the above response date. If we do not hear from you, then we cannot recommend the changes to the Court.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at 547-3993 in Soda Springs.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cindy E. Bird".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp

Enclosure: Amended Notice of Claim to A Water Right 27-37L

Notes

6/23/04 Amend claim and send to claimant to sign. Don purchased 16 acres from Rex Rowburg.

Evidence of ownership of water has been verified. See wetland analysis for more details. This water was sold/purchased as $1\frac{1}{2}$ " per acre instead of the normal 1" per acre as std.

* Amend claim

diversion rate $36 * 1.5 = 54$ inches. (1.08 cfs)

6-30-04 Letter to Claimant re amendment. BP

7-8-04 Received amended claim back from Claimant. BP

8/2/04 POD Central Ditch, NOT the same as 27-2125C.

SCANNED

JAN 10 2008

PROFILE

Notes

Letter to Claimant
12-803 ~~Follow~~ Evidence of priority to claimant. Bx

2-26-04 Received letter from AG's office PB:

3/24/04 Don Anderson met with me on the letter from the attorney general's office. He will try and find the additional documents that we need. CB

5/11/04 Sent claimant a reminder that we need ownership docs. Bx

5-24 Don left message re letter. This acreage is not his. Call him for more info 681-2924. Bx

1691- 1/2 interest in NWSE Sec. Lorenzo
William W. Steven
Steven 1921 - 1/10 in deeded back to Lorenzo
thompson
1937 sold to state.

20" Joyce, Novasac - cliff added? Eissel?
20- Rex - 16 acre
20- Don

40" -

90 shares - Lorenzo sold 90 shares - 60.

When sold to certip. 11/18 & 19

Riverton Dam

5/24/04 - 2pm 5/25/04 Don Anderson is coming to Soda to discuss his right.

27-37M

3/17/04 Merlin Yancey called with some documents he located in the courthouse that indicates W's Hamill only has a right to 60 inches of well water instead of the 100 inches claimed.

27-37L

Also, documentation on Don Anderson's property has only 40" instead of the 60 inches claimed.

27-37N

Merlin also found some additional documentation to connect some of the information in his file for well water.

Merlin is going to email me a copy of the documents. CB

COPY

[illegible]

Current Owner See above

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single page of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or printed text on the page.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 6/23/04

Claim Number: A27-00037L

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis _____

4 Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Document this evidence

Lucas

Reviewer: CB

Date: 6/23/84

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority Date

Claim No. _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00037L

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 3S, R 35E, Sec. 8, L-8 SE NE, County: BinghamSource: Blackfoot River Tributary: Snake River

"Central"

☒ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____

Other rights from this POD? yes, Central ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

link pod for Central ditchTransfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 6/23/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-374

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☒) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-376

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number:

A27-000374☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

duree

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer:

CB

Date:

6/23/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 374.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9

If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.

If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00037L☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	34E	13	NWSE		Bingham		~~36~~ 33 ^{CB}	Irrigation.

Total Acres ~~36~~ 33

Document how the POU was verified:

decreelink shape.Transfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 4/23/04

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2bYes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14Yes (☒) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.Yes (☐) No (☒)**Place of Use**

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-000376☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity**Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate**

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	1.08	

Overall Diversion Rate 1.08 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

duce

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/23/04**Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form**

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 37L

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☒)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☒)

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 37L

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
Yes (☐) No (☒)
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
Yes (☐) No (☐)
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
Yes (☒) No (☐)
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
Yes (☒) No (☐)
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☒)
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.
Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18
 F01 Conveyed thru central ditch Exp. Remark
 R31 Qty cond

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

see Welch Analysis

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-37L

Reviewer

CB

Date

6/23/04

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	S-Stevens	ven s Decree	Total CFS	Recommended diversion (inches)
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald	Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52	irrigation	27-2125	s	37	1.08	54.00
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27.4F	s	37	0.97	48.50
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.		1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.28	14.00
27	12017		Clements, Casey A.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.38	19.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED	irrigation		s	37	0.06	3.00
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.17	8.67
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-4G	s	37	1.30	65.00
27	12015		Hansen, Helen	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	12016		Hospkins, Robert	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
			LDS Church	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37		0.00
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason	Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27	irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation	irrigation		s	37	1.76	88.00
27	11994		Nation, Howard S.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	12014		Novosad, Joyce	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.16	8.00
27	11995		Romrell, Don	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52	irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.69	34.33
27	37	J	Winter, Norman	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.50	25.00
								TOTALS			7.96	398.00

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	R	D	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Other Water Rights Used	S = Stevens	ens Decree #	Total CFS	Claimed Diversion (Inches)	Recommended diversion (inches)	Difference in Inches		
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald			Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52		27-2125	s	37	0.64	32.00	~~54.00~~ ~~30.00~~	(2.00)		
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27.4F	s	37	1.17	58.50	58.50	0.00		
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.				1886-03-20				s	37	0.28	14.00	14.00	0.00		
27	10267		Clements, Casey A. Novasad & Hoskin			Decreed	1885-06-01				s	37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00		
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.10	5.00	5.00	0.00		
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Milner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED			s	37	0.06	3.00	3.00	0.00		
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.43	21.65	4.50	(17.15)		
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4G	s	37	2.10	105.00	62.00	(43.00)		
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.26	13.00	6.00	(7.00)		
			LDS Church			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37		0.00	2.00	NOT CLAIMED IN SRBA		
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason			Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27			s	37	0.06	3.00	0.00	(3.00)		
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation			s	37	1.76	88.00	88.00	0.00		
27	11984		Nation, Howard S.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120			s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	11995		Romrell, Don			BU	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	Y		s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52			s	37	0.60	30.00	~~6.0~~ ~~30.00~~	0.00	sent some report to Grandchildren	
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.40	20.00	17.00	(3.00)		
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.80	40.00	21.50	(18.50)		
27	37	J	Winter, Norman			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.05	2.50	0.00	(2.50)		
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.04	2.00	2.00	0.00		
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.10	5.00	0.00	(5.00)		
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.50	25.00	25.00	0.00		
										TOTALS			10.01	500.65	400.00	(102.65)		



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

12 May 2004

COPY

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Donald Anderson
264 South 625 West
Blackfoot, ID 83221

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Notice of Claim 27-37L Subject: Ownership Documentation

RESPONSE DATE: 25 May 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. The above referenced claim uses the priority date of March 20, 1886. This date is recognized as representing the 400 inches of water decreed to James G. Welch in the Stevens vs Eastern Idaho Water Company Decree dated August 1, 1891.

It is your responsibility to provide a clear chain of ownership for the land and water dating back to the 1891 decree. Therefore, we ask that you please furnish a copy of the warranty deed wherein you acquired the acreage you claim located in Township 3S, Range 35E, Section 8.


Merlin Yancey has furnished some documents. However, no documents have been furnished that connect your ownership of the land and water to the documents he has submitted. Without knowing the individual land and water transfers, it is difficult to know how many documents are missing. At this time, please provide documentation supporting transfers back to Lorenzo Thomas in 1921.

In the event documentation is not submitted to provide a clear path from the 1891 Decree to you as claimant, supporting the transfer of land and water by the above referenced response date we will be forced to recommend to the Court that your claim be disallowed.

Claim 27-37L

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at the Soda Springs
Satellite office at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Cindy E. Bird".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp

Cc: Merlin Yancey
707 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221



STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WASDEN

February 24, 2004

COPY

DONALD AND LORETTE ANDERSON
264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: SRBA Claim based on decreed water right No. 27-37 L

Dear Claimant(s):

I am the Deputy Attorney General assigned to represent the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR or the "Department") in Basin 27 in the Snake River Basin Adjudication.

The Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. IDWR information indicates that your water right claim is based on a water right decreed in *Stevens v. Eastern Idaho Water Co.*, Judgment Book 1, pp. 106-117 (Bingham County, Idaho August 1, 1891), to James Welch. This water right was decreed for 400 inches (8.0 cfs) with a March 20, 1886, priority date. This water right was numbered sometime after the decree by IDWR as water right no. 27-37.

The Department has received multiple claims to this water right totaling more than 8.0 cfs. In order to make a complete and accurate recommendation of your water right, each element of the water right must be proven. The Department needs information that shows you are a successor to the original James Welch water right. This could be established through deeds or other conveyance documents that show you own a portion of the original water right.

Please provide this information by March 26, 2004, to Cindy Bird at the Soda Springs Office for the Idaho Department of Water Resources. You can contact her at 208-547-3993. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, you can contact Cindy or you can contact me directly at 208-327-5404.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Candice M. McHugh".

Candice M. McHugh
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

c: electronically Cindy Bird, IDWR



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

9 December 2003

Donald Anderson
264 South 625 West
Blackfoot, ID 83221

COPY

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37L

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY DATE

Response Date: 8 January 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its SRBA claims review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the SRBA.

The referenced claim is not a decreed right based on Welch Irrigation. In the Stevens' 1891 decree the Welch water was decreed as mining, not irrigation and cannot be converted to irrigation. The mining purpose is non-consumptive while, irrigation is consumptive. Therefore, it can only be recommended to the Court as a beneficial use claim. However, before we can prepare the recommendation, we need evidence to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.

It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled **"Evidence of Priority"**. The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled **"What Kind of Evidence is Required"** and **"Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date"**.

If we do not hear from you by the above referenced response date we will recommend to the Court that this claim be disallowed.

If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Cindy E. Bird".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp
Enclosure: Evidence of Priority Brochure

SCANNED

JUL 13 2001

Log for claim 27-00037L

Spatial Dynamics LTD

12/21/00

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
03s34e	13	NWSE	20	20	
Totals:			20	20	

Stage: DECREED

SE

Audit: 06/04/90 VERNER 07/09/90 THOMPSON 09/27/94 JTHOMPSON 03/29/95 POST-STD

5/89
RECEIVED
 JUN 18 1990

State of Idaho
 Department of Water Resources
**REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
 TO FILE ADJUDICATION CLAIM(S)**

RECEIVED
 JUL 05 1990

Department of Water Resources

Department of Water Resources
District Office

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request by the claimant for an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

Donald Anderson
 Name of Claimant

() 208-785-7181
 Phone Number

264 South 625 West
 Mailing Address

Blackfoot
 City

ID 83221
 State

Zip

BINGHAM
 County(s) where place of use is located

6-1-89
 Date claim originally due

A27-00037L
 Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested

State why an extension of time is requested explaining how a diligent effort has been made to meet the deadline:

In January 1990 I purchased a small farm. In the transaction I was told all necessary Adjudication had been or would be taken care of. I was given a copy of the filing for Irr. Well # A27.215C They told me that the ditch water rights would be filed by the ditch company. However when I attended the first ditch water meeting I found out that they had not filed. So I called the Idaho Falls Water Resources Board for an appointment. On June 1, 1990 I filed my claim. They suggested I make this request.

Claimant requests an extension to August 10, 1990

Donald Anderson
 Signature

6-12, 1990
 Date of request

Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby [X granted] [] denied] for the following reasons:

and if granted the filing deadline is extended to July 9 1990

Signed this 24 day of June 1990

Director or his designated agent

DAVID B. SHAW

Mail to: Idaho Department of Water Resources
 1301 N. Orchard St.
 Boise, ID 83706

MICROFILMED

NOV 12 1990

EW

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-37L
Date Received: 6/30/2004
Receipt No: E014956
Received By:

AMENDED

AMENDED

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

LORETTE ANDERSON Phone: (208)785-7181

264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

DONALD ANDERSON Phone: (208)785-7181

264 S 625 W
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

2. Date of Priority: 03/20/1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
03S	35E	8	SW SE NE	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

HEAD WORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH. CEMENT DAM IN RIVER TO HEADGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/01	10/31	1.08	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
1.08 C.F.S.



8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	34E	13	NW	SE	IRRIGATION	33
					Section Acres	33
					Total Acres	33

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:
27-2125C

14. Remarks:

6-30-04 AMENDED CLAIM TO CORRECT THE POU AND DIVERSION RATE. CB

ORIGINAL DECREED TO WELCH IRR. CO. IN 10-11-1929 CONVERTED STOCK TO CENTRAL DITCH COMPANY FOR CARRYING WATER. THIS CLAIM FILED TO RECORD OWNERS PORTION OF ORIGINAL RIGHT. PARENT RIGHT FROM TRANSFER 52.

15. Basis of Claim: Decreed

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Donald Arden Date: 7-6-04
Lorith Anderson Date: 7-2-04

State of Idaho)
County of DANNOCK) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 6th day
of July, 2004

Lori J. Bills

Notary Public

Residing at Pocatello ID

My commission Expires 10-25-05



JUL 13 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

RECEIVED
JUL 05 1990

Ident. Number: A27-00037L
Date Received:
Receipt No: 5014956/90
Received By: [Signature]
#50 EXT. granted

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: DONALD ANDERSON 208-785-7181
Address: 264 S. 625 W.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221
OR
Name: LORETTE ANDERSON 208-785-7181
Address: 264 S. 625 W.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

2. Date of Priority: MAR 20, 1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	County
03S	35E	8	SW		SE		NE		BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
HEAD WORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH. CEMENT DAM IN RIVER TO HEADGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.640		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.640 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 50.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
INCIDENTAL STOCKWATERING OF 5 HEAD OF CATTLE OR HORSES

A2700037L



10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	34E	13	NW SE		IRR	20.0
Section Acres						20.0
Total Acres						20.0

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
27-02125

14. Remarks:

ORIGINAL DECREED TO WELCH IRR. CO. IN 10-11-1929 CONVERTED STOCK TO CENTRAL DITCH COMPANY FOR CARRYING WATER. THIS CLAIM FILED TO RECORD OWNERS PORTION OF ORIGINAL RIGHT. PARENT RIGHT FROM TRANSFER 52.

R.O.U. IS $8\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SEC. 13 T3S R34E *ft*

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: 8/01/1891

FRED S. STEVENS, ET AL.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO., ET AL
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Louise Anderson Date: 6-4-90

Date: _____

State of Idaho

County of

Bonneville

} SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this

4


day

of

June

19

90



Notary Public

Seal

Residing at

2466 Falls Dr.

My Commission Expires

22 Aug 1994

