

11/05/2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-37J

NAME AND ADDRESS: NORMAN WINTER
230 S 550 W NAGISTY RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.100 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 03/20/1886

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03S R35E S8 SWSENE Lot 8 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	0.100 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T03S R35E S18 SENW 10.0

10 ACRES TOTAL

Right Nos. 27-25C and 27-37J are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 10 acres in a single irrigation season.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

Water is delivered through the Central Ditch.

Right includes accomplished change in place of use pursuant to Section 42-1425, Idaho Code.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-37J



0.4 0 0.4 0.8 Miles


IRRIGATED ACRES 10

LEGEND

- ⊙ Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- ▬ Townships
- ▬ Sections
- ▬ Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T3S, R35E:
(part of) Sec. 18, SENW.

Point(s) of Diversion: T3S, R35E:
Sec. 8, SWSENE, L-8.





GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: C. Bird
Date: February 2005

SCANNED
JAN 10 2006

12/21/2000

Water Right Claim Number 27-00037J
Township , 3S35E



400 0 400 800 Feet



SPATIAL
DYNAMICS

03/03/2005

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 27-37J

Norman Winter
230 South 550 West Nagisty Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208) 785-5038

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

Quantity

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

Quantity = 0.02

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

*This is ~~not~~ the quantity shown in the Records
of the Central Pitch Co. and Central Dam.*

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 7-28-05 SIGNATURE: *Norman K. Winter*

Please print your name: *Norman K. Winter*

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr. Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, (208) 525-7161

RECEIVED

AUG 08 2005

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

SCANNED

JAN 10 2006

PROFIT

*WNT
S11*

Notes

2-28-05 Received Amended Claim BP

2/28/05. recommend as claimed. CB.

W/R side doesn't indicate a POA. See James Welch W/R included in file. CB.

11-5-05. Telecon with Merlin Yancey, secretary for Central Ditch, Merlin stated ditch company records are corroborated by recorded deed showing 5" of 25-37 were purchased from Mr. Sticklein. EMC.

Notes

12-9-03 Evidence of priority letter to Claimant. BX

2-26-04 Letter from AG's office PB

6/23/04 Based on the evidence that has been submitted and the analysis done. This right is being disallowed because no evidence to support the claimant's ownership to the Welch water.

Talk to Ennie about Remark/Cond Code. Claimant may be able to file a B.U. claim.

demanded Part, Ennie, Candice & Susan about what priority date we could give claimants on B.U. claims. CB

7-20-04 I searched the Welch timeline spreadsheet & did not find any reference to decrements for the T.R. Section & G.G. No shape prepared. BX

7/30/04 Disallowed NO response to our request for info. add P to Exp. Cond & P to Gen Remark. CB
Remark/Cond to add? I don't feel the current ones are appropriate.

2/1/05 - Based on the well irrigation analysis Norman (Kip) should have 5" of water. CB
Does he want to amend his claim?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Water Right Report 27-37C

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 27-37C

Owner Type Name and Address

Current Owner JAMES WELCH

, ZZ

Priority Date: 03/20/1886

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

Source

BLACKFOOT RIVER

Tributary

SNAKE RIVER

Beneficial Use

DOMESTIC

IRRIGATION

From To

1/01 to 12/31

/ to /

Diversion Rate

7.620 CFS

Total Diversion:

7.620 CFS

Annual Volume

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

BLACKFOOT RIVER

Place of Use

Conditions of Approval:

Remarks:

1. General TRANS. 52.
P/D NOT GIVEN IN DECREE.
P/U INCOMPLETE: KNOWN AS PLACER MINING CLAIMS LAWRENCE BAR (160 AC.),
WOODRUFF'S BAR (160 AC.) & WARRENS BAR.

Comments:

1. PSKAGGS 3/5/1998 TRANSFER 5027

Comment: Transfer 5027 changes the point of diversion & identifies the historic place of use of a portion of Rights 27-00023D (E & F), 27-00027A (C & D) and 27-00037A (C & D) splitting each right.

Bird, Cindy

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2004 2:05 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: RE: claims disallowed because of being over claimed

hi Ernie,

P16 and P06 are both that the right is being recommended as another right. What about if it is not being recommended at all? It doesn't seem like the current remarks/conditions apply. Some of these claims to the decree they just have not responded to our requests for information. Would we still consider it forfeited?

Cindy

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Friday, July 30, 2004 8:44 AM
To: Bird, Cindy
Cc: McHugh, Candice
Subject: RE: claims disallowed because of being over claimed

Good Morning Cindy,

I hesitate to suggest there is a one rule fits all application regarding recommendations on claims for over claimed decreed rights. When there has actually been irrigation, one approach is to notify the claimant that available information does not support recommending their water right as claimed and they have a couple of options. 1) Provide convincing documentation/evidence to support a favorable recommendation. 2) Provide convincing documentation/evidence to show beneficial use prior to mandatory permit date but later than the decree and amend their claim to reflect a basis of beneficial use. 3) Failure to achieve number one or two will result in the claim being disallowed usually with the explanatory condition P16 (new code) and general remark P06. In those cases where your investigation reveals the claimant clearly is not entitled to any portion of the decreed right then limit the options to number two and three. With HKM analyzing our every recommendation on the Blackfoot River and tributaries, be sure there is convincing evidence to support a beneficial use claim.

If the claimant is successful in meeting the requirements of a beneficial use right be sure to renumber the claim if the claim number is associated with a number assigned to a decree.

Ernie

-----Original Message-----

From: Bird, Cindy
Sent: Thursday, July 29, 2004 4:12 PM
To: Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: claims disallowed because of being over claimed

hi Ernie,

If a decree is over claimed and not being recommended should the claimant file a beneficial use claim or do we recommend the disallowed decree as a bu?

I wonder if we recommend the disallowed decree as a bu claim if that won't be confusing but I hate to have them have to pay the extra money to file a bu claim.

What do you think?

thanks,
Cindy

Contacts Data Entry Form

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>	<u>Phone</u>
Individual	John Doe	123 Main St	New York	NY	10001	(212) 555-1234
Company	ABC Corp	456 Market St	Los Angeles	CA	90001	(213) 555-5678
Organization	XYZ Inc	789 Broadway	Chicago	IL	60601	(312) 555-9012

Current Owner See Claim

Name connector: and ____, or ____, and/or ____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There are approximately 20 lines visible. The paper appears slightly aged or off-white. There is no handwriting or other markings on the page.

Reviewer:

Date: 4/23/04

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-37J

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 3/20/1886 Recommended Basis: decree☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

decree

Reviewer: CBDate: 6/23/04

Priority Date

Claim No. _____ - _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27.37J

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____. County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T 3S, R 35E, Sec. 8, SE, NE, L-8. County: Bingham.Source: Blk P + R. Tributary: Snake R.☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? yes, central ditch.

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CSBDate: 2/28/05

Point of Diversion

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

8. Was a transfer filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

SourceClaim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-37J Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
35	35E	18	SENE		Bingham		10	Irrigation

Total Acres 10.

Document how the POU was verified:

- Link 27-37J shape.
- Bingham Co tax lot inform.
- 1987/88 NAPP photography.

Transfers Changing POU NOReviewer: CBDate: 2/28/05

Place of Use**Claim No.** -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☒)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-37J☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

direct

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use NOReviewer: CBDate: 2/28/05

Purpose of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-37J☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.10	—

Overall Diversion Rate 0.10' Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

decreaser analysis

IDWR STD 504

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/28/05

Period of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☒)
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☒)
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes (☒) No (☐)
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes (☐) No (☒)
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes (☒) No (☐)
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☒) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐) *see.*

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☒) No (☒) *2/28/05 decree analysis CB*

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

~~DISALLOWED~~

re command as NOTED

CB 2/28/05.

Claim No.: _____

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

~~PHH~~ ~~Exp. Cond~~

~~PHH~~ ~~Gen Remark~~

C18.

F01 Conveyed thru. Central Ditch.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

see mtg Analysis

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-375

Reviewer

CB

Date

6/23/04

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	S=Stevens	ven s Decree	Total CFS	Recommended diversion (inches)
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald	Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52	irrigation	27-2125	s	37	1.08	54.00
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27.4F	s	37	0.97	48.50
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.		1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.28	14.00
27	12017		Clements, Casey A.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.38	19.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED	irrigation		s	37	0.06	3.00
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.17	8.67
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-4G	s	37	1.30	65.00
27	12015		Hansen, Helen	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	12016		Hospkins, Robert	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
			LDS Church	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37		0.00
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason	Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27	irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation	irrigation		s	37	1.76	88.00
27	11994		Nation, Howard S.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	12014		Novosad, Joyce	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.16	8.00
27	11995		Romrell, Don	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52	irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.69	34.33
27	37	J	Winter, Norman	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.50	25.00
								TOTALS			7.96	398.00



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Norman K. Winter
230 South 550 West Nagisty Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221

February 4, 2005

Dear Mr. Winter,

Please find enclosed the amended claim for 5" of decreed Blackfoot River water as discussed per phone call on Friday, February 4th.

Remember you will need to sign in front of a notary and return the claim to us in the self-addressed stamped envelope.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact the IDWR in Soda Springs at 547-3993.

Thank you,

Pauline Bassett

Enclosure

COPY



STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WASDEN

February 24, 2004

COPY

NORMAN WINTER
230 S 550 W NAGISTY RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: SRBA Claim based on decreed water right No. 27-37 J

Dear Claimant(s):

I am the Deputy Attorney General assigned to represent the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR or the "Department") in Basin 27 in the Snake River Basin Adjudication.

The Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. IDWR information indicates that your water right claim is based on a water right decreed in *Stevens v. Eastern Idaho Water Co.*, Judgment Book 1, pp. 106-117 (Bingham County, Idaho August 1, 1891), to James Welch. This water right was decreed for 400 inches (8.0 cfs) with a March 20, 1886, priority date. This water right was numbered sometime after the decree by IDWR as water right no. 27-37.

The Department has received multiple claims to this water right totaling more than 8.0 cfs. In order to make a complete and accurate recommendation of your water right, each element of the water right must be proven. The Department needs information that shows you are a successor to the original James Welch water right. This could be established through deeds or other conveyance documents that show you own a portion of the original water right.

Please provide this information by March 26, 2004, to Cindy Bird at the Soda Springs Office for the Idaho Department of Water Resources. You can contact her at 208-547-3993. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, you can contact Cindy or you can contact me directly at 208-327-5404.

Very Truly Yours,

Candice M. McHugh
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

c: electronically Cindy Bird, IDWR



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

9 December 2003

Norman Winter
230 South 550 West Nagisty Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37J

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY DATE

COPY

Response Date: 8 January 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its SRBA claims review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the SRBA.

The referenced claim is not a decreed right based on Welch Irrigation. In the Stevens' 1891 decree the Welch water was decreed as mining, not irrigation and cannot be converted to irrigation. The mining purpose is non-consumptive while, irrigation is consumptive. Therefore, it can only be recommended to the Court as a beneficial use claim. However, before we can prepare the recommendation, we need evidence to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.

It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

If we do not hear from you by the above referenced response date we will recommend to the Court that this claim be disallowed.

If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Cindy E. Bird", followed by a small mark that looks like "bjp".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp
Enclosure: Evidence of Priority Brochure

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-37J
Date Received: 5/31/1989
Receipt No: E008364
Received By:

AMENDED

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

NORMAN WINTER Phone: (208)785-5038
230 S 550 W NAGISTY RD
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

2. Date of Priority: 03/20/1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
03S	35E	8	SE NE 8	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

HEADWORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH TO FLOOD IRRIG.


6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	0.1	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.1 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:



10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	35E	18	SE	NW	IRRIGATION	10
					Section Acres	10
					Total Acres	10

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes


13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: Decreed

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.



Number of attachments: _____


For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Norma R. White* Date: 2-18-05
_____ Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bingham) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 18th day of Feb 2005



Jeremy Anderson
Notary Public

Residing at 109 S. Broadway; Blackfoot, ID 83221
My commission Expires 10/18/2010



JUL 13 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A27-00037J
Date Received: 5/31/1989
Receipt No: E008344
Received By: HLT

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

208-785-5038

1. Name: NORMAN WINTER
Address: 230 S. 550 W. NAGISTY RD.
BLACKFOOT, ID

83221

2. Date of Priority: MAR 20, 1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER

Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
03S	35E	8	SW	SE	NE		BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADWORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH TO FLOOD IRRIG.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.050		
		10/31	0.1		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.050-C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 25.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	35E	18	SE	NW		IRR	10.0
Section Acres							10.0

A27-00037J

Page 1

Date: 05/31/89

MICROFILMED

NOV 12 1992



10. Place of Use: Continued

Total Acres 10.0

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A27-00025C

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Water Right Number: 270037
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date: 8/01/1891

FRED S. STEVENS

Decree Plaintiff

vs

EASTERN IDAHO WATER COMP.

Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Norman Winter Date: 5.31.89


Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bonanza) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 31st day
of May 19 89
Seal

William Wray
Notary Public

Residing at Idaho Falls
My Commission Expires 3/29/1991



Wats **A27-00037J**

R3SE

T3S

P.O.
Black

NOV 12 1992
EBO