

11/12/2004

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-37H

NAME AND ADDRESS: DALLON WORTHINGTON
685 WEST 300 SOUTH
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.040 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 03/20/1886

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03S R35E S8 SESENE Lot 8 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
IRRIGATION

PERIOD OF USE
04/01 10/31

QUANTITY
0.040 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T03S R34E S24 NWNW 2.0

2 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: **Decreed**

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH CENTRAL DITCH.



STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources


Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-37H



IRRIGATED ACRES 2.0



2000 0 2000 4000 Feet

Place of Use:
T3S, R34E:
(part of) Sec. 24, NWNW

Point(s) of Diversion:
T3S, R35E:
Sec. 8, SESENE.

LEGEND

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections
- Quarter-Quarters



SCANNED


JAN 10 2006

PROFILED

GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: October 2004

Water Right Claim Number 27-00037H
Township , 3S34E

01/03/2001



 Irrigated Area

 Section Line

 Roads

 Place of Diversion

Quarter Section Line

 Streams and Canals

Legend



11/12/2004

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 27-37H

Dallon Worthington ← *DAILEN*
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208) 785-1984

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

DW I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 7-5-05 SIGNATURE: Dallen Worthington

Please print your name: DAILEN WORTHINGTON



IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr. Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, (208) 525-7161

SCANNED

JAN 10 2006

RECEIVED

JUL 15 2005

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

PROCESSED

*Kurt
7/15*

Notes

4-12-04 There is now Sec. 24 in the Welch timeline
docs. BP

4-13-04 Sent Mr. Worthington a letter requesting
evidence to support Welch Water claim

5-11-04 I cannot find a clear line of ownership
back to the desired date. BP

6/23/04 Welch analysis shows Dallan
Worthington as having 2" of Welch water.
Claimant has also claimed part of
Fox & Whitten 27-04 but that has not
been verified yet.

Recommend as noted.

Fox and Whitten 27-04 claim is also
used on the same POU. CB.

7-20-04 started shape. gcdb labeled wrong. Emailed
M. Ciscil. BP

Notes

- 12-8-03 Evidence of priority letter to Claimant. BP
- 12-16-03 2nd ltr is evidence of priority to new address. BP
- 12-21-03 Address correction: Jimmy Ellison, P.O. Box 199, Neah Bay, WA 98357 DB
- 2-17-04 Claimant returned 12-16 letter with notation as new owners are:
Dallon Worthington
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221
- 2-17-04 Letter to new owner is ownership change & evidence of priority date. BP
- 2-26-04 Received & filed letter from AG's office. DB
- 3/1/04 Received a call from Dallen Worthington 357-3776 on the ownership change. I explained we need the form signed, warranty deed & a copy of the plat. Also we need ownership evidence which is due 3/24/04. CB. ownership due 3/24/04
- 3/1/04 The watermaster's notes indicates 4 inches of Blackfoot water being delivered through Central ditch. CB
- 3-2-04 Received Mr. Worthington's ownership change form, warranty deed & map. DB
- 3-2-04 Sent Mr. Worthington's letter for evidence of priority needed. DB
- 4-7-04 2:04pm. Dallen Worthington called on evidence. 357-3776
CB

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----	-------

Date: 6/23/01

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry FormClaim Number: A27-00037H

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

X Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

None

Reviewer: CPBDate: 6/23/04**Priority Date Data Entry Form**

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-37H

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim Number: A27-00037H

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____. County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec. _____, _____, _____. County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
3S	3SE	8	8	SENE	Bingham	Blackfoot R.

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

GPS Coordinates: _____

Other rights from this POD? yes.

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CB

Date: 6/23/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-31H

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

8. Was a transfer filed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-37H

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00037H☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

duwe
Witch analysis

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 4/23/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27 - 37H

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00037H☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

warranty deeds (tax lot info)
dures-
1987/88 Napp photography

Transfers Changing POU

no

Reviewer:

CB

Date:

4/23/04

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes (X) No ()

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A27-00037H☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.04	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.04 Overall Diversion Volume —

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

direct

IDWR Std 504

Reviewer: C.P.Date: 6/23/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 37H

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Is a flow measurement required?
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☒)
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☒)
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☒)
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-37H

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☒)

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01

Exp Remark

Central Ditch.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

See vehicle analysis

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-37H

Reviewer

CB

Date

6/23/04



Close

From: Ciscell, Michael

To: Payne, Barbara

Cc:

Subject: RE: T3SR35E, Section 18

Sent: 7/22/2004 12:08 PM

Importance: Normal

Barbara,

No gugu stuff this time. Most of the GCDB townships along the Blackfoot are being redone as we speak. They'll be available later this fall. I would go ahead with your shape but enter the PLS manually. We can update some of this but it will get overwritten in a few months.

As for the SENE, this is an example where the BLM did not include the individual QQ breaks within a Lot. If you'll remember my last email (I know, they're forgettable) I was talking about how a Lot may encompass more than one QQ and often the BLM will divide the Lot along the QQ's with both QQ's getting the same Lot number. Didn't happen in this case and a QQ designation (pick one, NENE or SENE) was assigned.

More than you ever wanted to hear... but since it's from me you know doubt enjoyed it,

Michael

-----Original Message-----

From: Payne, Barbara

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2004 10:57 AM

To: Ciscell, Michael

Cc: Bird, Cindy

Subject: T3SR35E, Section 18

Hi Michael,

Well, here I am again with a problem that only you, the master gugu can solve. I am working on a shape in the above referenced location. The QQ for the NENE is labeled SENE. There is a tiny "lot" labeled NENE below the one labeled SESE. Help PLEASE.

Thanks,

Barbara :-}

PS Just for my information, this is in connection with project 27-37H.

Claim Summary Worksheet

Claim No. 27 - 37H

Completion of claim is dependent on processing the following documents and/or actions.	Requested	Response date	Received	Completed	Notes
OWNERSHIP					
Ownership Change Form	2/17	3/2/04	3-2-04		Dallon Worthington 357-3776
Warranty Deed	2/17	3/2	3-2-04		
Map(s)	2/17	3/2/04	3-2-04		
Is this a split? Y (N)					
AMENDMENT					
Notarized amended claim					
Correction in POD/Source					
Correction in POU					
Change in Priority Date					
Change in Diversion Rate					
ENLARGEMENT					
1. Advised claimant need to file enlargement claim					
2. Receive enlargement claim					
3. Eastern registration process					
4. Notarized claim					Enlargement Claim # -
SPLIT CLAIM	Obtain information from Eastern				Parent Right # - : CFS
Ownership					Children # - ; CFS
					Children # - ; CFS
					Children # - ; CFS
					Children Total: CFS

Children total must agree with parent right.

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	S=Stevens	ven s Decree	Total CFS	Recommended diversion (inches)
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald	Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52	irrigation	27-2125	s	37	1.08	54.00
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27.4F	s	37	0.97	48.50
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.		1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.28	14.00
27	12017		Clements, Casey A.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.38	19.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED	irrigation		s	37	0.06	3.00
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.17	8.67
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-4G	s	37	1.30	65.00
27	12015		Hansen, Helen	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	12016		Hospkins, Robert	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
			LDS Church	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37		0.00
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason	Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27	irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation	irrigation		s	37	1.76	88.00
27	11994		Nation, Howard S.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	12014		Novosad, Joyce	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.16	8.00
27	11995		Romrell, Don	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52	irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.69	34.33
27	37	J	Winter, Norman	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.50	25.00
								TOTALS			7.96	398.00

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	R	D	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Other Water Rights Used	S = Stevens	ens Decree #	Total CFS	Claimed Diversion (Inches)	Recommended diversion (inches)	Difference in Inches		
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald			Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T 52		27-2125	s	37	0.64	32.00	30.00	(2.00)		
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4F	s	37	1.17	58.50	58.50	0.00		
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.				1886-03-20				s	37	0.28	14.00	14.00	0.00		
27	10267		Clements, Casey A. Novasac & Hoskin			Decreed	1885-06-01				s	37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00		
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.10	5.00	5.00	0.00		
27	10550		Ganska, Robert L.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED			s	37	0.06	3.00	3.00	0.00		
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.43	21.65	4.50	(17.15)		
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4G	s	37	2.10	105.00	62.00	(43.00)		
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.26	13.00	6.00	(7.00)		
			LDS Church			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37		0.00	2.00	NOT CLAIMED IN SRBA		
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason			Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27			s	37	0.06	3.00	0.00	(3.00)		
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52, Welch Irrigation			s	37	1.76	88.00	88.00	0.00		
27	11994		Nation, Howard S.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120			s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	11995		Romrell, Don			BU	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	Y		s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52			s	37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00		
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.40	20.00	17.00	(3.00)		
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.80	40.00	21.50	(18.50)		
27	37	J	Winter, Norman			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.05	2.50	0.00	(2.50)		
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.04	2.00	2.00	0.00		
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.10	5.00	0.00	(5.00)		
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.50	25.00	25.00	0.00		
										TOTALS			10.01	500.65	400.00	(102.65)		

STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

NOTICE OF CHANGE IN WATER RIGHT OWNERSHIP

Please print or type. Attach pages with additional information. Instructions are included at the end of this form.
Incomplete forms will be returned.

1. Please list the water right number(s) and/or adjudication claim number(s) (if any) for each water right to be changed. List just the adjudication claim number if there is no corresponding water right record on file with the department. Indicate, by checking in the space provided (under the "split" heading), if the change in ownership is limited to a portion of a water right in which case division of the existing water right or adjudication claim record will be required.

Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split	Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split
	27-37	HL			
	27-4	R			

2. The following **REQUIRED** information must be submitted with this form:

- A. The appropriate **FILING FEE**. See instructions for fee amounts.
- B. A copy of the most recent **DEED, TITLE POLICY, CONTRACT OF SALE** or other legal document indicating your ownership of the property and water right(s) or claim(s) in question, **WITH ATTACHED LEGAL DESCRIPTION**.
- C. Either of the following (if necessary to clarify division of water rights or other complex property descriptions):
PLAT OF PROPERTY or **SURVEY MAP** clearly showing the location of the point(s) of diversion and place of use of your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) (these are usually attached to your deed or on file with the county).

OR

If your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) is for ten or more acres of irrigation, you must submit a USDA Farm Service Agency **AERIAL PHOTO** with the irrigated acres outlined and point(s) of diversion clearly marked. The **AERIAL PHOTO** should be submitted in place of the **PLAT OF PROPERTY** or **SURVEY MAP**.

3. Name and Address of Former Owner/Claimant(s)

Jimmy K. Ellison

4. New Owner/Claimant(s)

Dallen Worthington

Name Connector (Check one): ☐ and, ☐ or, ☐ and/or

New Mailing Address

685 W. 300S.

City, State and ZIP Code

Blackfoot, ID. 83221

New Telephone Number

(208) 785-1984

5. Date you acquired the property

9/5/2003



6. If the change in ownership affects the entire water right for each water right or adjudication claim number listed in item 1, THEN SKIP THIS ITEM AND GO TO ITEM 7. If the change in ownership divides the water right(s) among multiple owners, you must describe, in detail, your portion of each water right after the change. Fill in the appropriate spaces in the box(es) below to describe your water right(s) after the change (one water right per box, you may copy this page as necessary). If your quantity of water is greater than a proportionate split, you must attach documentation to show justification for a larger amount. If you are not sure how to identify your portion of the original water right or adjudication claim records, please contact the nearest IDWR office for assistance.

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR PORTION OF WATER RIGHT (If the right(s) will not be split, skip this area and proceed to item 7)		
Water Right and/or Adjudication Claim Number _____		
Water Use	Diversion Rate or Volume (cubic feet per second or acre-feet per annum)	Description (acres, number and type of stock, homes, etc)
Irrigation	_____	_____
Stock	_____	_____
Domestic	_____	_____
Other _____	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR PORTION OF WATER RIGHT (If the right(s) will not be split, skip this area and proceed to item 7)		
Water Right and/or Adjudication Claim Number _____		
Water Use	Diversion Rate or Volume (cubic feet per second or acre-feet per annum)	Description (acres, number and type of stock, homes, etc)
Irrigation	_____	_____
Stock	_____	_____
Domestic	_____	_____
Other _____	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____

7.

Signature of New Owner(s) or Claimant(s)
(include title if applicable)

Dallen Worthington

For Snake River Basin Adjudication Claims: Please attach a Notice of Appearance completed by your attorney, if you wish IDWR to correspond with him/her for all matters related to your claims.

For Office Use Only

Received by _____ Date _____ Fee _____
 Receipted by _____ Date _____ Receipt No. _____
 Processed by _____ AJ Date _____ WR _____ Date _____

WARRANTY DEED

BINGHAM COUNTY RECORDER
BLACKFOOT, IDAHO
FEE 3- DEP C

Order No.: 3020304739-Z

FOR VALUE RECEIVED

531665

2003 SEP -5 AM 10:32

RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF

Gayle M. Ellison and Jimmy K. Ellison, Wife and Husband

the grantor(s), do(es) hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey unto

Dallen Worthington and Rachel Worthington, Husband and Wife

whose current address is

685 West 300 South Blackfoot, ID 83221

the grantee(s), the following described premises, in Bingham County, Idaho, TO WIT:

A portion of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, Township 3 South, Range 34 East, Boise Meridian, Bingham County, Idaho, described as:

Beginning at the intersection of the West line of said E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and the South line of Riverton Road, said point being South 89°02' East along the Section line 662 feet and South 0°07' West along said West line 60.15 feet from the NW corner of said Section 24, and running thence South 0°07' West 342.9 feet, thence North 79°32' East 146.7 feet, thence North 67°35' East 168.5 feet, thence North 4°38' West 252.2 feet to the South line of Riverton Road, thence North 89°53' West along said South line 279 feet to the Point of Beginning.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee, heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee(s), that (s)he is/are the owner(s) in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all encumbrances Except: Current Year Taxes, conditions, covenants, restrictions, reservations, easements, rights and rights of way, apparent or of record.

And that (s)he will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever.

Dated: 8.28.03

Gayle M. Ellison

Jimmy K. Ellison

Washington
State of Idaho

County of Blaine

ss.

On this August 28, 2003, before me, a Notary Public in and for said state, personally appeared Gayle M. Ellison & Jimmy K. Ellison

known or identified to me to be the person(s) whose name(s) subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same.


IN WITNESS WHEREOF I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.



Jodean Haupt-Richards
Notary Public for the State of Washington
Residing at: Deer Bay
Commission Expires: 4-2-08

531665

14.5

[illegible]



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Dallen Worthington
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, Idaho 83221

April 13, 2004

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37H

Subject: **EVIDENCE OF OWNERSHIP ON WELCH WATER**

Response Date: May 4, 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The evidence submitted by Mr. Yancey is not sufficient to prove that you are a successor to the original James G. Welch decree. If you plan to claim Welch water you will need to submit the appropriate evidence.

Your claim could be recommended as a beneficial use claim if you can support a priority date of when the water was first put to beneficial use for the purpose claimed.

Please be advised that if we do not hear from you by the response date list above, your claim will be recommended to the Court to be disallowed.


Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird

CEB/pb

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276

Return Service Requested



The new owners of this home
are Dallan Worthington
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221
~~Jimmy Ellison~~
~~P. O. Box 199~~
~~Near Bay, WA 98357 0199~~

3A337X0199 8004





STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WASDEN

February 24, 2004

COPY

GAYLE G AND JIMMY K ELISON
PO BOX 199
NEAY BAY WA 98357-0199

RE: SRBA Claim based on decreed water right No. 27-37 H

Dear Claimant(s):

I am the Deputy Attorney General assigned to represent the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR or the "Department") in Basin 27 in the Snake River Basin Adjudication.

The Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. IDWR information indicates that your water right claim is based on a water right decreed in *Stevens v. Eastern Idaho Water Co.*, Judgment Book 1, pp. 106-117 (Bingham County, Idaho August 1, 1891), to James Welch. This water right was decreed for 400 inches (8.0 cfs) with a March 20, 1886, priority date. This water right was numbered sometime after the decree by IDWR as water right no. 27-37.

The Department has received multiple claims to this water right totaling more than 8.0 cfs. In order to make a complete and accurate recommendation of your water right, each element of the water right must be proven. The Department needs information that shows you are a successor to the original James Welch water right. This could be established through deeds or other conveyance documents that show you own a portion of the original water right.

Please provide this information by March 26, 2004, to Cindy Bird at the Soda Springs Office for the Idaho Department of Water Resources. You can contact her at 208-547-3993. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, you can contact Cindy or you can contact me directly at 208-327-5404.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Candice M. McHugh".

Candice M. McHugh
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

c: electronically Cindy Bird, IDWR



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Dallen Worthington
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221

March 2, 2004

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37H

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY

Response Date: March 29, 2004

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. IDWR information indicates that your water right claim is based on a water right decreed in *Stevens v. Eastern Idaho Water Co.*, Judgment Book 1, pp. 106-117 (Bingham County, Idaho August 1, 1891), to James Welch. This water right was decreed for 400 inches (8.0 cfs) with a March 20, 1886, priority date. This water right was numbered sometime after the decree by IDWR as water right no. 27-37.

The Department has received multiple claims to this water right totaling more than 8.0 cfs. In order to make a complete and accurate recommendation of your water right, each element of the water right must be proven. The Department needs information that shows you are a successor to the original James Welch water right. This could be established through deeds or other conveyance documents that show you own a portion of the original water right.

Please provide the information by March 29, 2004 to me in the Soda Springs office. If you have any questions not covered by this letter, feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/pb



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

18 February 2004

Dallon Worthington
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, ID 83221

COPY

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37H

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY DATE & OWNERSHIP CHANGE

Response Date: 2 March 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its SRBA claims review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the SRBA.

We have been advised that you are the current owner of the property and water right referenced above. Before we can modify our records to reflect your ownership of the property, we need form 42-248/42-1409(6) completed, signed and returned. I have enclosed the form for your convenience.

In the adjudication review process there is no filing fee. However, we will need a copy of the plat, survey map OR aerial photo with the appropriate area marked and a copy of the warranty deed.

The referenced claim is not a decreed right based on Welch Irrigation. In the Stevens' 1891 decree the Welch water was decreed as mining, not irrigation and cannot be converted to irrigation. The mining purpose is non-consumptive while, irrigation is consumptive. Therefore, it can only be recommended to the Court as a beneficial use claim. However, before we can prepare the recommendation, we need evidence to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.


It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled **"Evidence of Priority"**. The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled **"What Kind of Evidence is Required"** and **"Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date"**.

If we do not hear from you by the above referenced response date we will recommend to the Court that this claim be disallowed.

If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cindy E. Bird/bjp".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp



Enclosure:

Evidence of Priority Brochure
Notice of Change in Water Right Ownership

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

West Center Street
Soda Springs, Idaho 83276

Return Service Requested



Jimmy Ellison
685 West 300 South
Blackfoot, Idaho 83221

ELIS685* 832212003 1802 04 12/12/03
FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND
ELISON
PO BOX 199
NEAH BAY WA 98357-0199

RETURN TO SENDER





State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

16 December 2003

COPY

Jimmy Elison
P. O. Box 199
Neah Bay, WA 98357 0199

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37H

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY DATE

Response Date: 16 January 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its SRBA claims review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the SRBA.

The referenced claim is not a decreed right based on Welch Irrigation. In the Stevens' 1891 decree the Welch water was decreed as mining, not irrigation and cannot be converted to irrigation. The mining purpose is non-consumptive while, irrigation is consumptive. Therefore, it can only be recommended to the Court as a beneficial use claim. However, before we can prepare the recommendation, we need evidence to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.


It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

If we do not hear from you by the above referenced response date we will recommend to the Court that this claim be disallowed.

If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cindy E. Bird". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp
Enclosure: Evidence of Priority Brochure

SCANNED

JUL 13 2001

Log for claim 27-00037H

Spatial Dynamics LTD

1/3/01

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
03s34e	24	NWNW	2	2.3	
Totals:			2	2.3	

AJ5809NP
No. A27-00037H

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 09/29/00
PAGE: 49

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	GAYLE G ELISON	03/20/1886	IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	0.040 CFS	
C	JIMMY K ELISON					
	685 W 300 S					
	BLACKFOOT ID 83221			TOTAL DIVERSION:	0.040 CFS	
	(208) 785-5553			CONSUMPTIVE USE:		6.00 AFA

Water Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER

Tributary to: SNAKE RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T03S R35E S08

SENE

BINGHAM County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NE				NW				SW				SE												
T	R	S		NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	:	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	:	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	:	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	:	SUM
				Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		
03S	34E	24	:					:			02		:					:						
																							TOTAL ACRES	2.0

POU County: BINGHAM

Non-Irrigation Uses: N/A

Other Rights: A27-00004R

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):

27-10306 A27-00003F A27-00004F A27-00004H A27-00012 A27-00012A A27-00016A A27-00025A A27-00025C A27-00037A
A27-00037C A27-00037E A27-00037F A27-00037J A27-00037L A27-02019A A27-10267 A27-10306 A27-11120 A27-11199

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of Use:

A27-00004R A27-00022D A27-00035D A27-10116 A27-10292

Remarks: GEN PORTION OF ORIGINAL DECREED RIGHT 27-000037 (WELCH)

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Audit: 05/19/89 MEYER 09/29/94 JTHOMPSO 03/29/95 POST-STD

JUL 13 2001

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A27-00037H
Date Received: 5/19/1989
Receipt No: E007731
Received By: [Signature]

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: JIMMY K. ELISON 208-785-5553
Address: 685 W. 300 S.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221
OR
Name: GAYLE G. ELISON 208-785-5553
Address: 685 W. 300 S.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

A2700037H



2. Date of Priority: MAR 20, 1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
03S	35E	8	SE	NE			BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE TO DITCH TO P/U FOR FLOOD IRRIGATION.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.040		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.040 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 6.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
N/A

A27-00037H

Page 1

Date: 05/19/89

MICROFILMED

NOV 12 1992

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	34E	24	NW NW		IRR	2.0
Section Acres						2.0
Total Acres						2.0

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A27-00004R

14. Remarks:
PORTION OF ORIGINAL DECREED RIGHT 27-000037 (WELCH)

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

FRED S. STEVENS
Decree Plaintiff

vs

EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO.
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Jim & Elie* Date: 5-19-89

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of BONNEVILLE) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 19 day
of May 19 89

Paul R. Meyer
Notary Public



Residing at *1 Idaho Falls*
My Commission Expires 9-12-94

