

02/09/2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-37F

NAME AND ADDRESS: STANLEY M HILL
522 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SUSAN CRONQUIST
526 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.120 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 03/20/1886

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03S R35E S8 SWSENE Lot 8 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
IRRIGATION

PERIOD OF USE
04/01 10/31

QUANTITY
0.120 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County

T03S R35E S07 Lot 9 NESE	19.0	T03S R35E S07 Lot 9 NWSE	4.5
T03S R35E S07 Lot 17 NWSE	2.8	T03S R35E S07 Lot 8 SWSE	8.3
T03S R35E S07 Lot 21 SWSE	1.2	T03S R35E S07 SESE	10.0

45.8 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: Decreed.

WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH CENTRAL DITCH.

This right is a split from former right 27-37C.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-37F, 27-3C, 27-11939



800 0 800 1600 Feet



IRRIGATED ACRES 45.8


LEGEND

- ⊙ Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections **SCANNED**
- Quarter-Quarters

JAN 09 2006

Place of Use: T3S, R35E:
(part of) Sec. 7, NESE, NWSE,
SWSE, SESE.

Point(s) of Diversion: T3S, R35E:
Sec. 8, SWSENE.
Sec. 9, SENE L-1.




GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: February 2005

PROFILED

27-3C, 10543, 37F.

Water Right Claim Number 27-00003H
Township, 3S35E

12/18/2000



500 0 500 1000 Feet



SPATIAL
DYNAMICS

12/21/2000

Water Right Claim Numbers 27-00037F & 27-10543 Township , 3S35E



RECEIVED

JUL 15 2005

02/10/2005

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 27-37F

Stanley M. Hill
522 West Riverton Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208) 785-0533

Susan Cronquist
526 West Riverton Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Telephone Number: (208) 785-2922


1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):
2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:
3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 7-15-2005 SIGNATURE: Stanley M Hill

Please print your name: Stanley M Hill

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr. Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, (208) 525-7161



PROFILED

SCANNED
JAN 09 2006

Handwritten initials "KAT 7/15" in blue ink.

Notes

11-8-04. 27-10543 ; 27-37F ; 27-3C are all
used on the same POH. CB

Notes

11-5-04 Stan Hill & Susan (his daughter) met with me in Soda Springs on Stan's water rights.


Stan sold about 13 acres in the SWNW & NWSW of Section 8, T3S, R35E to David Stanley, with that he is conveying 13 inches of Fox and Whitten water. The piece south of that was sold to Cushman and now Heath Madison. With that he conveyed Corbett Slough water. Williams & Stokes purchased a strip in the NWSW & SWSW of Section 8, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the NESE & SESE of Section 7. T3S, R35E.

Stan has about 43 acres left, 40 irrigated. Susan is going to Bingham Co. Courthouse to do some research on Robert Miller, and Fox & Whitten water, and send me the copies of what she found.


I've included a copy of the map from Stan Hill on the property he has left.

I explained to Stan and Susan that I only found 6 inches of water for Stan and not the 13 he claimed. They seemed to be ok with that.


11-8-04. Linked POD & PO4. POD from Central ditch pt. in gps. shp file; PO4 from 27-37 Convent 27-37. shp on my temp file on the d drive. I didn't update PLS. because the acres are off.



B.M.



SCALE 1" = 100'



Notes

12-9-03 Envelope of priority letter to Clement. BP

CB 12/11/03 Welch water - used since 1940.
Can go back through deed to determine when it was first used as irrigation.
When he sold the ground he gave Corbett slough water; he didn't intend to convey any S.W. He's going to call Skip to set up an appointment to discuss the S.W. and see what would be acceptable for evidence on this claim.

12-11-03 Envelope Skip BP

12-10-03 Sent file to Skip in LF. BP

11/5/04 found 6" in the analysis for Stan Hill not the 13 inches claimed.

11/8/04 This is part of the w/R 27-37C James Welch. Very few transfers were filed on the w/R side. This would be part of the James Welch w/R.

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	R	D	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Y N/A Layout	Other Water Rights Used	S=Stevens	ens Decree #	Total CFS	Claimed Diversion (Inches)	Recommended diversion (inches)	Difference in Inches		
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald			Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52		27-2125	s	37	0.64	32.00	30.00	(2.00)		
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27.4F	s	37	1.17	58.50	58.50	0.00		
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.				1886-03-20				s	37	0.28	14.00	14.00	0.00		
27	10267		Clements, Casey A. Novasadi Hoskln			Decreed	1885-06-01				s	37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00		
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.10	5.00	5.00	0.00		
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED			s	37	0.06	3.00	3.00	0.00		
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.43	21.65	4.50	(17.15)		
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-4G	s	37	2.10	105.00	62.00	(43.00)		
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.26	13.00	6.00	(7.00)		
			LDS Church			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37		0.00	2.00	NOT CLAIMED IN SRBA		
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason			Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27			s	37	0.06	3.00	0.00	(3.00)		
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation			s	37	1.76	88.00	88.00	0.00		
27	11994		Nallon, Howard S.			Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120			s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	11995		Romrell, Don			BU	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	Y		s	37	0.03	1.50	0.75	(0.75)		
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.			Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52			s	37	0.60	30.00	30.00	0.00		
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVarelle			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.40	20.00	17.00	(3.00)		
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVarelle			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.80	40.00	21.50	(18.50)		
27	37	J	Winter, Norman			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.05	2.50	0.00	(2.50)		
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.04	2.00	2.00	0.00		
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.			Decreed	1886-03-20			27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.10	5.00	0.00	(5.00)		
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin			Decreed	1886-03-20				s	37	0.50	25.00	25.00	0.00		
										TOTALS			10.01	500.65	400.00	(102.65)		

Contacts Data Entry Form

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Full Name</u>	<u>Address</u>	<u>City</u>	<u>State</u>	<u>Zip</u>	<u>Phone</u>
Individual	John Doe	123 Main St	New York	NY	10001	(212) 555-1234
Company	ABC Corp	456 Park Ave	New York	NY	10022	(212) 555-5678
Government	Federal Govt	789 Capitol Hill	Washington	DC	20540	(202) 555-9012

Current Owner see claim

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

Reviewer: UK

Date: 11/8/04

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: Mar 20, 1886 Recommended Basis: decree.☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Steven decree.

Reviewer: CBDate: 11/8/01

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Priority DateClaim No. 27-37F.

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? *decree* Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.Water Right Point of Diversion: T , R , Sec. , , , County: Source: Tributary: Claimed Point of Diversion: T , R , Sec. , , , County: Source: Tributary: Recommended Point of Diversion: T 35, R 35E, Sec. 8, SW SE NE, County: BinghamSource: Blackfoot River Tributary: Snake River☐ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source

Other rights from this POD? yes - Central Ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

drivelinked POD for Central DitchCENTRL - located in gps. shp. on neg drive11/8/04 linked the PODTransfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 27-37F

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a POD?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☒
5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☒
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?
If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10
Yes ☒ No ☐
10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Point of Diversion

Source

Claim No. 27-37F

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Source?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?
If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Source

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

done

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 27-37E

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6
Yes ☒ No ☐
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8
Yes ☐ No ☐
8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes ☐ No ☐
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
12. Did the claimant file a transfer?
If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
Yes ☐ No ☐
13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Purpose of Use

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F.☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
3S	35E	8	NESE		Bingham		17.5	Irrigation.
			SESE		"		9.0	
			SWSE		"		8.0	
			NWSE		"		5.5	

Total Acres 40.

Document how the POU was verified:

Link shape. d:\temp\Conurt27-37F.shp.Stan sold some property, he only has about 40 acres left that he irrigates.27-3C & 27-10543 are also used in the same POU.Transfers Changing POU No.Reviewer: CRBDate: 11/8/04

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 37F.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License.
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b
- 2a. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14
- 2b. Is a POU required?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14
3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7
4. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14
5. Does the parent right have a POU?
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7
6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7
7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13
8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9
9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12
10. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11
11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
12. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13
13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14
14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-37F☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____ Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	10/31	0.12	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.12 Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

decre
analysis of 27-37

Reviewer: CBDate: 11/8/04

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Quantity

Claim No. 27-37F

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes ☒ No ☐
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes ☐ No ☐
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☐
4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
Yes ☒ No ☐
5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☒
6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7
Yes ☐ No ☐
7. Is a flow measurement required?
If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9
done
Yes ☐ No ☒
8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9
Yes ☐ No ☐
9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?
If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11
Yes ☐ No ☒
10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?
If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☐
11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?
If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12
Yes ☐ No ☒
12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.
Yes ☐ No ☒

Quantity

Summary

Claim No. 27-37F.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
Yes (☐) No (☒)
3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?
If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5
Yes (☐) No (☐)
5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Would you like to view the recommended right?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.
Yes (☒) No (☐)
7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?
If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8
If No, go to 8
Yes (☒) No (☐)
8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?
If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9
If No, go to 9
Yes (☐) No (☒)
9. Would you like to set the verification date?
If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

Summary

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01

Exp. Rem.

Central Ditch

P21

Exp. Remark

27-37C

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

yes

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

yes

Claim No.:

27-37F

Reviewer

CR

Date

11/8/04

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Name	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	Remarks	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	S=Stevens	ven s Decree	Total CFS	Recommended diversion (inches)
27	37	L	Anderson, Donald	Decreed	1886-03-20	Welch Irrigation Co. T.52	irrigation	27-2125	s	37	1.08	54.00
27	37	C	Bean, Audrey H.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27.4F	s	37	0.97	48.50
27	37	P	Bergeman, E. V.		1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.28	14.00
27	12017		Clements, Casey A.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.38	19.00
27	11997		Coffman, Harvey	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	10550		Ganske, Robert L.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Claim based on Miner's shares & F&W 27-23B. AMENDED	irrigation		s	37	0.06	3.00
27	10844		Gay, Walter A.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.17	8.67
27	37	M	Hamilton, Wesley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-4G	s	37	1.30	65.00
27	12015		Hansen, Helen	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
27	37	F	Hill, Stanley	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	12016		Hospkins, Robert	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.03	1.50
			LDS Church	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37		0.00
27	10358		Leiseth, Jason	Decreed	1886-03-20	probably 27	irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	A	McDaniel, Brett	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52. Welch Irrigation	irrigation		s	37	1.76	88.00
27	11994		Nation, Howard S.	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	12014		Novosad, Joyce	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent is 27-12067	irrigation		s	37	0.16	8.00
27	11995		Romrell, Don	Decreed	1886-03-20	Parent Right 27-11120	irrigation		s	37	0.015	0.75
27	37	E	Rowbury, Rex C.	Decreed	1886-03-20	T#52	irrigation		s	37	0.12	6.00
27	37	B	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.00	0.00
27	37	G	Stecklein, LaVerelle	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555, 26B	s	37	0.69	34.33
27	37	J	Winter, Norman	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.10	5.00
27	37	H	Worthington, Dallen	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	K	Wright, Franklin D.	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation	27-27C, 23F	s	37	0.04	2.00
27	37	N	Yancey, Merlin	Decreed	1886-03-20		irrigation		s	37	0.50	25.00
								TOTALS			7.96	398.00

To: powder1@ida.net

Cc: cbird@idwr.state.id.us

Subject: 27-37F

Hi Skip,

Claimant: Stanely M. and Doris D. Hill

522 W. Riverton Road

Blackfoot, ID 83221

208 785 0533

POU 3S 35E Sections 7 and 8. total of 102 acres.

POD is in Seftion 8.

Stan Hill called regarding claim 27-37. He said they have used the Welch water since 1940. He can go back through deeds to determine when it was first used as irrigation. He also told Cindy when he sold the ground he gave Corbett Slough water. He didn't intend to convey any S.W.

He is going to call you to setup an appointment to discuss the S.W. and see what would be acceptable for evidence on this claim.

My question to you, is do you want the claim file sent to you now, later, or never?

Barbara :-}



STATE OF IDAHO
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
LAWRENCE G. WASDEN

February 24, 2004

COPY

STANLEY HILL
522 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: SRBA Claim based on decreed water right No. 27-37 F

Dear Claimant(s):

I am the Deputy Attorney General assigned to represent the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR or the "Department") in Basin 27 in the Snake River Basin Adjudication.

The Department of Water Resources is currently investigating water right claims in Basin 27. IDWR information indicates that your water right claim is based on a water right decreed in *Stevens v. Eastern Idaho Water Co.*, Judgment Book 1, pp. 106-117 (Bingham County, Idaho August 1, 1891), to James Welch. This water right was decreed for 400 inches (8.0 cfs) with a March 20, 1886, priority date. This water right was numbered sometime after the decree by IDWR as water right no. 27-37.

The Department has received multiple claims to this water right totaling more than 8.0 cfs. In order to make a complete and accurate recommendation of your water right, each element of the water right must be proven. The Department needs information that shows you are a successor to the original James Welch water right. This could be established through deeds or other conveyance documents that show you own a portion of the original water right.

Please provide this information by March 26, 2004, to Cindy Bird at the Soda Springs Office for the Idaho Department of Water Resources. You can contact her at 208-547-3993. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, you can contact Cindy or you can contact me directly at 208-327-5404.

Very Truly Yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Candice M. McHugh".

Candice M. McHugh
Deputy Attorney General
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

c: electronically Cindy Bird, IDWR



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

9 December 2003

Stanley Hill
522 West Riverton Road
Blackfoot, ID 83221

COPY

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 27-37F

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY DATE

Response Date: 8 January 2004

Dear Claimant(s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its SRBA claims review in Basin 27. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the SRBA.

The referenced claim is not a decreed right based on Welch Irrigation. In the Stevens' 1891 decree the Welch water was decreed as mining, not irrigation and cannot be converted to irrigation. The mining purpose is non-consumptive while, irrigation is consumptive. Therefore, it can only be recommended to the Court as a beneficial use claim. However, before we can prepare the recommendation, we need evidence to support when the water was put to beneficial use for irrigation.

It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**".

If we do not hear from you by the above referenced response date we will recommend to the Court that this claim be disallowed.

If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Cindy E. Bird".

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp
Enclosure: Evidence of Priority Brochure

SCANNED

JUL 13 2001

Log for claim 27-00037F

Spatial Dynamics LTD

12/21/00

TR	SEC	QQ	Acres Claimed	Acres Found	COMMENT
03s35e	7	NESE	32	29	
03s35e	7	NWSE	9	2.6	
03s35e	7	SWSE	9	8.4	
03s35e	7	SWSE	0	1.2	Acreage noted on other SWSE qq.
03s35e	7	SESE	10	12	
03s35e	8	SESW	1	0	
03s35e	8	SWNW	5	3.4	
03s35e	8	NWSW	35	30	
03s35e	8	SWSW	1	0.8	
Totals:			102	87.4	

AJ5809NP
No. A27-00037F

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 09/29/00
PAGE: 46

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	STANLEY M HILL	03/20/1886	IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	0.340 CFS	
C	DORIS D HILL					
	522 W RIVERTON RD					
	BLACKFOOT ID 83221			TOTAL DIVERSION:	0.340 CFS	
	(208) 785-0533			CONSUMPTIVE USE:		306.00 AFA

Water Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER

Tributary to: SNAKE RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T03S R35E S08 SWSENE

BINGHAM County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NE				NW				SW				SE												
T	R	S		NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	:	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	:	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	:	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	:	SUM
				Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac		
03S	35E	7	:					:					:					:	32	03-09	09	10	:	60.0
03S	35E	8	:					:	05				:	35	01	01		:					:	42.0
																					TOTAL ACRES		102.0	

POU County: BINGHAM

Non-Irrigation Uses: NONE

Other Rights: A27-10543,A2700003C.

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):

A27-00003F A27-00004F A27-00004H A27-00012 A27-00016A A27-00025A A27-00025C A27-00037A A27-00037C A27-00037H
A27-00037J A27-00037L A27-02019A A27-10267 A27-11120 A27-11199

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of Use:

A01-00047E A01-00048 A01-00304 A27-00003B A27-00003C A27-00003H A27-00012 A27-00012A A27-10296 A27-10543

Remarks: GEN THIS IS A SPLIT OF A27-00037A.
12 INCHES WAS A PART OF THE CLAIMANTS DEED TO THE PROPERTY.
AND 5 INCHES WAS PURCHASED FROM THE THEODORE AND PHILOMENA
STECKLEIN RECORD WITH THE BINGHAM CO CLERKS OFFICE #135193.
DONE ON JULY 19TH 1967.

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:

Audit: 05/10/89 CARLSON 06/14/93 THOMPSON 09/29/94 JTHOMPSON 03/29/95 POST-STD

File

TIC

JUNE 14, 1993

STANLEY M AND DORIS HILL
522 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

RE: AMENDED NOTICE OF CLAIM A27-00037F

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Hill:

Please find enclosed a Notice of Claim prepared with information from your original notice.

The Range in the Place of Use on your claim was filed as R34E. Upon further review, it appears that the actual Range should be R35E. If you agree with the claim as it is amended, simply sign it in the presence of a Notary Public and return it to this office. **Since your names are separated by "and/or", only one of you need sign the Notice.**

Please do not hesitate to contact this office if you have any questions regarding this matter (525-7161).

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory J. Taylor
Sr. Water Agent, Adjudications

GT:jt

Enclosure

File: TIC

MICROFILMED

1

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-37F
Date Received: 5/10/1989
Receipt No: M003545
Received By:

**NOTICE OF AMENDED CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

STANLEY M HILL Phone: (208)785-0533
522 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

SUSAN CRONQUIST Phone: (208)785-2922
526 W RIVERTON RD
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

2. Date of Priority: 03/20/1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
03S	35E	8	SW SE NE	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

HEAD WORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH. CEMENT DAM IN RIVER TO HEADGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.26	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.26 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres	
03S	35E	7	NE	SE	9	IRRIGATION	19
			NW	SE	9	IRRIGATION	4.5
			NW	SE	17	IRRIGATION	2.8
			SW	SE	8	IRRIGATION	8.3
			SW	SE	21	IRRIGATION	1.2
			SE	SE		IRRIGATION	10
Section Acres						45.8	

03S

35E 8

SW NW 4
NW SWIRRIGATION 2
IRRIGATION 11

Section Acres 13

Total Acres 58.8

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

THIS IS A SPLIT OF A27-00037A.

12 INCHES WAS A PART OF THE CLAIMANTS DEED TO THE PROPERTY.

AND 5 INCHES WAS PURCHASED FROM THE THEODORE AND PHILOMENA STECKLEIN RECORD WITH THE BINGHAM CO CLERKS OFFICE #135193.

DONE ON JULY 19TH 1967.

15. Basis of Claim: Decreed

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.


Signature of Claimant(s):

Date: 12-19-03Date: 12-19-03

State of Idaho

County of Bonner

) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 19of DecErnest Carlsen

Notary Public

Residing at Idaho FallsMy commission Expires 8-21-2009

SCANNED

JUL 13 2001

RECEIVED

JUL - 6 1993

Department of Water Resources

Eastern District Office

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A27-00037F
Date Received: 5/10/1989
Receipt No: M003545
Received By: _____

AMENDED

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

AMENDED

1. Name: STANLEY M. HILL
Address: 522 W. RIVERTON RD.
BLACKFOOT ID

83221

208-785-0533

AND/OR

Name: DORIS D. HILL
Address: 522 W. RIVERTON RD.
BLACKFOOT ID

83221

208-785-0533

2. Date of Priority: MAR 20, 1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER

Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
03S	35E	8	SW	SE	NE		BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
HEAD WORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH. CEMENT DAM IN RIVER TO HEADGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.340		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.340 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 306.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	35E	7	NE		SE		IRR	32.0
			NW		SE	3	IRR	9.0
			SW		SE		IRR	9.0
			SE		SE		IRR	10.0
							Section Acres	60.0
		8	SW		NW		IRR	5.0
			NW		SW		IRR	35.0
			SW		SW		IRR	1.0
			SE		SW		IRR	1.0
							Section Acres	42.0
							Total Acres	102.0

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A27-10543,A2700003C.

14. Remarks:
THIS IS A SPLIT OF A27-00037A.
12 INCHES WAS A PART OF THE CLAIMANTS DEED TO THE PROPERTY.
AND 5 INCHES WAS PURCHASED FROM THE THEODORE AND PHILOMENA
STECKLEIN RECORD WITH THE BINGHAM CO CLERKS OFFICE #135193.
DONE ON JULY 19TH 1967.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: 8/ 1/1891

FRED S. STEVENS, ET AL.
Decree Plaintiff

EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO., ET A
vs Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1994

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

For Individuals:

Signature of Claimant(s): Stanley M Hill Date: 4-1-93
Date:

State of Idaho)
County of *Bingham*) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 15 day
of July 19 93 
Seal Notary Public

Fore me this 15th day

Terica M. McAllister
Notary Public

Residing at Blackfoot, ID
My Commission Expires 4/4/97

MICROFILMED

APR 12 1964

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A27-00037F
Date Received: 5/10/1989
Receipt No: 11003545
Received By: jpe

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: STANLEY M. HILL 208-785-0533
Address: 522 W. RIVERTON RD.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

AND/OR
Name: DORIS D. HILL 208-785-0533
Address: 522 W. RIVERTON RD.
BLACKFOOT, ID 83221

2. Date of Priority: MAR 20, 1886

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
03S	35E	8	SW	SE	NE		BINGHAM

5. Description of diverting works:
HEAD WORKS OF CENTRAL DITCH. CEMENT DAM IN RIVER TO HEADGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.340		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.340 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 306.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

A2700037F



10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	34E	7	NE	SE		IRR	32.0
			NW	SE	3	IRR	9.0
			SW	SE		IRR	9.0
			SE	SE		IRR	10.0
						Section Acres	60.0
		8	SW	NW		IRR	5.0
	NW		SW		IRR	35.0	
	SW		SW		IRR	1.0	
	SE		SW		IRR	1.0	
						Section Acres	42.0
						Total Acres	102.0

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A27-10543, A2700003C.

14. Remarks:

THIS IS A SPLIT OF A27-00037A.
12 INCHES WAS A PART OF THE CLAIMANTS DEED TO THE PROPERTY.
AND 5 INCHES WAS PURCHASED FROM THE THEODORE AND PHILOMENA
STECKLEIN RECORD WITH THE BINGHAM CO CLERKS OFFICE #135193.
DONE ON JULY 19TH 1967.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: 8/01/1891

FRED S. STEVENS, ET AL.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

EASTERN IDAHO WATER CO., ET AL
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): J Tanley M Hill Date: MAY 10 1989

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bonanza) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 10 day


of May 19 89

Seal


John S. Carlson
Notary Public

Residing at Bonanza Id

My Commission Expires 4/2/94



NOV 12 1992



35
356
4