

08/19/2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-26B
NAME AND ADDRESS: WALTER A GAY
628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

IRMA M GAY
628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.170 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 04/01/1883

POINT OF DIVERSION:
T03S R35E S18 SWSWNE Lot 6 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	0.170 CFS

PLACE OF USE: IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County
T03S R34E S13 SWSE 18.0

18 ACRES TOTAL

The rights listed below are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 18 acres in a single irrigation season. Combined Right Nos.: 27-10844 and 27-26B.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH RIVERTON DITCH.

02/09/2005

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 27-26B

NAME AND ADDRESS: WALTER A GAY
628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

IRMA M GAY
628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID 83221

SOURCE: BLACKFOOT RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER
QUANTITY: 0.170 CFS
PRIORITY DATE: 10/01/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03S R35E S18 SWSWNE Lot 6 Within BINGHAM County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04/01 10/31	0.170 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION Within BINGHAM County
T03S R34E S13 SWSE 17.0

17 ACRES TOTAL

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: Decreed


WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH RIVERTON DITCH.



Department of Water Resources Eastern Region



Claim No.: 22-10844 and 27-26B



SCANNED

JAN 05 2006

0 660 1320 1980 2640 Feet



-  Place of Use
-  Township/Range
-  Sections
-  QQ



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1992 Orthophoto
Prepared by: Kristin Moore
Date Prepared: August 2005

PROFILED




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 27-10844 & 27-26B



1000 0 1000 2000 Feet

- LEGEND
- ⊙ Point of Diversion
 - Place of Use
 - Townships
 - Sections
 - Quarter-Quarters


Place of Use: T3S, R34E:
(part of) Sec. 13, SWSE.

Point(s) of Diversion: T3S, R35E:
Sec. 18, SWSWNE,

IRRIGATED ACRES 17.0



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: August 2004

PEDIGREE**PRIORITY DATE 04/01/1883****Water right # to adjudication claim #**

27-26 27-00026A
27-00026B
27-00026C
27-00026D
27-00026E
27-12074
27-12051



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

900 N. SKYLINE DR., SUITE A, IDAHO FALLS, ID 83402-1718

PHONE: (208) 525-7161 FAX: (208) 525-7177 WEB SITE: www.idwr.state.id.us

EASTERN REGION

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

October 25, 2005

Walter A Gay and Irma M Gay
628 W 300 S
Blackfoot ID 83221

RE: Notice of Error Reply 27-26B & 27-10844

Dear Walter and Irma:

In response to the Notice of Error you submitted after the initial mailing of the Preliminary Director's Reports in May 2005, enclosed for your records are the revised Preliminary Director's Reports for the above listed water rights and a map of the place of use. These reports are based on information obtained subsequent to the initial mailings and replace the previous Preliminary Director's Reports.

The Department expects to submit to the Snake River Basin Adjudication Court all recommendations for the Blackfoot Drainage, Basin 27, in November 2005. At that time you will receive a Director's Report for each of your water rights, which should mirror each of the revised preliminary recommendations. The Director's Report mailing will not include a map.

Naturally if you have questions please call me at the above phone number.

Respectfully Submitted,

Ernest Carlsen
Water Right Supervisor

Enclosures (3)
emc

PROFILED

SCANNED
JAN 05 2006

Notes

2/4/05 received new claim. CB

2/6/05 recommend as claimed. CB

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Barbara

SUBJECT: layout / received claim.

FROM: Cindy

DATE: 2/6/05

We received this new claim Friday 2/4/05.
Please add it to the spread sheet.

Redo the layout for 27-10844 and add
27-26B to it. They both originate
the same Pod.
Pod is Riverton Ditch

() For your information

() Response required

CB
signature

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----	-------

Current Owner: See above

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ Time: _____

Directions to Property (If different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or printed text on the paper.

Reviewer: CB

Date: 2/6/05

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-26B.
Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____
Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____
Recommended Priority Date: 10-1-1884 Recommended Basis: Decree

____ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Daniel Miller Decree

Reviewer: CB

Date: 2/4/05

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (X) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *decree*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (X)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 27-26B

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-26B

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
35	3SE	18	6	SW SW NE	Bingham	Blackfoot R.
GPS Coordinates:				43.16242933	-112.40518400	
GPS Coordinates:						
GPS Coordinates:						
GPS Coordinates:						
GPS Coordinates:						
GPS Coordinates:						
GPS Coordinates:						

Other rights from this POD? yes, Riverston Ditch

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

decreaser analysis

_____Transfers Changing POD noReviewer: CBDate: 2/6/05

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Claim No.

27-248

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Source

Claim No. 27 - 26B

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-26B☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

decree
1987/88 NAPP photography

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use noReviewer: CABDate: 2/6/05

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes () No ()

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use

Claim No.

27-268

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-26B☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
35	34E	13	SWSE		Bingham		16.6	Irrigation.

Total Acres 16.6

Document how the POU was verified:

1987/88 N app photographsTransfers Changing POU noReviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes (☐) No (☐)

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place of Use

Claim No. 27 - 24B

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 22-26 B☒ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

IDWD STD SOL
Daniel Mullin analysis 8 1/3 "

Reviewer: CBDate: 2/4/05

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes (☒) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes (☐) No (☐)
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes (☐) No (☒)
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No. 27-26B

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Quantity

Claim No. 27 - 26B

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☒)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes (☐) No (☐)

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☒)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes (☒) No (☐)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☒)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (☒) No (☐)

Summary

Claim No. 27 - 26B

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18

F01

Exp Rem

Conveyed thru. Revision

Pitch

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Claim No.:

27-26B

Reviewer

CB

Date

2/6/05

Basin No.	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Description	R	D	Basis of a Claim	yyyy-mm-dd Priority Date	File Movement	Remarks	Y/N/A Layout	Useage	Other Water Rights Used	S=Stevens	Stevens Decree #	Total CFS
27	26	E	Gundersen, Kim C.			Decreed	1883-04-01				irrigation		s	26	0.80
27	26	D	Merritt, James			Decreed	1883-04-01				irrigation		s	26	1.20
27	26	C	Powers, Charlie M.			Decreed	1883-04-01				irrigation		s	26	0.42
27	26	B	Walter Gay						Based on Dan Miller (27-26) whose priority date is 1883 Apr 01			27-27B, 7065, 2126, 10552, 10555			8 1/3 (0.17)
27	26	A	Stecklein, LaVerille			Decreed	1883-04-01				Irrigation		s	26	16 2/3
5s Field Exams by Skip							358	Total					4	26	3.00



~~27-26 Ganske, Kent. (Welch water)~~

27-26 Walter Gay.

Susan Whitten → Gary Wright
30"

100" Kent ← Gary Wright (20 acres)
Gundersen. 8 acres
Merritt (12 acres)

E 1/2 SW 1/4 Sec. 13.



Did Albert Bell have 35" 27-4?

350

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 27-26B
Date Received: 2/1/2005
Receipt No: E030565
Received By: DC

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

WALTER A GAY Phone: (208)785-5457

628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

IRMA M GAY Phone: (208)785-5457

628 W 300 S
BLACKFOOT ID USA 83221

2. Date of Priority: 10/01/1884

3. Source: BLACKFOOT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
03S	35E	18	SW SW NE 6	BINGHAM	

5. Description of diverting works:

riverton ditch to pump and sprinklers

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
IRRIGATION	04/01	10/31	0.17	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.17 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03S	34E	13	SW	SE	IRRIGATION	17
					Section Acres	17
					Total Acres	17

11. Place of use in counties: BINGHAM

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

as per the recommendation for 27-10844. daniel miller water
8 1/3 inches

15. Basis of Claim: Decreed

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Walter A. Smith

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Walter A. Gray Date: 2/1/2005

Anna M. Gray Date: _____

State of Idaho

County of Bonneville)
) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this
of Feb 2005

Dave Carlson

Notary Public

Residing at Rigby, Id

My commission Expires 2/26/2008

