

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-07568
Date Received: 8/24/1988
Receipt No: _____
Received By: _____

COPY
FOR YOUR FILES
DO NOT RETURN

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO. 208-543-4316
Address: P. O. BOX 712
BUHL, ID 83316
AND/OR
Name: THE PROSPECT CO. 208-543-4316
Address: P.O. BOX 712
BUHL, ID 83316
AND/OR
Name: THE PROSPECT CO.
Address:

2. Date of Priority: SEP 06, 1975

3. Source: CRYSTAL SPRINGS Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
09S	15E	12	SW	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			SE	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			NE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			SE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			NW	NE	SE	14	GOODING
			NE	NW	SE	15	GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
CHECK DAM, DITCH, PIPELINE TO RACEWAYS, HATCHERY BUILDING

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
FISH PROPAGATION	01/01	12/31	200.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
200.000 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

A36-07568

Page 1

Date: 08/30/88

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
COMMERCIAL TROUT PRODUCTION

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
09S	15E	12	NE	SE	14	FISH	
			NW	SE	15	FISH	

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
LIC. 36-7083, 7151

14. Remarks:
FACILITY VOLUME = 539,190 CU FT.
WATER IS USED IN THE 2 EASTERNMOST GROUPS OF RACEWAYS & THE
RELATED HATCHERY BUILDING.
WATER COLLECTED ANYWHERE ALONG THE DITCH CAN SERVE THIS CLAIM, SO
THE WHOLE DITCH AREA IS THE P/D.

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE

~~SCANNED~~
~~AUG 02 2007~~

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am President of
Clear Springs Trout Company, that I have signed the foregoing
document in the space below as President of
Clear Springs Trout Company and that the statements contained in the
foregoing document are true and correct.

[Signature]
Signature of Authorized Agent

President Clear Springs Trout Company
Title and Organization

8/30/88

Date

State of Idaho

County of Twin Falls

)
) SS.
)

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 30th day


of August 19 88

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Boise ID

My Commission Expires 11-17-92



CLOVER 069 II SW 2.2 MI. TO U.S. 30

1:24 000



2.2 MI. TO U.S. 30 FILER 7.1

SCANNED
AUG 19 2007

INTERIOR—GEOLOGICAL SURVEY, RESTON, VIRGINIA—1980

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994

ROAD CLASSIFICATION

primary highway, hard surface

Light-duty road, hard or improved surface

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A36-07568**
Date Received: 9/ 1/1988
Receipt No: S002014
Received By: _____

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name: THE PROSPECT CO 208-543-4316
Address: PO BOX 712
BUHL ID 83316
AND/OR
Name: CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC 208-543-4316
Address: PO BOX 712
BUHL ID 83316

2. Date of Priority: SEP 6, 1975

3. Source: CRYSTAL SPRINGS Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
09S	15E	12	SW	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			SE	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			NE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			SE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			NW	NE	SE	14	GOODING
			NE	NW	SE	15	GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
CHECK DAM, DITCH, PIPELINE TO RACEWAYS, HATCHERY BUILDING

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
FISH PROPAGATION	01/01	12/31	200.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
200.000 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
FISH/ COMMERCIAL TROUT PRODUCTION

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
09S	15E	12	NE	SE	14	FISH	
			NW	SE	15	FISH	

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

A36-07083, A36-07151

14. Remarks:

FACILITY VOLUME = 539,190 CU FT.

WATER IS USED IN THE 2 EASTERNMOST GROUPS OF RACEWAYS & THE RELATED HATCHERY BUILDING.

WATER COLLECTED ANYWHERE ALONG THE DITCH CAN SERVE THIS CLAIM, SO THE WHOLE DITCH AREA IS THE P/D.

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do ____ do not ____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____

_____ Date: _____

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 17 1997

State of Idaho)
County of) SS.
_____)

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this _____ day
of _____ 19 _____

Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

State of Idaho

Department of State

CERTIFICATE OF AMENDMENT OF

CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY

I, PETE T. CENARRUSA, Secretary of State of the State of Idaho, hereby certify that duplicate originals of Articles of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation of CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS, INC. duly signed and verified pursuant to the provisions of the Idaho Business Corporation Act, have been received in this office and are found to conform to law.

ACCORDINGLY and by virtue of the authority vested in me by law, I issue this Certificate of Amendment to the Articles of Incorporation and attach hereto a duplicate original of the Articles of Amendment.

October 25, 1991



Pete T. Cenarrusa

SECRETARY OF STATE

Lee Clark

Corporation Clerk

SCANNED
AUG 6 5 2004

REPROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT
TO THE
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION
OF
CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY

RECEIVED
SEC. OF STATE

91 OCT 9 AM 8 49

RECEIVED
SEC. OF STATE

91 OCT 25 AM 10 19

Pursuant to the provisions of Idaho Code 30-1-59, etc., the undersigned corporation adopts the following Articles of Amendment to its Articles of Incorporation:

FIRST: The name of the corporation is Clear Springs Trout Company.

SECOND: The following amendments of the Articles of Incorporation were adopted by the shareholders of the corporation on September 30, 1991, in the manner prescribed by Idaho Code Section 30-1-59 etc.

1. That ARTICLE I of the Articles of Incorporation of Clear Springs Trout Company be amended to read as follows:

The name of the corporation is and shall be Clear Springs Foods, Inc.

2. That ARTICLE IV(i) of the Articles of Incorporation of Clear Springs Trout Company be amended to read as follows:

(i) The preferential rights and restrictions granted to the corporation and the remaining shareholders shall not apply or pertain where an existing shareholder desires to sell, convey, give or otherwise transfer any or all of his shares to such stockholder's spouse, former spouse, issue or child, or other lineal descendent, or any trust or other institutional recipient established and created for the direct maintenance or benefit of such shareholder, shareholder's spouse, former spouse, issue or child, or lineal descendent. In the event a shareholder shall desire to sell, convey, give or otherwise transfer any or all of his shares as herein provided, such stockholder shall, upon request of the secretary or other duly authorized officer of the corporation present such proof or evidence as the corporation may deem necessary to demonstrate and provide the existence of the relationship described above.

THIRD: The number of shares of the corporation outstanding at the time of such adoption was 1,671,758; and the number of shares entitled to vote thereon was the same.

FOURTH: The corporation only has one type or class of stock. All stockholders were eligible to vote.

FIFTH: At a special meeting of the stockholders held on September 30, 1991, at the corporate headquarters at Buhl, Idaho, after due and legal notice was given, the number of shares voted for such amendment was 1,349,277; and the number of shares voted against such amendment was 3,400. The amendments were therefore duly passed.

ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT - 1


MICROFILMED


FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

Dated this 30th day of September, 1991.

CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY


Larry C. Cope, President


Keith E. Quigley, Assistant Secretary

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
County of Twin Falls)

The undersigned, a notary public, does hereby certify that on this 30th day of September, 1991, personally appeared before me Larry W. Cope and Keith E. Quigley who, being first duly sworn by me, declared that they are the President and Assistant Secretary respectively of Clear Springs Trout Company, that they signed the foregoing document as President and Assistant Secretary of the corporation, and that the statements therein contained are true.


NOTARY PUBLIC FOR IDAHO

Residing at: 

My Commission Expires: 12-22-91

(WLN\81019ART.003)

SCANNED
AUG 8 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993



RECEIVED
JUN 27 1991

Department of Water Resources

June 25, 1991

Ms. Marci Sterling
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard
Boise, Idaho 83706

Re: Clear Springs Trout Company - Crystal Springs Hatchery
Water Flows and Capacities

Dear Marci:

As we discussed during your visit on Tuesday, June 18th, I was to provide you with a better representation of hatchery capacity than is currently presented on the water permit(s). Please find this information enclosed.


Additionally, I believe it appropriate to substantiate the water flows available to the Crystal Springs Hatchery and clarify the current permits. As you know, this facility holds water permit numbers A36-07083, A36-07151 and A36-07568 totalling six hundred cubic feet per second (cfs). However, total flow as measured by the combination of devices located in the head canals and at the hatchery buildings is substantially less. Historical flow data for the Crystal Springs Hatchery is also enclosed. The permits need to be updated to reflect this factual flow.

As we also discussed, during your visit, water flows vary in total volume on a seasonal basis. May is the historically low flow period which has been exacerbated by recent drought conditions. Consequently, current measurements do not reflect maximum available flows and must be recognized as such. Clear Springs permits must be revised to reflect the maximum flow circumstance of approximately 270 cfs as the accompanying data substantiates.

If I can be of further assistance, please advise.

Sincerely,

CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY


Tim Hanifen
Director of Operations

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY

**Hatchery Capacity and Water Flows
Crystal Springs Hatchery**

Hatchery Capacity (outside ponds): Cubic Feet

	No. of Ponds	Width	Length	Water Depth	<u>Total Cubic Ft.</u>
Sections A & B	30	18	180	3	291,600
Sections C & D	20	18	150	3	162,000
Sections E & F	24	18	176	3	228,096
Sections G & H	22	18	176	3	209,088
	--				-----
Totals:	96				890,784

Historical Water Flows (Highs): Cubic Feet per Second

October 1985	253.94
October 1986	260.00
October 1987	269.96
October 1988	253.04
October 1989	251.75
October 1990	249.30

~~SCANNED~~
AUG 02 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

RECEIVED

APR 11 2000

Department of Water Resources

2000 APR 10 AM 10:18

FILED
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
SRBA

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576


) ORDER OF PARTIAL DECREE

) For Water Right 36-07568

)
)
)
)
The Notice of Challenge filed in the above subcase having been heard and ruled upon, IT IS
THEREFORE ORDERED that water right 36-07568 is hereby decreed as set forth in the attached
Partial Decree Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 54(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED APR 10 2000



BARRY WOOD
Administrative District Judge and
Presiding Judge of the
Snake River Basin Adjudication

RECEIVED

APR 11 2000

Department of Water Resources IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

PARTIAL DECREE PURSUANT TO
I.R.C.P. 54(b) FOR

Water Right 36-07568

2000 APR 10 AM 10:20

DISTRICT COURT - SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

NAME & ADDRESS: CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC
THE PROSPECT CO
PO BOX 712
BUHL ID 83316

SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 200.00 CFS

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 335.10 CFS.
FACILITY VOLUME 9.9 AF.

PRIORITY DATE: 09/06/1975

POINT OF DIVERSION: T09S R15E S12 LOT 13 (SWNE) Within GOODING County
LOT 12 (SENW)
LOT 14 (NESE)
LOT 15 (NWSE)

MULTIPLE POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN T09S, R15E, S12,
LOT 12 (SENW), LOT 13 (SWNE), LOT 14 (NESE) & LOT 15 (NWSE).

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	FISH PROPAGATION	01-01 12-31	200.00 CFS

PLACE OF USE: FISH PROPAGATION Within GOODING County
T09S R15E S12 Lot 14 (NESE) Lot 15 (NWSE)

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

A MEASURING DEVICE OF A TYPE APPROVED BY IDWR SHALL BE
MAINTAINED AS A PART OF THE DIVERTING WORKS.

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS
NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT
ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY
DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE
ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO CODE.

THE REMARK ADDRESSING FACILITY VOLUME IS INCLUDED IN THIS
WATER RIGHT ONLY BECAUSE THE REMARK APPEARED ON THE LICENSE.
THE REMARK ADDRESSING FACILITY VOLUME DOES NOT DEFINE THE EXTENT
OF BENEFICIAL USE AND CANNOT BE USED TO LIMIT ANY ELEMENT OF THIS
WATER RIGHT. THE REMARK SHALL NOT PREVENT THE OWNER OF THE
LICENSE FROM EXPANDING FACILITY VOLUME.


RECEIVED

APR 11 2000

Department of Water Resources

RULE 54(b) CERTIFICATE

With respect to the issues determined by the above judgment or order, it is hereby CERTIFIED, in accordance with Rule 54(b), I.R.C.P., that the court has determined that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of a final judgment and that the court has and does hereby direct that the above judgment or order shall be a final judgment upon which execution may issue and an appeal may be taken as provided by the Idaho Appellate Rules.



BARRY WOOD
Administrative District Judge
Presiding Judge of the
Snake River Basin Adjudication

RECEIVED

DEC 15 1998

Department of Water Resources

North Snake Ground Water District,
May Farms, Ltd., and Faulkner Land
& Livestock Company

RECEIVED

DEC 22 1998

Department of Water Resources

D. Craig Lewis
University of Idaho
College of Law
Moscow, Idaho 83843
(208) 885-6422
Fax: (208) 885-7609

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR TWIN FALLS COUNTY

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

) Subcase Nos. 36-02708, 36-07201,
) 36-07218, 36-02048, 36-02703,
) 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C,
) 36-07040, 36-07148, and 36-07568
) (Clear Springs Foods)

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Please take notice that D. Craig Lewis enters his appearance as co-counsel for the North Snake Ground Water District, May Farms, Ltd., and Faulkner Land & Livestock Company. You are requested to serve all future papers and pleadings on him at the address listed below:

D. Craig Lewis
University of Idaho
College of Law
Moscow, Idaho 83843
(208) 885-6422
Fax: (208) 885-7609

DATED this 14th day of December, 1998.


D. Craig Lewis

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of December, 1998, I caused to be served copies of the foregoing Notice of Appearance by the method indicated below:

Patrick D. Brown
Parker Warr & Brown
812 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301
☐ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☒ Fax

The Prospect Co
P. O. Box 712
Buhl, ID 83316
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax

Dan Steenson
Ringert Clark
P. O. Box 2773
Boise, Idaho 83701-2773
☐ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☒ Fax

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Document Depository
P. O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
P. O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0010
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax

United States Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033
Boise, ID 83724
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax



Dana L. Hofstetter

D:\1780\2b\CFS\2576

RECEIVED

OCT 08 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

RECEIVED

SEP 29 1998

Department of Water Resources

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

A Subcase

36-07568

(Insert water right number)

STANDARD FORM 1
OBJECTION

Please fill in the following information:

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON OBJECTING

Name: North Snake Ground Water District, May Farms, Ltd.,
and Faulkner Land & Livestock Company

Address: 2092 East 3600 South
Wendell, Idaho 83355

Daytime Phone: (208) 536-6553

Name & Address of Attorney, if any:

Josephine P. Beeman
Dana L. Hofstetter
Beeman & Hofstetter, P.C.
608 West Franklin Street
P. O. Box 1427
Boise, Idaho 83701-1427
(208) 388-8900

C. CLAIMANT OF WATER RIGHT AS LISTED IN DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Name: The Prospect Company
Clear Springs Trout Company
Address: P. O. Box 712
Buhl, Idaho 83316

D. I object to the following elements as recommended in the Director's Report. (Please check the appropriate box(es)).

1. ☐ **Name and Address**
Should be: _____
2. ☐ **Source**
Should be: _____
3. ☒ **Quantity**
Should be: _____
4. ☐ **Priority Date**
Should be: _____
5. ☐ **Point(s) of Diversion**
Should be: _____
6. ☐ **Instream Flow Description**
Should be: _____
7. ☐ **Purpose(s) of Use**
Should be: _____
8. ☐ **Period of Year**
Should be: _____
9. ☐ **Place of Use**
Should be: _____

11. I object because:

- ☐ This water right should not exist.
- ☐ This water right was not recommended, but should be recommended with the elements described above.

E. REASONS SUPPORTING OBJECTION(S): The quantity element does not adequately take into consideration actual beneficial use, including issues of partial forfeiture, adverse possession, other legal principles, and seasonal water supply or use fluctuations.



VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho)
) ss.
County of Ada)


Dana L. Hofstetter, duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:
(Name of person filing objection)


That I am the party/claimant filing this objection, as defined by IC. §§ 42-1401A(i) and (6) or that I am the attorney for the party/claimant objecting and that I have read this objection, know its contents and believe that the statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature of person filing objection)


(Attorney signing in representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me on: September 27, 1998




Notary Public for the State of Idaho
Residing at: Boise, Idaho
My Commission Expires: 07-24-02

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection, to the Clerk of the Court. **FAX filings will not be accepted.** You must also send a copy to all the parties listed below in the Certificate of Mailing.

G.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on September 28, 1998, I mailed the original and copies of this objection, including all attachments, to the following persons:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
PO Box 2707
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707

2. One copy to the claimant of the water right at the following address:

Name: The Prospect Company
Clear Springs Trout Company
Address: P. O. Box 712
Buhl, Idaho 83316

Patrick D. Brown
Parker Warr & Brown
812 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

3. Copies to:

IDWR Document Depository
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P. O. Box 44449
Boise, ID 83711-4449

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033
Boise, ID 83724



Signature of Objector or attorney
mailing on Objector's behalf

RECEIVED

SEP 29 1998

North Snake Ground Water District, on behalf of
its members, including May Farms, Ltd. and
Faulkner Land & Livestock Company

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

RECEIVED
SEP 28 1998
OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Josephine P. Beeman
Dana L. Hofstetter
BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.
608 West Franklin Street
P. O. Box 1427
Boise, ID 83701-1427
(208) 388-8900
(208) 388-8400 (Facsimile)
beehof@micron.net

RECEIVED

OCT 05 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR TWIN FALLS COUNTY

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

) Subcase Nos. 36-02048, 36-02703,
) 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C,
) 36-07040, 36-07148, and 36-07568
)

**NOTICE OF EXPEDITED HEARING
ON MOTION TO CONTINUE
HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a telephonic hearing on the NSGWD's *Motion to Continue Hearing on Motion to Alter or Amend and Motion to Set Scheduling Conference* has been scheduled for **September 24, 1998 at 9:00 a.m.** before Judge Hurlbutt. Undersigned counsel, will initiate the telephonic conference call.

DATED this 23rd day of September, 1998.

BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.

MAIL



20186

By

Dana L. Hofstetter

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 23rd day of September, 1998, I caused to be served copies of the foregoing **Notice of Expedited Hearing on Motion to Continue Hearing** by the methods indicated below:

Patrick D. Brown
Parker Warr & Brown
812 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Via Facsimile (208) 735-8820

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Document Depository
P. O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Via Facsimile 327-5400

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
P. O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

U.S. Mail Postage Prepaid



Dana L. Hofstetter

D:\1780\2\ac\2320

RECEIVED

OCT 05 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

SOUTH
RECEIVED

SEP 29 1998

Department of Water Resources

North Snake Ground Water District,
May Farms, Ltd. and Faulkner Land
& Livestock Company

Josephine P. Beeman
Dana L. Hofstetter
BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.
608 West Franklin Street
P. O. Box 1427
Boise, ID 83701-1427
(208) 388-8900
(208) 388-8400 (Facsimile)
beehof@micron.net

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR TWIN FALLS COUNTY

In Re SRBA	)	Subcase Nos. 36-02048, 36-02703,
	)	36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C,
Case No. 39576	)	36-07083, 36-07568, 36-02708, and
	)	36-07218
_____	)	

MOTION TO FILE LATE OBJECTIONS

MOTION

The North Snake Ground Water District and its members, May Farms, Ltd. and Faulkner Land & Livestock Company (hereinafter collectively the "NSGWD"), hereby move for leave to file late objections to water right claim numbers 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07083, 36-07568, 36-02708, and 36-07218. This Motion is supported by the Memorandum below, the Affidavit of David Shaw in Support of Motion to File Late Objections, the proposed objections, and the record in these subcases.

MEMORANDUM

The Idaho Department of Water Resources ("IDWR") issued its recommendations for 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07083, 36-07568, 36-02708, and 36-07218 in 1992 as part of the SRBA Basin 36 Director's Report. The claimants submitted objections to these water rights. The claimant's objections except for facility volume were resolved through stipulation. In February 1998, a hearing relating to the facility volume matter was held.¹ The Special Master subsequently issued recommendations based on the Director's Report recommendations and the stipulation, but deleted or qualified the facility volume remarks..

Over five years have passed since IDWR conducted its field examination for these rights and issued its Director's Report recommendations. Further, over five years have passed since the close of the objection period for Basin 36 (April 1993). Only very recently has a full five years of data become available confirming reduced use of these water rights after the close of the Basin 36 objection period. This reduced use gives rise to forfeiture, adverse possession, and estoppel issues, as well as issues based on other possible legal principles. These legal principles could have resulted in diminution of the rights. The members of the NSGWD, including May Farms, Ltd. and Faulkner Land & Livestock Company, divert water under junior water rights from the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer. Members of the NSGWD and other water users holding junior water rights could be subject to water right decrees for the rights in these subcases. Therefore, it is important for the Court to consider data concerning the current extent of actual beneficial use. *See Musser v. Higginson*, 125 Idaho 392, 871 P.2d 809 (1994).

¹ Due to audio recording difficulties, David Tuthill's testimony was retaken on July 28, 1998.

Commercial fish propagation facilities like the ones associated with these water rights discharge water from their facilities to surface water bodies and are normally required to obtain National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits under the Federal Clean Water Act. These facilities submit monthly discharge monitoring reports ("DMR's") as a condition of the NPDES permit. The DMR's are filed with EPA by the facility operator/permit holder, and a copy is placed on file with the Idaho Division of Environmental Quality ("DEQ") regional office. In this case, the Twin Falls office of DEQ contains the pertinent files.

The NSGWD has reviewed the discharge amount portions of the DMR's for Clear Springs Foods' Snake River Hatchery (Water Right Nos. 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, and 36-07148), Crystal Springs Hatchery (Water Right Nos. 36-07568 and 36-07083), and Middle Hatchery (Water Right Nos. 36-02708 and 36-07218). *See Affidavit of David Shaw*. For these kinds of continuous flow facilities, the amount of water discharged generally equals the amount of water diverted to the facility. *Id.* For the period 1993 - 1998, the discharge figures indicate that only a portion of the water claimed through the rights identified above was put to beneficial use. *Id.* IDWR diversion rate data available for these facilities for these years confirm the DMR figures. *Id.*

**GOOD CAUSE EXISTS TO GRANT
LEAVE TO FILE LATE OBJECTIONS**

The decision to set aside an entry of default or to allow a late notice of claim to be filed, and presumably, to allow a late objection to be filed, is based on the good cause standard of I.R.C.P. 55(c). *See* SRBA Administrative Order 1, Sec. 4.d(2)(d). The Rule 55(c) standard requires only good cause and a meritorious defense. *McFarland v. Curtis*, 123 Idaho 931, 935, 854 P.2d 274, 278 (Ct. App. 1993). The Rule 55(c) standard for setting aside entry of default is

more lenient than the Rule 60(b) standard for setting aside default judgment. *Id.* at 935-36, 854 P.2d at 278-79. This approach is consistent with the policy that cases generally should be decided on their merits and that in the earlier stage of entry of default where there has been no judgment, a liberal approach is appropriate. *Id.* at 936, 854 P.2d at 279. The Rule 55(c) standard has been described as requiring the movant to show "some excuse for failure to answer, that a meritorious defense exists, and the policy of deciding cases on the merits should be recognized." *Id.*

The five-year period post-dating the objection deadline recently transpired (in May 1998). The post-objection deadline data could not have been included in the original Director's Report, yet the data for this five-year period also constitutes a basis for which forfeiture, adverse possession, estoppel, and other legal principles may be asserted. *See 24 Hagerman Subcases*, 130 Idaho 736, 947 P.2d 409 (1997). In addition to representing a separate cause of action, the five-year post-objection period data also confirms the preceding years' data and establishes the ongoing nature of reductions in water use. Obviously, the data for the years 1993 - 1998 was not available during the original objection period. In fact, the data for the full five-year period was not available until after the February 1998 facility volume hearing. Yet, the new data impacts the validity of the Clear Springs' claims as they are now recommended by the Special Master and awaiting partial decree. If the claims are decreed as recommended without consideration of this new evidence, the decrees would be out-of-date upon issuance. If the decrees are to have a current effective date after the close of the objection period, then the five years of data post-dating the objection period should be taken into consideration.

The Affidavit of David Shaw with the tables of water usage data for the Clear Springs facilities establishes that there is a meritorious claim. The DMR data and the confirmatory

IDWR data demonstrate that these facilities have operated at substantially less than their currently recommended water right diversion rates for the last five years and beyond. This data substantiates that there has been a reduction in actual beneficial use over the years. *See 24 Hagerman Subcases*, 130 Idaho 736, 947 P.2d 409 (1997).

The five-year period post-dating the objection period both confirmed prior water usage information and established a new cause of action. The data for this five-year period did not exist at the end of the original objection period, and this satisfies the Rule 55(c) good cause standard. In fact, it even satisfies the more stringent Rule 60(b) additional criterion of "newly discovered evidence." Further, the water usage data in David Shaw's affidavit establishes that there is a meritorious claim that a portion of these rights have not been used for more than five years. Consistent with the policy in favor of deciding cases on the merits and consistent with the purpose of the SRBA to establish the nature and extent of water rights, this Motion to File Late Objections should be granted.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Motion to File Late Objection should be granted.

DATED this 28th day of September, 1998.

BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.

By


Dana L. Hofstetter

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

- I hereby certify that on the 28th day of September, 1998, I caused to be served copies of the foregoing **Motion to File Late Objections** by the methods indicated below:

Patrick D. Brown
Parker Warr & Brown
812 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Document Depository
P. O. Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
P. O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449
☒ U.S. Mail ☐ Fed Ex ☐ Hand ☐ Fax



Dana L. Hofstetter

D:\1780\2\ac\2422

South
DU
RECEIVED

SEP 24 1998

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

North Snake Ground Water District, on behalf of
its members, including May Farms, Ltd. and
Faulkner Land & Livestock Company

Josephine P. Beeman
Dana L. Hofstetter
BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.
608 West Franklin Street
P. O. Box 1427
Boise, ID 83701-1427
(208) 388-8900
(208) 388-8400 (Facsimile)
beehof@micron.net

RECEIVED

SEP 29 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR TWIN FALLS COUNTY

In Re SRBA	)	Subcase Nos. 36-02048, 36-02703,
	)	36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C,
Case No. 39576	)	36-07040, 36-07148, and 36-07568
	)	
_____	)	MOTION TO CONTINUE HEARING ON MOTION TO ALTER OR AMEND, MOTION TO SET SCHEDULING CONFERENCE, and MOTION FOR EXPEDITED HEARING

The North Snake Ground Water District ("NSGWD"), on behalf of its members including May Farms, Ltd. and Faulkner Land & Livestock Company, by and through its attorneys of record, Beeman & Hofstetter, P.C., hereby moves this Court to vacate the hearing on the *Motion to Alter or Amend* currently scheduled for September 28, 1998, at 9 a.m., and requests that the Court hold a scheduling conference at that time instead. The NSGWD further moves for an expedited telephonic hearing for Thursday morning, September 24, 1998, on these matters.

for hearing on September 28, 1998. *Id.* Nor was it listed on the August 1998 SRBA Docket Sheet. Thus, the NSGWD had no notice, constructive or otherwise, of the existence of the *Supplemental Findings*. Since the *Supplemental Findings* amend the basis for the Special Master's recommendations, the NSGWD needs additional time to revise its briefing and adequately prepare for hearing on these matters. *Id.*

3. Facility volume remarks were included in certain of the SRBA claims in subcases scheduled for hearing on September 28, 1998 (e.g., 36-07040 and 36-07568). The claimant subsequently objected to facility volume designations, but never sought to file amended claims. The attempt to delete facility volume remarks through the objection process is improper. Based on SRBA Court precedent, the claimant cannot seek to amend its claims without filing a motion to amend. Additional time is necessary for the claimant to seek the amendment of its claims.

DATED this 23rd day of September, 1998.

BEEMAN & HOFSTETTER, P.C.

By


Dana L. Hofstetter

R PROOF

CVR

MAPS

PHOTOS

MISC

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994



STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
1341 FILLMORE STREET, SUITE 200
TWIN FALLS, ID 83301-3380
PH. (208) 736-3033


Date 6-9-98
Name Beeman & Hofshtetter
Address Boise

No. of Copies	Materials	Cost
788	Copies @ .05	\$39.40
	Complete files	
	Claim numbers: A36-07040	
	A36-02703 - 02048 - 07148	
	- 04013 A, B, C, - 07568	
	Clear Springs Trout	
TOTAL		\$39.40

Please find enclosed the form(s) and/or information you requested. The charge, if any, is indicated above.

If we may be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,



SCANNED
AUG 11 2007

RECEIVED

MAY 01 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

RECEIVED

MAR 27 1998

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

SOUTHERN

FYI

1998 MAR 25 AM 11:39

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

) Subcases See Exhibit A


Case No. 39576

) ORDER PARTIALLY VACATING
) TRIAL and DEADLINES
)

Special Master Haemmerle issued *Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law (Facility Volume)* on February 24, 1998. A *Stipulation* was filed by the claimants and IDWR on February 24, 1998.

All the subcases on Exhibit A are currently set for trial. However, subcases 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07040, 36-07083, 36-07148, 36-07151, and 36-07568 have all been settled per the *Stipulation* and *Findings of Fact*. Therefore, since the aforementioned subcases are settled the trial and the deadlines are vacated. Trial is still scheduled July 6 and 7, 1998, for subcases 36-02708, 36-07201, and 36-07218.

DATED March 25, 1998.


FRITZ X. HAEMMERLE
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING


I certify that a true and correct copy of the ORDER PARTIALLY VACATING TRIAL DATE AND DEADLINES was mailed on March 25, 1998, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
P O Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

Patrick D. Brown
P O Box 389
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-0389

Director of IDWR
P O Box 83720
Boise, Idaho 83720-0098

Daniel V. Steenson
P O Box 2773
Boise, Idaho 83701-2773


Deputy Clerk

SCANNED
AUG 6 2 2007

ORDER PARTIALLY VACATING TRIAL DATE and DEADLINES

SUBCASE NO. 36-07568

	CLAIM (8/24/88)	AMENDED CLAIM	RECOMMENDATION	OBJECTION
NAME	Clear Springs Trout Co. &/or The Prospect Co.	N/A	<i>Clear Springs Foods Inc.</i> <i>PO Box 712</i> <i>Buhl, ID 83316</i> (see notes below)	XX Clear Springs Foods Inc. P.O. Box 712 Buhl, Idaho 83316 (+ see notes below)
BASIS OF CLAIM	License		License	
SOURCE	Crystal Springs		Crystal Springs	
QUANTITY	200.000 cfs		200.00 cfs	
PRIORITY DATE	09/06/1975		09/06/1975	
PURPOSE OF USE	Fish Propagation		Fish Propagation	
PERIOD OF USE	1/1 - 12/31		1/1 - 12/31	
POINT OF DIVERSION	T09S R15E S12		T09S R15E S12	
PLACE OF USE	T09S R15E S12		T09S R15E S12	
REMARKS			+ see below	XX "Facility Volume" & "Combined Facility Volume" are not elements of the water right. The "Combined Diversion Rate" if any, should be 335.1 cfs as measured by Dr. C.E. Brockway.

Notes: IDWR, in its response, stated a change of ownership was filed with the department after the filing of the Director's Report and recommended the name and address of the claimant should be changed.

- + Water used under this right if discharged into a natural channel shall meet Idaho Water Quality Standards.
- A measuring device of a type approved by IDWR shall be maintained as a part of the diverting works.
- Multiple points of diversion located in SWSWNE, SESWNE, NESENW, SESENW, NENWSE, NWNESE, S12, T09S, R15E.
- Right No. 36-07083 is also diverted through point of diversion described above.
- Use of this right with right no. 36-07083 is limited to a total combined diversion rate of 269.96 cfs.
- Facility volume 905,634 cu. ft.
- Use of this right with right no. 36-07083 is limited to a total combined facility volume of 905,634 cu. ft.

SCANNED
AUG 29 2007

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication)
of the Rights to the Use of Water)
from the Snake River Basin)
Water System)
_____)

Case No. 36-07568 -0001

The State of Idaho, ex rel.)
R. Keith Higginson in his)
official capacity as Director)
of the Idaho Department of)
Water Resources,)

RESPONSE TO OBJECTION

Petitioner,)

vs.)

The United States; the State)
of Idaho; and all Claimants to)
the use of water from the Snake)
River Basin Water System,)
_____)

Respondents.)

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

1. Person responding to objection:

Name: R. KEITH HIGGINSON, DIRECTOR

Address: IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

IDWR is required to file a response to an objection to part
I of the director's report pursuant to I.C. section 42-1412(2).

(Over)

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994

2. Person who filed objection:

Name: CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC
Address: PO BOX 712
BUHL ID 83316

3. If this response is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name(s): NICHOLAS B. SPENCER
Attorney Address: DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL(S)
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. BOX 44449
BOISE, ID 83711-4449
Attorney Phone No.: (208) 327-7900

II. STATEMENT OF THE RESPONSE

1. ☒ Name and Address: I respond to the objection concerning the name and/or address of the owner of the water right.
11. ☒ Remarks: I respond to the objection concerning the "remarks" listed with the water right.
14. ☒ Other: I respond to the objection concerning:
ALL MATTERS RAISED BY CLAIMANT'S ANSWER,
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR RELIEF.

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In response to Statement of the Objection item 1, and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows:

After the director's report was filed a notice of change in ownership was filed with IDWR. The name and address of the claimant should be changed as shown in the attachment entitled "Recommended Water Rights Acquired Under State Law."

In response to Statement of the Objection item 11 and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds

as follows:

Idaho Code section 42-1411(2) provides that the director's report shall include "such other matters as are necessary to define the right." The facility volume correctly describes the current use of water diverted for fish propagation purposes. Description of the current use is necessary to define the right and to prevent future expansions in use of the right. The recommendation of the director to the SRBA court as to how the right should be decreed does not constitute a taking, because it is simply a recommendation and not a final determination by the court; the eventual decree by the SRBA Court of the water right based on the actual beneficial use of the water diverted does not constitute a taking, because a water right is limited to the actual beneficial uses of the water diverted.

IDWR further responds that right nos. 36-07083 and 36-07568 are diverted through the same diversion system for use in the same facility. The total combined diversion rate recommended in the remarks for this right is based on measurement data provided by the claimant which shows that the maximum total flow diverted through the system pursuant to these rights is 269.96 cfs.

In response to Statement of the Objection item 14 and all allegations of the objection regarding this item, IDWR responds as follows:

See attached "IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant."

In response to the sentence listed in Changes to the Director's Report, whereby the claimant attempts to "reserve the right to claim all purposes of use and quantities of use as shown by the evidence at trial", IDWR responds as follows:

Insofar as this purported reservation of rights may constitute an attempt to reserve the right to amend at trial the claimant's notice of claim, the claimant improperly seeks to use the objection process to amend the claim. A claimant must obtain leave of the court to amend a claim just as a party must obtain leave of court to file a late claim after the director's report is filed.

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

See attached List of Recommended Water Rights Acquired under State Law.

(Over)

36-07568
UNRECORDED

☒ Check here if you are submitting documents. Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary:

	No. of Pages
Notice of Claim No. A36-07568	4
Recommended Water Rights Acquired Under State Law	1
IDWR's Response to Answer, Affirmative Defenses and Prayer for Relief of Claimant	4

VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of IDAHO

County of ADA


David B. Shaw, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of the Idaho Department of Water Resources, is a party to this proceeding, as defined by I.C. 42-1401A(1) and (7); that I am the Adjudication Bureau Chief for IDWR and am authorized by R. Keith Higginson, in his official capacity as director of IDWR, to verify this response; and that I have read the foregoing response, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.



Signature of person filing response

By:



(Attorney signing in
representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 10th day of September, 1993.



Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at: _____

My Commission expires _____

Danni Smith, Notary Public
Residing at Boise, Idaho
My Commission Expires December 5, 1994

(Over)

RECEIVED
WICHOITIA

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 10th day of SEPTEMBER, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing response, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepared and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:

See No. 3

3. One copy to the party who filed the objection, or if represented by an attorney, to their attorney; and you must fill in the following information:

Name: HEPWORTH NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ
Address: PATRICK D BROWN
PO BOX 389
TWIN FALLS ID 83303

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, Idaho 83734



Signature of Respondent or attorney
mailing on Respondent's behalf

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-07568
Date Received: 8/24/1988
Receipt No: _____
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO. 208-543-4316
Address: P. O. BOX 712
BUHL, ID 83316
AND/OR
Name: THE PROSPECT CO. 208-543-4316
Address: P.O. BOX 712
BUHL, ID 83316
AND/OR
Name: THE PROSPECT CO.
Address:

2. Date of Priority: SEP 06, 1975

3. Source: CRYSTAL SPRINGS Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
09S	15E	12	SW	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			SE	SW	NE	13	GOODING
			NE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			SE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
			NW	NE	SE	14	GOODING
			NE	NW	SE	15	GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
CHECK DAM, DITCH, PIPELINE TO RACEWAYS, HATCHERY BUILDING

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
FISH PROPAGATION	01/01	12/31	200.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
200.000 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

A36-07568

Page 1

Date: 08/30/88

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993
OCT 19 1994

Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
COMMERCIAL TROUT PRODUCTION

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
09S	15E	12	NE	SE	14	FISH	
			NW	SE	15	FISH	

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
LIC. 36-7083, 7151

14. Remarks:
FACILITY VOLUME = 539,190 CU FT.
WATER IS USED IN THE 2 EASTERNMOST GROUPS OF RACEWAYS & THE
RELATED HATCHERY BUILDING.
WATER COLLECTED ANYWHERE ALONG THE DITCH CAN SERVE THIS CLAIM, SO
THE WHOLE DITCH AREA IS THE P/D.

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993
OCT 18 1994

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do do not X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am President of
Clear Springs Trout Company, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization
document in the space below as President of
Clear Springs Trout Company and that the statements contained in the
Organization

foregoing document are true and correct.

[Signature]
Signature of Authorized Agent

President Clear Springs Trout Company
Title and Organization

8/30/88

Date

State of Idaho)

County of Twin Falls)

SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 30th day


of August 19 88

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Buhl ID

My Commission Expires 11-17-92



IDWR'S RESPONSE TO ANSWER, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AND PRAYER FOR
RELIEF OF CLAIMANT

This portion of the response addresses the multi-page attachment to the objection (hereinafter "the attachment"), wherein the claimant admits or denies all sections of Parts I, II, and III of the director's report, asserts 27 affirmative defenses, and alleges a prayer for relief. The attachment contains the following sections, corresponding to the various sections of the director's report:

- (1) I. GENERAL RESPONSE
- (2) II. DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY, (first unnumbered page of Part I of the director's report)
- (3) III. TABLE OF CONTENTS (second and third unnumbered pages of Part I of the director's report)
- (4) IV. 1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I (page 1 of Part I of the director's report)
- (5) IV. 2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION (pages 1-3 of Part I of the director's report)
- (6) IV. 3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I (pages 3-4 of Part I of the director's report)
- (7) V. 1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN (pages 4-6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (8) V. 2. WATER SOURCES (page 6 of Part I of the director's report)
- (9) V. 3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE (pages 6-9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (10) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 1-8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (11) VI. General Provision 1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION (page 9 of Part I of the director's report)
- (12) VI. General Provision 2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS (pages 9-10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (13) VI. General Provision 4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (14) VI. General Provision 5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (page 10 of Part I of the director's report)
- (15) VI. General Provision 6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (16) VI. General Provision 7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

- WORKS (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
- (17) VI. General Provision 8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (page 11 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (18) VI. General Provision 9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (19) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (20) VI. General Provision 10. DOMESTIC USE (page 12 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (21) VI. GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (22) VI. General Provision 11. STOCK WATER USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (23) VI. GENERAL PROVISIONS 12-16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (page 13 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (24) VI. General Provision 12. IRRIGATION USE (pages 13-14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (25) VI. General Provision 13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION (page 14 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (26) VI. General Provision 14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION (pages 14-15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (27) VI. General Provision 15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION (page 15 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (28) VI. General Provision 16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE (pages 15-16 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (30) VI. 17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS (pages A-i through A-iii of Part I of the director's report)
 - (31) VI. 18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW (pages A-1 through B-25 of Part I of the director's report)
 - (32) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)
 - (33) DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36), (DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

The attachment also contains part VIII, AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES (pp. 20 through 28) and a PRAYER FOR RELIEF (untitled, p. 28).

In response to each of the items enumerated above IDWR responds that pursuant to Section 4 a.(2)(c) of SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, IDWR generally denies each and every allegation, if any, contained in each enumerated portion of the attachment. IDWR affirmatively responds that neither SRBA Administrative Order 1, Rules of Procedure, nor Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, make provision for IDWR to affirm, amplify, or otherwise respond to the claimant's admissions, or to deny the claimant's denials, and that IDWR therefore does not believe that it is required to do so. Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code solely defines the content and scope of the director's report. It is to contain a description of the water system and is to provide a recommendation as to each water right claimed and as to those matters necessary for administration of those water rights. Therefore, the content and scope of the objection is limited to those same matters. The attachment to the present objection asserts or otherwise raises matters not directly addressing the elements of the water right as recommended, the description of the water system, or the general provisions. Rather, the attachment raises broad issues as to the constitutional, statutory and administrative efficacy of the entire adjudication process. All such issues should be raised by means of motions to designate basinwide issues and similar procedural vehicles specifically designed to provide for basinwide notice and participation. They should not be permitted to divert the focus of any individual subcase away from the issues directly connected to the elements of the water right and the administration thereof. Therefore, because the attachment to the present objection is not within the scope of the statutory purpose of an objection it should be stricken.

However, in the event that the court should determine that all or part of the attachment is properly within the scope of the objection, IDWR further responds as follows:

IDWR affirmatively responds that all statements within the director's report are properly included therein pursuant to Title 42, Chapter 14, Idaho Code, and in particular pursuant to Idaho Code §§ 42-1411 and 42-1412(8); and that the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure regarding pleadings are inapplicable to the extent that they are in conflict with the statutory requirements. Therefore IDWR denies all allegations directed at the contents of the director's report.

As to the enumerated portions of the attachment addressing the listings of recommended water rights, abstracts of federal reserved water right claims, or negotiated agreements, other than the individual recommendation that is the subject of this objection, IDWR responds that such claims or agreements are not brought before this court by this objection.

EX-100-10000
MICROFILMED

Responding to the 27 affirmative defenses asserted in the attachment, IDWR generally denies each and every affirmative defense asserted. IDWR further responds that affirmative defense numbers 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 11, 12, 18, and 22, asserting various violations of civil or constitutional rights, fail to comply with the requirements I.R.C.P. 9(b) providing that in all averments of violation of civil or constitutional rights the factual circumstances constituting such violation must be stated with particularity. Accordingly, IDWR asserts that those affirmative defenses should be stricken.

In response to that portion of the multi-page attachment to the objection wherein the claimant asserts a prayer for relief, IDWR responds as follows:

1. IDWR denies that the director's report asserts any claims against the claimant; rather, the director's report contains only the recommendation of the director as to how the claimant's water right should be decreed and administered.
2. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to have the water right decreed as claimed unless in the director's report the water right has been recommended as claimed.
3. IDWR denies that any acts upon its part constitute the taking of private property for which just compensation should be allowed and awarded.
4. IDWR denies that the claimant is entitled to recover court costs and reasonable attorney fees.
5. IDWR denies that the claimant by this objection has raised any claims against IDWR entitling such claimant to any relief other than the eventual judicial determination and decree of the various elements of the claimant's water right, if any, and of those related matters necessary to describe and administer that water right.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

DATE: SEP-2-1993

PAGE: A-2

RIGHT NUMBER	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE FROM TO	MAXIMUM QUANTITY	BASIS OF CLAIM
SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS		TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER				
36-07568	CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC ~~THE PROSPECT CO~~ PO BOX 712 BUHL ID 83316	09/06/1975	FISH PROPAGATION	01-01 12-31	200.00 CFS	LICENSE
					TOTAL QUANTITY: 200.00 CFS	
NON-IRRIGATION-USES:						
FISH, COMMERCIAL TROUT PRODUCTION						
POINT OF DIVERSION:						
T09S R15E S12 LOT 13 (SWSWNE)						
LOT 13 (SESWNE)						
LOT 12 (NESENW)						
LOT 12 (SESENW)						
LOT 14 (NWNENE)						
LOT 15 (NENWSE)						
PLACE OF USE: FISH PROPAGATION						
T09S R15E S12 Lot 14 (NESE) Lot 15 (NWSE)						
REMARKS: Water used under this right if discharged into a natural channel shall meet Idaho Water Quality Standards.						
A MEASURING DEVICE OF A TYPE APPROVED BY IDWR SHALL BE MAINTAINED AS A PART OF THE DIVERTING WORKS.						
MULTIPLE POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN SWSWNE, SESWNE, NESENW, SESENW, NENWSE, NWNENE, S12, T9S, R15E.						
RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE.						
USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 269.96 CFS.						
FACILITY VOLUME 905,634 CU. FT.						
USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL COMBINED FACILITY VOLUME OF 905,634 CU. FT.						

MICROFILMED
0019

RECEIVED

APR 30 1993

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re the General Adjudication
of Rights to the Use of Water
from the Snake River Basin
Water System

Case No. A36-07568

(You must insert the
water right number you
are objecting to)

The State of Idaho, ex rel.
R. Keith Higginson in his
official capacity as Director
of the Idaho Department of
Water Resources,

OBJECTION

Petitioner,

36-07568 -0001/
93-04-0339

vs.

The United States; the State
of Idaho; and all Claimants to
the use of water from the Snake
River Basin Water System,

Respondents.

INSTRUCTIONS

This form must be used to file an objection to any water right recommended in the Director's Report. You may object to one water right per objection form. The water right number you are objecting to must be indicated above in the blank space following "Case No." To object to more than one water right, you must file a separate objection form for each. Forms may be obtained from the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR), or you may copy or reproduce this blank form, as long as it is identical to the court's form. You must file your objection by the deadline shown in the notice that IDWR mailed to you. The objection must be received by the court on or before the deadline specified. Instructions for mailing this objection are found at Page 6, and must be strictly followed.

Any party physically filing 25 (twenty-five) or more objections must make an appointment with the Clerk of the Adjudication Court and arrange for an appointment to file multiple objections.

(Over)

OBJECTION - 1

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994

By filing an objection form, you are certifying that the objection is well-grounded in fact; is warranted by existing law or a good-faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law; and that it is not filed for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay or needless increase in the cost of litigation.

I. GENERAL INFORMATION

Please fill in the following information:

1. Person objecting:

Name: Clear Springs Foods, Inc.

Address: P. O. Box 712

Buhl, Idaho 83316

Briefly describe your interest in this water right:

Owner of the water right

2. Owner of the water right:

Name: Clear Springs Foods, Inc.

Address: P. O. Box 712

Buhl, Idaho 83316

3. If this objection is filed by an attorney:

Attorney Name: Patrick D. Brown

Attorney Address: Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz

P.O. Box 389, Twin Falls, ID 83303

Attorney Phone No.: (208) 734-7510; Fax: (208) 734-4115

If filed by an attorney, notice of pending court proceedings will be sent to the attorney only.

II. STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

Please check each "box" which states your objection to the recommendation in the Director's Report.

1. ☒ Name and Address: I object to the name and/or address of the owner of the water right.
2. ☐ Source: I object to the source of water.
3. ☐ Quantity: I object to:
 - a. ☐ the total quantity of water used in cubic feet per second; or
 - b. ☐ the total quantity of water stored in acre-feet per year; or
 - c. ☐ the total quantity of water reserved for each and every purpose, including all present and future uses.
4. ☐ Priority Date: I object to the priority date.
5. ☐ Points of Diversion: I object to the legal description of the point(s) of diversion.
6. ☐ Instream Flow: I object to the legal description of the beginning and ending points of the instream flow.
7. ☐ Purpose(s) of Use: I object to the purpose(s) of use.
8. ☐ Period of Year: I object to the period of year when water is used or is necessary for the purpose stated.
9. ☐ Place of Use: I object to:
 - a. ☐ the legal description of the place of use; or
 - b. ☐ the number of irrigated acres within each forty (40) acre subdivision.
10. ☐ Consumptive Use: I object to the annual volume of consumptive use.
11. ☒ Remarks: I object to the "remarks" listed with the water right.

(Over)

12. ☐ Water Right Recommendation: I object to:
- a. ☐ this water right being recommended at all; or
- b. ☐ this water right not being recommended.
13. ☐ Description of Reservation: I object to the legal description of the reservation.
14. ☒ Other: I object to: See attached
-
-
-
-

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED

In the space following, you must state the reason(s) by number for each box checked. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See page 7

CHANGES TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Specifically describe how you want the Director's Report to be changed. You may use additional pages if necessary.

See page 7

Please note: You should attach copies of documents which support your objection. Note on each document the "box number" it supports.



Check here if you are submitting documents. . Please list each document by the title and number of pages. You may use additional pages if necessary. Exhibit "A": January

5, 1993 letter from Jodi I. Schultz to Patrick D. Brown-
1 pages. Exhibit "B": October 2, 1992, letter from Marci
Sterling to Timothy J. Hanifen- 2 pages.

VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho

County of Twin Falls.

TIMOTHY J. HANIFEN

(Name of person filing objection)

, being first duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:

That I am the person or entity filing this objection, that I am a water claimant or party in this proceeding, as defined by I.C. Sec 42-1401A(1) and (7), and that I have read the foregoing objection, know its contents and that the statements contained therein are true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Timothy J. Hanifen
signature of person filing objection

By: [Signature]
(Attorney signing in representative capacity)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 28th day of April,
1993.

Chris L. Henderson
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Twin Falls
My Commission Expires: 9-20-94

(Over)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection, including all attachments, to the Clerk of the Court. **FAX filings will not be accepted by the Clerk of the Court.** You must also send a copy to the owner of the water right, if other than yourself; to the Office of the Attorney General, State of Idaho; and to the United States Department of Justice, as set out below in the Certificate of Mailing. Finally, you must sign the Certificate of Mailing to show that you followed these steps.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that on the 18 day of April, 1993, I mailed the original and copies of the foregoing objection, including all attachments, to the following persons, by mailing the original and/or true and correct copies, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
P.O. Box 2707
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-2707

2. One copy to the owner of the water right, and you must fill in the following information:


Owner name: Clear Springs Foods, Inc.
Owner's address: P. O. Box 712
Buhl, Idaho 83316

3. One copy to:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449

4. One copy to:

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, ID 83724



Signature of Objector or attorney
mailing on Objector's behalf

REASONS SUPPORTING EACH BOX CHECKED, page 4

Box 1 - See Paragraph 1, page 2, and Exhibit "A" for correct name and/or address.

Box 11 - "Facility Volume" and "Combined Facility Volume" are not elements of the water right. There is no basis in law or fact for imposing such a limitations and doing so constitutes a taking. The "Combined Diversion Rate" if any, should be 335.1 cfs as measured by Dr. C.E. Brockway.

CHANGES TO DIRECTOR'S REPORT, page 4

The report should be changed to correct the name and to eliminate the restrictions noted in the "Reasons Supporting Each Box Checked."

Objectors reserve the right to claim any additional uses and quantities of use shown by the evidence at trial.

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994

Question 14., page 4, Other

I.

GENERAL RESPONSE

Except as specifically admitted herein, objectors deny each and every allegation contained in the Director's Report, any related or subsidiary pleadings, and/or any attachments thereto.

II.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART I)

(hereinafter DRP-I)

(DRP-I at first unnumbered page)

The objectors admit that Part I of the Director's recommendation contains some claims to water rights appropriated under state law from Reporting Area 3. The objectors deny all other averments because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(DRP-I at 2nd and 3rd unnumbered pages)

The objectors admit that the Table of Contents reflects the page numbers of the referenced sections and deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment, expressed or implied, is so

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

indefinite that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied.

IV.

INTRODUCTION

1. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USING THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 1)

The objectors admit that Part I consists of seven sections; that the description of the water system includes a brief description of Reporting Area 3 and an illustration of the boundaries of the reporting area; that the water rights and the list of the recommended rights are grouped alphabetically by source and listed chronologically by priority date; that the rights or claims in the list of claims/rights not recommended are listed numerically by water right number; and that brief instructions are found at the beginning of the applicable sections. The objectors deny that any description or any implication that the description of the water system or the illustrations are true and accurate due to lack of knowledge or information regarding the truth of the averment; deny that the general provisions apply to all water rights or define or limit classes of water rights; and deny that the general provisions "will affect your water right," based upon insufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

2. SCOPE OF THE ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 1 - 3)

The objectors deny all averments in paragraph a., due to lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors lack sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to whether water rights which are not claimed in the adjudication will or will not exist upon entry of the court's decree and, therefore, deny such averment. The objectors admit that the court entered an order dated January 17, 1989, but presently lack sufficient knowledge to know whether any of the other averments concerning the court's order are true or accurate and, therefore, deny the same. The objectors deny that a right must be adjudicated before water may be distributed by the director. The objectors deny all other averments in paragraph b.

3. PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT PART I. (DRP-I at page 3 - 4)

The objectors admit that portion of the first sentence which states "This report contains the Director's recommendations to the SRBA District Court as to the nature and extent of water rights," but deny that such recommendations "are necessary for administration of water rights in Reporting Area 3," and further deny the Director has authority to "administer" such rights.

Regarding the second paragraph, the objectors admit that all water rights remain subject to the applicable law generally, but deny the remainder of the second paragraph.

Regarding the third paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments therein based upon lack of knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truthfulness of the averments.

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

V.

DESCRIPTION OF THE WATER SYSTEM: BASIN 36

1. BOUNDARIES OF BASIN. (DRP-I at pages 4 - 6)

Regarding the first paragraph of this section, the objectors deny all averments due to a lack of knowledge or information upon which to admit or deny.

Regarding the second, third and fourth paragraphs of this section, the objectors admit that as broad statements, those paragraphs are generally accurate but the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to know what would be implicitly admitted or denied and therefore, deny the same.

With respect to the fifth paragraph of this section, the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred and, therefore, deny the averments.

2. WATER SOURCES. (DRP-I at page 6)

The objectors admit that the Snake River Plain Aquifer is the source of outflows of springs located along the Snake River Canyon Wall in the Hagerman Valley. The objectors presently lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form an opinion as to the truth of the other averments set out in this section and, therefore, deny the same.

3. DEVELOPMENT OF WATER USE. (DRP-I at pages 6 - 9)

The objectors admit that numerous factual and historical averments are set out in this section, which may be accurate, but

these objectors have no basis for knowing whether some or all of the averments are intended to relate to the objectors' claims and, thus, are prevented from knowing what would be admitted or denied. Therefore, the averments are denied.

VI.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

GENERAL PROVISIONS 1 - 8 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36.
(DRP-I at page 9)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 1 - 8" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to know what would be explicitly admitted or denied. The objectors also specifically deny that general provisions 1-8 apply to the objectors' right.

1. EFFECT OF ADJUDICATION. (DRP-I at page 9)

With respect to paragraph a., the objectors presently have no knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of whether a future decree would supersede prior decrees, or whether unclaimed rights would cease to exist and, therefore, deny the same.

Regarding paragraph b., the objectors deny that the Director has any powers to administer water rights other than those specifically delegated by the Legislature of the State of Idaho, and which do not violate the objectors' state and federal constitutional rights, and therefore the averments are denied.

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

2. GENERAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS. (DRP-I at pages 9 - 10)

The objectors admit paragraphs a. and b.

3. CONJUNCTIVE ADMINISTRATION (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the conjunctive administration provisions based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because any averment expressed or implied is so indefinite that it prevents the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, the objectors deny that the Department of Water Resources has any statutory or regulatory authority for the "conjunctive administration" as therein described.

4. ADMINISTRATION OF GROUND WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors deny the averments within this section.

5. ADMINISTRATION OF BASIN 36 RELATIVE TO THE SNAKE RIVER (DRP-I at page 10)

The objectors admit that the springs are hydrologically interconnected with the Snake River Aquifer, but deny all other averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient information or knowledge upon which to form a belief as to the averments herein. The objectors additionally deny any said averments expressed or implied because the averments are so indefinite and generalized as to prevent the objectors from fairly understanding what would be admitted or denied.

6. ADMINISTRATION OF SMALL DOMESTIC AND STOCK WATER RIGHTS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that part of the first sentence of subparagraph a. to the effect that "small domestic and stock water rights from surface water are not subject to administration," but deny the balance of said sentence and said paragraph.

The objectors admit the first sentence of paragraph b., and deny the balance of that paragraph because the criteria set forth therein ("if the director determines that administration is necessary") is so ambiguous, indefinite and uncertain that it prevents the objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied, and by further reason of the fact that no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department.

The objectors deny the averments in paragraph c. as the averments are inaccurate and because the objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the matters averred.

7. MEASURING DEVICES AND CONTROL WORKS (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors deny all averments contained herein as such averments are based upon an indeterminate subjective standards which are so ambiguous and indefinite as to prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied. Additionally, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring such sweeping and unlimited authority upon the Director or the Department as is alleged in this paragraph.

8. FIRE FIGHTING PURPOSES (DRP-I at page 11)

The objectors admit that subparagraph a. and subparagraph b. are generally accurate statements of present law, but deny the averments are in any way relevant to defining the objectors' right.

9. CONSUMPTIVE USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors admit the averments in this section.

GENERAL PROVISION 10 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH DOMESTIC USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 10" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with domestic use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water rights, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be explicitly admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

10. DOMESTIC USE (DRP-I at page 12)

The objectors deny that the definition of "domestic use" contained in paragraphs a., b., and c. is consistent or in conformity with the definition of such term for purposes of the Idaho Constitution, or statutory definition contained in I.C. Section 42-111 as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein exist, are in force, or apply or serve in any way to limit objectors' rights.

GENERAL PROVISION 11 APPLIES TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH STOCK WATER USE AS A PURPOSE OF USE. (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provision 11" applies to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with stock water use as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right, that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied and, therefore, deny the same.

11. STOCK WATER USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny the averments herein as beyond the scope and applicability of the definition as provided by Idaho law as now, or as heretofore in effect at relevant times. The objectors further deny that the limitations set forth therein limit the objectors' right or are relevant to the right.

GENERAL PROVISIONS 12 - 16 APPLY TO ALL WATER RIGHTS IN BASIN 36 SHOWN WITH IRRIGATION AS A PURPOSE OF USE (DRP-I at page 13)

The objectors deny that "General Provisions 12 - 16" apply to all water rights in Basin 36 shown with irrigation as a purpose of use as the statements are so broad and attenuated from any matter relevant to the objectors' water right that the objectors are unable to fairly understand what would be admitted or denied.

12. IRRIGATION USE. (DRP-I at pages 13 - 14)

The objectors deny all averments based upon the objectors' lack of sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of the averments and because

MICROFILMED

averments expressed and implied are so indefinite and vague as to prevent the objectors from fairly knowing what would be admitted or denied; because the standard utilized ("as determined by the director") is ambiguous, subjective and indefinite so as to prevent objectors from knowing what would be admitted or denied; and because it is both expressly and implicitly in excess of the power and authority conferred upon the State of Idaho, the Director or the Department by the Constitutions of the United States and State of Idaho, pertinent provisions of the Idaho Code or valid applicable regulations, if any, adopted by the Department in conformity with law. Therefore, the objectors deny the same.

13. DIVERSION VOLUME FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14)

The objectors admit that Figure 1 generally depicts certain lands in Basin 36; however, the objectors deny all other averments as they are so attenuated from any matter relevant to the objector's right.

14. CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 14 and 15)

The objectors admit that the recommended water rights contained within the Director's Report show only the irrigation use listed unless otherwise indicated in "Remarks." The objectors deny all other averments contained within this section, because the averments are so attenuated from any matter relevant to the objector's rights.

15. AMOUNT OF COMBINED WATER RIGHTS FOR IRRIGATION. (DRP-I at page 15)

The specific averments have no relationship to or basis in fact relating to the individual needs or rights of the objectors, and accordingly, objectors deny this section in its entirety. In addition, no statutory or regulatory authority exists conferring upon the Director, or the Department, the power to limit use and ownership of objectors' private property rights as alleged.

16. DIVERSION RATES IN EXCESS OF .02 CFS PER ACRE. (DRP-I at page 15 - 16)

The objectors deny the averments contained in this section in its entirety.

17. INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE OF LIST OF RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS. (DRP-I at page A-i, A-ii and A-iii)

The objectors admit that each water right in the list of recommended water rights includes a description including right number, name and address, source, maximum quantity, consumptive use, priority date, point of diversion, purpose of use, period of use, place of use, basis of right and others. The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said descriptions other than that the descriptions allegedly include the Director's or Department's version of the facts as they relate to the matters identified. Objectors deny the accuracy of the substantive allegations or information set forth with regard to the objectors' right.

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

18. IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW. (DRP-I, pages A-1 - B-25)

The objectors lack sufficient knowledge or information upon which to form a belief as to the truth of any matter averred in said recommended water rights other than those specific admissions contained in Questions 1 - 12 of the attached individual objection, and therefore deny the same.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART II)

I.

Objectors do not understand the allegations, averments or contents of Part II of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) to pertain or relate to objectors or their claims, and do not believe any response is required. To the extent a response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

DIRECTOR'S REPORT FOR REPORTING AREA 3 (BASIN 36)

(DIRECTOR'S REPORT PART III)

I.

No report denominated as Part III of the Director's Report for Reporting Area 3 (Basin 36) has been filed, and accordingly objectors are unable to admit, deny or otherwise response to the same. Objectors do not understand that the contemplated subject matter of such Part III will relate or pertain to objectors or their claims, and no response is required in any case. To the extent any response is required, objectors deny the same in its entirety.

VIII.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to I.R.C.P. 8(c), objectors herewith state the following matters of affirmative defense or avoidance:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 1

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property (as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101, and like statutes) protected by Section 14, Article 1, of the Idaho Constitution, and the Fifth Amendment to the United States Constitution, and allege that the actions of the Director in recommending, limiting and/or regulating said rights is an unconstitutional infringement upon and a taking of private property, without just compensation and violation thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 2

The adjudication authorization legislation, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., on its face and as applied by the Director and the Department constitutes an improper delegation of constitutional power and authority conferred upon the executive, legislative and/or judicial branches of government and as such violate the provisions governing separation of powers set forth in Article 2, Section 1, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 3

The procedure set forth in the adjudication authorization legislation, statutes, I.C. Section 42-1401, et seq., and any rules, regulations, procedures, policies or standards promulgated by the Director and/or the Department, if any, are vague and so

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

lacking in reasonable specificity so as to render them impossible of reasonable comprehension, or consistent and meaningful application.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 4

The procedures, rules, regulations, policies or standards, if any, adopted, implemented and applied by the Department and/or the Director violate the equal protection and due process clauses of the Fourteenth Amendment, United States Constitution, and Article 1, Sections 2 and 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 5

The adjudication authorization legislation, Idaho Code Section 42-1401, et seq., and the actions of the Director and Department in recommending, limiting and/or regulating the objectors' water right constitutes a violation of 42 U.S.C. Section 1983.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 6

The water right owned by the objectors is the subject of valid existing license issued by the Director and Department, which license is binding upon the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director. As a result, the State of Idaho, the Department and the Director are precluded by the doctrines of res judicata, collateral estoppel, judicial estoppel and the like from relitigating or questioning the validity, existence or priority of such right, and/or from taking any position in the Director's Report, or otherwise, which is inconsistent with such license.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 7

The Director's recommendations are contrary to decreed rights, historical use and extent licenses and permits issued by the Department. There has been no forfeiture nor abandonment of the objectors' water rights, nor have the objectors' water rights been prescriptively or adversely possessed, nor have such decrees been vacated or such licenses and permits revoked.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 8

The Directors' Report fails to state a claim to the extent the same asserts a forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition or loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, of the objectors' water right in the absence of any fact upon which forfeiture, abandonment, prescriptive or adverse acquisition for loss, or loss resulting from estoppel, may be based or asserted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 9

The objectors' water right is an incorporeal form of real property, as defined by Idaho Code Sections 7-703, 73-114, 55-101, and like statutes, and, as such, pursuant to Idaho Code Section 7-904, et. seq., the actions of the Director in attempting to limit, reduce and/or regulate such rights, and the assertion of some inconsistent proprietary ownership or right to use or control by the State of Idaho, constitutes an unauthorized and invalid taking of private property without the payment of just compensation, or compliance with statutory requirements, all in violation of Title 7, Chapter 7, Idaho Code.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 10

The actions of the Director, the Department and their agents, servants and employees in recommending, limiting, reducing and/or regulating objector's water rights, either as alleged in the Director's Report or as in fact applied, violates objectors' rights as secured by Art. 1, Section 1; Art. 15, Sections 3, 4 and/or 5; and/or as otherwise provided in the Constitution of the State of Idaho.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 11

The various allegations, statements and assertions contained in the Director's Report concerning the Department and/or the Director's position or intentions with regard to the adjudication, as well as the implementation of statutes and/or regulations, as well as the administration of water or management of water rights or claims to the beneficial uses of water, if implemented, constitute a violation of various provisions the United States and Idaho constitutions, and/or a violation of the letter, spirit and/or intent of various statutes enacted by the legislature, and/or constitute an exercise of purported authority or powers either in excess of those conferred by law, or in a manner inconsistent with those conferred by law, or both.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 12

The individual recommendations relating to the specific water right of the objectors as set forth in the Director's Report are (1) not based upon substantial evidence and/or are not, in fact, the product of any reasonable, complete or accurate

investigation as required by law; (2) are the product of arbitrary and capricious action on the part of the Department or the Director; (3) have been made in excess of the constitutional and statutory authority of the Department and the Director; (4) have been made upon an unlawful and invalid procedure; (5) are affected by various and sundry errors of law; and/or (6) are clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative and substantial evidence.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 13

The Director's Report is a pleading subject to the provisions of the Idaho Rules of Civil Procedure, including, but not limited to the requirement of I.R.C.P. 8(a)(1) that such pleadings contain a clear and plain statement of the facts, demonstrating an entitlement to relief. The Director's Report violates such provision.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 14

The Director's Report fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 15

The Director's Report is subject to, and must comply with, the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1411. The Director's Report does not comply with the requirements of the statute.

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 16

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1410.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 17

In conducting the investigation and compiling the Director's Report, the Department and the Director have not complied with the requirements of Idaho Code Section 42-1416.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 18

The procedures specified in the authorizing legislation and procedural rules promulgated in accordance therewith serve to deny objectors due process of law in violation of Amendment Fourteen to the Constitution of the United States and Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 19

The "General Provisions" and "Other Statements" contained in the Director's Report, along with the Claims Investigation Manual, Adjudication Memoranda and other materials of a similar nature used or employed by the Department, the Director and/or their agents, servants and/or employees constitute rules and regulations which were required to be proposed, promulgated, adopted and approved in conformity with the Administrative Procedures Act and other applicable statutory provisions. The Department, Director and their agents, servants and employees have failed to comply with such requirements, and accordingly, the

"General Provisions," "Other Statements", Claims Investigation Manual and the like are invalid, void and of no force or effect.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 20

Neither the "General Conditions," the Claims Adjudication Manual, Adjudication Memoranda or other promulgations, adoptions, policies, or the like have been submitted to or approved by the Legislature as required by Idaho Code Section 67-5217.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 21

The relief requested by the Department and the Director in the Director's Report are beyond and in excess of the subject matter jurisdiction of the Court.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 22

The actions of the Legislature, or the Department and the Director to enact, adopt, implement or enforce statutes, regulations, rules or policies subsequent to November 19, 1987, and to apply or enforce the same for the purpose of, or with the effect of recommending, diminishing or altering objectors' water rights, constitute a violation of Amendment Fourteen, United States Constitution; Art. 1, Section 13, Idaho Constitution; the SRBA authorization legislation; and Idaho Code Sections 73-101 and 73-106.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 23

These proceedings are subject to the requirements and provisions of Idaho Code Sections 12-117, 12-121, 12-123 and I.R.C.P. 11, and the provisions of the private attorney general doctrine, and other related doctrines, and the Department, Director

and State of Idaho are obligated to objectors for the payment and reimbursement of any and all reasonable costs or attorney fees incurred herein.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 24

The Director's Report asserts what amounts to be a taking of private property by power of eminent domain as governed by Idaho Code Section 7-701 et seq., and as such, venue is therefore improper.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 25

The Department and the Director are equitably estopped from seeking any reduction in the quantity, quality or priority of objectors' water rights by virtue of prior statements, representations and actions of the Department, Director or their agents, servants and employees.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 26

The Department and the Director are barred by laches and the applicable statutes of limitation, including, but not limited to, Idaho Code Sections 5-202, 5-203, 5-204, 5-206, 5-207, 5-208, 5-215, 5-218, 5-219(2), 5-224 and/or 5-241.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE NO. 27

Objectors hereby reserve the right to assert any further affirmative defenses not expressly stated herein, but which subsequently become apparent.

WHEREFORE, the objectors pray for judgment as follows:

1. That the State of Idaho take nothing by reason of its Directors' Report, and that this action, and any claims

asserted against objectors, be dismissed.

2. That a decree be entered adjudicating the existence, validity, amount and priority of objectors' water rights in accordance with objectors' claims.

3. That to the extent the acts of the Department or Director constitute a taking of objectors' property for public use, that objectors be allowed and awarded just compensation therefor.

4. That the objectors be allowed all costs of suit and reasonable attorney fees incurred herein.

5. For such further relief to which the Court finds objectors justly entitled.

(WATER\FISH)

RECEIPT
For Monies Paid
to the
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

-07568

Receipt No: S015470

Date: 3/ 9/1993
Time: 10:40:39

Received
From: CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS

Type of Payment	Program Acct Class	Amount
COPIES/ADJ FILES	64100 229 21 1760	57.36

Total

CHECK 191650

\$57.36

Region: SOUTHERN

Signature:

Janie Robertson

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

01-Mar-1993

TIM HANIFEN
CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC
PO BOX 712
BUHL ID 83316

RE: Copy of SRBA File(s): 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-02708, 36-04013ABC,
36-07040, 36-07083, 36-07148, 36-07151,
36-07201, 36-07218, 36-07568

Dear Mr. Hanifen:

Please find enclosed the copies of documents you recently requested contained in the above referenced SRBA file(s) as of this date. Please note that copies of orthophoto quad maps or NAPP photography or aerial slides which may have been used in the review of your claim(s) have not been included since they are not easily reproduced. These items are however available for your review at our office. Please also note that in some situations some water rights may have other associated files which contain privileged information between IDWR staff and our legal council which has not been copied.

Please remit the following for the cost of the copies and postage:

\$57.36

Sincerely,

Jeanie
Jeanie Robertson
Records Clerk

Encl:

920 x 5 = 4600
10.90
46
57.36

125 55 56 57 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100

Clear Springs Foods

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994



**CLEAR
SPRINGS
FOODS™**

JEANNIE
!!
Have Fun
w/ these
scr

CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS, INC.
Corporate Office P.O. Box 712, Buhl, Idaho 83316

Phone 208 543-4316
Sales 800 635-8211
Fax 208 543-5608

February 15, 1993

Mr. Steve Clelland, Water Agent
Adjudication Bureau
DEPARTMENT of WATER RESOURCES
222 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

RECEIVED

FEB 17 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Re: Clear Springs Foods Water Filings

Dear Steve:

I am writing to request complete copies of the Clear Springs, Basin 36 water right files listed below.

36-02048	36-07218	A36-07148
36-02703	36-07568	A36-07151
36-02708	36-07151	A36-07201
36-04013A	36-07201	A36-07218
36-04013B	A36-02708	A36-07568
36-04013C	A36-04013A	A36-07040
36-07040	A36-04013B	A36-07083
36-07083	A36-04013C	A36-02048
36-07148	A36-02703	

Please have the files copied and mailed to my attention at the letterhead address. The cost of reproducing and mailing these files should also be billed to my attention.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS, INC.

Tim Hanifen
Vice President, Operations

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

January 5, 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 08 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Patrick D. Brown
Hepworth, Nungester and Lezamiz Chtd.
P.O. Box 389
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication, Twin Falls County Case No. 39576

Dear Mr. Brown:

In response to your request in your letter dated December 29, 1992, I am writing to confirm that our records have been updated to reflect Clear Springs Trout Company's name change to Clear Springs Foods, Inc.

The records for the following claim numbers have been updated to reflect this change:

36-02048	36-07218	A36-07148
36-02703	36-07568	A36-07151
36-02708	A36-02048	A36-07201
36-04013A	A36-02703	A36-07218
36-04013B	A36-02708	A36-07568
36-04013C	A36-04013A	A47-13466
36-07040	A36-04013B	A47-13467
36-07083	A36-04013C	A47-13468
36-07148	A36-07040	A47-13469
36-07151	A36-07083	A47-13470
36-07201		

Thank you for sending a copy of the Certificate of Amendment of Clear Springs Trout Company filed with the Secretary of State. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please call me at 327-5444.

Sincerely,

Jodi I. Schultz
JODI I. SCHULTZ
Legal Assistant
Idaho Department of Water Resources

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

cc: Judy Givens, IDWR Southern Region Office

LAW OFFICES

H&N&L

Chartered

Hepworth, Nungester & Lezamiz

December 29, 1992

Twin Falls Office

John C. Hepworth
Wm. L. Nungester
John T. Lezamiz
Jeffrey E. Rolig
John C. Hohnhorst
Jeffrey J. Hepworth
Penelope Parker**
Eileen A. McDevitt*
Patrick D. Brown

Boise Office

J. Charles Hepworth*
John J. Janis

*Member CA Bar
**Member UT Bar

RECEIVED

JAN 5 1993

Department of Water Resources

Jodi I. Schultz
Legal Assistant
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 North Orchard St.
Statehouse Mail
Boise, ID 83720-9000

Re: Clear Springs Foods, Inc.


Dear Ms. Schultz:

Enclosed is the Certificate of Amendment of Clear Springs Trout Company and the portion of the articles of amendment which reflect the name change from Clear Springs Trout Company to Clear Springs Foods, Inc. Consistent with those documents, I ask that you revise your records for each water right claimed under the name of Clear Springs Trout Company to reflect the name change.

Thank you for making the change and please forward a letter to me confirming that the change has been made.

Very truly yours,

HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & LEZAMIZ,
CHARTERED

By: 

Patrick D. Brown

PDB:bjs
Enclosures
cc: Tim Hanifen

(1229SCHU.BJS)

Twin Falls Office
Blick Building
133 Shoshone St. N.
P.O. Box 389
Twin Falls, ID
83303-0389
(208) 734-7510
FAX: (208) 734-4115

Boise Office
537 West Bannock
P.O. Box 2582
Boise, ID 83701-2582
(208) 343-7510
FAX: (208) 342-2927

SCANNED
AUG 6 4 40 PM

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

January 5, 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 08 1993

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Patrick D. Brown
Hepworth, Nungester and Lezamiz Chtd.
P.O. Box 389
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication, Twin Falls County Case No. 39576

Dear Mr. Brown:

In response to your request in your letter dated December 29, 1992, I am writing to confirm that our records have been updated to reflect Clear Springs Trout Company's name change to Clear Springs Foods, Inc.

The records for the following claim numbers have been updated to reflect this change:

36-02048	36-07218	A36-07148
36-02703	36-07568	A36-07151
36-02708	A36-02048	A36-07201
36-04013A	A36-02703	A36-07218
36-04013B	A36-02708	A36-07568
36-04013C	A36-04013A	A47-13466
36-07040	A36-04013B	A47-13467
36-07083	A36-04013C	A47-13468
36-07148	A36-07040	A47-13469
36-07151	A36-07083	A47-13470
36-07201		

Thank you for sending a copy of the Certificate of Amendment of Clear Springs Trout Company filed with the Secretary of State. If you have any further questions regarding this matter, please call me at 327-5444.

Sincerely,

Jodi I. Schultz
JODI I. SCHULTZ
Legal Assistant
Idaho Department of Water Resources

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

cc: Judy Givens, IDWR Southern Region Office

MICROFILMED

OCT 19 1994
EXHIBIT A



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Statehouse Mail, Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

Phone: (208) 327-7900 FAX: (208) 327-7866

CECIL D. ANDRUS
GOVERNOR

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
DIRECTOR

December 16, 1992

RECEIVED

DEC 18 1992

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Patrick D. Brown
Hepworth, Nungester, Lezamiz, Chtd.
P.O. Box 389
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0389

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication, Twin Falls County Case No. 39576

Dear Mr. Brown:

We received your Notice of Appearance on behalf of Clear Springs Foods, Inc. The records for the following claim numbers have been updated to reflect this change:

36-02048	36-07218	A36-07148
36-02703	36-07568	A36-07151
36-02708	A36-02048	A36-07201
36-04013A	A36-02703	A36-07218
36-04013B	A36-02708	A36-07568
36-04013C	A36-04013A	A47-13466
36-07040	A36-04013B	A47-13467
36-07083	A36-04013C	A47-13468
36-07148	A36-07040	A47-13469
36-07151	A36-07083	A47-13470
36-07201		

The Notice of Appearance indicates that the ownership of the above claims has been changed from Clear Springs Trout Co. to Clear Springs Foods, Inc. However, we have not received the required Notice of Change of Water Right Ownership form. Without authorization from Clear Springs Trout Co. or written proof of the change of ownership (a Deed copy to confirm the reconveyance and legal description), we are not able to change the claimant's name on the claims filed by Clear Springs Trout Co.

Please complete the enclosed Notice of Change of Water Right Ownership form (one form may be used for all of the claims) and return it to our Southern Region office at 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, ID 83301.

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

October 2, 1992

Timothy J. Hanifen
Clear Springs Trout Company
P.O. Box 712
Buhl, Idaho 83316

RE: Response to Notices of Error in Snake River Basin
Adjudication for Rights: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-02708,
36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07040, 36-07083,
36-07148, 36-07218, & 36-07568

Dear Mr. Hanifen:

This letter is in response to the remaining Notices of Error you submitted on your claims in Basin 36. The following will outline our recommendations per item.

Diversion Volume

Claim numbers: 36-07148 & 36-07040

The diversion volumes which were included on both of these rights are being removed from the recommendations. Both of the diversion volumes were added to the rights in error. Diversion volume is not a necessary element of a water right if the source of the water is surface water.

Claim number: 36-02708

The diversion volume is not being removed from this recommendation. This right is based on a license for which a diversion volume was described. Since a diversion volume was included in the original license, it may not be removed at this time.

Facility Volume

Claim numbers: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-02708, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07040, 36-07083, 36-07148, 36-07218, & 36-07568

Based on our understanding of the law, the facility volume associated with the priority date of a water right is an essential element of that right. Any expansion of the facility volume would require the appropriation of a new water right. We have used all available information in an attempt to recommend a facility volume which correctly describes the volume for the date pertaining to each right.

SCANNED
AUG 12 2007

MICROFILMED
OCT 19 1994
EXHIBIT B

Diversion Rate

Claim numbers: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, & 36-04013C

The combined diversion rate for these rights was recommended as 116.0 cfs because the highest record of flow rate which you supplied for your Snake River Hatchery was 116.0 cfs. The 1.67 cfs licensed under 36-07148 flows into one of the pipes leading to the hatchery before the measuring device measures the flow through that pipe. Because of this, that 1.67 cfs then becomes a part of the flow for which you have submitted records. Unless evidence of greater flow rates is submitted to us by October 16, 1992, the recommendation will remain the same.

You will be sent notice of the Director's final recommendation to the court and if you disagree with this final recommendation, you may file an objection with the court. The objection process will be explained when you receive your final recommendation.

Sincerely,

Marci Sterling

Marci Sterling
Engineering Specialist (EIT)

SCANNED
AUG 1 2007

MICROFILMED
MICROFILMED
JAN 28 1993
OCT 19 1994

AUG-24-1992

NOTICE OF ERROR FORM

✓

Please type or print clearly, fill out completely, and return to:

Idaho Department of Water Resources

Statehouse Mail

Boise, Idaho 83720-9000

RECEIVED

SEP 23 1992

Department of Water Resources

The notice of error must be received at IDWR by the **deadline date** printed below with your proposed recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR FILING A NOTICE OF ERROR IS: SEP-24-1992

1. Water right number: 36-07568

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

2. Name: CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT COMPANY
TIMOTHY J. HANIFEN, VICE PRESIDENT/OPERATIONS

Address: P.O. BOX 712
BUHL, IDAHO 83316

RECEIVED
SCANNED
AUG 02 2007
Department of Water Resources
Southern ID, Box 9000

Weekday Telephone Number: (208) 543-4316

TEAR AT PERFORATIONS AND COMPLETE FORM ON OTHER SIDE

3. Describe the portion of proposed recommendation with which you disagree:

SEE ATTACHED.

4. Describe the changes you wish to have made in the proposed recommendation:

SEE ATTACHED.

5. Describe why you disagree with the proposed recommendation:

SEE ATTACHED.

6. Date:

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

SIGNATURE

Timothy J. Hanifen

MEMO TO FILES A36-07083, A36-07151, & A36-07568

FROM: Marci Sterling
DATE: June 5, 1992
RE: Crystal Springs Claims Review

Clear Springs Trout has three claims for water rights at their Crystal Springs hatchery. Because of some confusion as to which rights apply to which parts of the hatchery and the unusual order in which the rights were licensed, there was some question about the best way to recommend the rights.

A permit application for the first right, 36-07083, was received in 1969. The permit was for 300 cfs.

Two years later, Clear Springs applied for another permit for an additional 100 cfs at this facility. In the file for that right, 36-07151, is a letter explaining the pond dimensions both for the completed portion of the hatchery covered by the earlier right and for the planned expansions to the hatchery using the extra 100 cfs. No ponds of those planned dimensions were ever constructed, bringing a question to my mind as to what additional development did actually occur with this right. The second right was licensed in 1974, based on a 1973 flow measurement of 232.5 cfs. At that time, the earlier right still had not been licensed.

In 1975, a third permit application was received for 200 cfs. Photos from the licensing file for that right show that an addition to the facility was completed at some point prior to the licensing exam.

A flow measurement of 335.1 cfs was made by C.E. Brockway in 1977. The first right, 36-07083, was licensed in 1978 based on that measurement. Therefore, at that time there were two licenses for a total of 400 cfs, but no more than 335.1 cfs had ever been measured. When the third right, 36-07568, was licensed for 200 cfs in 1984, a condition was finally included limiting the combination of the three to no greater than 335.1 cfs.

There has been another addition to this facility in recent years. A hatchery building has been added between the two newest sets of ponds. The water used for this building is obtained from a location slightly east of the springs which serve as sources for the rest of the facility. The source is still a spring (which may still be considered the same source as those licensed), but is in a new 10 acre tract. According to Tim Hanifen, the Director of Operations, 17 cfs of the water from this spring is piped entirely underground to the hatchery building. There is a measuring device at the point where the discharge leaves the building. The hatchery building was built prior to the date the NAPP photo was taken in 1987, but after the licensing exam for 36-07568, which was

SCANNED
AUG 11 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

on 9/10/79.

After my visit to the facility, I received a letter from Clear Springs Trout Co. explaining that the total of their water rights was 600 cfs, but that the most they had diverted was 270 cfs and that their rights should reflect that fact. It is believed that the water going to the hatchery is included in that total flow measurement. The company supplied annual maximum flow rates for the years 1985 to 1990.

It appears that the license 36-07083, for 300 cfs, alone exceeds the amount of water that is used at the facility. The places of use and points of diversion may not all be described in the original right, however. Since no raceways or ponds were constructed (other than those planned for the first right) prior to the second license being approved, that right will be disallowed. The third right, however, obviously included the addition of more raceways, so that right will be recommended for the additional pond volumes. There was no increase in the amount of water used with the third right, so it will be recommended with its licensed flow rate, but the combination of the two rights will be limited to a total of 270 cfs.

Since the spring supplying the new hatchery is being viewed as the same source as the springs described in the other rights for the facility, it may be described on an expansion claim with the hatchery pond volumes as the expanded volume and the new description of the place of use. The expansion claim is not required for the recommendation of the other rights, but is required in order for the water use in the hatchery to be recognized.

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MEMORANDUM

To: Judy Givens

From: Helen Harrington-Thornton
Marci Sterling

Date: February 21, 1992

Subject: Incorrect Entry of Attorney/Notice of Appearance

=====

We are currently in active review of the following SRBA claims filed by Clear Springs Trout Co. These claims identify William Ringert as the attorney acting for the claimant. Upon contacting Mr. Ringert, we discovered that he is not, nor has he ever been, the attorney for Clear Springs Trout Co. We have not been able to locate any reason for Mr. Ringert to have been entered as attorney. We have spoken with Anna Baumhoff, and Jeannie Robertson has checked the original claim files and no documentation has been uncovered. Please, therefore, remove Mr. Ringert from the following claims and attach a copy of this memo to each file for explanation if future questions arise.

A36-02048	A36-02703	A36-02708	A36-02734
A36-04011	A36-04013A	A36-04013B	A36-04013C
A36-04014	A36-07040	A36-07083	A36-07148
A36-07151	A36-07201	A36-07218	A36-07568

The only suspected reason for Mr. Ringert on these claims is due to his acting as attorney for Clear Lakes Trout Co. and that he was erroneously entered on Clear Springs claims.

The claims listed above are those being acted on in the Boise office. You may wish to check other claims/files in order to determine if Mr. Ringert is identified on other claims in error.

cc: Anna Baumhoff

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

NOTICE OF ERROR



RESPONSE



CORRECTED R PROOF



~~SCANNED~~ MICROFILMED
AUG 2 2007 FEB 04 1993

RIGHT NUMBER	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	MAXIMUM DIVERSION	STAGE
36-07568	CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS INC THE PROSPECT CO PO BOX 712 BUHL ID 83316	09/06/1975	FISH PROPAGATION	01-01 12-31	200.00 CFS	LICENSE

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 200.00 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME: 0.00 AFA

SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T09S R15E S12 LOT 13 (SWSWNE)
LOT 13 (SESWE)
LOT 12 (NESENW)
LOT 12 (SESENW)
LOT 14 (NWNSE)
LOT 15 (NENWE)

PLACE OF USE: FISH PROPAGATION

T09S R15E S12 Lot 14 (NESE) Lot 15 (NWSE)

CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL:

- 09D - Water used under this right if discharged into a natural
- channel shall meet Idaho Water Quality Standards.
- M01 - A MEASURING DEVICE OF A TYPE APPROVED BY IDWR SHALL BE
- MAINTAINED AS A PART OF THE DIVERTING WORKS.

REMARKS: MULTIPLE POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN SWSWNE, SESWE, NESENW,
SESENW, NENWE, NWNSE, S12, T9S, R15E.
RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION
DESCRIBED ABOVE.

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 269.96 CFS.

FACILITY VOLUME 905,634 CU. FT.

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL
COMBINED FACILITY VOLUME OF 905,634 CU. FT.

OTHER RIGHTS: 36-07083

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 07 2007



**CLEAR
SPRINGS
FOODS™**

RECEIVED

SEP 28 1992

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

CLEAR SPRINGS FOODS, INC.
Corporate Office P.O. Box 712, Buhl, Idaho 83316

Phone 208 543-4316
Sales 800 635-8211
Fax 208 543-5608

NOTICE OF ERROR FORM

Continued:

RECEIVED

SEP 23 1992

Department of Water Resources

RIGHT NUMBER: 36-07568

- #3 Describe the portion of proposed recommendation with which you disagree:

REMARKS:

- A. Facility volume
- B. Limited combined facility volume.

- #4 Describe the changes you wish to have made in the proposed recommendation:

- A. The fish propagation facility has a rearing capacity of 911,145 CU.FT.
- B. Right should not be limited to any specific facility volume. This is a non-consumptive right which cannot be equated to an irrigation right. The facility volume must be allowed to expand, as technologies advance, without an increase in diversion rate. To suggest otherwise would preclude economic expansion. A permit should be required only in the event of a proposed increase in diversion rate.

- #5 Describe why you disagree with the proposed recommendation:

SEE #4 ABOVE.

- #6 Date: September 21, 1992

SIGNATURE

Wendy J. Ziegenfuss

SCANNED
AUG 22 2007

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

October 2, 1992

Timothy J. Hanifen
Clear Springs Trout Company
P.O. Box 712
Buhl, Idaho 83316

RE: Response to Notices of Error in Snake River Basin
Adjudication for Rights: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-02708,
36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07040, 36-07083,
36-07148, 36-07218, & 36-07568

Dear Mr. Hanifen:

This letter is in response to the remaining Notices of Error you submitted on your claims in Basin 36. The following will outline our recommendations per item.

Diversion Volume

Claim numbers: 36-07148 & 36-07040

The diversion volumes which were included on both of these rights are being removed from the recommendations. Both of the diversion volumes were added to the rights in error. Diversion volume is not a necessary element of a water right if the source of the water is surface water.

Claim number: 36-02708

The diversion volume is not being removed from this recommendation. This right is based on a license for which a diversion volume was described. Since a diversion volume was included in the original license, it may not be removed at this time.

Facility Volume

Claim numbers: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-02708, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, 36-04013C, 36-07040, 36-07083, 36-07148, 36-07218, & 36-07568

Based on our understanding of the law, the facility volume associated with the priority date of a water right is an essential element of that right. Any expansion of the facility volume would require the appropriation of a new water right. We have used all available information in an attempt to recommend a facility volume which correctly describes the volume for the date pertaining to each right.

MICROFILMED

SCANNED

AUG 02 2007

FEB 04 1993

Diversion Rate

Claim numbers: 36-02048, 36-02703, 36-04013A, 36-04013B, & 36-04013C

The combined diversion rate for these rights was recommended as 116.0 cfs because the highest record of flow rate which you supplied for your Snake River Hatchery was 116.0 cfs. The 1.67 cfs licensed under 36-07148 flows into one of the pipes leading to the hatchery before the measuring device measures the flow through that pipe. Because of this, that 1.67 cfs then becomes a part of the flow for which you have submitted records. Unless evidence of greater flow rates is submitted to us by October 16, 1992, the recommendation will remain the same.

You will be sent notice of the Director's final recommendation to the court and if you disagree with this final recommendation, you may file an objection with the court. The objection process will be explained when you receive your final recommendation.

Sincerely,

Marci Sterling

Marci Sterling
Engineering Specialist (EIT)

~~SCANNED~~
~~AUG 22 2007~~

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

R PROOF

X

CVR

X

MAPS

X

PHOTOS

MISC

X

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

2007

VERIFIED
mjs 01/25/92IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIMS PROOF READING REPORT
(REGIONAL)06/25/92 12:08:03
Page 1

Water Right Number - R36-07568

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - +

+ - First Name - - +

+ - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
PROSPECT CO.

THE

1 - P.O. BOX 712

2 -

3 -

City- BUHL

State- ID Zip- 83316

Phone - 208-543-4316

1 - PO BOX 712

2 -

3 -

City- BUHL

State- ID Zip- 83316

Phone - 208-543-4316

CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO

+ - - Priority Date - - +
09/06/1975+ - - Region - - - +
17 SOUTHERN+ - - Stage - - - +
L - LICENSE

+ - - Status - - - +

+ - - Source - - - - - +
CRYSTAL SPRINGS+ - - Tributary - - - - - +
SNAKE RIVER+ - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

09S	15E	12	SW SW NE	13	(47)	GOODING
			SE SW NE	13	(47)	GOODING
			NE SE NW	12	(47)	GOODING
			SE SE NW	12	(47)	GOODING
			NW NE SE	14	(47)	GOODING
			NE NW SE	15	(47)	GOODING

+ - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume

19 - FISH PROPAGATION 01/01 12/31 200.000

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
200.000 0.0+ - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County

09S	15E	12	NE SE	14	19	FISH PROPAGATION	(47)	GOODING
09S	15E	12	NW SE	15	19	FISH PROPAGATION	(47)	GOODING

Total Acres:

+ - - Diversion Means - CHECK DAM, DITCH TO RACEWAYS

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use - FISH, COMMERCIAL TROUT PRODUCTION

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - 36-07083

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

Water right number - R36-07568

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ ----- Remarks ----- +
MULTIPLE POINTS OF DIVERSION LOCATED IN SWSNE, SESNE, NESENW,
SESENW, NENWSE, NWNSE, S12, T9S, R15E.
RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION
DESCRIBED ABOVE.
USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 269.96 CFS.
FACILITY VOLUME 905,634 CU. FT.
USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 36-07083 IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL
COMBINED FACILITY VOLUME OF 905,634 CU. FT.

+ ----- Old Water Right Numbers ----- +
No Old Water Right Numbers Found

+ ----- Conditions of Approval ----- +
09D - Water used under this right if discharged into a natural
- channel shall meet Idaho Water Quality Standards.

+ - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + + - - - Decree Defendant - - - + + - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ ----- Comments ----- +
Date: 10/22/1991 User Id: STERLING Subject: COPIED FROM REMARKS
FACILITY VOLUME = 539,190 CU FT.
RELATED HATCHERY BUILDING.
THE WHOLE DITCH AREA IS THE P/D.
Date: 10/22/1991 User Id: STERLING Subject: REASON FOR RECOMMENDATION
THE FACILITY COVERED BY THIS LICENSE IS ALSO COVERED BY TWO OTHER LICENSES. A LETTER WAS SENT BY THE COMPANY STATING THAT THEY HAD
RIGHTS FOR 600 CFS BUT ACTUALLY DID NOT USE MORE THAN 270 CFS IN ANY RECENT YEAR. THEY SUPPLIED DATA FOR THE YEARS 1985-1990 AND
REQUESTED THAT THE WATER RIGHTS BE REDUCED TO REFLECT THEIR TRUE WATER USAGE. SINCE THE RIGHT WITH EARLIEST PRIORITY GAVE THEM
MORE WATER THAN THEY NEEDED, IT WAS REDUCED TO 270 CFS. THIS RIGHT WILL BE RECOMMENDED FOR ITS ENTIRE LICENSED AMOUNT, BUT THE
COMBINED USE BETWEEN THE 2 RIGHTS WILL BE LIMITED TO 270 CFS. RIGHT 36-07151 WILL BE DISALLOWED BECAUSE NO FACILITY EXPANSION
OCCURRED WITH THAT LICENSE & NO MORE WATER WAS BEING USED. THE FACILITY VOLUME DESCRIBED UNDER THIS RIGHT ONLY INCLUDES THE POND
VOLUME THAT WAS ADDED UNDER THIS LICENSE. THE ORIGINAL PART OF THE FACILITY IS DESCRIBED UNDER RIGHT 36-07083. THE PLACE OF USE
ONLY DESCRIBES THE ADDED PONDS ALSO. *****
6/11/92 ADDED A REMARK LIMITING THE COMBINED FACILITY VOLUME TO A CERTAIN AMOUNT. MS

6/25/92 CHANGED REMARK FOR FACILITY VOLUME. INSTEAD OF
DESCRIBING THE ADDED FACILITY VOLUME WITH THIS RIGHT, IT NOW DESCRIBES THE TOTAL VOLUME OF THE FACILITY AFTER THE POND ADDI-
TION.

+ - - Audit Fields - - +
06/25/92 STERLING

+ ----- Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area ----- +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 06/18/1991	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 06/25/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 09/01/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S002014	Water District	-
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 12 2007

Water right number - R36-07568

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Name Connector	- AND/OR	Presumption Flag	-
		Notice of Error Flag	-

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

~~SCANNED~~
~~AUG 27 2007~~

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

14:35:54
10/16/91

PAGE: 12
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-07568

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE			mps 6/18/91	N		mps 10/22/91	mps 10/22/91	mps 6/18/92
POINT OF DIV	Y			Y	1,4,5	6/5/92		
SOURCE				N				
PLACE OF USE	Y			N				
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME				N				
CONSUMPTIVE USE				N		mps 7/1/92		
PURPOSES				N				
PERIOD OF USE				N				
BASIS OF CLAIM				N				
REMARKS	Y			Y				

Reverified
6/25/92

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 17 2007

~~Disallow claim~~
~~(see pg. 2 for explanation)~~

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

14:35:54
10/16/91

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-07568

LAST: CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 712
CITY: BUHL
PHONE NUMBER: 208-543-4316

FIRST:
STATE: ID ZIP: 83316

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: RINGERT
ADDRESS: 455 SOUTH 3RD.
CITY: BOISE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-342-4591

FIRST: WILLIAM F.
STATE: ID ZIP: 83701

OWNER CODE: A

LAST: PROSPECT CO.
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 712
CITY: BUHL
PHONE NUMBER: 208-543-4316

FIRST: THE
STATE: ID ZIP: 83316

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER

CLAIM REMARKS: FACILITY VOLUME = 539,190 CU FT.
WATER IS USED IN THE 2 EASTERNMOST GROUPS OF RACEWAYS & THE
RELATED HATCHERY BUILDING.
WATER COLLECTED ANYWHERE ALONG THE DITCH CAN SERVE THIS CLAIM, SO
THE WHOLE DITCH AREA IS THE P/D.

Std. Remark: D06 - Facility volume = ~~437,184~~ ^{905,634} cu. ft.

E01 - Combined div. rate between this + 36-07083 = ~~270~~ ^{269.96} cfs

F02 - multiple P/Ds within SWSWNE, SESWNE, NESENW, SESENW, NENWSE.

F05 - same P/D → 36-7083.

^{mp} 6/11/92 Combined facility volume between this r.t. + 36-07083 is ~~932,304~~ ^{905,634} cu. ft.

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 17 2007

CONTACT PERSON: Tim Hanifen or W.F. Ringert

PHONE NUMBER: () -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 6/18/91

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(X) N()

TIME: 8:30 A(M) P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: Tim Hanifen

PHONE NUMBER: () 543-4316

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: Director of Operations

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

~~Claim will be disallowed because the total amount of water used at the facility is covered under right no. 36-07083. This right is unnecessary. This license was originally approved for an expansion of the facility. The entire place of use & point of diversion is described on right 36-07083. See file for 36-07083 for more information.~~

See attached memo regarding Notice of Appearance - 2/21/92 m/s

Claim is for additional ponds. No more water is used beyond the 270 cfs recommended for 36-07083, but the entire amount claimed will be recommended & the combination of this right & 7083 will be limited to ^{269.96} ~~270~~ cfs. Recommended facility volume only describes the additional ponds, which they refer to as Sections E, F, G, & H.

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED

AUG 17 2007

A. AJ CLAIM: # A36-07568 PRIORITY DATE: 09-06-1975 STAGE: L

B. WR RECORD: # 36-07568 PRIORITY DATE: 09-06-1975 STAGE: L

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER
N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N(X)
IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS: _____

=====

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
09-06-1975

REVIEWED BY ms

DATE 6/5/92

=====

RECEIVED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 12 2007

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	NW	NE	SE	14	GOODING
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	SW	SW	NE	13	GOODING
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	NE	SE	NW	12	GOODING
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	NE	NW	SE	15	GOODING
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	SE	SW	NE	13	GOODING
A36-07568	09S	15E	12	SE	SE	NW	12	GOODING

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX	R PREFIX
A36-07083	R36-08330
A36-07151	R36-08374
A36-08330	R36-02905
A36-02709	
A36-02905	

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

36-07083
36-08600
36-07033
36-07164
36-07653
36-08330
36-08374
36-08390

SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
36-07568	09S	15E	12		SE	NW	12	GOODING
36-07568	09S	15E	12		SW	NE	13	GOODING
36-07568	09S	15E	12		NE	SE	14	GOODING

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

36-07033
36-07083
36-07164
36-07653
36-08330
36-08374
36-08390
36-08600

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

A PREFIX	R PREFIX
A36-08374	R36-08330
A36-08330	R36-08374
A36-02709	R36-02709
A36-02905	
A36-07151	
A36-07083	

SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 12 2007

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	<u>Y</u>

REMARKS:

The entire ditch area used to supply the facility will be included in the PID description. The springs all feed into this ditch.

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY
09S	15E	12	SW	SW	NE	13	Gooding
09S	15E	12	SE	SW	NE	13	Gooding

SOURCE: CRYSTAL SPRINGS

TRIB: SNAKE RIVER

REVIEWED BY: YPS

DATE: 6/5/92

Recommended Points of Diversion (cont.):

09S	15E	12	NESE NW	12	Gooding
09S	15E	12	SESE NW	12	Gooding
09S	15E	12	NE NW SE	15	Gooding
09S	15E	12	NW NE SE	14	Gooding

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 12 2002

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A36-07568

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
09S	15E	12	NE	SE	14	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07568 /	36-07568 /	4.0	☒	☒
			NE	SE	8	MILLARD, DAVID R.	A47-07635 / 1.0	- /			
			NE	SE	14	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07083 /	- /			
			NE	SE	14	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07151 /	- /			
09S	15E	12	NW	SE	15	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07568 /	36-07568 /		☒	☒
			NW	SE	15	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07151 /	- /			
			NW	SE	15	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	A36-07083 /	- /			
			NW	SE	7	HURST, W. R.		36-02905 / 5.0			
			NW	SE	7	HURST, W. R.		47-02077 / 5.0			
			NW	SE	7	CRYSTAL SPRINGS RANCH INC.		47-07635 / 6.0			
TOTAL ACRES							A36-07568		4.00		

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N(X)
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
Y

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

The POU describes only the ponds added under this license. The original ponds are not described here, but in right, 36-07083.

Recommended POU: 09S 15E Sect. 12 NESE Lot 14
09S 15E Sect. 12 NWSE Lot 15

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: 4

REVIEWED BY: ms

DATE: 6/5/92

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 04 2011

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
A36-07568 FISH PROPAGATION	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	200.000 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		200.000 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES	MONTH/DAY	DIVERSION QUANTITY
36-07568 FISH PROPAGATION	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	200.000 CFS
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED		200.000 CFS

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	222
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	222
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	222

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED? Y(☒) N(☐)

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER? Y(☐) N(☐) Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

NOTIFIED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y(X) N()

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 200.000 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 200.000 CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***

** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **

** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

N

N

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y(Y) N() IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: A36-07083, ~~A36-07151~~

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A47-07635	WASTE WATER	MILLARD , DAVID R.	0.860	0.020		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A36-07568	FISH PROPAGATION	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	200.000 CFS	
A36-07083	FISH PROPAGATION	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	300.000 CFS	
A36-07151	FISH PROPAGATION	CLEAR SPRINGS TROUT CO.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	100.000 CFS	

SCANNED
AUG 07 2007

UNRECORDED

FEB 04 1993

D. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES _____ x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = _____ CFS
(Taken from p/u review)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N()

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = _____ AF/A
ACRES _____ x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE _____ AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = _____ AF/A
ACRES _____ x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME _____ AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N(X)

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

No diversion volume needed because source is surface water &
diversion volume has not been claimed.

Consumptive use due to evaporation is "de minimus". mjs 7/1/92

1b. CALCULATIONS

total^{added} pond volume = (228,096 + 209,088) ft³
= 437,184 cu. ft.

mjs
6/25/92
total pond volume = (437,184 + 468,450) ft³
= 905,634 ft³

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 22 2007

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
FISH PROPAGATION	01-01 12-31	DATABASE EXAMINER 200.000 <u>200.00</u>	DATABASE EXAMINER <u> </u>	DATABASE EXAMINER 0.0 <u>de minimus</u>
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT		200.000 <u>200.00</u>		0.0 <u>de minimus</u>
REVIEWED BY: <u>mps</u>		DATE: <u>6/18/92</u>		

RECORDED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 22 2007

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

	ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
OWNERSHIP _____	()	()
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____	()	()
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____	()	()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 36-07568

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE
200.000

EXAMINER
200.00

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	A36-07568	200.000	-		36-07568	200.000
TOTAL CFS		200.000				200.000

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
Y

REMARKS:

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: Marci Sterling DATE: 6/18/92
NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: Marci Sterling TITLE: _____


MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

SCANNED
AUG 17 2007



T9S RISE Section 12
Clear Springs Trout Co.
A36-07568

24000 2.2 MI. TO U.S. 30 40' 260 MI. TO U.S. 30 693 114° 3'




114° 3' 40' 12007
MICROFILMED
R15E FEB 04 1993 R16E

09S15E

[illegible]

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993



DATE OF EXAM 9-10-79

ACREAGE

Found ✓
Recommended ✓

Irrigation Requirement ✓ Ac Ft/Ac

USE

Irrigation Q = c.f.s. V = AF/Ann. from to
Domestic Q = c.f.s. V = AF/Ann. from to
Livestock Q = c.f.s. V = AF/Ann. from to
FISH PROPAGATION Q 200.0 C.F.S. V 886,032 Cubic Ft. from JAN 1 to Dec 31

*See calculations &
Overlap Review attached*

Is water co-mingled? yes no ✓

Name source:
twp. N/S, Rge E/W, B.M., Sec. , Sub. 1/4 1/4
County

Exchange? yes no ✓

Name source exchanged with:
twp. N/S, Rge E/W, B.M., Sec. , Sub. 1/4 1/4
County

Point of injection:
twp. N/S, Rge E/W, B.M., Sec. , Sub. 1/4 1/4
County

Point of exchange:
twp. N/S, Rge E/W, B.M., Sec. , Sub. 1/4 1/4
County

Total Q measured 335.1 C.F.S. Method of measurement SEE NOTES

Total Q recommended 200.0 C.F.S. Meter No. ✓ Type Condition

Total V recommended 886,032 Cubic Ft.

Recommended Amendments:

P.D.

Place of Use C See ATTACHMENT

Other

None

4.1 cfs Hatchery Weir
289.0 cfs C. Rated Section
21.3 cfs East Weir
20.7 cfs West Weir
335.1 cfs

MEASUREMENT CALCULATION:

DR. C.E. BROCKWAY, P.E., HAS MEASURED THE TOTAL FLOW OF THIS
SYSTEM. (SEE CERTIFIED DISCHARGE NOTES.) 335.1 CFS TOTAL MEASURED
ALLOWED 200.0 CFS. AMOUNT APPLIED FOR.

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

SPRINGS DIVERT INTO CONCRETE HEAD DITCHES WHICH CONVEY THE
WATER TO ITS PLACE OF USE. 96 CONCRETE RACEWAYS. @ A
TOTAL 886,032 Cubic Ft.

REMARKS:

CONCRETE RACEWAYS. OUTLET MEASURING DEVICE SHOULD BE
IMPROVED.

SCANNED

AUG 11 2007

Examiner's Signature Sony Junderburg Date 1-3-80

Checked by Al P. Moritt Date 2/20/80

Approved by Rolp Date 2-2-83

Overlap Review attached


RECEIVED

Clear Springs Trout Co.

Original Sections
covered by
Licenses 36-7083 & 36-7151

Sections E, F, G & H "New"
covered by Permit 36-7568

SCANNED
AUG 11 2 2007



Not to Scale

RC 8/23/83

RECEIVED

Copied from Licensing file

Calculations for Field Exam on 36-7568

Raceways	Length	Width	Height	No.	cu. feet
Sec A	180	X	18	X	3 X 15 = 145,800
B	180	X	18	X	3 X 15 = 145,800
C	150	X	18	X	3 X 10 = 81,000
D	150	X	18	X	3 X 10 = 81,000
Hatchery	66	X	60	X	3 X 15 = 178,200
E	176	X	18	X	3 X 12 = 114,048
F	176	X	18	X	3 X 12 = 114,048
G	176	X	18	X	3 X 11 = 104,544
H	168	X	18	X	3 X 11 = 99,792
TOTAL					1,064,232

$$\frac{1064232}{43560 \text{ cf/af}} = 24.4 \text{ af facility Volume (TOTAL)}$$

$$V = 335.1 \times 1.9835 \times 365 = 242,604.9 \text{ af}$$

$$\frac{432,432}{43560} = 9.9 \text{ af facility Volume for This Right}$$

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

Overlap Review for 36-7568

License 36-7151 Crystal Sp. P/O same

100.0 cfs & 72,270.0 AF P/U same

License 36-7083 Crystal Sp. P/O same

300.0 cfs & NO AF P/U same

Q = Recommend licensing 36-7568 at 200.0 cfs as per permit. 36-7568 covers a new section of the raceway which were not covered on the prior rights.

L 36-7151 100.0 cfs

L 36-7083 300.0 cfs

This right 36-7568 200.0 cfs

600.0 cfs which is greater than capacity

Recommend limiting rights when combined to capacity (335.1 cfs)

SCANNED
AUG 6 2 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

Page 2

$$V = 335.1 \times 1.9835 \times 365 = 242,604.9 \text{ N/A}$$

Recommend a * in AF & remarks
that when rights are combined
they will not exceed 242,604.9 AF. N/A

12-7-83

RC 2/2/83

~~SCANNED~~
~~AUG 12 2007~~

MICROFILMED
 FEB 04 1993

January 29, 1971

RECEIVED

MAR 5 1971

Department of Water Administration

State of Idaho
Department of Water Administration
Statehouse - Annex 2
Boise, Idaho 83707

Attention: Donald W. McEldowney Re: Application
No. 36-7151

Dear Don:

I have your letter addressed to Mr. Eastman concerning application No. 36-7151. In answer to the questions which you have raised, I submit the following:

1. I enclose a letter from the Farmer's National Bank indicating that Clear Springs Trout Sales, Inc. has the resources available to complete the project described in the application.

2. May I refer you to application No. 36-7083 and the supporting information to that application for a general design of the hatchery facilities and of the diversion works, etc.

Actually, we will use the same diversion works and system for the water applied for in the present application as was set forth in approved application No. 36-7083. In fact, the diversion works are largely constructed and raceways have been completed for a capacity of approximately two million pounds of trout annually. Therefore, we contemplate only an additional construction of raceways to utilize the additional water for which application was made. The existing diversion and canal system will be utilized.

MICROFILMED

FEB 04 1993

SCANNED

AUG 02 2007

36 7151

Donald W. McBldowney
Department of Water Administration
January 29, 1971
Page 2.

The dimensions of the raceways are as follows:
30 raceways, now completed, are 130 feet long, 18 feet wide and 4 feet deep; 10, now completed, are 165 feet long, 18 feet wide and 4 feet deep; 10 others, now completed, are 150 feet long, 18 feet wide and 4 feet deep. Before this project is completed, we will have 14 raceways 150 feet long, 9 feet wide and 4 feet deep; 7 raceways 150 feet long, 18 feet wide and 4 feet deep.

The additional water for which we have applied will be used in the last set of 30 ponds with dimensions of 170 feet long, 18 feet wide and 4 feet deep.

3. The approval of this application will provide us a total of 400 c.f.s. at this hatchery which we will use in raising in excess of four million pounds of trout annually, or approximately 10,000 pounds per second feet.

We have previously submitted the hatchery design in connection with application No. 36-7093 and this is simply an extension of that same hatchery by 30 additional raceways of the dimensions set forth above.

4. As above stated, the current application is an extension of an existing hatchery which has been under construction since June 1, 1970. It is anticipated that construction will continue until completion. We have hatchery facilities now complete for approximately two million pounds production annually. It is difficult to say when construction will be completed. It is anticipated that construction will proceed uninterrupted but at a slower pace in the future. While the hatchery is presently operational for approximately two million pounds annually, the date when it will be fully operational will depend upon the completion date which is difficult to project. It is expected that it should be completed within the next five years.

SCANNED
AUG 02 2007

36-7151 FILMED
FEB 04 1993

Donald W. McEldowney
Department of Water Administration
January 29, 1971
Page 3.

I might mention that we have made plans for the measuring devices suggested by you.

If you have any further questions, please direct them to this office. We are most anxious to have this application issued.

Very truly yours,

HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & FELTON

John C. Hepworth

JCH:ag

Enclosure

~~SCANNED~~
AUG 22 2007

36 7151
MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

December 1, 1977

Mr. Gary Funderburg
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1041 Blue Lakes Blvd. N.
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Re: Permit No. 36-7083
Clear Springs Trout Co.
Crystal Springs Unit

Dear Mr. Funderburg:

The diversion for this permit and license 36-7151 consists of three diversions from Springs on or adjacent to the Clear Springs facility. The following are the diversions and measuring devices currently in place:

<u>Location</u>	<u>Device</u>	<u>Remarks</u>
West Inlet	C Rated Concrete Section	Rating curve completed 4-5-77
Hatchery Pipe	4.0' Rectangular suppressed weir	Completed August 1977. Rating curve includes velocity of approach
East Inlet	1,8.67' and 1,8.75' rectangular suppressed weir	Completed November 1977 Rating curve includes velocity of approach

The rating curves for all three diversions are enclosed. The maximum reading I have observed on the hatchery weir was 1.45' on August 17, 1977 corresponding to a discharge of 4.1 cfs.

The west inlet has been measured with a current meter four times to define the rating curve. The maximum gage reading which I have observed was 1.44' on September 19, 1977. This reading corresponds to a discharge of 289 cfs on the rating curve. A reading of 1.41' corresponding to a discharge of 282 cfs was observed on August 17, 1977 and a reading of 1.42' on February 9, 1977 was observed.

MICROFILMED

SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993

Mr. Gary Funderburg

-2-

December 1, 1977

The twin weirs on the east inlet were only recently completed. On November 29, 1977 the east weir read 0.80" and the west weir read 0.79 in. The corresponding discharges are 21.3 and 20.7 cfs for a total east inlet flow of 42.0 cfs.

I hope this information will be sufficient for the field exam for proof of beneficial use.

Sincerely,

C. E. Brockway, P.E.

CEB:ld

cc: John Hepworth
✓ Nyal Hoffman



SCANNED
AUG 2 2007

MICROFILMED
FEB 04 1993