

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-456 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Original Owner	RICHARD JOHNSON 135 N 400 W BLACKFOOT, ID 83221
Current Owner	JOHN W HOLMES 2152 COVE RD WEISER, ID 8362 (208)549-0772

Priority Date: 06/01/1885

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
KING HILL CREEK	LITTLE WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/15 to 10/31	0.140 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.140 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

KING HILL CREEK	NE1/4NW1/4NE1/4	Sec. 17, Twp 14N, Rge 01E, B.M.
ADAMS County	(Injection)	
KING HILL CREEK	SE1/4SW1/4SE1/4	Sec. 11, Twp 14N, Rge 01W, B.M.
ADAMS County	(Rediversion)	
KING HILL CREEK	SE1/4SW1/4NE1/4	Sec. 11, Twp 14N, Rge 01E, B.M.
ADAMS County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
14N	01W	2												37.0			29.0		66.0
14N	01W	11	5.0	36.0	4.0		26.0		23.0						8.0				102.0

Total Acres: 168

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

2. K06 This right is limited to the irrigation of 11 acres within the place of use described above in a single irrigation season.
3. E62 This right when combined with Rights No. 67-14774 and 67-11183 shall provide no more than .02 cfs per acre.
4. E55 Right Nos. 67-456, 67-11183, and 67-14774 are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 168 acres in a single irrigation season.

Remarks:Comments:

1. mcase 7/21/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape

2. mcase 7/21/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape

3. mcase 7/21/2004 POD
Comment: POD shapes for Diversion and Injection using claim map. Point of Rediversion was not created because it was not on map.

4. mcase 7/21/2004 POU
Comment: Updated Shape

5. mcase 7/21/2004 POU
Comment: POU shape created using claim map. Field boundaries were not clear, so the shape is larger than the irrigated acres claimed. Decreed for 11 acres. Claimed 194 acres with other associated rights. Claimed POU extends into non-decreed QQs.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
Defendant:
Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Notice of Error Flag:
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector: OR
Water District Number: 67
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
3.36		168

Combined Water Rights: 67-456 , 67-11183 , 67-14774

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	7/21/2004 9:44:46 AM	mcase			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

POD	7/21/2004 9:44:53 AM	mcase	Active
Source	7/21/2004 9:56:56 AM	mcase	Active
POU	7/21/2004 10:04:20 AM	mcase	Active
Use	7/21/2004 10:04:43 AM	mcase	Active
Season	7/21/2004 10:05:06 AM	mcase	Active
Quantity	7/21/2004 10:05:21 AM	mcase	Active
Priority Date	8/29/2006 9:43:38 AM	dnarsavage	Active
POD	8/29/2006 9:43:47 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Source	8/29/2006 9:47:52 AM	dnarsavage	Active
POU	8/29/2006 9:48:05 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Use	8/29/2006 9:49:24 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Season	8/29/2006 9:50:12 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Quantity	8/29/2006 9:50:47 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Summary	08/29/2006	dnarsavage	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
POD	8/29/2006 9:46:30 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape
Source	8/29/2006 9:47:53 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	8/29/2006 9:48:05 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
Use	8/29/2006 9:49:25 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

General	8/29/2006 9:43:38 AM	dnarsavage	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	8/29/2006 9:43:47 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Season	8/29/2006 9:50:13 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	8/29/2006 9:50:47 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

Summary	8/29/2006 9:51:53 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (No) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
POU	8/29/2006 9:48:52 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
Source	7/21/2004 9:56:57 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file?
POU	7/21/2004 10:04:20 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (No) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
Use	7/21/2004 10:04:43 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
Season	7/21/2004 10:05:06 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-456 V1

Quantity	7/21/2004 10:05:21 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use?
POD	8/21/2006 10:52:04 AM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623852 from SpatialDataID 378862 to SpatialDataID 342543
POD	8/21/2006 11:06:14 AM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623851 from SpatialDataID 378865 to SpatialDataID 59550
POD	8/21/2006 11:06:19 AM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623851 from SpatialDataID 378865 to SpatialDataID 59550
POD	8/21/2006 10:51:59 AM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623852 from SpatialDataID 378862 to SpatialDataID 342543
POD	8/29/2006 9:46:43 AM	dnarsavage	Updated PLS
Priority Date	7/21/2004 9:44:47 AM	mcase	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	7/21/2004 9:44:54 AM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

Water Supply Bank:



Idaho Department of Water Resources

SRBA Recommendation:

67-456


(Irrigation)

Legend

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Adams County
IDWR Basin 67



0 3 6 Miles

Prepared by D. Narsavage
On Thursday, August 31, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography

67-456

