

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-436A V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-436A V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	JOHN W HOLMES 2152 COVE RD WEISER, ID 8362 (208)549-0772
Original Owner	WILLIAM HUTCHINSON , ZZ

Priority Date: 06/01/1883

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
LITTLE WEISER RIVER	WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/15 to 10/31	0.040 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.040 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

LITTLE WEISER RIVER	NE1/4SW1/4NW1/4	Sec. 23, Twp 14N, Rge 01W, B.M.
ADAMS County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
14N	01W	3																	3.2

Total Acres: 3.2

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-436A V1

Comments:

1. mcase 7/13/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape
2. mcase 7/13/2004 POD
Comment: Updated PLS
3. mcase 7/13/2004 POD
Comment: POD shape created using decreed and claimed ditch diversion. Did not match claimed PLS. PLS updated.
4. mcase 7/13/2004 POU
Comment: Updated Shape
5. mcase 7/13/2004 Quantity
Comment: Parent right decreed for .52 cfs. Claimed .04 cfs for the irrigation of 4 acres with other associated rights.

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector: OR
 Water District Number: 67
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	7/13/2004 1:14:46 PM	mcase			Active
POD	7/13/2004 1:20:31 PM	mcase			Active
Source	7/13/2004 1:20:40 PM	mcase			Active
POU	7/13/2004 1:28:33 PM	mcase			Active
Use	7/13/2004 1:28:47 PM	mcase			Active
Season	7/13/2004 1:29:16 PM	mcase			Active
Quantity	7/13/2004 1:29:31 PM	mcase			Active
Priority Date	8/29/2006 10:15:34 AM	dnarsavage			Active
POD	8/29/2006 10:15:47 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Source	8/29/2006 10:17:33 AM	dnarsavage			Active
POU	8/29/2006 10:17:49 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Use	8/29/2006 10:20:18 AM	dnarsavage			Active

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-436A V1

Season	8/29/2006 10:20:37 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Quantity	8/29/2006 10:21:11 AM	dnarsavage	Active
Summary	08/29/2006	dnarsavage	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
POD	8/2/2005 4:05:12 PM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623389 from SpatialDataID 363548 to SpatialDataID 342152
POD	8/2/2005 4:05:12 PM	dnarsavage	Correlated PODID 623389 from SpatialDataID 363548 to SpatialDataID 342152
Source	8/29/2006 10:17:33 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
POU	8/29/2006 10:17:50 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
POU	8/29/2006 10:18:16 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
Use	8/29/2006 10:20:19 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
Summary	8/29/2006 10:21:53 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (No) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (Yes) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-436A V1

General	8/29/2006 10:15:35 AM	dnarsavage	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	8/29/2006 10:15:47 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Season	8/29/2006 10:20:37 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	8/29/2006 10:21:11 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
POD	7/13/2004 1:20:31 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?
Source	7/13/2004 1:20:41 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using

the water right file?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-436A V1

POU	7/13/2004 1:28:34 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (No) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?
Use	7/13/2004 1:28:47 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?
Season	7/13/2004 1:29:17 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use?
Priority Date	7/13/2004 1:14:46 PM	mcase	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	7/13/2004 1:29:31 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (No) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

Water Supply Bank:



Idaho Department of Water Resources


SRBA Recommendation:

67-436A

(Irrigation)

Legend

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Adams County
IDWR Basin 67



Prepared by D. Narsavage
On Tuesday, August 29, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography

67-436A

