

1990

NAME AND ADDRESS: BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
AMERICAN POTATO CO
40 E 7TH N
REXBURG ID 83440

TRIBUTARY:

The quantity of water under this right shall not exceed 2,500 gallons per day.

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T06N R40E S18 SESWSE Within MADISON County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
DOMESTIC

<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	
01/01	12/31

QUANTITY
0.040 CFS

PLACE OF USE: DOMESTIC Within MADISON County
T06N R40E S18 SWSE

The quantity of water decreed for this water right is not a determination of historical beneficial use.

Domestic use is for the maintenance shop north of the industrial plant.

01/13/2006

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 22-10008

BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
AMERICAN POTATO CO
40 E 7TH N
REXBURG ID 83440
Telephone Number: (208)356-3631

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

Quantity
Priority Date
Purpose and period of use

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

Basic American Foods realizes that it may need to amend SRBA claims 22-2204, 22-7391, and 22-10008 AND/OR file new SRBA claims as a result of the listed changes:

QUANTITY: USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO A TOTAL COMBINED ANNUAL DIVERSION VOLUME OF 2577.4 AF. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 22-2204, 22-7391

PRIORITY DATE: October/November 1961

did not claim this date, not changing.

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE: THE WATER DIVERTED UNDER THIS RIGHT FOR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES MUST FIRST BE USED IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE POTATO PROCESSING PLANT LOCATED IN T__S, R__E, S__, ____ AND _____. AFTER WATER IS USED FOR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE POTATO PROCESSING PLANT, IT MAY BE APPLIED TO AND USED FOR THE IRRIGATION OF THE LAND DESCRIBED IN THE PLACE OF USE FOR INDUSTRIAL PURPOSES IN T__S, R__E, S__, _____. TO SATISFY WATER QUALITY REQUIREMENTS. THE INDUSTRIAL USE OF WATER UNDER THIS RIGHT INCLUDES THE TREATMENT OF WASTE WATER WITH FRESH WATER

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

The original license file for 22-2204 shows that 3.56 cfs was diverted and in use from October/November 1961.

this is only in reference to the well associated with 22-2204, not this right.

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: January 13, 2006

SIGNATURE:

Josephine P. Beeman

Please print your name:

Josephine P. Beeman
Attorney for Basic American Foods, Inc.
Beeman & Associates, P.C.
409 W. Jefferson Street
Boise, ID 83702
(208) 331-0950

RECEIVED

JAN 17 2006

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

SCANNED

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho JUL 14 2006, 2085257161

PROFILED

Claim No. 22/0008

Document Checklist

- ☐ Copy License/Decree _____
- ☐ Copy Transfer _____
- ☐ Copy Licensing Field Exam _____
- ☐ Check if Ownership/Address Change is Required _____

☒ Generate, mail and file "Evidence of Priority" letter

☒ Generate Arcview Map

Per Courthouse 10/27/04
Basic American Tracts 06N40E18 5233
2999 Oak Road Walnut Creek CA 94596-2016

SCANNED
JUL 18 2006

PROFILED

Claim no. A22-10068

Claimant Contact Log

Date _____

Remarks

Agent initials

18 May 05

Please see A22-22604

Bac

30 May 05

See e-mail re: EOP

Bac



Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-10008

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone No.: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone No.: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____ Date of Field Exam: _____

Discussion of right origin and split history. Questions and problems.

This claim was assumed by Claimant to represent small well "c" illustrated on the layout in A22-02204. From legal description / the name "Anderson" on the well log on file A22-10008, it appears that A22-10008 actually serves the small repair shops north of the plant.

The claimed priority date and the well log indicate this well is ADST-1963 but the use is well within the 2500 gallons/day non-residential dominions.

Bar 30 May 05

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-10008

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Claimed priority is Oct 24, 1977. Copy of well log
in file has no drill date but it was received
by IDWR 26 April 1978. BAC 30 May 05

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Water Right No(s). A22-10008



GPS DATA:

Tag # A00013632 Location: well casing

Diversion Data:

Source: _____ Trib to: _____

MOTOR

Make: _____ Model: _____ Hp: _____
SN: _____

PUMP

Make: _____ Model: _____ Disch: _____
SN: _____

NOTES:

Note electrical plug - well is operated by portable generator - no motor info available. Note small discharge pipe.
pac 30 May 05

Field Exam Date: _____ Agent: _____

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-10008Water Right Point(s) of diversion and Source.

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary to: _____

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary to: _____

Claimed Point(s) of Diversion and Source.

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	Tributary to
6N	40E	18		SWSE	Madison	Groundwater	

Recommended Point(s) of Diversion and Source.

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	Tributary to
6N	40E	18		SESWSE	Madison	Groundwater	

Other rights from this POD? NATransfers Pending or approved: NADocument how the POD and Source were verified:

6N 40E 18 SE SWSE. GPS Waypoint A1,
2675168E 1406785N IDTM 83 DAE 30 May 05

Recommended as claimed

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-10008

Claimed Place Of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
6N	40E	18	SWSE		Madison			Industrial

Total acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
6N	40E	18	SWSE		Madison			Industrial

Total acres _____

Pending or approved Transfers: NAOverlapping rights: NA

Document how the POU was verified:

Original use included restaurant in shop. small lawn shown above. Current use is equipment washing. 2500 gallons/day drain. Should be delugate.

DAC 30 May 05

Recommended as claimed

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Season of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-10008Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Industrial	01/01	12/31	0.04	

Overall Diversion Rate 0.04

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Industrial	01/01	12/31	0.04	2.77

Overall Diversion Rate 0.04

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Minimum 0.04 gfs, 2500 gallon/day should be adequate. Original petition was for year-round probably. BAC 30 May 05.

$$2,500 \text{ gallons/day} \times 365 \text{ days} / 325,830 \text{ gallons/prior acre} = 2.77 \text{ AFA}$$

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

To: jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com
From: Bryce Contor <bcontor@if.uidaho.edu>
Subject: Basic American Foods Water Rights - Rexburg
Cc: kekeller@baf.com, bwright@baf.com, aruberry@idwr.state.id.us
Bcc:
Attached:

BAF CORRECT MAILING ADDRESS:

Dear Ms. Beeman -

On Friday Keith Keller and Eric Eastin showed me the wells and facilities associated with the Basic American Foods water rights in Rexburg. I told Mr. Keller I'd send you a summary of what we found, with a copy to him.

1) A22-02204. This is for the main water supply for the plant. The original license is for one well in 6N 40E 19 NWNE. The original well is actually in NENE, the large well known as "Well A." There is a second well, "Well C," in the NWNE. Mr. Keller and Mr. Eastin had supposed that this well was the well claimed under A22-10008, but inspection of the claim and documentation suggest that A22-10008 is a well across the road to the north at a maintenance shop.

*No Permit Poss'd
Per 42-229 I.C.
Exempt 22500
9pd*

Basic American Foods may wish to consider amending claim A22-02204 to show the correct location of the original well and to add Well C as an accomplished second point of diversion. It may also be well to amend the place of use to include both the NWNE and the NENE, as the plant actually overlaps the line between these two quarter-quarters. These differences in location appear to arise from better aerial photography that was not available to the licensing examiner, not to any change that has been made by Basic American Foods.

*When did the
changes to POG
AND POG occur?*

The license does not specify an annual volume. I forgot to double-check my assumption (based on license 22-7391) that the plant operates 24 hours/day, 365 days/year. If Mr. Keller, Mr. Wright or Mr. Eastin could call Al Ruberry at 525-7161, or me at 681-9100, to confirm this, that would aid in making a correct recommendation.

OK

2) A22-04063. This is for the well at the former Meyers Brothers feedlot. About a year ago the well was capped and buried, in anticipation of moving it to a new location to allow for changing the irrigation system. Mr. Keller and Mr. Eastin are working on getting affidavits to establish priority and the capacity of diversion works. The water right is based on the original beneficial use for the feedlot. Since the feedlot is no longer in use, I expect Basic American Foods will want to transfer the water right to a different use, or resume using it for some other commercial purpose at the same location.

** Recommend as
claim use Prior
to 1989.
SINCE WHEN?*

The claim lists only the SESW of 7N 40E 28 as the place of use, but the aerial photos clearly show that use also occurred in the NESW, the NWSE and the SWSE. Since this water right will likely be transferred to a different use in the future, it may not matter, but your clients might want to consider an amendment to include all four quarter-quarters. I did not discuss with Mr. Keller and Mr. Eastin that a future transfer will need to occur before the water right is forfeited for non-

*which photo?
what year?*

use.

3) A22-07391. This is for the boiler well, "Well B" at the main plant. The license and the physical connections allow it to be used within any part of the plant. As with A22-02204, the place of use and point of diversion are both listed as 6N 40E 19 NWNE. The well is actually in NENE and the place of use is in both NWNE and NENE. Basic American Foods may wish to consider an amended claim.

Since what year?

4) A22-10008. This is a beneficial use claim for the well known as the "Anderson Well," which serves a small maintenance shop across the road to the north of the plant. It is a beneficial use claim, but the claimed priority date and evidence of priority are after the statutory permit date of 1963. However, the use appears to be well within the 2,500 gallon per day de minimus non-residential domestic classification, which can be recommended with a post-1963 priority date without evidence of priority. If this is acceptable, no further action should be necessary. Otherwise, your clients may wish to amend the priority date on the claim and provide evidence of priority earlier than the mandatory permit date.

42-229 IC

*NØ6
N 11*

*RECOMMEND
UNKNOWN 42-111(e)*

Please call me at 681-9100 if you have questions. Today I will pass the files on to Mr. Ruberry at IDWR, who will be processing the actual recommendations for these water rights.

Sincerely, Bryce Contor
Subcontractor for IDWR Adjudication Bureau,
Eastern Regional Office

To: "Bryce Contor" <bcontor@if.uidaho.edu>
Cc: "Jo Beeman" <jo.beeman@beemanlaw.com>, <bwright@baf.com>
Subject: RE: Basic American Foods regarding 22-4063 and 22-10008 (Rexburg facility)

Bryce,

Thank you for the information. I will forward this to Jo Beeman with your telephone number.

Paralegal
Beeman & Associates, P.C.
409 W. Jefferson St.
Boise, Idaho 83702
208-331-0950
331-0954 - fax
office@beemanlaw.com

-----Original Message-----

From: Bryce Contor [mailto:bcontor@if.uidaho.edu]
Sent: Thursday, May 26, 2005 12:20 PM
To: B&A Office
Subject: Re: Basic American Foods regarding 22-4063 and 22-10008 (Rexburg facility)

Thanks for responding so quickly. I've just looked these up on the website, and the website has nothing that isn't in the paper file which I have in my possession. These are both beneficial use claims, which means they were developed outside the IDWR permit and licensing process. In order to recommend these to the SRBA court, IDWR needs to have adequate evidence of priority. Because the permit process became mandatory for ground water in 1963, the evidence needs to indicate that the beneficial use commenced before then.

There is no evidence of priority in the files, except for a well log in claim 22-10008 that appears to be dated 1978.

I have an appointment with the claimants tomorrow morning, to locate the wells and take down physical information such as location, pump size, etc. I will talk with them about the evidence of priority requirements but they will no doubt need guidance from Ms. Beeman in acquiring and preparing the information needed to allow IDWR to recommend these claims.

Please call me at 681 9100 if you have questions.

Thanks, Bryce.

At 12:08 PM 5/26/2005 -0600, you wrote:

Mr. Contor:

Bruce Wright of Basic American Foods indicated you required additional information for water claims 22-4063 and 22-10008 in connection with the Rexburg facility. The only documents we have in our files with regard to the two (2) referenced water claims are the same as on the IDWR database.

Please let us know if we can be of any other assistance.

Paralegal

Beeman & Associates, P.C.

409 W. Jefferson St.

Boise, Idaho 83702

208-331-0950

331-0954 - fax

office@beemanlaw.com

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Eastern District Office
900 N. Skyline Dr., Suite A
Idaho Falls, ID 83402-1718

RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED


22-2204
22-7391
22-15008
22-4063
22-11443

BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
AMERICAN POTATO CO
245 W 1000 N
REXBURG ID 83440



BASI245* 834402005 1303 05 03/05/04
RETURN TO SENDER
:BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
40 E 7TH N
REXBURG ID 83440-3584
RETURN TO SENDER

834402005/1700



SCANNED

JUL 18 2006

PROFILED

June 3, 2004

**BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
AMERICAN POTATO CO
245 W 1000 N
REXBURG ID 83440**

RE: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY FOR SRBA WATER RIGHT CLAIM 22-10008

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources is nearing the end of water rights review in Basin 22, the Teton River drainage. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice of Claim indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Claim. The date the water was first put to beneficial use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.

Your claim, as referenced above, claims a Priority Date of OCT 24 1977, from GROUNDWATER.

It is important that each element of the Notice of Claim be verified. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have provided a printout of your Notice of Claim and the pamphlet entitled "**Evidence of Priority**". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence which are acceptable and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "**What Kind of Evidence is Required**" and "**Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date**". **PLEASE READ THE ENCLOSED PAMPHLET.**

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other elements of the Notice of Claim have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel.

SCANNED


JUL 18 2006

PROF

.....
**The Department will not recommend the Notice of Claim be decreed by the court if
evidence of a priority date is not submitted. The Notice of Claim will be disallowed.**
.....

Please submit documentation supporting the priority date within 30 days of the date of
this letter, referencing all documents with the corresponding claim number. If you
have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please feel free to
contact this office.

Respectfully submitted,




Allen B. Ruberry
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

cc: File

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"

22-10008



PRO

JUL 18 2006

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

Claim No. A22-10008

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Jo Thompson Date 10-12-95

Contact Person(s) Nelson Rovig

Jo Beeman

Nature of Contact clarify uses and priority date.

Substance of Communication Mr Rovig is not familiar with water rights and does not know which well this is. Sometimes these wells are only used for lavatories if not being used for Potato Bellas (off-season) Mr Rovig will have Mr Steve Gardells contact me. 10-16-95 Jo Beeman called. cleared up the problem (what needs to be done) Sending Jo copies of completed claims -A22-02204-07391^{in "P" file} and copies of A22-11443 & A22-10008 - She will look at them & get back. copies are in TIC File. w/ explanation of problems.

SCANNED

JUL 18 2006

PROFILED

WELL DRILLER'S REPORT

State law requires that this report be filed with the Director, Department of Water Resources within 30 days after the completion or abandonment of the well.

RECEIVED

~~APR 28 1978~~

[illegible]

SENDER: Complete items 1 and 2 when additional services are desired, and complete items 3 and 4. Put your address in the "RETURN TO" Space on the reverse side. Failure to do this will prevent this card from being returned to you. The return receipt fee will provide you the name of the person delivered to and the date of delivery. For additional fees the following services are available. Consult postmaster for fees and check box(es) for additional service(s) requested. 1. ☐ Show-to whom delivered, date, and addressee's address. 2. ☐ Restricted Delivery ↑(Extra charge)↑	
3. Article Addressed to: Department of Water Resources Eastern Region 150 Shoup Ave. Suite 15 Idaho Falls, Id 83402	4. Article Number P 701 998 420 Type of Service: ☐ Registered ☐ Insured ☒ Certified ☐ COD ☐ Express Mail Always obtain signature of addressee or agent and <u>DATE DELIVERED</u>.
5. Signature - Addressee X <i>Jo Shoup</i> 6. Signature - Agent X 7. Date of Delivery AUG 26 1988	8. Addressee's Address (ONLY if requested and fee paid)

PS Form 3811, Mar. 1987

★ U.S.G.P.O. 1987-178-268

DOMESTIC RETURN RECEIPT

RECEIVED

OCT 06 1993

Department of Water Resources
Eastern District Office

*mailed to The Dept.
of Water Resources
On I.F. Aug 24, 1988*

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAH
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A22-10008
Date Received: 5/20/1988 8-25
Receipt No: E002724

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: BASIC AMERICAN FOODS
Address: AMERICAN POTATO CO.
245 WEST 1000 NORTH
REXBURG, ID 83440

208-356-3631

2. Date of Priority: OCT 24, 1977

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot
06N	40E	18	SW	SE		

County
MADISON

5. Description of diverting works:
8" DIA. - 65' DEEP WELL & PUMP.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
INDUSTRIAL	01/01	12/31	0.040		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.040 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 29.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
INDUSTRIAL USE AT A POTATO WAREHOUSE.

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
06N	40E	18	SW	SE		INDUST	

11. Place of use in counties: MADISON

A22-10008

Page 1

Date: 05/20/88

RECEIVED

AUG 25 1988

Department of Water Resource
Eastern District Office

A22-10008



12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE.

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE
Water Right Number:

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 0

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Laver Squire Date: aug 24, 88

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Madison) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 24th day
of August 19 88

Rene Berger
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Rexburg, ID
My Commission Expires 6-24-91

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Plant manager
Title
of Basic American Foods, Rexburg that I have signed
Organization Plant
the foregoing document in the space below as Plant mgr
Title
of Rexburg Plant and that the statements contained in
Organization
the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent Laver Squire
Title and Organization B.A.F. Plant Mgr Date _____
State of Idaho (or _____)
County of modison) ss.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 24th day of
August, 19 80.

Notary Public Law Beeson
Residing at Rexburg, ID
My Commission Expires 6-24-91

9/22/1988

SRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST

CLAIMANT'S NAME Basic American Foods CLAIM IDENT. NO. A22-10008DATE RECEIVED 8-25-88 CK # 176249 AMOUNT \$ 509-

4 claims

YES

NO

1. Is the name and address complete with zip code? ☒
2. Is a priority date entered? ☒

Is the water use exempt from the Permit requirements, or if it is not exempt, has a Permit been approved or a License issued?

A Permit is required: (1) for groundwater developed after March 25, 1963 (except single family domestic and stockwater wells using less than 13,000 gpd), or (2) for surface water developed after May 20, 1971 (except for instream stockwater). USE CARE IF CLAIM IS RETURNED. REFER TO ADJUDICATION MEMOS # 1 (ADJ. CLAIMS/APPLICATIONS) AND # 9 (REJECTION OF CLAIMS). EXPLAIN IN COMMENTS.

3. (a) Is a source entered? ☒

(b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required) ☒ N/A

4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient? ☒

5. Is there a description of the diverting works? ☒

6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form. ☒

Is the period of use included? ☒

Is a quantity claimed for each use? ☒

7. Is a total quantity claimed entered? ☒

8. If required is a consumptive use entered? ☒ N/A

Is the consumptive use correct? ☒ N/A

9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, each household must file a separate claim. See Adjudication Memo # 4. ☒

10. Is (are) the place(s) of use entered? ☒

11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered? ☒

12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked? ☒

13. Are other water rights listed or "none" checked? ☒

14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.) ☒ N/A

15. Is the basis of the claim checked? ☒

16. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? (If an individual is the claimant, has that individual signed the claim, or if an organization is the claimant, is the organization signature block complete?) ☒

Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary? ☒

Is the fee enclosed correct? ☒

If this claim is based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered? ☒ N/A

Should this claim be receipted and data entered? ☒ comment

Claim checked by: CT

Comments: This water right requires a permit, however it may be an expansion of an existing right?
I didn't want to hold up A22-07391 & A22-02204 to sort this out.

E002724