

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A36-00011B
Date Received: 8/11/1988
Receipt No: no 01015
Received By: Joe

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: GENE E. LORANGER 208-837-4820
Address: P.O. BOX 205
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

AND/OR

Name: BEVERLY B. LORANGER 208-837-4820
Address: P.O. BOX 205
HAGERMAN, ID 83332

2. Date of Priority: MAR 08, 1902

3. Source: WEATHERBY SPRINGS Trib. to: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
07S	14E	30	SE	NE	SW		GOODING

5. Description of diverting works:
PIPE LINE FLUMES ETC.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	0.500		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.500 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 63.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCK

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
07S	13E	22	NE SE		IRR	18.0
Section Acres						18.0
Total Acres						18.0

11. Place of use in counties: GOODING

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do do not ✓ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments:

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Gene E. Brown* Date: aug 11 1988
Date:

State of Idaho)
County of Gooding) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 11 day
of aug 19 88
Seal *John Dale Carter*
Notary Public

Residing at Bon Id
My Commission Expires 8/2/94



A36-00011B

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

CLAIM NUMBERS 36-000118

DATE 11/28/97
AGENT [Signature]

TRANSFER NUMBER _____
OBJECTION FILED ☒
TOTAL ACRES FROM RECOMMENDATION 18
GEOGRAPHIC INFO SYSTEM 16.61

RIGHT NO				NE				NW				SW				SE			
	TNS	RNG	SEC	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
RECOM	<u>075</u>	<u>13E</u>	<u>22</u>													<u>18</u>			<u>18</u>
GIS																<u>16.61</u>			<u>16.61</u>
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
GIS																			

UPDATED

POLYGON # UPDATED

B36-DEPOU.DBF 677 -
B36-DBPOD.DBF 463 -


POLYGON CREATED ON 604 () _____
ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POU.SHP 677
ATTRIBUTES OF B36-POD.SHP 463 SE NESW-30
(Weatherby Springs)

P/U CONFLICT yes () no ☒
P/D CONFLICT yes () no ☒
READY FOR DECREE yes ☒ no ()
SENT FOR DECREE yes () no ()


IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

36-00011B

GENE & BEVERLY LORANGER



TNS 7S, RNG 13E, SEC 22



⊙ RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION

□ RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE

— SECTION LINES

□ QUARTER/QUARTER LINES

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987 and/or 1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY OCTOBER 1997
BY JEANIE ROBERTSON

NOTICE OF ERROR

RESPONSE

CORRECTED R PROOF

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

Phone
DIRECTOR'S REPORT CONVERSATION MEMO

837-4820

1. PERSON(S) MAKING THE ENQUIRY:

Include address and phone no.
in case follow-up is needed.

Gene Loranger

PO Box 205

Hagerman, ID 83332

2. SUBJECT OF INQUIRY:

He wanted clarification on converting
from cfs to miner's inches for his claim and
Notice of error.

He also said he now has two homes where
he only claimed one.

3. RESPONSE TO INQUIRY:

I told him that one cfs was
equivalent to 50 m.i. and since he had 25" this was
the same as 0.5 cfs. This satisfied him.

I explained that it was not required by the state
to file on domestic uses, but he could if he
desired to do so. He decided not to send in
any notices of error

4. IDWR STAFF AND DATE:

R. V. WORSTELL

9/17/92

Print name and initial

ROBERT V. WORSTELL

R.V.W.

WATER RIGHT CLAIM (Nos.):

A36-000118, A36-10423, A36-10426

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

R PROOF

CVR

MAPS

PHOTOS

MISC



MICROFILM

Water Right Number - R36-00011B

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - + + - First Name - - + + - - - - - Address - - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
LORANGER

BEVERLY B

1 - PO BOX 205

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

State- ID Zip- 83332

Phone - 208-837-4820

LORANGER

GENE E

1 - PO BOX 205

2 -

3 -

City- HAGERMAN

State- ID Zip- 83332

Phone - 208-837-4820

+ - - Priority Date - - +
03/08/1902

+ - - Region - - - +
ERROR IN REGION

+ - - Stage - - - +
D - DECREED

+ - - Status - - - +

+ - - Source - - - - - +
WEATHERBY SPRINGS

+ - - Tributary - - - - - +
BILLINGSLEY CREEK

+ - - Point of Diversion - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

07S 14E 30 SE NE SW (47) GOODING

+ - - Water Use - - - - - +
Code Description Period From Period To Diversion Rate Diversion Volume

01 - IRRIGATION 04/01 10/31 0.500

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
0.500 54.0

+ - - Place of Use - - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Acres Code Description County

07S 13E 22 NE SE 18.0 01 IRRIGATION (47) GOODING

Total Acres: 18.0

+ - - Diversion Means - DITCHES, PIPELINE, FLOOD IRRIGATION

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

+ - - Remarks - - - - - +
A DIVERSION RATE OF 0.50 CFS AT THE FIELD HEADGATE IS
RECOMMENDED FOR THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE.
WATER IS DELIVERED THROUGH THE BAR S DITCH, CURREN DITCH AND
NORTH PIPELINE.

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

Water right number - R36-00011B

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +

+ - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
3600011

+ - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + + - - - - Decree Defendant - - - + + - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ - - - - Comments - - - - - +
Date: 01/15/1991 User Id: BEDKE Subject: SCC-STAFF REVIEW

+ - - Audit Fields - - +
08/06/92 WORSTELL

+ - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 08/06/1992	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 08/11/1988	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- M001015	Water District	- 36A
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- AND/OR	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	-

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

15:35:25
06/28/90

PAGE: 12
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00011B

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE	N		↑	N		See 8-5-92	DB	MICROFILMED JAN 20 1993
POINT OF DIV	Y N			N	1,5			
SOURCE	N		See 8-16-90	N				
PLACE OF USE	Y (Y)		See 8-16-90	N	1,2,4,5			
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y (Y)			(Y)	6. Deane			
CONSUMPTIVE USE	- N			(Y)				
PURPOSES	N		See 8-16-90	N				
PERIOD OF USE	Y N			(Y)	6.			
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y N			N				
REMARKS	N		↓	(Y)	6.			

hnt
7-18-90

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

* Further review
- Split + XS Flow

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

15:35:25
06/28/90

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A36-00011B

LAST: LORANGER
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 205
CITY: HAGERMAN
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4820

FIRST: GENE E.
STATE: ID ZIP: 83332

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: LORANGER
ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 205
CITY: HAGERMAN
PHONE NUMBER: 208-837-4820

FIRST: BEVERLY B.
STATE: ID ZIP: 83332

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

CONTACT PERSON: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 8/16/90

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(____) N(X)

TIME: 5:00 A(____) P(X)

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

A. AJ CLAIM: # A36-00011B PRIORITY DATE: 03-08-1902 STAGE: D

B. WR RECORD: # 36-00011 PRIORITY DATE: 03-08-1902 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) DATABASE N FIELD EXAMINER N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N(X)
IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS:

=====

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
03-08-1902

REVIEWED BY hht

DATE 7-18-90

=====

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A36-00011B	07S	14E	30	SE	NE	SW		GOODING

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX	R PREFIX
A36-00086G	NONE

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

A36-00119	36-00009
A36-00033D	36-00010
A36-00063	36-00015
A36-00116	36-00016
A36-00086F	36-00017
A36-00086H	36-00033
A36-00011A	36-00041
A36-00011C	36-00042
A36-00105	36-00044
A36-00120	36-00045
A36-00061	36-00060
A36-00062	36-00061
	36-00062
	36-00063
	36-00068
	36-00069
	36-00086A
	36-00086B
	36-00105
	36-00116
	36-00118
	36-00119
	36-00120
	36-02029
	36-07071
	36-07740

SOURCE: WEATHERBY SPRINGS

TRIB: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
36-00011	07S	14E	30		NE	SW		GOODING

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

A PREFIX	R PREFIX
36-00009	A36-00009
36-00010	A36-00033C
36-00015	A36-00060
36-00016	A36-00086G
36-00017	A36-00119
36-00033	A36-00033D
36-00041	A36-00063

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

36-00042
36-00044
36-00045
36-00060
36-00061
36-00062
36-00063
36-00068
36-00069
36-00086A
36-00086B
36-00105
36-00116
36-00118
36-00119
36-00120
36-02029
36-07071
36-07740

A36-00116
A36-00086F
A36-00086H
A36-00011A
A36-00011C
A36-00105
A36-00120
A36-00061
A36-00062

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

SOURCE: WEATHERBY SPRINGS

TRIB: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y	<u>N</u>
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	N	<u>N</u>

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY
<u>7S</u>	<u>14E</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>SE</u>	<u>NE</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>---</u>	<u>Gooding</u>

- as claimed

SOURCE: WEATHERBY SPRINGS

TRIB: BILLINGSLEY CREEK

REVIEWED BY: hht

DATE: 7-18-90

VIA: Bar S Ditch
Curren Ditch
North pipe

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A36-00011A
A36-00011B
A36-00011C

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
07S	13E	22	NE	SE		LORANGER, GENE E.	A36-00011B /18.0	- /	34.0	18	18.0
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING	CA36-02007 /15.0	36-02007 /			
						BURLESON, EDWARD	A36-10413 /	- /			
						LORANGER, GENE E.	A36-10423 /	- /			
						LORANGER, GENE E.	A36-10426 /	- /			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING	CA36-00192 /15.0	36-00192 /			
						BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING	CA36-00133 /15.0	36-00133 /			
						BARTON, W. C.		36-00011 /			
						MC CARTY, JOHN		36-02029 /40.0			
TOTAL ACRES							A36-00011B	18.00	34.00		18.0

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N()
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

DATABASE
Y

FIELD
EXAMINER
Y

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

Confirm # of acres. ✓ OK, SEC

* Does not include 1/2 acre around house

which is irrigated by sprinklers from Big Bend irrigation.

Correct ownership
for Basis of claim
see 8-5-92

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: 18.0

REVIEWED BY: SC

DATE: 8-16-90

500 CFS

1.300 CFS

Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

MICROFILMED
IAN 20 1993

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

Y() N(☒)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.500 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 1.300 CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***
** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **
** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER
Y

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD _____, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y() N(☒) IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: NONE

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A36-00011B	WEATHERBY SPRINGS	LORANGER , GENE E.	0.500	0.027 -		
A36-02007	TUCKER SPRINGS, UPPER	BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C	4.000	0.006	2895.90	4.58
A36-00133	TUPPER SPRINGS, UPPER	BIG BEND IRRIGATION & MINING C	20.000	0.022	14479.60	16.47

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A36-10413	DOMESTIC	BURLESON , EDWARD	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A36-10423	DOMESTIC	LORANGER , GENE E.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.030 CFS	
A36-10426	DOMESTIC	LORANGER , GENE E.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A36-10426	STOCKWATER	LORANGER , GENE E.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

D.

RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE

ACRES 18 x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 0.36 CFS
(Taken from p/u review)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y(X) N() (0.028)

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 63.0 AF/A

ACRES 18 x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 3.0 =
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 54 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES 18 x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 4.0 =
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 72 AF/A N/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

1b. CALCULATIONS

*per Hubble Analysis
= 0.87 cfs.*

Limited & allow as claimed: 0.50 cfs

*no allowance for
conveyance losses = $\emptyset$
(actual losses = 0.10 cfs)*

~~*proportional Rate based on full tract (80 Ac) is $\frac{1.3 \text{ cfs}}{80 \text{ Ac.}} = 0.016 \text{ cfs/Ac.}$*~~

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV VOL. AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRRIGATION	4-1 10-31 ~~03-15 11-15~~	DATABASE EXAMINER ~~0.500 0.29~~ 0.500 <u>0.50</u>	DATABASE EXAMINER ~~72~~ N/A	DATABASE EXAMINER ~~63.0~~ 54
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT				63.0

REVIEWED BY:

See

DATE: 1-8-91

See 8-5-92

~~(allowed WR Rate 0.016 cfs/ac)~~

FOI = Bar S Ditch, Curren Ditch, North Pipe.
SHR = 0.50

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

	ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
OWNERSHIP _____	()	()
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____	()	()
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____	()	(✓) <i>xs flow</i>

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 36-00011

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS	DATABASE 1.300	EXAMINER _____	CFS
-----------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
A36-00011	A36-00011A	0.800	-		36-00011	1.300
A36-00011	A36-00011B <i>-</i>	0.500	-		36-00011	1.300
A36-00011	A36-00011C	0.500	-		36-00011	1.300
TOTAL CFS		1.800				1.300

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)

DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
N	<i>N</i>

REMARKS:

See A36-00011 for split Review - attached & (OK)

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: *Steve Pells*

DATE: *1-8-91*

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____ TITLE: _____

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993



MAN Fite: Hagerman

Sec 22

Twp 7

Rng 13

13

07S 13E 22

3187	Vay Cook 300' 300'	2400	0600	0150	660' Twp 7 0000
Vay Cook % Art Troutner	VAY Cook	CARL Hayden	LARRY J. LLOYD	Cleave Lloyd BETTY LLOYD	
		NE 1/4			CLEAVE LLOYD
patented 2-10-65 3600	Bertha A. Petersen d Mary Irene Ferrin	TAX 6 Sec. 1367 Thomas H. Burk 5775'			1800
Lyle W. Callow	4500 DONALD V. SAUL	CARL Hayden SW 1/4 NE 1/4		Felix Macarieta	
				2174 TAX 1-A 1982 BARRY + DEAN RATES	
PATENT R. Gisler	4800	16 ac. 4 ac. TAX 3 CARL Hayden		Omer E. LORANGER	
		NE 1/4 SE 1/4 Bull Creek		Everly E. LORANGER	7650
5774	HARRY R. GIsLER			60 ac + 1/2	
6000	6750	5361	8400	9150	
Harry R. Gisler	Paul Orland Peterson	Lloyd Brown	Betty P. Hoskovec		9149 9150 St. Y. J. Hoskovec % James T. Overstreet TAX 13 550'

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993


LORAN, R A36-00011B

IN
37.60
5.24
8.96

51.80

KNOWN OUT
28.00
19.50
47.5

TO OTHERS <4.3> SVR



GRADED BORDER EVALUATION

Job name: LORANGER
By: SCC

Location: A36-00011B BAR-8 DITCH
Date: Jul 22, 1992

Roughness coefficient (n)= 0.250 Intake family (If)=2.00
Slope (S)= 0.0300 ft/ft Border width (W)= 31 ft
Net application (Fn)= 1.84 inches Border length (L)= 594 ft
Inflow time (Ti)= 8 hours, 0 minutes

Flow per Border (cfs)	Unit Flow (cfs/ft)	Gross Application (in)	Deep Percolation (in)	Runoff (in)	Application Efficiency (percent)	Notes
0.50	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.51	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.52	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.53	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.54	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.55	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.56	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.57	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.58	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.59	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.60	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.61	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.62	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.63	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.64	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.65	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.66	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.67	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.68	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.69	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.70	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.71	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.72	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.73	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.74	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.75	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.76	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.77	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.78	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.79	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.80	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.81	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.82	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.83	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.84	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.85	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.86	Gross depth is less than required	gross application depth				
0.87	0.028	16.33	14.45	0.04	11.3	(2)
0.88	0.028	16.52	14.45	0.23	11.1	(2)
0.89	0.029	16.70	14.45	0.42	11.0	(2)
0.90	0.029	16.89	14.45	0.61	10.9	(2)
0.91	0.029	17.08	14.45	0.79	10.8	(2)
0.92	0.030	17.27	14.45	0.98	10.7	(2)

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

0.93	0.030	17.45	14.45	1.17	10.5	(2)
0.94	0.030	17.64	14.45	1.36	10.4	(2)
0.95	0.031	17	14.45	1.54	10.3	(2)
0.96	0.031	18.02	14.45	1.73	10.2	(2)
0.97	0.031	18.21	14.45	1.92	10.1	(2)
0.98	0.032	18.39	14.45	2.11	10.0	(2)
0.99	0.032	18.58	14.45	2.29	9.9	(2)

(2) Erosive stream-- non-sod forming crops.

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

no overlap

I R R I G A T I O N A N A L Y S I S W O R K S H E E T

CLAIM NO. A36-00011BDATE 7/16/92AGENT HHTCLAIMANT/SYSTEM DESCRIPTION LorangerIRRIGATION SYSTEM ----- Gravity - FloodANALYSIS METHOD ----- BORDER / FURROWTYPE OF CROP ----- PastureMAXIMUM PROFILE DEPTH ----- 36" INCHESINTAKE FAMILY ----- 2

SOIL TYPE KEC ----- SYSTEM TOTALACRES PER TYPE 18 ----- 18 ACRESSOIL PROFILE WHC 3.68 -----DESIGN IRR $\frac{1}{2}$ WHC 1.84 -----

CROP REQUIREMENT:

REPORT AREA & FIGURE NO. ----- Bliss 10-8

DAILY CROP REQUIREMENT:

6.44 (MM/DAY) / 25.4 (FACTOR IN MM/IN) ----- 0.25 IN/DAYACTUAL ROTATION PRACTICED ----- 14 DAYSDRY DAY ROTATION 10 IRR DAY ROTATION 4THEORETICAL ROTATION: 2 day irr, dry 5, 2 irr, dry 5 (Repeat)1.84 DESIGN IRR / 0.25 CROP REQ = 7.36 DAYS

DESCRIPTION OF FIELD:

AVERAGE LENGTH RUN ----- 594TOTAL WIDTH OF FIELD ----- 1320CHECK 594 L * 1320 W / 43,560 = 18 ACRES% SLOPE ----- 3%BORDER WIDTH ----- 31'1320 WIDTH OF FIELD / 14 DAYS OF ROTATION (94)~~FURROW / CORRUGATION WIDTH~~ ----- N/ASET TIME ----- 31' @ 8 Hrs

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

PARENT RIGHT NO. 36-000 11 TOTAL DIVERSION RATE 1.30 PREPARATION DATE 8-5-92 sec

MICROFILMED
JAN 20 1993

SC 8-3-92

A36-00011/B

CONVEYANCE LOSS - WORSTELL

REACH	LENGTH (FT)	AVE WIDTH (FT)	SEEPAGE (FT/DAY)	WORSTELL LOSS (CFS)	DELIVERY (CFS)	DELIVERY LEFT (CFS)	LOSS FACTOR	DELIVERY LOSS (CFS)	FLOW (CFS)
1	2600	7.0	2.00	0.46	6.25	6.25	0.0736	0.46	6.71
2	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
3	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
4	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
5	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
6	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
7	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
8				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
9				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
10				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
11				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
12				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
13				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
14				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
15				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
16				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
17				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
18				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
19				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
20				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	-0.00
TOTALS	2600			0.46	6.25			0.46	

REACH = SECTION OF CHANNEL
 LENGTH = LENGTH OF REACH, THE CHANNEL CAN BE DIVIDED INTO EQUAL LENGTH REACHES
 OR ACTUAL LENGTHS BETWEEN DELIVERY POINTS
 AVE WIDTH = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF REACH
 SEEPAGE = SOIL SEEPAGE RATE OF REACH
 WORSTELL LOSS = CONVEYANCE LOSS IN REACH BASED ON WORSTELL METHOD
 DELIVERY = TOTAL DELIVERIES IN REACH
 DELIVERY LEFT = SUM(DELIVERIES OF CURRENT AND REMAINING REACHES)
 LOSS FACTOR = WORSTELL LOSS/DELIVERY LEFT
 DELIVERY LOSS = SUM(LOSS FACTORS OF CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REACHES) X DELIVERY,
 REPRESENTS THE LOSSES ASSIGNED TO THE DELIVERIES IN THE REACH
 FLOW = TOTAL FLOW AT BEGINNING OF EACH REACH

$$0.46 \text{ cfs} \div 16 = 0.029 \text{ cfs per RIGHT}$$

THESE RIGHTS SHARE THIS
 CONVEYANCE LOSS:

these rights share in the
 conveyance loss:

- 36-00011/B
- 36-00044 33F
- 36-00033B
- 36-00105
- 36-00189A

- 36-00011A
- 36-00198A
- 36-00044 33F
- 36-15157
- 36-00033C
- 36-00044
- 36-00033D
- 36-00042A
- 36-00033E
- 36-11142
- 36-00015

TOTAL = 0.10 cfs

0.03 cfs

- But their portions are not
 identified in the Decree.

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

5-8-3-92

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

CONVEYANCE LOSS - WORSTELL

REACH	LENGTH (FT)	AVE WIDTH (FT)	SEEPAGE (FT/DAY)	WORSTELL LOSS (CFS)	DELIVERY (CFS)	DELIVERY LEFT (CFS)	LOSS FACTOR	DELIVERY LOSS (CFS)	FLOW (CFS)
1	1600	10.0	2.00	0.40	0.06	31.06	0.0130	0.00	32.80
2	200	10.0	2.00	0.05	20.69	31.00	0.0016	0.30	32.34
3	1700	10.0	2.00	0.43	1.00	10.31	0.0417	0.06	11.60
4	1500	10.0	2.00	0.38	6.25	9.31	0.0407	0.61	10.17
5	6300	3.0	2.00	0.48	3.06	3.06	0.1561	0.77	3.54
6	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
7	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
8				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
9				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
10				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
11				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
12				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
13				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
14				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
15				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
16				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
17				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
18				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
19				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
20				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	11300			1.74	31.06			1.74	

36-00042
36-00011A, 36-00044, 36-00018 (0.10 cfs @)
36-000105
*
36-00004
CURREN / BAR-S
DITCHES

* THESE RIGHTS SHARE THIS PORTION:

REACH = SECTION OF CHANNEL
LENGTH = LENGTH OF REACH, THE CHANNEL CAN BE DIVIDED INTO EQUAL LENGTH REACHES
OR ACTUAL LENGTHS BETWEEN DELIVERY POINTS
AVE WIDTH = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF REACH
SEEPAGE = SOIL SEEPAGE RATE OF REACH
WORSTELL LOSS = CONVEYANCE LOSS IN REACH BASED ON WORSTELL METHOD
DELIVERY = TOTAL DELIVERIES IN REACH
DELIVERY LEFT = SUM(DELIVERIES OF CURRENT AND REMAINING REACHES)
LOSS FACTOR = WORSTELL LOSS/DELIVERY LEFT
DELIVERY LOSS = SUM(LOSS FACTORS OF CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REACHES) X DELIVERY,
REPRESENTS THE LOSSES ASSIGNED TO THE DELIVERIES IN THE REACH
FLOW = TOTAL FLOW AT BEGINNING OF EACH REACH

36-00015 36-00011B
36-00189A 36-0004E 33F
36-11142 36-0004E 33G
36-00198A 36-00033B
36-15157 36-00033C
 36-00033D
 36-00033E

$0.161 \div 12 = 0.05 \text{ cfs per RIGHT}$

0.05 cfs

⊗ Some rights will not have their conveyance loss identified in Decree.

5 in
8-3-92

CONVEYANCE LOSS - WORSTELL

REACH	LENGTH (FT)	AVE WIDTH (FT)	SEEPAGE (FT/DAY)	WORSTELL LOSS (CFS)	DELIVERY (CFS)	DELIVERY LEFT (CFS)	LOSS FACTOR	DELIVERY LOSS (CFS)	FLOW (CFS)
1	2900	2.0	2.00	0.15	2.32	2.32	0.0632	0.15	2.47
2	0	0.0	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
3	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
4	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
5	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
6	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
7	0	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
8				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
9				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
10				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
11				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
12				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
13				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
14				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
15				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
16				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
17				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
18				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
19				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
20				0.00		0.00	0.0000	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	2900			0.15	2.32			0.15	

LOWER BAR-S DITCH

$0.15 \div 7 = 0.02 \text{ cfs}$
 PER RIGHT

REACH = SECTION OF CHANNEL
 LENGTH = LENGTH OF REACH, THE CHANNEL CAN BE DIVIDED INTO EQUAL LENGTH REACHES
 OR ACTUAL LENGTHS BETWEEN DELIVERY POINTS
 AVE WIDTH = AVERAGE TOP WIDTH OF REACH
 SEEPAGE = SOIL SEEPAGE RATE OF REACH
 WORSTELL LOSS = CONVEYANCE LOSS IN REACH BASED ON WORSTELL METHOD
 DELIVERY = TOTAL DELIVERIES IN REACH
 DELIVERY LEFT = SUM(DELIVERIES OF CURRENT AND REMAINING REACHES)
 LOSS FACTOR = WORSTELL LOSS/DELIVERY LEFT
 DELIVERY LOSS = SUM(LOSS FACTORS OF CURRENT AND PREVIOUS REACHES) X DELIVERY,
 REPRESENTS THE LOSSES ASSIGNED TO THE DELIVERIES IN THE REACH
 FLOW = TOTAL FLOW AT BEGINNING OF EACH REACH

THESE RIGHTS SHARE THIS
CONVEYANCE LOSS:

~~36-00011B *~~ ~~36-00033B *~~
 36-0004C 33F 36-00033C
~~36-00011D *~~ 36-00033D
 33G
 36-00033E

0.02 cfs

* OTHER RIGHTS WILL NOT HAVE
 CONVEYANCE LOSS LISTED IN DECREE.

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

RECEIVED

JAN 23 1979

APR 21 1989

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & FELTON
Bank & Trust Building
Post Office Box 389
Twin Falls, Idaho 83301
Tel. No. 208-734-7510
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Super No. _____
Case No. _____
Filed Jan. 22, 1979 CE-ME
Deputy

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF GOODING

* * * * *

JOHN W. JONES, JR., and DELORIS D.)
JONES, husband and wife; and W. A.)
SANDY and FLORENCE M. SANDY, husband)
and wife, dba, JONES AND SANDY)
LIVESTOCK,)

Case No. 16676

Plaintiffs,

vs.

RESERVOIR LAND COMPANY, INC., an)
Idaho corporation; KNAKE EYE LAND)
& CATTLE CO. and VIEJO MANAGEMENT)
CO.; dba BUCKEYE LAND CO.; BUCKEYE)
LAND CO.; CLIFFORD PETERSON; OMER E.)
LORANGER and BEVERLY B. LORANGER,)
husband and wife; WALLACE W. BATES)
and LOLEITA V. BATES, husband and)
wife; RICHARD P. SAUER and LUCILLE B.)
SAUER, husband and wife; SHELDON G.)
CARLETON and BARBARA S. CARLETON,)
husband and wife; EDNA M. (BELL) REA;)
STANLEY J. HOSKOVEC and BETTY)
HOSKOVEC, husband and wife; ALFRED)
H. COLE and PHYLLIS A. COLE, husband)
and wife; ELLIS & WEIR, INC., a)
domestic corporation; HAROLD V.)
WOLINE and LOIS C. WOLINE, husband)
and wife; FRED STURTEVANT and JOYCE)
E. STURTEVANT, husband and wife;)
and DOES I THROUGH V,)

STIPULATION OF FACTS

Defendants.

* * * * *

STIPULATION OF FACTS -1-

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

1 COMES NOW the parties through their attorneys of
2 record and stipulate as follows:

3 WHEREAS, the Bar S ditch carrying water from Weatherby
4 Springs across Billingsly Creek consists of an open ditch and a
5 series of metal pipes;

6 WHEREAS, on or about 1960 or 1961, a steel pipe with
7 an outside diameter of 24 inches was welded near the mouth or
8 inlet portion of the pipe or siphon crossing Billingsly Creek;

9 WHEREAS, at all times since 1960 or 1961, to the
10 present date, this pipe or siphon has been restricted at its
11 inlet by a section or sections of metal pipe having an outside
12 diameter of 24 inches;

13 WHEREAS, the maximum flow discharge for said original
14 pipe or siphon at all times since 1960 or 1961 has been 325
15 miners inches of water; and,

16 WHEREAS four other reputed or alleged diverters of
17 water from the Bar S ditch downstream from said crossing at
18 Billingsly Creek have been discovered, who have not been named
19 in the above-entitled proceeding and who, therefore, cannot be
20 bound by any judgment, decree, adjudication or stipulation
21 entered in the above-entitled proceeding;

22 All parties, by and through their attorneys of record,
23 agree and stipulate:

24 1. (a) that plaintiffs shall continuously, and on
25 a year round basis, allow 325 miners inches of water from
26 Weatherby Springs to be delivered into the Bar S ditch from the
27 outlet of its fish hatchery operation upon the express condition
28 STIPULATION OF FACTS -2-

1 precedent that defendant Buckeye Land Company enclose on or before
2 January 1, 1980, the existing open Bar S ditch with a suitable
3 enclosed pipeline along the entire reach of said Bar S ditch
4 located on the property presently owned by plaintiffs downstream
5 from plaintiffs fish hatchery operation; or in the alternative,

6 (b) in the event said open ditch is not enclosed
7 as set out in subparagraph (a) immediately above, that plaintiffs
8 shall continuously allow 325 miners inches of water from Weatherby
9 Springs to be delivered into the Bar S ditch from the outlet of
10 its fish hatchery operation from March 1 until November 1 of
11 each year, and plaintiffs shall continuously allow 200 miners
12 inches of water from Weatherby Springs to be delivered into the
13 Bar S ditch from the outlet of its fish hatchery operation from
14 November 1 until March 1 of each year.

15 2. that the trial date scheduled for this matter be
16 vacated to allow these individuals to be named so as to provide
17 for a full adjudication of the water rights of all individuals
18 diverting water along said stream;

19 3. that all other issues of law and fact not stipulated
20 by the parties herein are reserved until the time of trial.

21 DATED this _____ day of January, 1979.

22

23

HEPWORTH, NUNGESTER & FELTON

24

25

By 
John C. Hepworth for Plaintiffs

26

27

28 STIPULATION OF FACTS -3-

1 PARRY, ROBERTSON, LALY & LARSON

2 AND

3 BENOIT AND ALEXANDER

4
5 By *Robert Alexander*

6 Bob Alexander for Defendants
7 Buckeye Land Company and Reservoir
8 Land Company

9 CHURCH, CHURCH, & SNOW

10 By *Thomas H. Church*

11 For Defendants
12 Ellis & Weir, Inc.

13 JAMES HOBDEY & VARIN

14
15 By *Clifford Peterson*

16 For Defendants

17 Clifford Peterson; Omer E. Loranger
18 and Beverly B. Loranger; Wallace W.
19 Bates; Richard P. Sauer and Lucille D.
20 Sauer; Sheldon G. Carleton and Bar-
21 bara S. Carleton; Edna M. Rea;
22 Stanely J. Hoskovec and Betty
23 Hoskovec; and Alfred H. Cole.

24 O R D E R

25 IT IS SO ORDERED.

26 1-22-79

27 151 Douglas D. Kramer
28 District Judge

STIPULATION OF FACTS -4-

DISTRICT COURT
GOODING CO. IDAHO

APR 27 AM 9:00

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF GOODING

JOHN W. JONES, JR., and
DELORIS D. JONES, et al

Plaintiffs,

vs.

RESERVOIR LAND COMPANY, INC.,
et al,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 16676

MEMORANDUM OPINION

The matter before the court is defendants' motion to amend the order of this Court dated January 22, 1979 incorporating a stipulation by all parties concerning their respective water rights in Weatherby Springs. The defendants' contend that their attorneys signed the stipulation under the mistaken impression that it called for 325 miner's inches of water to be delivered through the Billingsley Creek Siphon. In fact, the stipulation states that the water is to be delivered into the Bar S Ditch from the outlet of plaintiffs' fish hatchery operation. Though some comment was made at oral argument and in defendants' brief as to counsels' lack of authority to make the stipulation as worded, there is nothing in the affidavits filed with the Court to support that proposition. If there was a mistake in the formation of the stipulation it was unilateral, not mutual. Typically, a mutual mistake is required to make a contract voidable. Restatement, Contracts § 503. The stipulation explicitly deals with the problem of water loss in the Bar S Ditch. If the defendants place a suitable enclosed pipe line along the ditch by January 1, 1980 they are entitled to 325 miner's inches year round. If not, they are entitled to merely 200 inches from November 1 to March 1 of each year. The stipulation underscores the fact that the parties were well aware of the excessive water loss problem in the Bar S ditch at the time it was signed. It is well recognized in Idaho that only a reasonable loss in transport is allowed to the holder of a water right. Glenn Dale Ranches Inc. v. Shaub 94 Idaho 585, 494 P.2d 1029 (1972). The Court cannot believe that the dispute now before it centers around an amount of water which would constitute a reasonable loss. It must involve water substantially in excess of that amount. If so, the defendants are not entitled to the water under the Glenn Dale Ranches case. Accordingly, the Court sees no reason to exercise its discretion in favor of the defendants. The motion to alter or amend is denied. There appears no reason to order specific performance of the stipulation at this time.


MEMORANDUM OPINION/1

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993

However, the Court will not hesitate to enforce its order should it be necessary to do so. No attorney's fees will be allowed.

Dated this 24 day of April, 1979.


Douglas D. Kramer
District Judge

CONVERSATION MEMORANDUM

EMPLOYEE: KKC

FILE 36-170011B

RE: irr practices

DATE 7-16-92

NAME OF PARTY

Gene Loranger 837-4820 info from Mrs Loranger

Pump yard.
→ Big bend - Alf. ^{irr} 5 days ^{via} gated pipe for 4 sections of field
then moves to pasture sprinkler (main pressure)
for 2 days. then back to the alfalfa
size of fields & runs not known.
Sharewater 125 acres } corrugated end of line
2 days out of 7 } 1/2 watered in 2 days

25 Acres

600 Alf. Pasture 35

For this claim.

Use is Sharewater on the 18 Acres irrigation occurs
every two days then 5 dry days and again 2 days irr.
She thought that approx 1/2 of the field could be irr
during the 2 days. She thought that there were corrugated
but ASGS slides & NAPP photos indicate Wild Flooding.

MICROFILMED

JAN 20 1993