

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA)
Case No. 39576)
PARTIAL DECREE PURSUANT TO
I.R.C.P. 54(b) FOR
Water Right 22-00428

NAME AND ADDRESS:

SALEM UNION CANAL CO LTD
C/O JERRY DALLING
1419 N 7TH N
SUGAR CITY, ID 83448

SALEM UNION CANAL CO LTD
C/O MAX BALL PRESIDENT
1184 W 4000 N
REXBURG, ID 83440

SOURCE: TETON RIVER TRIBUTARY: HENRYS FORK

QUANTITY: 26.50 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1888

POINT OF DIVERSION: T07N R40E S33 SESENW Within Madison County

PURPOSE AND

PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
Irrigation

PERIOD OF USE
04-01 TO 07-01

QUANTITY
26.50 CFS


PLACE OF USE:

The boundary encompassing the place of use for this water right is described with a digital boundary as defined by I.C. Section 42-202B(2) and authorized pursuant to I.C. Section 42-1411(2)(h). The data comprising the digital boundary are incorporated herein by reference and are stored on a CD-ROM disk issued in duplicate originals on file with the SRBA District Court and the Idaho Department of Water Resources. A map depicting the place of use is attached hereto to illustrate the place of use described by the digital boundary.

Place of use is within the area served by Salem Union Canal Co. The rights listed below are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 4978 acres in a single irrigation season. Combined Right Nos.: 21-12909, 21-12923, 21-12924, 22-428. This right is limited to the irrigation of 621 acres within the place of use described above in a single irrigation season.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. I.C. SECTION 42-1412(6).






Salem Union Canal Co Ltd

Place of Use Service Area
Irrigated Acres = 4978


Service Area Boundary
GIS Place of Use Illustration

Legend

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Fremont, Madison Counties
IDWR Basin 22



Prepared by T. Galbraith
On Friday, December 1, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography




Idaho Department of Water Resources

SRBA Recommendation:

22-428
(Irrigation)


Legend

- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Madison County
IDWR Basin 22

Prepared by T. Galbraith
On Friday, September 1, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography



22-428

February 2, 2006

Salem Union Canal Co LTD
C/O Max Ball President
1184 W 4000 N
Rexburg ID 83440

RE: Supporting documentation for Stock Water or Ground Water Recharge

Dear Max:

Over the past several months numerous meetings have taken place with individuals and representatives of water delivery organizations in the upper Snake River Valley and the Department of Water Resources (IDWR) concerning the non-irrigation season diversion of stock water and ground water recharge. In response to these meetings the following criteria were identified for evaluating and recommending these two uses.

- The claimant will have to provide documentation that verifies a historical practice of diverting water during the non-irrigation season for the purpose of providing stock water and ground water recharge. An example would be copies of the minutes for a water delivery organization referencing the diversion of water for these two uses. This information also will be used to help establish the priority date of the use.
- The claimant needs to provide copies of records showing the quantity of water historically diverted during the non-irrigation season. These records will be used as a basis for recommending the diversion rates for these two uses.
- The claimant will have to provide documents, written statements and/or affidavits from individuals who have witnessed the non-irrigation season diversion of water. These individuals need to provide a historical perspective based on actual observation.

Claimants need to assess their actual diversion requirements for stock water and ground water recharge. The quantity of water claimed for non-irrigation season stock water should be the amount needed to reach the end of the delivery system plus provide drinking water for stock. Claimants should not rely upon ground water recharge as a use to supplement the stock water in order for water to reach the end of the delivery system. *Claimants holding Winter Water Savings contracts may have lost their entitlement to divert water for 150 days between November 1 and April 30 through either abandonment or forfeiture.*

For some claimants, amending claims to reflect the necessary quantity for stock water will result in a substantial filing fee (\$100 per cfs). This fee is not refundable, which emphasizes the importance of providing documentation that supports the claimed uses.

If you or the organization you represent have already amended one or more claims and provided the documentation identified above, you may disregard this letter.

Individuals or organizations wishing assistance in amending their claims can call the Eastern Region office.

Respectfully yours,
Ernest Carlsen

Water Right Supervisor

Enclosures (2)
emc

22-428

February 2, 2006

Salem Union Canal Co LTD
C/O Jerry Dalling
1419 N 7TH N
Sugar City, ID 83448

RE: Supporting documentation for Stock Water or Ground Water Recharge

Dear Jerry:

Over the past several months numerous meetings have taken place with individuals and representatives of water delivery organizations in the upper Snake River Valley and the Department of Water Resources (IDWR) concerning the non-irrigation season diversion of stock water and ground water recharge. In response to these meetings the following criteria were identified for evaluating and recommending these two uses.

- The claimant will have to provide documentation that verifies a historical practice of diverting water during the non-irrigation season for the purpose of providing stock water and ground water recharge. An example would be copies of the minutes for a water delivery organization referencing the diversion of water for these two uses. This information also will be used to help establish the priority date of the use.
- The claimant needs to provide copies of records showing the quantity of water historically diverted during the non-irrigation season. These records will be used as a basis for recommending the diversion rates for these two uses.
- The claimant will have to provide documents, written statements and/or affidavits from individuals who have witnessed the non-irrigation season diversion of water. These individuals need to provide a historical perspective based on actual observation.

Claimants need to assess their actual diversion requirements for stock water and ground water recharge. The quantity of water claimed for non-irrigation season stock water should be the amount needed to reach the end of the delivery system plus provide drinking water for stock. Claimants should not rely upon ground water recharge as a use to supplement the stock water in order for water to reach the end of the delivery system. *Claimants holding Winter Water Savings contracts may have lost their entitlement to divert water for 150 days between November 1 and April 30 through either abandonment or forfeiture.*

For some claimants, amending claims to reflect the necessary quantity for stock water will result in a substantial filing fee (\$100 per cfs). This fee is not refundable, which emphasizes the importance of providing documentation that supports the claimed uses.

If you or the organization you represent have already amended one or more claims and provided the documentation identified above, you may disregard this letter.

Individuals or organizations wishing assistance in amending their claims can call the Eastern Region office.

Respectfully yours,
Ernest Carlsen

Water Right Supervisor

Enclosures (2)
emc

11-21-05

⊗ Merged w/ Salem Union Canal Comp, who has a combined use deal which covers this property, but not this rt. (21-12909, 21-12923, 21-12924)

Administered separately because in different basins. And this was not merged until 1999, so after adjudication began. Recommend based on 1987 condition, but under new owners.

- What about period of use? Deeded as a high water right till Jul 1
- Place of use - what about that one QQT? include

Acres found : 615.9 ac / 621 ac claimed

Quantity to recommend?

2.09
38.26
~~41.19~~ far west section
13.58
88.47
267.95
4.34
~~164.07~~ 170.34 ~~83.4 ac~~
579.6
585.03

Shareholders @ Time of Reeling Decree

40 Celra Anderson

Lena Anderson

160 Oscar Anderson

160 Mrs. Eogan Anderson

160 A W Belnap

160 A.C. Dillo

160 S.D. Fullmer

160 Victor C. Hegsted

30 John T. Horn

320 William J. Lavery

80 James P. Martensen

160 Carrie Nolan

160 Oscar Osman

1750

Ruberry, Allen

From: Ruberry, Allen
Sent: Tuesday, March 29, 2005 3:11 PM
To: 'fmid@fretel.com'
Subject: FW: Claims for Ground Water Recharge

-----Original Message-----

From: Carlsen, Ernie
Sent: Monday, March 14, 2005 4:08 PM
To: Adj Staff - Eastern
Cc: Carlson, Ron; Warner, Roger
Subject: Claims for Ground Water Recharge

Hello all,

In Basins 01, 21 and 22 there are 90+ claims listing ground water recharge (GWR) as a beneficial use. For some claims it is listed as an additional use on the decreed rights for others it is listed along with stock water. There is also the agreement with Aberdeen Springfield and IDWR recognizing "recharge for irrigation" (RI) as a beneficial use. Because of the Aberdeen Springfield agreement, the GWR claims and the continued interest in adding ground water recharge to claims, a Department memo is proposed explaining how to address these uses in the SRBA. For the time being do not included ground water recharge as a nature of use on SRBA claims. Idaho Code 42-234 (3) states that "incidental ground water recharge benefits are often obtained from the diversion and use of water for various beneficial purposes. However, such incidental recharge may not be used as the basis for claim of a separate or expanded water right." Therefore ground water recharge can not be recommended as a new use on claims for decreed irrigation rights or as augmentation flow for stock water claims. Claims with stock water and ground water recharge should be reviewed on the basis of the stock water use. Since stock water was not addressed in the Rexburg Decree the priority for a canal's non-irrigation season stock water claim may be the same as the earliest irrigation right. However, the quantity is more problematic. In reality flow rates for non-irrigation season stock water flows were substantially less than flow rates during the irrigation season. Water District 01 has some late season diversion records in the 1987 water distribution book. The winter water saving (WWS) contracts for canals on the Snake River create another level of complexity. I think the jury is still out on whether canals with WWS need to file non-irrigation stock water claims. More on this in future installments.

Ernie

Water Right No(s). A 22-00428

See attached photo

GPS Data - 2680890
1412588

GPS Rte # 22428

GPS DATA:

Tag # A0015928 Location: on headgate frame

Diversion Data:

Source: TETON RIVER Trib to: HENRY'S FORK

MOTOR

Make: _____ Model: _____ Hp: _____
SN: _____

PUMP

Make: _____ Model: _____ Disch: _____
SN: _____

NOTES:

Met on-site with Brent Saury, Water Master. P.A.U. map
modified by Brent Saury. The North Salmon Canal has been
merged with Salmon Union Canal Camp
Brent Saury phone cell- 709-3322, Home- 356-3568.

Field Exam Date: 8-2-2005 Agent: W. Jones



GPS
Tag

AZZ-00428, Photo Facing South East

07N40E33


22428



Water Right Claim Number 22-00428

Townships , 7N39E, 7N40E, 6N40E, 6N39E

06/21/2001



1500 0 1500 3000 Feet



SPATIAL DYNAMICS

STATE OF IDAHO
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

RECEIVED

JAN 28 2000

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

NOTICE OF CHANGE OF WATER RIGHT OWNERSHIP

Please print or type. Attach pages with additional information. Instructions are on the back of this page.
Incomplete forms will be returned.

1. Water Right No(s).: 22-00428
 Adjudication Claim No(s).: A22-00428
2. The following REQUIRED information must be submitted with this form:
 - A. A copy of the most recent DEED, TITLE POLICY, CONTRACT OF SALE or other legal document indicating your ownership of the property and water rights or claims in question, WITH ATTACHED LEGAL DESCRIPTION.
 - AND
 - B. PLAT OF PROPERTY or SURVEY MAP for parcel of ten acres or less. (These are usually attached to your deed or on file with the county.)
 - OR
 - C. For water rights or adjudication claims involving ten or more irrigated acres, a USDA Farm Service Agency AERIAL PHOTO with irrigated acres outlined and point(s) of diversion clearly marked.
3. Name and Address of Former Owner/Claimant North Salem Agricultural & Mailing Canal Co.
4711 N 495 W Rexburg ID.
4. New Owner/Claimant(s) Salem Union Canal Company, Limited
 Name Connector (Check one): ☐ and, ☐ or, ☐ and/or
 New Mailing Address 40 Shirley Rice 241 N 2100 E
 City, State and ZIP Code St Anthony ID 83445
 New Telephone Number (208) 624-7071
5. Date you acquired the property Feb 1999
6. If you have acquired only a portion of the former owner's property, IDWR may need to split the water right(s) or adjudication claim(s). If this applies, describe in detail your portion of each water right or adjudication claim in the spaces below. If necessary, attach additional pages.
 Number of acres you irrigate _____ Number and type of stock _____
 Diversion rate in CFS _____ Number of homes _____ Other uses _____
 If a water right or adjudication claim is being split and you are not sure how to identify your portion of the original right or claim, please contact the nearest IDWR office for assistance.
7. Signature of New Owner or Claimant(s) Max L. Ball Pres. Date 1-28-2000
 _____ Date _____
 (Corporations or other organizations must submit a list of directors and/or officers demonstrating authority to sign form.)
8. For Snake River Basin Adjudication Claims: Please attach a Notice of Appearance completed by your attorney, if you wish IDWR to correspond with him or her for all matters related to your claims..

COPY

AMENDED ARTICLES OF MERGER
089 APR 12 PM 2:31
NORTH SALEM AGRICULTURAL & MILLING
CANAL COMPANY, LIMITED
Into
SALEM UNION CANAL COMPANY, LIMITED

FILED
1999 FEB 22 A 10:55
SECRETARY OF STATE
STATE OF IDAHO

Pursuant to the provisions of Idaho Code § 30-1-1101 et seq., of the Idaho General Business Corporations Act, the undersigned corporations adopt the following Amended Articles of Merger for the purpose of merging them into one of such corporation:

FIRST: The following Amended Plan of Merger was approved by the shareholders of each of the undersigned corporations in the manner prescribed by the Idaho General Business Corporations Act:

**FIRST
RECITALS**

A. The Salem Union Canal Company, Limited (Salem Union), the surviving corporation, is validly organized, existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Idaho. North Salem Agricultural & Milling Canal Company, Limited (North Salem), the merging corporation, is validly organized, existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Idaho. The two (2) corporations filed Articles of Merger with the Secretary of State on April 4, 1996. Thereafter, on or about July 1, 1996, Blair Calaway et al., commenced an action, CV-96-317, in the District Court for Madison County, seeking to set the merger aside. At a Pre-Trial Hearing held on October 15, 1998, the court indicated the Notice of the Shareholder's Meeting to obtain approval of the merger should have included a copy of certain statutory provisions which were not included, thereby, calling into question the validity of the merger. As a result, the directors of both companies unanimously agreed to present for another vote this Amended Plan of Merger. In connection therewith, all directors proceeded on the basis the initial merger was invalid and both the Salem Union and North Salem were in good standing.

B. Salem Union, the Surviving Corporation, has an authorized capital of \$11,000, divided into 440 shares, with a par value of \$25.00 each. There are 440 shares of said stock outstanding as of date hereof. The Salem Union consists generally of diversion works in the Henry's Fork of the Snake River, a system of canals from that point to where it empties into the Teton River; and decreed water rights consisting of 315 cfs prior to July 1, and 240 cfs thereafter. It also has 35,000 shares in the North Fork Reservoir Company which owns the decree to Henry's Lake storage.

C. North Salem has an authorized capital of \$1,000.00 divided into 50 shares, with a par value of \$20.00 each. There are 45 shares of said stock outstanding as of date hereof. It has diversion works in the Teton River, and a canal to carry its water to its shareholders to the point

where it empties into the consolidated canal. The North Salem has an 1888 decree for 26.5 cfs from the Teton River until July 1.

D. The Boards of Directors of both Corporations considered the Amended Plan of Merger and, both approved it. However, the shareholders of the Salem Union, at the meeting held to approve the Amended Plan of Merger on January 9, 1999, unanimously amended the plan to provide for Class A and Class B stock. The Class A stock was to be issued to the Salem Union shareholders which Class A stock would retain the decreed water rights of the Salem Union and the North Fork Reservoir storage rights. The Class B stock was to be issued to the North Salem shareholders and would retain the decreed water rights of the North Salem. The Amendment was on condition the litigation referred to in paragraph A be dismissed with prejudice, and each side pay their own costs and attorneys fees. The meeting was adjourned while the plaintiffs met with their attorneys, and the directors of the Salem Union met with their attorney. Following the adjournment, the meeting resumed and plaintiffs and their attorneys, and the directors of the Salem Union and its attorney agreed to the condition.

On the 4-11 days of February, 1999, all of the shareholders of the North Salem waived the requirement of a meeting and in writing approved the merger with the amendment, and on February 11, 1999, the Amended Plan of Merger with the two classes of stock was approved unanimously by the shareholders of the North Salem. Consequently, the Boards of Directors and Shareholders of both corporations have determined it is in the best interests of their corporations to merge with and into the surviving corporation, Salem Canal Company, Limited, in accordance with the provisions of applicable laws of the State of Idaho.

SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF MERGER

The plan is to have each of the corporations agree, to merge into a single corporation which shall be Salem Union Canal Company, Limited, the Surviving Corporation, (whose name is not changed and whose Articles of Incorporation shall be amended, as hereafter provided, and whose By-Laws will not be amended in any respects except as provided herein) pursuant to the laws of the State of Idaho, and hereby agree to the terms and conditions of the merger, the mode of carrying it into effect and the issuance of Class A and Class B stock as follows:

On the effective date of the merger, the Merging Corporation shall be merged with and into the Salem Union and the separate existence of the Merging Corporation, North Salem, shall cease; the Constituent Corporations shall become a single corporation named "Salem Union Canal Company, Limited," an Idaho corporation, which shall be the Surviving Corporation.

THIRD
ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION OF SURVIVING CORPORATION

The Articles of Incorporation and Amendments thereto of Salem Union, the Surviving Corporation, shall be amended by amending Article Sixth of said Articles of Incorporation to read as follows:

"Sixth. That the total authorized capital of this corporation shall be \$11,375 (Eleven Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-five) Dollars, divided into 440 shares of Class A stock with a par value of \$25 per share, and 18.75 shares of Class B stock with a par value of \$20 per share. All stock shall be fully accessible, with each share of Class A stock and each share of Class B stock to be assessed equally. Each share of stock shall be entitled to one vote."

Said Articles, as further amended, shall continue to be the Articles of Incorporation of the Surviving Corporation until further amended in accordance with the Corporation Laws of the State of Idaho. A copy of said Articles of Incorporation, together with the prior Amendments thereto, are on file with the secretary of the corporation.

FOURTH
BY-LAWS OF THE SURVIVING CORPORATION

The By-Laws of Salem Union in effect immediately prior to the effective date of the merger shall continue to be the By-Laws of the Surviving Corporation, until altered or repealed in the manner provided by law and such By-Laws.

FIFTH
DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS OF THE SURVIVING CORPORATION

The Directors and Officers of Salem Union immediately prior to the effective date of the merger shall continue to be the Directors of the Surviving Corporation, to hold office for the terms specified in the By-Laws of the Surviving Corporation and until their respective successors are duly elected and qualified.

SIXTH
MANNER AND BASIS OF CLASS A AND CLASS B SHARES

A. Class A shares shall be issued to all shareholders of the Salem Union. One (1) share or part thereof shall be issued for one (1) share of existing Salem Union stock or part thereof so that it will be a share for share exchange.

The Class A stock shall retain the decreed water rights of the Salem Union, along with the North Fork Reservoir storage water of the Salem Union, and be entitled to the use of all of said water.

B. Class B shares shall be issued to all shareholders of the North Salem. .04053 shares shall be issued for each share of North Salem stock rounded to the nearest quarter share. That means, the 45 issued and outstanding shares of the North Salem will be exchanged for 18.75 shares of Class B stock.

The Class B stock shall retain the decreed water rights of the North Salem and be entitled to the use of said water.

C. At the Annual Meeting of the Board of Directors, the Board shall make an assessment for the stock. Each share of Class A stock and each share of Class B stock will be assessed equally.

D. As soon as practical after the effective date of the merger, each shareholder of the Salem Union and North Salem of stock heretofore issued shall be entitled, upon surrender of their existing certificates, for cancellation, to receive new certificates for the number of shares of Class A and/or Class B stock of the Surviving Corporation to which each shareholder is entitled as set forth herein.

SEVENTH EFFECT OF MERGER

A. On the effective date of the merger, the Surviving Corporation shall possess all the rights, privileges, powers and franchises of a public as well as a private nature of each of the Constituent Corporations, and shall become subject to all the restrictions, disabilities and duties of each of the Constituent Corporations and all of the singular rights, privileges, powers and franchises of each of the Constituent Corporations. All water rights as set forth in the Class A and Class B stock herein, canals, diversionary works, cash, bank, checking and savings accounts, and all other property, real, personal and mixed, and debts due to each of the Constituent Corporations on whatever account, as well as all other things in action or belonging to each of the Constituent Corporations shall be vested in the Surviving Corporation; and all property, assets, rights, privileges, powers, franchises and immunities, and all and every other interest shall be thereafter as effectually the property of the Surviving Corporation as they were of the respective Constituent Corporations; and the title to any real estate vested by deed or otherwise, in either of the Constituent Corporations, shall not revert or be in any way impaired by reason of the merger; provided, however, that all of the creditors and liens upon any property of either of the Constituent Corporations shall be preserved unimpaired, and all debts, liabilities, obligations and duties of the respective Constituent Corporations shall thenceforth attach to the Surviving Corporation, and may be enforced against it to the same extent as if said debts, liabilities, obligations and duties had been incurred or contracted by it.

B. If at any time after the merger becomes effective it shall appear to the Surviving Corporation that any further assignments or assurances are necessary or desirable to evidence the vesting in the Surviving Corporation of the title to any of the property or rights of the Merging Corporation, those persons who were proper officers and directors of the Merging Corporation as of the effective date of the merger shall execute, acknowledge and deliver such assignments or other instruments and do such acts as may be necessary or appropriate to evidence the vesting of title to such property or rights in the Surviving Corporation. For such purposes the capacity and authority of the Merging Corporation and its officers shall be deemed to be continuing.

C. The Surviving Corporation shall agree that it may be served with process in the State of Idaho and shall irrevocably appoint the Secretary of State of Idaho as its agent to accept service of process, in any proceeding for the enforcement of any obligation of the Merging Corporation arising in the State of Idaho prior to the effective date of the merger, and in any proceedings for the enforcement of the rights of a dissenting shareholder of the Merging Corporation against the Surviving Corporation.

EIGHTH ACCOUNTING AND STATED CAPITAL

When the merger becomes effective, subject to such changes, adjustments or eliminations as may be made in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, (a) the assets and liabilities of the Constituent Corporations shall be recorded in the accounting records of the Surviving Corporation at the amounts at which they shall be carried at that time in the accounting records of the Constituent Corporations, (b) the amount of stated capital with which the Surviving Corporation shall begin business immediately after the effective date of the merger is \$11,375.

NINTH REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

Salem Union and the Merging Corporation shall each represent and warrant to the other that between the date hereof and the time when the merger becomes effective they will not enter into any employment contracts, grant any stock options or issue any stock or securities, or declare or pay any dividends in stock or cash or make any other distribution on or with respect to their outstanding stock.

TENTH EFFECTIVE DATE

The effective date of the merger provided for by this Agreement shall be the date on which the last act prior to recording required to complete the merger under the respective laws of the State of Idaho is performed. Following the Amendment to create Class A and Class B stock, the shareholders of the North Salem waived notice of meeting and unanimously approved in writing the issuance of the Class A and Class B stock as set forth herein.

SECOND: The Amended Plan of Merger was unanimously approved the Boards of Directors of each of the corporations. At the Special Meeting of Shareholders of the Salem Union to approve the plan, it was amended to provide for Class A and Class B shares as set forth herein. Otherwise, said Plan of Merger is consistent with the Amended Plan of Merger as presented to the shareholders.

Following the amendment for Class A and Class B stock, the Directors of the North Salem met on January 15, 1999, and approved the plan with the Class A and Class B stock. It was then submitted to the shareholders of the North Salem who unanimously approved in writing the plan with the two (2) classes of stock.

THIRD: As to each of the undersigned corporations, the number of shares outstanding, and the designation and number of outstanding shares of each class entitled to vote as a class on such plan are as follows:

<u>Name of Corporation</u>	<u>Entitled to Vote as a Class</u>		
	<u>Number of Shares Outstanding</u>	<u>Designation of Class</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>
Salem Union Canal Company, Limited	440	All common stock of the same class	
North Salem Agricultural & Milling Canal Company, Limited	45	All common stock of the same class	

FOURTH: As to each of the undersigned corporations, at their respective shareholders meetings, called for the purpose and in the manner aforesaid, the total number of shares voted for and against such Plan of Merger are as follows:

<u>Name of Corporation</u>	<u>Number of Shares</u>	
	<u>Total Voted For</u>	<u>Total Voted Against</u>
Salem Union Canal Company, Limited	395	0
North Salem Agricultural & Milling Canal Company, Limited	45	0

FIFTH: This Plan of Merger was, therefore approved; the official name of the Surviving Corporation is confirmed as Salem Union Canal Company, Limited, whose capital stock was increased to \$11,375 (Eleven Thousand Three Hundred Seventy-five) Dollars, divided into 440

shares of Class A stock with a par value of \$25 per share, and 18.75 shares of Class B stock with a par value of \$20 per share; and the officers of the said Salem Union Canal Company, Limited, were authorized and directed to file these Amended Articles of Merger with the Secretary of State of the State of Idaho and to do all things necessary for the total merger of said Constituent Corporations.

DATED: 2-16-99

SALEM UNION CANAL COMPANY LIMITED,
an Idaho corporation
(the "Surviving Corporation")

By: Max L. Ball
MAX L. BALL, President

ATTEST:

Shirley H. Rice
SHIRLEY H. RICE, Secretary

NORTH SALEM AGRICULTURAL & MILLING
CANAL COMPANY, LIMITED
an Idaho corporation
(the "Merging Corporation")

By: Jerry Harris
JERRY HARRIS, President

ATTEST:

Quenton J. Bagley
QUENTON J. BAGLEY, Secretary

STATE OF IDAHO)
)ss
County of Morristown)

On this 16th day of Feb, 1999, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared **MAX L. BALL and SHIRLEY H. RICE**, known to me to be the President and Secretary, respectively, of **SALEM UNION CANAL COMPANY, LIMITED**, an Idaho corporation, said corporation being one of the corporations that executed the above and foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that the seal affixed to said instrument is the corporate seal of said corporation and that said instrument was signed and sealed in behalf of said corporation by authority of its Board of Directors, and **MAX L. BALL and SHIRLEY H. RICE** acknowledge said instrument to be the free act and deed of said corporation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this acknowledgement first above written.

(seal)

Shirley F. Bagley
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Rexburg, Id.
Commission Expires: Sept

STATE OF IDAHO)
)ss
County of)

On this 16th day of Feb, 1999, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared **JERRY HARRIS and QUENTON J. BAGLEY**, known to me to be the President and Secretary, respectively, of **NORTH SALEM AGRICULTURAL & MILLING CANAL COMPANY, LIMITED**, an Idaho corporation, said corporation being one of the corporations that executed the above and foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that the seal affixed to said instrument is the corporate seal of said corporation and that said instrument was signed and sealed in behalf of said corporation by authority of its Board of Directors, and **JERRY HARRIS and QUENTON J. BAGLEY** acknowledge said instrument to be the free act and deed of said corporation.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this acknowledgement first above written.

(seal)

Shirley F. Bagley
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Rexburg, Id.
Commission Expires: Sept

1 Nave, Martin L.; June 1, 1886; Spring Creek	50
2 Nave, Martin L.; June 1, 1886; Moody Creek	
To be reduced to 25 inches after June 20th of each year	
3 Nave, Martin L.; June 1, 1886; Teton River	25
4 Breckenridge, David; June 1, 1887; North Leigh Creek; also Swamp Creek for a late right	25
5 Borrowman, John; June 1, 1887; Wood Creek and Springs	260
6 Jeppson, Hans J.; June 1, 1887; Bigler Slough	60
7 Hegsted, Victor; Sept. 1, 1887; Horse Shoe Creek	80
8 Hegsted, Victor; June 1, 1888; Horse Shoe Creek	30
9 North Salem Agricultural & Milling Canal Co.; Teton River	290
As a high water right until July 1st of each year	1,325
10 Walters, William; June 1, 1888; Teton River. (Stewart & Walters Ditch.) Joint appropriation with Mons H. Anderson)	
11 Woods, William S.; June 1, 1888; Twin Creeks	168
12 Woodmansee, Charles H.; Mar. 15, 1889; Moody Creek	200
To be reduced to 80 inches after June 20th of each year	
13 Curtis, Owen; Apr. 1, 1889; Trail Creek	80
14 Little, Zina W.; Apr. 1, 1889; South Leigh Creek	160
15 Hill, William; Apr. 5, 1889; Pack Saddle Creek	120
16 Mortensen, Christian; May 1, 1889; Teton River. (Through the Nelson-Jacobs Ditch)	280
17 Bates, Thomas; May 3, 1889; Mahogany Creek	112
18 Beezley, Ebenezer, and J.; June 1, 1889; Fox Creek	140
19 Drake, Fred J.; June 1, 1889; John Creek	80
20 Blanchard, John; June 1, 1889; Warm Creek	150
21 Bowles, H. C.; June 1, 1889; Spring Creek	40
22 Drake, William; June 1, 1889; Mahogany Creek	140
23 Lund, William P.; June 1, 1889; Mahogany Creek	10
24 Hubbard, Frank, and wife; June 1, 1889; North Leigh Creek	160
25 Swanner, Samuel; June 1, 1889; South Leigh Creek	150
26 Walton, D. O.; June 1, 1889; Darby Creek	40
27 Jones, Benjamin; June 1, 1889; Trail Creek	20
28 McPherson, Abner; June 10, 1889; North Leigh Creek	160
29 Parsons, F. W.; June 15, 1889; Trail Creek	160
30 Tonks, George M.; July 5, 1889; Trail Creek	95
31 Tonks, George M.; July 15, 1889; Fox Creek	55
32 Kirsley, Mary E.; Sept. 1, 1889; Warm Creek, Spring Creek and Deep Creek	80
33 Woodmansee-Johnson Ditch; Oct. 1, 1889; Teton River	620
34 Rexburg Milling Co.; Oct. 1, 1889; Teton River. (Woodmansee-Johnson Ditch.) For power purposes only, and subject to rights of users for agricultural purposes of water through same ditch	
35 Teton Irrigation & Manufacturing Co.; Oct. 2, 1889; Teton River. (To be diverted at the Bird headgate near the Teton Mill)	3,000
36 Kirsley, Mary E.; Apr. 1, 1890; Warm Creek; Spring Creek and Deep Creek	500
37 Sinclair, D. R., Adminr. Estate of Fred Sinclair (deceased); April 1, 1890; Trail Creek	80
38 Wolf Ditch Co.; Apr. 1, 1890; Teton River	115
39 Robertson, A. L.; Apr. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	2,335
	87

82 Rice, Ed.; Apr. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	160
83 Curtis, Nahum; Apr. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	160
84 Blanchard, John; Apr. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	120
85 Eynon, John; Apr. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	80
86 Paul, John; June 1, 1890; Springs on land	15
87 Paul, William H.; June 1, 1890; Little Springs and Deep Creek	60
88 Jenkins, William; June 1, 1890; Fox Creek	80
89 Kimball, R. C., and A. L.; June 1, 1890; Fox Creek	110
90 Lawson, B. G.; June 1, 1890; Spring Creek	240
91 Murphy, G. M.; June 1, 1890; Darby Creek	150
92 Smith, C. M.; Jan. 1, 1890; Darby Creek	160
93 Walton, D. O.; June 1, 1890; Darby Creek	140
94 Shaw, O. W.; June 1, 1890; North Leigh Creek or Spring Creek	135
95 Yearsley, H. C.; June 1, 1890; Spring Creek	240
96 Drake, Richard; June 1, 1890; Patterson Creek	160
97 Chelsey, John P.; June 1, 1890; Paul Spring Creek, Grove Creek, Deep Creep and Little Spring Creek	200
98 Byrnes, Michael; June 1, 1890; Fox Creek	80
99 Buxton, Mary A.; June 1, 1890; Sloughs from Twin Creeks	100
100 Beezley, Ebenezer, and J.; June 1, 1890; Fox Creek	80
101 Andrews, L. W.; June 1, 1890; Grove Creek	120
102 Durney, P. S.; June 1, 1900; Trail Creek	15
103 Foote, Arthur L.; June 1, 1890; Mahogany Creek	145
104 Ferguson, John; June 1, 1890; Grove Creek and Willow Spring Creek	100
105 Eames, William; June 1, 1890; Spring Creek	240
106 Edlefson, E. B.; June 1, 1890; Leigh Creek	155
107 Drake, Lavina; June 1, 1890; Boquat Creek and Drake Creek	160
108 Drake, William; July 1, 1890; Mahogany Creek	150
109 Tonks, William H.; July 15, 1890; Trail Creek	135
110 Curtis, Clarissa A.; Sept. 1, 1890; Trail Creek	160
111 Rice, A. L.; Jan. 1, 1891; Patterson Creek	160
112 O'Brien, James A.; June 1, 1891; North Leigh Creek	100
113 Siddoway-Briggs Co.; June 1, 1891; Teton River. (For power purposes)	2,600
114 Sinclair, D. R.; Adminr. Estate of Fred Sinclair (deceased); June 1, 1891; Eynon Ditch	20
115 Smith, Ellington; June 1, 1891; Spring Creek, Darby Creek and Crandall Springs	400
116 Shaw, L. C.; June 1, 1891; Ragder Creek and water from springs on T. A. Phillips' land	120
117 Swanner, Samuel; June 1, 1891; South Leigh Creek	150
118 Hubbard, Susan; June 1, 1891; North Leigh Creek and Middle Leigh Creek	200
119 Harrop, Samuel; June 1, 1891; Leigh Creek	120
120 Hill, Mary Ann; June 1, 1891; Pack Saddle Creek	160
121 Clark & Houghton; June 1, 1891; Teton River	160
122 Dewey, George A.; June 1, 1891; Fox Creek	160
123 Barney, Walter; June 1, 1891; South Leigh Creek	160
124 Bowles, Sarah; June 1, 1891; Spring Creek	100
125 Blamires, Robert, and C. J. Burton, W. S. Burton and Thomas H. Phillips; June 1, 1891; Badger Creek and springs on land of T. A. Phillips	640
126 Edlefson, Noah C.; June 1, 1891; Leigh Creek	40
127 Siddoway Irrigation & Manufacturing Co.; July 1, 1891; Teton River	300

Date Received _____

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources

REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE ADJUDICATION CLAIM(S)

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request that the claimant be granted an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

North Salem Agriculture, Milling & Manufacturing
Name of Claimant Canal

4711 N 495 W.
Post Office Address

Rexburg Idaho 83440
City, State, Zip Code

Madison
County(s) where Place of Use is located

Aug 25, 1988

Date Claim Originally Due

13055245 - North Salem - 1888-06-01 26500 CFS - 5 + Anthony L. Totore MTH
Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested

State reason(s) why an extension of time is requested:

We intermingle with the Salem Branch Canal which originates
in Fremont Co. so we felt it advisable to file our claim
with them, and they are not prepared to file at this time

Claimant requests an extension to March, 1989.

David E. Mortensen
Signature
President
Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby

☒ granted ☐ denied,
and the filing deadline extended to March 24, 1989.

Signed this 6 day of September, 1988.

David B. Shaw
Director or his designated agent
DAVID B. SHAW

MICROFILMED

NOV 04 1992

0/19/1988

SRBA CLAIMS RECEIVING CHECKLIST

CLAIMANT'S NAME North Salem Milling Canal, Inc. CLAIM ID T. NO. A22-00428
DATE RECEIVED 3-22-89 CR # 1741 AMOUNT \$ 50

- Is the name and address complete with zip code? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is a priority date entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the water use exempt from the Permit requirements, or if it is not exempt, has a Permit been approved or a License issued?
A Permit is required: (1) for groundwater developed after March 25, 1963 (except single family domestic and stockwater wells using less than 13,000 gpd), or (2) for surface water developed after May 20, 1971 (except for instream stockwater). USE CARE IF CLAIM IS RETURNED. REFER TO ADJUDICATION MEMOS # 1 (ADJ. CLAIMS/APPLICATIONS) AND # 9 (REJECTION OF CLAIMS). EXPLAIN IN COMMENTS. ☒ YES ☐ NO
- (a) Is a source entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- (b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required) ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is there a description of the diverting works? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to domestic and stockwater uses with direct diversion. All claims that include storage or instream stockwater must use long form. ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the period of use included? Deer limits to July! ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is a quantity claimed for each use? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is a total quantity claimed entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- If required is a consumptive use entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the consumptive use correct? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Are the non-irrigation uses listed properly? If this claim is on the short form, and more than one domestic use is listed, single ownership must be stated. If not under single ownership, each household must file a separate claim. See Adjudication Memo # 4. ☒ YES ☐ NO
0. Is (are) the place(s) of use entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
1. Is (are) the county(ies) entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
2. Is the ownership of p.u. checked? ☒ YES ☐ NO
3. Are other water rights listed or "none" indicated? ☒ YES ☐ NO
4. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.) ☒ YES ☐ NO
5. Is the basis of the claim checked? ☒ YES ☐ NO
6. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate? (If an individual is the claimant, has that individual signed the claim, or if an organization is the claimant, is the organization signature block complete?) ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the claim signed, sealed, and dated by a notary? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is this claim filed on time, or was an extension granted? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is the fee enclosed correct? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Is this claim based on an existing water right, has the identification number been entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO
- Would this claim be receipted and data entered? ☒ YES ☐ NO

Claim checked by: EBV

Comments: *Code as (Teton River) - ** Groundwater Recharge - POU in remarks
as "Same as irrigator" // Fee for paid by Salem Union Canal Co.
Extension to 3/24 attached.

Claim No. 22-428

Document Checklist

☒ Copy License/Decree

Hexborg & Teton

☐ Copy Transfer

☐ Copy Licensing Field Exam

☐ Check if Ownership/Address Change is Required

☐ Generate, mail and file "Evidence of Priority" letter

☒ Generate Arcview Map

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A22-00428
Date Received: 6/17/1988
Receipt No: E006316
Received By: Coleman SB

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: NORTH SALEM AGR. & MILL. CANAL, INC. 208-356-3550
Address: 4711 N. 495 W.
REXBURG, ID 83440

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1888

3. Source: TETON RIVER Trib. to: HENRYS FORK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
07N	40E	33	SE		NW		MADISON

5. Description of diverting works:
43' CONCRETE DAM ACROSS NORTH FORK OF TETON W/ 36' SCREW GATE.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	07/01	26.500		
GROUNDWATER RECHARGE	04/01	03/31	26.500		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
26.500 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 1983.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
GROUNDWATER RECHARGE TO SUPPORT SUB. IRRIGATION

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
06N	40E	6	NE	NE		IRR	30.0
			NW	NE		IRR	40.0

A22-00428

Page 1

Date: 03/22/89

MICROFILMED
NOV 04 1992

A2200428

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
06N	40E	6	NE	NW		IRR	35.0
			NW	NW		IRR	10.0
					Section Acres		115.0
07N	40E	31	SW	NE		IRR	15.0
			SE	NE		IRR	15.0
			SE	NW		IRR	15.0
			NE	SW		IRR	40.0
			NW	SW		IRR	5.0
			SW	SW		IRR	25.0
			SE	SW		IRR	40.0
			NE	SE		IRR	40.0
			NW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SE	SE		IRR	40.0
					Section Acres		315.0
		32	SE	NW		IRR	10.0
			NE	SW		IRR	16.0
			NW	SW		IRR	40.0
			SE	SW		IRR	40.0
			NE	SE		IRR	40.0
			NW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		IRR	5.0
					Section Acres		191.0
					Total Acres		621.0

11. Place of use in counties: MADISON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
SHARES IN SALEM UNION CANAL COMPANY

14. Remarks:
P/D NORTH SALEM CANAL - WD01 RECORDS.
P/U LANDS OF MEMBERS OF ASSOCIATION. GRD. REC. POU SAME AS IRR.
***** MEMO TO FILE ESV 3/22/89 *****
ACREAGE FEE PAID BY SALEM UNION CANAL COMPANY. NORTH SALEM WAS
GRANTED EXTENSION TO 3/24/89 BY IDWR.
DECREE STATES THIS RIGHT IS DELIVERABLE TO JULY 1 OF EACH YEAR.

14. Remarks: Continued
CLAIMANTS FILED FOR YEAR-ROUND USE.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date: 12/16/1910

REXBURG IRR. CO. ET AL
Decree Plaintiff

vs

TETON IRR. CANAL CO. ET AL
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____ of
_____, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization document in the space below as _____ of
_____ Title
_____ and that the statements contained in the
Organization foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent

Title and Organization

Date

State of Idaho)
County of _____) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this _____ day
of _____ 19 _____

Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number

A22-0428

Date Received

3-22-89

Receipt Number

E006316

NOTICE OF CLAIM
TO A
WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

Please type or print clearly

1. Name of Claimant (s) North Salem Agricultural Milling Co. Phone (208) 356-3550Mailing Address 4711 N. 495 W. Rexburg, ID Zip 834402. Date of Priority (Only one (1) per claim) 6-1-883. Source of water supply (a) North Fork Tetonwhich is tributary to (b) HENRY'S FORK4. a. Location of existing point of diversion is: Township 7N Range 40E Section 33,
1/4 of SE 1/4 of NW 1/4, Govt. Lot, E B.M., County of Madison

Additional points of diversion if any: _____

b. If instream flow, beginning point of claimed instream flow is:

Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4,

Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____

ending point is: Township 7N Range 40E Section 31, 1/4 of SE 1/4 of
NW 1/4, Govt. Lot E B.M., County of Madison5. Description of existing diversion works (Dams, Reservoirs, Ditches, Wells, Pumps, Pipelines, Headgates, Etc),
including the dates of any changes or enlargements in use, the dimensions of the diversion works as
constructed and as enlarged and the depth of each well. At the diversion point existsa 43 ft. concrete dam across North Fork Teton River where a
36" corrugated pipe with a screw gate on front.

MICROFILMED

NOV 04 1992

6. Water is claimed for the following purposes:

(both dates are inclusive)

(cfs)

(acre feet)

For Irrigation purposes from 4-1 to 11-1 amount 26.5 or 1325 *vm*
 For Recharge purposes from 4-1 to 3-31 amount 26.5 or 1325 *vm*
 For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____
 For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

7. Total quantity claimed (a) 26.5 (cfs) and/or (b) 1325 *vm* (acre feet)

8. Total consumptive use claimed is 1983 acre feet per annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses; describe fully (eg. Domestic: Give number of households served; Stockwater: Type and number of livestock Etc.) GROUNDWATER RECHARGE TO SUPPORT SUB. IRR.

10. Description of place of use:

a. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below.

b. If water is used for other purposes, place a symbol of use (example: D for Domestic) in the corresponding place of use below. See instructions for standard symbols.

TWP	RNG	SEC	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	
7	40	31			15	15				15	40	5	25	40	40	40	40	40	315
7	40	32								10	16	40	40	40	40	40	58	14	2313
6	40	6	30	40			35	10											115

Total number of acres irrigated 666 *663*

11. In which county (ies) are lands listed above as place of use located? Madison

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes _____ No XX
 If your answer is No, describe in Remarks below the authority you have to claim this water right.

13. Describe any other water rights used at the same place and for the same purposes as described above.

Salem Union SHARES IN SALEM UNION CANAL CO. or None ()

14. Remarks:

WATER DELIVERED TO SHAREHOLDERS IN COMPANY.

MICROFILMED
NOV 04 1992

Last Name North Salem Ident No. A22-00428

Copies: White -State, Yellow-Claimant

15. Basis of Claim (check one) Beneficial Use _____ Posted Notice _____ License _____ Permit _____

Decree ☒

If applicable provide IDWR Water Right Number _____

Court 6th District

Case Number _____

Decree Date Dec. 16, 1910

Plaintiff vs Defendant Rexburg Irrigation Co. -vs- Teton Irrigation Canal Co.

16. Signature (s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read, and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments _____

For Individuals: I do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant (s) _____

Date _____

Date _____

For Organizations: I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am President

Title

of North Salem Agricultural Milling & Manufacturing that I have signed the foregoing

Canal Organization

document in the space below as President of North Salem Canal

Title

Organization

and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent ☒

Title and Organization ☒

Date 3-22-89

State of Idaho/or _____)
County of Sounerille) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 22 day of March 1989

SEAL

Notary Public [Signature]

Residing at Idaho Falls, ID

My Commission Expires 22 Aug 1994

Please Print Name

17. Notice of Appearance:

Notice is hereby given that I, _____ will be acting as attorney at law on behalf of the claimant signing above, and that all notices required by law to be mailed by the director to the claimant signing above should be mailed to me at the address listed below.

Signature _____


Address _____

Date _____

Last Name North Salem Ident. Number _____

MICROFILMED
NOV 04 1992

Copies White-State, Yellow-Claimant



NOT RECORDED
NOV 04 1992

Consolidated

FORK

Salem

Salem

SUGAR CITY

MADISON CO.

28

29

30

36

Range 40 E

Fork

REED
OLDMAN

DAVID J.
HENSEL

PETER J. JENSEN

HANS W. JENSEN
N. GILBERT
JENSEN

KENNETH
PHILLIPS

DEAN W.
ZOLLENGER

REX J. &
WINS DEN

JAMES L.
MORTENSEN

HANES G.
MORTENSEN

VEDA
MORTENSEN
NATHLEEN
LAURET

LESLIE F.
SHIRLEY

GERALD L.
LARSEN

JOSEPH F.
BELNAP

TAS
MORTENSEN

ROBERT & LUCILLE
HIRSCH

MARION D.
HARRIS

THOMAS
CALLOW

I. N.
HAYNES

STRA OF
JOHN

THOMAS M.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

ALICE
GARNER

GERTIE C. DORR

MABEL C. THORNTON

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES

LEWIS W.
HAYNES