

Roda, Evan

From: Chris Bromley <cbromley@mchughbromley.com>
Sent: Friday, April 07, 2017 8:27 AM
To: Roda, Evan
Cc: Goodwin, Chad; Fritschle, Carter; Courtney, Andrea; Cooley-Denney, Suzy
Subject: RE: 95-8572 Amended Claim

Evan,

The two Riverbend wells were being used prior to 12/31/2005 in conjunction with the main well system on the east side of the city. The two Riverbend wells were not utilized on their own.

Where I think this leaves the amendment is to list the original PODs, then to list the interconnected wells from the main well system on the east side.

Does that sound right to you?

Thanks,

Chris

From: Roda, Evan [mailto:Evan.Roda@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, April 4, 2017 3:15 PM
To: Chris Bromley <cbromley@mchughbromley.com>
Cc: Goodwin, Chad <Chad.Goodwin@idwr.idaho.gov>; Fritschle, Carter <Carter.Fritschle@idwr.idaho.gov>; Courtney, Andrea <Andrea.Courtney@idwr.idaho.gov>; Cooley-Denney, Suzy <Suzy.Cooley-Denney@idwr.idaho.gov>
Subject: RE: 95-8572 Amended Claim

Chris,

Thank you for getting back to me in regards to CSRBA Claim No. 95-8572. I read through your questions and hopefully these answers provide some clarity from our side.

- Line 4
 - o The point of diversion (POD) described in Line 4 of the prepared Amended Notice of Claim is located in the NWSW, Sec. 8 reflecting the use of the Majestic View well system. The claim first filed by the city for this license listed the PODs in the SWSE, Sec. 6, as was originally licensed. Although these two described wells differ from all the other known wells utilized by the City of Post Falls, the claim also stated in Line 5 that the "wells serve the City of Post Falls". This lead to some confusion and required further clarification from the city, which was explained in the email I sent March 16, 2017. In that email, I explained the issue and asked if the wells serving this license were independent of the primary city wells, or if an amended claim was needed to correct the claimed PODs. In your emailed response letter, sent March 20, you stated "The connection was made in 2002, and the City became owner of Riverbend in 2004. If you would please prepare the amended claim, we'd appreciate it." I was under the impression that only the Majestic View wells were serving the west side of the city and the Riverbend wells were no longer being used. This is why the amended claim was prepared for you listing the use of the Majestic View wells, which were not connected to the main system until 2008. If the originally claimed PODs within Sec. 6 are correct, then no amended claim is needed and the license will be recommended as claimed. If the two Riverbend wells were