

02/08/2007

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 67-573

NAME AND ADDRESS: M STEWART BRENT
660 ADOBE
WEISER ID 83672

J ELAINE BRENT
660 ADOBE
WEISER ID 83672

SOURCE: MANN CREEK

TRIBUTARY: WEISER RIVER

QUANTITY: 0.260 CFS

This right shall receive 100% of its decreed amount until the natural flow of the waters of Mann Creek shall decrease so that all rights cannot receive 100%, at which time this right and other various decreed rights, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), shall be cut to 70% of the said amounts, beginning with the latest right and proceeding to the earliest right in the order of priority.

Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.66 cfs.

PRIORITY DATE: 04/01/1886

This right is a Secondary Right, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), and, as such, is subordinate only to all rights identified as Primary Rights on Mann Creek.

POINT OF

DIVERSION:

T11N R04W S6 NWSWSE Within WASHINGTON County

PURPOSE AND

PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE

PERIOD OF USE

QUANTITY

IRRIGATION

03/01 11/15

0.260 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION in WASHINGTON County

T11N R04W S07

SWSE

12.00

T11N R04W S07

SESE

1.50

13.5 ACRES TOTAL

Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 33 acres in a single irrigation season.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A67-00573
Date Received: 10/20/1989
Receipt No: C012480
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: MERLIN E. BRENT 208-549-2259
Address: 1011 MANN CK. RD.
WEISER, ID 83672

Name: RETAH G. BRENT 208-549-2259
Address: 1011 MANN CK. RD.
WEISER, ID 83672

2. Date of Priority: APR 01, 1886

3. Source: MANNS CREEK Trib. to: WEISER RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
11N	04W	6	NW	SW	SE		WASHINGTON

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE AND GRAVITY DITCH

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/15	0.300		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.300 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 52.5 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCKWATER INCIDENTAL TO IRRIGATION

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A67-00573
Date Received: 10/17/1989
Receipt No: C012480
Received By: D.K.

RECEIVED

OCT 20 1989

posted 10/19/89

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

Department of Water Resources

1. Name: MERLIN E. BRENT 208-549-2259
Address: 1011 MANN CK. RD.
WEISER, ID 83672

Name: RETAH G. BRENT 208-549-2259
Address: 1011 MANN CK. RD.
WEISER, ID 83672
2. Date of Priority: APR 01, 1886
3. Source: MANNS CREEK Trib. to: WEISER RIVER
4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
11N	04W	6	NW	SW	SE		WASHINGTON
5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE AND GRAVITY DITCH
6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/15	0.300		
7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.300 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.
8. Total consumptive use is 52.5 Acre Feet Per Annum.
9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCKWATER INCIDENTAL TO IRRIGATION

A67-00573

Page 1

Date: 10/17/89

MICROFILMED

OCT 01 1992

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
11N	04W	7	SW SE		IRR	12.0
			SE SE		IRR	3.0

Section Acres 15.0

Total Acres 15.0

11. Place of use in counties: WASHINGTON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

A67-00536 CLAIMED

14. Remarks:

THIS IS A SECONDARY RIGHT.

WATER CARRIED IN THE LUND DITCH.

FLOODWATER .3 CFS. AC. IRR.=15.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

E. V. JEFFERSON ET. AL.

Decree Plaintiff

VS

THOMAS HIGGENBOTHAM ET. AL.

Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED

OCT 01 1992

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): [Signature] Date: Oct 17 1989
Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of AOA) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 17th day
of OCTOBER 19 89
[Signature]
Notary Public



Residing at Boise, ID
My Commission Expires 11/08/1994

TIIN

RØ4W

Sec. 6 & 7

P.O.

P.V.

1RR

12Ac

MICROFILM

OCT 01 1992

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-573 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	M STEWART BRENT 660 ADOBE WEISER, ID 83672 (208) 549-3343
Directors Report Owner	MERLIN E BRENT 1011 MANN CREEK RD WEISER, ID 83672 (208)549-2259
Directors Report Owner	RETAH G BRENT 1011 MANN CREEK RD WEISER, ID 83672 (208)549-2259
Original Owner	W ROY BRENT
Current Owner	WEISER, ID J ELAINE BRENT 660 ADOBE WEISER, ID 83672 (208)549-3343

Priority Date: 04/01/1886

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
MANN CREEK	WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/01 to 11/15	0.260 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.260 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

MANN CREEK	NW1/4SW1/4SE1/4	Sec. 6,	Twp 11N,	Rge 04W, B.M.
WASHINGTON County				

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
11N	04W	7															12.0	1.5	13.5

Total Acres: 13.5

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.
2. 167 This right shall receive 100% of its decreed amount until the natural flow of the waters of Mann Creek shall decrease so that all rights cannot receive 100%, at which time this right and other various decreed rights, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), shall be cut to 70% of the said amounts, beginning with the latest right and proceeding to the earliest right in the order of priority.
3. E51 Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.66 cfs.
4. E55 Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 33 acres in a single irrigation season.
5. 168 This right is a Secondary Right, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), and, as such, is subordinate only to all rights identified as Primary Rights on Mann Creek.

Remarks:

Comments:

1. mcase 6/2/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape
2. mcase 6/2/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape
3. mcase 6/2/2004 POU
Comment: POU generated from PLS description. No good POU map.
4. mcase 6/2/2004 Quantity
Comment: Decreed and claimed at 0.3 cfs, Water Right file says 0.21 cfs. I recommended decreed flow, could not determine annual diversion.
5. dnarsavage 12/1/2005 Disallowal
Comment: This claim overlaps perfectly with another claim on a different decreed right (67-536). As far as I can tell, they were decreed to overlap in the Mann Creek Decree. I spoke with Mr. Brent briefly and he seemed to concur with this conclusion. I have recommended 67-536 at the full 1"/acre and will disallow this one.

Water Supply Bank:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 67-573 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	MERLIN E BRENT 1011 MANN CREEK RD WEISER, ID 83672 (208)549-2259
Current Owner	RETAH G BRENT 1011 MANN CREEK RD WEISER, ID 83672 (208)549-2259
Original Owner	W ROY BRENT WEISER, ID

Priority Date: 04/01/1886

Basis: Decreed

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
MANN CREEK	WEISER RIVER

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
IRRIGATION	03/01 to 11/15	0.260 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.260 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

MANN CREEK NW1/4SW1/4SE1/4 Sec. 6, Twp 11N, Rge 04W, B.M.
WASHINGTON County

Place of Use

IRRIGATION

Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
11N	04W	7																	12.0 1.5 13.5

Total Acres: 13.5

Conditions of Approval:

1. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

2. 167 This right shall receive 100% of its decreed amount until the natural flow of the waters of Mann Creek shall decrease so that all rights cannot receive 100%, at which time this right and other various decreed rights, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), shall be cut to 70% of the said amounts, beginning with the latest right and proceeding to the earliest right in the order of priority.
3. E51 Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to a total combined diversion rate of 0.66 cfs.
4. E55 Right Nos. 67-536 and 67-573 are limited to the irrigation of a combined total of 33 acres in a single irrigation season.
5. 168 This right is a Secondary Right, as established by the district court in Jefferson et al. v. Higgenbotham et al. (Washington County, June 3, 1921), and, as such, is subordinate only to all rights identified as Primary Rights on Mann Creek.

Remarks:Comments:

1. mcase 6/2/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape

2. mcase 6/2/2004 POD
Comment: Updated shape

3. mcase 6/2/2004 POU
Comment: POU generated from PLS description. No good POU map.

4. mcase 6/2/2004 Quantity
Comment: Decreed and claimed at 0.3 cfs, Water Right file says 0.21 cfs. I recommended decreed flow, could not determine annual diversion.

5. dnarsavage 12/1/2005 Disallowal
Comment: This claim overlaps perfectly with another claim on a different decreed right (67-536). As far as I can tell, they were decreed to overlap in the Mann Creek Decree. I spoke with Mr. Brent briefly and he seemed to concur with this conclusion. I have recommended 67-536 at the full 1"/acre and will disallow this one.

REC'D PPU & LIMITED TO 1"/acre INSTEAD

Dates and Other Information:

Decreed Date:
Enlargement Use Priority Date:
Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
Defendant:
Plaintiff:
Civil Case Number:
Judicial District:
Notice of Error Flag:
State or Federal: S
Owner Name Connector:
Water District Number: 67
Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
Swan Falls Dismissed:
DLE Act Number:
Carey Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

<u>Rate</u>	<u>Volume</u>	<u>Acres</u>
0.66		33

Combined Water Rights: 67-573 , 67-536

Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	6/2/2004 12:31:49 PM	mcase			Active
POD	6/2/2004 12:38:10 PM	mcase			Active
Source	6/2/2004 12:38:18 PM	mcase			Active
POU	6/2/2004 12:51:14 PM	mcase			Active
Use	6/2/2004 12:52:13 PM	mcase			Active
Season	6/2/2004 12:52:55 PM	mcase			Active
Quantity	6/2/2004 12:53:11 PM	mcase			Active
Summary			12/01/2005	dnarsavage	Active
Summary			12/19/2005	dnarsavage	Active
Priority Date	2/16/2006 1:00:32 PM	dnarsavage			Active
POD	2/16/2006 1:00:49 PM	dnarsavage			Active
Source	2/16/2006 1:07:31 PM	dnarsavage			Active
POU	2/16/2006 1:08:01 PM	dnarsavage			Active
Priority Date	5/8/2006 10:49:12 AM	dnarsavage			Active
POD	5/8/2006 10:49:25 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Source	5/8/2006 10:49:49 AM	dnarsavage			Active
POU	5/8/2006 10:50:16 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Use	5/8/2006 10:50:55 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Season	5/8/2006 10:52:34 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Quantity	5/8/2006 10:53:34 AM	dnarsavage			Active
Summary			05/08/2006	dnarsavage	Active
Summary			05/08/2006	dnarsavage	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
----------------	------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

Summary	12/1/2005 9:41:13 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 4. Is any portion of the claim be recommended? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
POU	12/1/2005 9:29:31 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	12/1/2005 9:33:39 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
POU	12/1/2005 9:33:52 AM	dnarsavage	Updated PLS for Active POU
POU	5/8/2006 10:50:16 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
Source	5/8/2006 10:49:49 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
Use	5/8/2006 10:50:56 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (No) 13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?
General	5/8/2006 10:49:12 AM	dnarsavage	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (Yes) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required ?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

Summary	5/8/2006 10:54:46 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights?
POD	5/8/2006 10:49:25 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	5/8/2006 10:53:34 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (Yes) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	5/8/2006 10:55:16 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (Yes) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (No) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

Season	5/8/2006 10:52:35 AM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
POU	2/16/2006 1:08:01 PM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file? (No) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
Summary	12/19/2005 2:24:53 PM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (Yes) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 4. Is any portion of the claim be recommended? (Yes) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
POU	10/6/2005 9:15:14 AM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
POD	6/2/2004 12:38:11 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD? (Yes) 9. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the POD?
Source	6/2/2004 12:38:18 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file?
POU	6/2/2004 12:46:10 PM	mcase	Created polygon from PLS

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

General	2/16/2006 1:00:32 PM	dnarsavage	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (Yes) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POD	2/16/2006 1:07:01 PM	dnarsavage	Updated shape
POU	2/16/2006 1:08:51 PM	dnarsavage	Updated shape for Active POU
POD	2/16/2006 1:00:49 PM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?
Source	2/16/2006 1:07:31 PM	dnarsavage	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
Priority Date	6/2/2004 12:31:49 PM	mcase	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?
POU	6/2/2004 12:51:14 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 5. Does the Decree or License have a POU? (Yes) 6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?
Use	6/2/2004 12:52:13 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 67-573 V1

Season	6/2/2004 12:52:55 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (No) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (Yes) 15. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use?
Quantity	6/2/2004 12:53:11 PM	mcase	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

Water Supply Bank:




Idaho Department of Water Resources

SRBA Recommendation:


67-573

Legend

-  Point of Diversion
-  Place of Use
-  Townships
-  PLS Sections
-  Quarter Quarters



Washington County
IDWR Basin 67



Prepared by D. Narsavage
On Monday, May 8, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography

67-573



18