

Date: May 7, 2009

To: Ken Knoblock
From: Craig L. Saxton 

RE: Evidence of priority for 95-4444, 95-4445, 95-4446, and 95-4500

Hey Ken,

The above mentioned water rights came in on line, however they are beneficial use and will most likely need some sort of a field exam. I requested priority evidence and am sending you the packet of information the claimants attorney sent me.

I think there is enough evidence to support use prior to the mandatory permit statute, however it doesn't look like this is enough to go back to some of the earlier priority dates claimed.

I hope this is ok.

Later,
c