

RECEIVED

MAR 08 2013

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

Norman M. Semanko, ISB No. 4761
MOFFATT, THOMAS, BARRETT, ROCK &
FIELDS, CHARTERED
101 S. Capitol Blvd., 10th Floor
Post Office Box 829
Boise, Idaho 83701
Telephone (208) 345-2000
Facsimile (208) 385-5384
nms@moffatt.com
26002.0001

Attorneys for Claimants Jerry A. Wemhoff
and Wanda J. Wemhoff

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In re CSRBA

Case No. 49576

Subcase No. 92-10521

**CLAIMANTS JERRY A. WEMHOFF
AND WANDA J. WEMHOFF'S
RESPONSES TO OBJECTOR'S FIRST
REQUESTS FOR DISCOVERY**

Jerry A. Wemhoff and Wanda J. Wemhoff,

Claimants,

vs.

Coeur d'Alene Tribe, a federally-recognized
Indian tribe,

Objector.

COPY

**CLAIMANTS JERRY A. WEMHOFF AND WANDA J. WEMHOFF'S RESPONSES
TO OBJECTOR'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR DISCOVERY - 1**

Client:4088763.1

COME NOW Claimants Jerry A. Wemhoff and Wanda J. Wemhoff, by and through undersigned counsel of record, and respond to Objector's First Requests for Discovery as follows:

REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1: Please admit that the United States of America is the legal owner, and the Coeur d'Alene Tribe is the beneficial owner of the submerged bed and banks of Coeur d'Alene Lake and the St. Joe River within the exterior boundaries of the Coeur d'Alene Indian Reservation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 1 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 1 because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding the ownership of "the submerged bed and banks of Coeur d'Alene Lake and the St. Joe River within the exterior boundaries of the Coeur d'Alene Indian Reservation." Without waiving these objections, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 1.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2: Please admit that the United States of America, as the trustee, and the Coeur d'Alene Tribe, as the beneficially interested party of the trusteeship, is entitled to the exclusive use, occupancy, and right to the quiet enjoyment of the bed and banks of the Coeur d'Alene Lake and the St. Joe River within the current boundaries of the Coeur d'Alene Indian Reservation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 2 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome

and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 2 because it seeks a legal conclusion regarding the ownership of “the submerged bed and banks of Coeur d’Alene Lake and the St. Joe River within the exterior boundaries of the Coeur d’Alene Indian Reservation.” Without waiving these objections, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 2.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3: Please admit that the State if [sic] Idaho is permanently enjoined from asserting any right, title or otherwise interest in or to the bed and banks of the Coeur d’Alene Lake and the St. Joe River within the current boundaries of the Coeur d’Alene Indian Reservation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 3 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving the objection, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 3 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4: Please admit that the Coeur d’Alene Tribe has the inherent right to exclude non-members of the Coeur d’Alene Tribe from tribally owned lands within the Coeur d’Alene Reservation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 4 on the grounds that it is vague and overly broad. Without waiving the objection, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 4 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5: Please admit that the point of diversion for this claim is located within the exterior boundary of the Coeur d'Alene Indian Reservation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 5 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving the objection, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 5.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6: Please admit that the presumed OHWM for Coeur d'Alene Lake and the St. Joe River is 2128 feet above sea level.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 6 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion regarding the "OHWM for Coeur d'Alene and the St. Joe River." Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Without waiving these objections, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 6.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7: Please admit that the point of diversion and/or some or all of the diversion works at issue in the above-encaptioned matter is below the presumed OHWM of the St. Joe River.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 7 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion regarding the "OHWM of the St. Joe River." Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Without waiving the objection, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 7 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8: Please admit that the waters overlying the bed and banks at the location of Claimant(s)['] water diversion point are navigable.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 8 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. With waiving the objection, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 8 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9: Please admit that under Idaho law the point of diversion for a water right is the point at which water is diverted from a natural water channel and put to beneficial use.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 9 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion regarding “the point of diversion for a water right” and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving the objection, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 9.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10: Please admit that Claimant(s) did not have permission to encroach upon the submerged lands of the St. Joe River from either the United States or the Coeur d’Alene Tribe at the time the diversion works were constructed for this claim.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 10 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 10 because it seeks a legal conclusion.

Without waiving these objections, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 10 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11: Please admit that since the time Claimant(s) began diverting water from the St. Joe River he/she has never received permission to encroach upon the submerged lands of the St. Joe River from either the United States or the Coeur d'Alene Tribe for Claimant(s)[''] diversionary works.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 11 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 11 because it seeks a legal conclusion. Without waiving these objections, Claimants lack sufficient information to either admit or deny Request for Admission No. 11 at this time, and therefore, denies the same.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12: Please admit that under Idaho law a water right may not be initiated or maintained in trespass.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 12 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving the objection, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 12.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13: Please admit that the Claimant(s) does not have the right to enter the lands of the Coeur d'Alene Tribe for the purposes of maintaining his/her diversion works.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 13 on the grounds that it is vague. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 13 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving these objections, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 13.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14: Please admit that a decreed water right and/or an IDWR water permit or license does not authorize the holder of said right, permit, or license to trespass on the land of another for any purpose.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 14 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion and is not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Without waiving the objection, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 14.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15: Please admit that under Idaho law riparian rights are limited to the right to maintain adjacency to navigable waters and to build or use aids to navigation but does not provide for any right to make any consumptive use of water or right of access for the appropriation of water.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 15: Objection is made to Request for Admission No. 15 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Terms defined in this Request refer to court decisions, which speak for themselves. Responding Claimants also object to this Request for Admission No. 15 because it seeks a legal conclusion. Without waiving these objections, Claimants deny Request for Admission No. 15.

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1: If you deny any of the Requests for Admissions 1-15 above, please state the legal and/or factual basis of each denial, if any.

ANSWER NO. 1: See Responses to Request for Admissions No. 1-15.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2: Please identify the legal basis which you intend to rely upon for support of your statements in your response to the Tribe's objection:

“The U.S. did not reserve any other littoral or riparian rights in regard to the subject lands which are ordinarily attendant to waterfront property, including the right to access water, to wharfage, or to use the adjacent water. These rights are therefore appurtenant to and including [sic] with those waterfront lands sold by the U.S. and are subject only to State law regulation as provided by the Acts of Congress enabling the alienation of those lands.”

ANSWER NO. 2: Objection is made to Interrogatory No. 2 on the grounds that it seeks a legal conclusion. Notwithstanding the objection, the statement in Claimants' response to the Tribe's objection speaks for itself.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: Does the Claimant(s) hold an encroachment permit from the Coeur d'Alene Tribe for any purpose? If so, what is the encroachment type and Tribal permit number?

ANSWER NO. 3: Objection is made to Interrogatory No. 3 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the objection, the encroachment permits of Claimants, if any, are available to and held by the Tribe.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4: Before installing the diversionary works in the St. Joe River, did Claimant(s), or Claimant(s)[] predecessors in title request the permission of either the United States or Tribe to encroach upon the submerged lands of the St. Joe River?

ANSWER NO. 4: Objection is made to Interrogatory No. 4 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the objection, Claimants did not request the permission of either the United States or Tribe to encroach upon the submerged lands of the St. Joe River.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5: Please state the name, address, telephone number, and type of testimony, lay or expert, for any witnesses you may call at trial of this matter.

ANSWER NO. 5: Claimants are unsure, at this time, whom they may call as witnesses at the time of hearing. Claimants reserve the right to supplement this answer in a timely manner prior to hearing and pursuant to any trial schedule produced by the court. Claimants may call any and all of the individuals identified during discovery, to include, but not be limited to the following individuals:

a) Claimants, Jerry A. Wemhoff and Wanda J. Wemhoff, c/o Norman Semanko, Attorney at Law, 101 S. Capitol Blvd., 10th Floor, P.O. Box 829, Boise, Idaho 83701-0829.

INTERROGATORY NO. 6: For each person listed as an expert witness above, please:

- (a) State the subject matter on which the expert is expected to testify;
- (b) Provide a complete statement of all opinions to be expressed and the basis and reasons therefore;
- (c) Provide the facts, data or other information considered by the witness in forming his or her opinions;

(d) Note any exhibits to be used by the expert witness as a summary of or support for the opinions; and,

(e) Provide the qualifications of the witness, including a list of all publications (co)authored by the witness within the preceding ten (10) years, the compensation to be paid for the testimony, and a list of other cases in which the witness has testified as an expert at trial or by deposition within the four preceding years.

ANSWER NO. 6: Claimants are unsure, at this time, whom they may call as witnesses at the time of hearing. Claimants reserve the right to supplement this answer in a timely manner prior to hearing and pursuant to any trial schedule produced by the court.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7: Please describe the Claimant(s)[] diversion works and water system from the point of diversion to the place of use, including but not limited to, a description of all materials located in the water, the pump capacity (in gallons per minute), the conduit diameter(s) (in inches), any storage facilities on the property (and storage volume in gallons), and a description of the water conveyance on the property.

ANSWER NO. 7: Objection is made to Interrogatory No. 7 on the grounds that the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents containing the information requested in Interrogatory No. 7 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx>.

INTERROGATORY NO. 8: If the Claimant(s) assert anyone other than the Coeur d'Alene Tribe owns the submerged lands underlying the claimant's point of diversion please list the name and contact information of such person or entity.

ANSWER NO. 8: Objection is made to Interrogatory No. 8 on the grounds that it is vague, overly broad, unduly burdensome and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of any admissible evidence. Notwithstanding the objection, either Claimants or the State of Idaho own the submerged lands underlying the Claimants' point of diversion.

REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: Please produce any documents you assert support your factual and/or legal basis in answering Requests for Admission Nos. 1-15 and Interrogatories Nos. 1-8.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 1 on the grounds that it is vague and overly broad. Notwithstanding the objection, Claimants respond as follows: See documents previously produced or referenced and documents produced herewith.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of the deed, or other document, by which you acquired title to your property. If you acquired your property by operation of law, please produce any documents which evidence the factual basis of your acquisition.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2: See Exhibit A attached hereto.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of any title insurance policy (with declarations page) covering the real property to which the water right claim at issue in this matter is appurtenant.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3: See Exhibit B attached hereto.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of any available engineering/draftsman drawings, reports, or other documents related to your property's water-system.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 4 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 4 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx>.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of a scaled elevation-view (side-cut view) diagram of the diversion works at issue in this case. On the diagram, please draw the OHWM and the ordinary low water mark. Please provide the elevation of the pump, the elevation of the high/low point of the water line, the length of the water line (in feet), and depth of pump (in feet below OHWM). Please provide the lowest elevation of the entire diversion works.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 5 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 5 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx>.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of a scaled plan-view (top view) diagram of the property and diversion

works at issue in this case. On the diagram, please include all property boundaries, structures, pasture, yard, gardens, and other locations where water use is occurring. Please include the location of the St. Joe River, the location of all components of the diversion works, pump, and water line, and the location of all water conveyance systems on the property.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 6 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 6 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx>.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of photos showing the diversion works and water-system, including but not limited to the pump, water lines and/or piping, pump house, and irrigation system.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7: Claimants do not have documents responsive to this request.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of any deeds, surveys, plats, or other document which relates to the property at the location of your point of diversion. In this request the term "property" means land appurtenant to your point of diversion.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8: Documents requested in Request for Production No. 8 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx> and the Idaho Department of Water Resources' Coeur

d'Alene Spokane River Basin Adjudication claims database at <http://www.srba.state.id.us/SREPT.HTM>. The responding Claimants do not have possession, custody or control of any additional deeds, surveys, plats, or other documents which relate to the property at the location of the Claimants' point of diversion. See also Response to Request for Production No. 2.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: Please produce a true, accurate, correct, and admissible copy of any water withdrawal permit(s) and license(s) and/or encroachment permit(s) you may hold from the Idaho Department of Water Resources and/or the Idaho Department of Lands.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 9 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 9, if any, are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx> and by public records request to the Idaho Department of Lands.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: Please produce all I.R.C.P. Rule 26 material for any witness listed or noted as an expert witness in your answer to Interrogatories Nos. 5 and 6 above.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10: Claimants are unsure, at this time, whom they may call as witnesses at the time of hearing. Claimants reserve the right to supplement this answer in a timely manner prior to hearing and pursuant to any trial schedule produced by the court.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: Please produce documents related to available water use data for the diversion works and/or the property.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 11 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 11 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx>.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: Please produce any documents related to other sources of water used by the Claimant(s) on the property and/or other claims made in the CSRBA by the Claimant(s) for other water rights appurtenant to the property and/or any additional water use permits or licenses acquired by the claimant since the commencement of the CSRBA for water use appurtenant to the property at issue.


RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 12 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 12 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx> and the Coeur d'Alene Spokane River Basin Adjudication claims database at <http://www.srba.state.id.us/SREPT.HTM>.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: Please produce any other documents which may be relevant to, or support, Claimant(s)' water right claim, Objector's objection, and/or any responses to the objection which have been filed in this matter.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13: Objection is made to Request for Production No. 13 on the grounds that it is overly burdensome and the information requested is equally available to the Tribe as to the Claimants. Notwithstanding the objection, documents requested in Request for Production No. 13 are available in the Claimants' licensing file online on the Idaho Department of Water Resources' website at <http://www.idwr.idaho.gov/apps/ExtSearch/WRAJSearch/WRADJSearch.aspx> and the Coeur d'Alene Spokane River Basin Adjudication claims database at <http://www.srba.state.id.us/SREPT.HTM>.

DATED this 7th day of March, 2016.


MOFFATT, THOMAS, BARRETT, ROCK &
FIELDS, CHARTERED

By 
Norman M. Semanko – Of the Firm
Attorneys for Claimants Jerry A.
Wemhoff and Wanda J. Wemhoff

STATE OF IDAHO)
) ss.
County of Ada)

NORMAN M. SEMANKO, being first duly sworn, deposes and says:


He is the attorney for the Claimants Jerry A. Wemhoff and Wanda J. Wemhoff, in the above-entitled cause and makes this verification for and on behalf of said Claimants; that he has read the foregoing **CLAIMANTS JERRY A. WEMHOFF AND WANDA J. WEMHOFF'S RESPONSES TO OBJECTOR'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR DISCOVERY**, knows the contents thereof, and that the same are true to the best of his knowledge, information and belief.



Norman M. Semanko

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 7th day of March, 2016.





NOTARY PUBLIC FOR IDAHO
Residing at Meridian, Idaho
My Commission Expires 12/19/19

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 7th day of March, 2016, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing **CLAIMANTS JERRY A. WEMHOFF AND WANDA J. WEMHOFF RESPONSES TO OBJECTOR'S FIRST REQUESTS FOR DISCOVERY** to be served by the method indicated below, and addressed to the following:

Howard A. Funke
Kinzo H. Mihara
Dylan Hedden-Nicely
HOWARD FUNKE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.
424 Sherman Avenue, Suite 308
P.O. Box 969
Coeur d'Alene, Idaho 83816-0969
Facsimile (208) 667-4695


☒ U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
() Hand Delivered
() Overnight Mail
() Facsimile

Chief, Natural Resources Division
OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
STATE OF IDAHO
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010

☒ U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
() Hand Delivered
() Overnight Mail
() Facsimile

Director
IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

☒ U.S. Mail, Postage Prepaid
() Hand Delivered
() Overnight Mail
() Facsimile



Norman M. Semanko

EXHIBIT A

92-10521

*** CORRECTED AS TO NOTARY STATEMENT ***

WARRANTY DEED

FOR VALUE RECEIVED

Grave-Green, an Idaho Partnership consisting of James W. Grave and Cumer L. Green

the GrantorS do hereby grant, bargain, sell and convey unto

Jerry A. Wemhoff and Wanda Wemhoff, husband and wife

the Grantee, whose address is P.O. Box 446, St. Maries, Idaho 83861

the following described premises, to-wit:

Lot 25, Shadowy St. Joe Lagoon, according to the recorded plat thereof, Benewah County, Idaho

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee his heirs and assigns forever. And the said GrantorS do hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee that he is the owner in fee simple of said premises: that said premises are free from all encumbrances except those encumbrances of record, as set forth on Exhibit "A" attached hereto and incorporated herein as if set forth in full

and that he will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever.

Dated: 5/31/88

[Signature]

STATE OF IDAHO
COUNTY OF ADA } ss.
On this 31st day of May in the year 1988, before me, a Notary Public, personally appeared CUMER L. GREEN known or identified to me to be (one of) the partner(s) in the partnership of GRAVE-GREEN, an Idaho partnership

and the partner(s) who subscribed said partnership name to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same in said partnership name.

[Signature]
Notary Public
Residing at Boise, Idaho
My Comm. Exp. 9/20/88

STATE OF IDAHO, COUNTY OF BENEWAH
I hereby certify that this instrument was filed for record at the request of BENEWAH COUNTY ABSTRACT

at 8 minutes past TWELVE o'clock P.M. this 9TH day of JUNE 1988, in my office, and duly recorded in Book of Deeds at page

[Signature]
Ex-Officio Recorder

By *[Signature]* Deputy.

Fees \$ 6.00
Mail to:



PIONEER TITLE COMPANY
OF ADA COUNTY
821 W. Shaw Street
Boise, Idaho 83702 - 208 338-6701
Representing Titco Title Insurance Company

170478

On this day personally appeared before me JAMES W. GRAUE, to me known to be the individual, or individuals described in and who executed the within and foregoing instrument, and acknowledged that he signed the same as his free and voluntary act and deed, for the uses and purposes therein mentioned. Given under my hand and official seal this 2TH day of June, 1988:



Wm. M. Pierce
Notary Public in and for
the State of Washington
Residing at: SPOKANE
Commission Expires: 10-31-89

EXHIBIT "A"

1. An easement for the purposes shown below and rights incidental thereto as shown or offered for dedication on the recorded plat shown below

Plat of: Shadowy St. Joe Lagoon
Purpose: Roadways and public utilities
Filed: September 8, 1969, Benewah County Records

2. Covenants, conditions, and restrictions as set forth in a document recorded September 8, 1969 in Book 124 of Misc. at page 159, as instrument #116367, Benewah County Records. Addendum recorded April 22, 1970 in Book 124 of Misc. at page 278 as instrument #117706, Benewah County Records.

3. A pending assessment for the right set shown below. When notice of the assessment is recorded with the County Recorder, the assessment shall become a lien on said land.

Distric: LID 16
Disclosed by: Ordinance 440
Recorded: October 9, 1986 as instrument #172790, Benewah County Records

4. Any claim arising from a difference in the mean high water line of the St. Joe River and the meander line.

178048
STATE OF IDAHO
COUNTY OF BENEWAH
I, _____, County Clerk of the County of Benewah, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct copy of the original as the same appears in the records of said County.
Witness my hand and official seal this _____ day of _____, 1988.

County Clerk

178107
JUN 22 1988

Benewah County Abstract Co.

EXHIBIT B

OWNERS

SCHEDULE A

1636

- SCHEDULE A**
ALTA Owner's Policy 8-1-87
Reorder Form No. 11106

AGENTS COPY

SCHEDULE B

This policy does not insure against loss or damage (and the Company will not pay costs, attorneys' fees or expenses) which arise by reason of:

GENERAL EXCEPTIONS

1. Rights or claims of parties in possession not shown by the public records.
2. Encroachments, overlaps, boundary line disputes, and any other matters which would be disclosed by an accurate survey and inspection of the premises including, but not limited to, insufficient or impaired access and matters contradictory to any survey plat shown by the public records.
3. Easements, or claims of easements, not shown by the public records.
4. Any lien, or right to a lien, for services, labor, or material heretofore or hereafter furnished, imposed by law and not shown by the public records.
5. (a) Unpatented mining claims; (b) reservations or exceptions in patents or in Acts authorizing the issuance thereof; (c) water rights, claims or title to water, whether or not the matters excepted under (a), (b), or (c) are shown by the public records.
6. Taxes or special assessments which are not shown as existing liens by the records of any taxing authority that levies taxes or assessments on real property or by the public records. Proceedings by a public agency which may result in taxes or assessments, or notices of such proceedings, whether or not shown by the records of such agency or by the public records.

SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS:

1. An easement for the purposes shown below and rights incidental thereto as shown or offered for dedication on the recorded plat shown below.

Plat of: Shadowy St. Joe Lagoon
Purpose: roadways and public utilities
Filed: September 8, 1969, Benewah County records

2. Covenants, conditions, and restrictions as set forth in a document.

Recorded: September 8, 1969 in book 154 at page 159 as instrument #116367, Benewah County records

Addendum
Recorded: April 22, 1970 in Book 154 at page 279 as instrument #117706, Benewah County records

3. A pending assessment for the district shown below. When notice of said assessment is recorded with the County Recorder, the assessment shall become a lien on said land.

District: #6
Disclosed by: Ordinance #48
Recorded: October 9, 1986 as instrument #172790, Benewah County records

4. Any claim arising from a difference in the mean high water line of the St. Joe River and the meander line.

SCHEDULE B (STANDARD COVERAGE) "END SCHEDULE B"
ALTA Owner's Policy (10-21-87)
Reorder Form No. 9128