

RECEIVED

JUN 25 2015

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re CSRBA

Case No. 49576

) A. Subcase 91-7726
)
)
)
)
)

STANDARD FORM 1
OBJECTION

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON OBJECTING

Name: Potlatch Forest Holdings, Inc.
Address: 601 West 1st Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201
Daytime Phone: 509-835-1500

Name & Address of Attorney: Michael P. Lawrence
Givens Pursley LLP
601 W. Bannock Street
P.O. Box 2720
Boise, ID 83701-2720

C. CLAIMANT OF WATER RIGHT AS LISTED IN DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Name: Potlatch Forest Holdings, Inc.
Address: 601 West 1st Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201

D. I object to the following elements or general provisions as recommended in the Director's Report. (Please check the appropriate box(es)):

1. ☐ **Name and Address**
Should be: _____
2. ☐ **Source**
Should be: _____
3. ☐ **Quantity**
Should be: _____
4. ☐ **Priority Date**
Should be: _____
5. ☐ **Point of Diversion**
Should be: _____
6. ☐ **Instream Flow Beginning and Ending Point**
Should be: _____
7. ☒ **Purpose(s) of Use**
Should be: decreed without recommended remarks
8. ☐ **Period of Year**
Should be: _____
9. ☒ **Place of Use**
Should be: decreed as claimed (see Attachment A)
10. ☐ **General Provision** ☐ Individual Water Right ☐ All Water Rights
☐ Should not be recommended.
☐ This general provision was not recommended but should be recommended as described below.
Should be: _____
☐ General provision was recommended but should be modified as described below.
Should be: _____
11. ☐ **I object** because the recommendation contains an accomplished transfer under Idaho Code § 42-1425 resulting in injury to my water right(s) and/or enlargement of the original right.
12. ☐ **I object** because:
☐ This water right should not exist.
☐ This water right was not recommended, but should be recommended with the elements described above.

E. REASONS SUPPORTING OBJECTION(S): The remark stating "Water shall not be diverted for fire protection use under this right except to fight or repel an existing fire" is not consistent with the claimed uses, which include diversions of water into tanker trucks for

fighting and repelling potential future fires. The remark stating "Industrial use is for forest practices and forest dust abatement as provided for by Section 42-201, Idaho Code" is not consistent with the claimed uses; the right should not be limited by Section 42-201.

DATED this 23rd day of June, 2015.

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP



Michael P. Lawrence
Attorney for Objector

F. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on the 23rd day of June, 2015, I mailed the original and copies of this objection, including all attachments, to the following persons:

1. Original to: Clerk of the District Court
Coeur d'Alene-Spokane River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
PO Box 2707
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707
2. One copy to the claimant of the water right at the following address:

Name: Potlatch Forest Holdings, Inc.
Address: 601 West 1st Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201
3. Copies to:

IDWR Document Depository
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of Attorney General
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0010

United States Department of Justice
Environment & Nat'l Resources Div
550 W Fort Street, MSC 033
Boise, ID 83724



Michael P. Lawrence
Attorney for Objector

ATTACHMENT A
to SF1 Objection – 91-7726

The following lands were included in the claimed place of use and should be decreed, but were not included in the Director's Report recommendation:

Township	Range	Section	Tract
45N	04E	18	SWSW

The following lands were included in the Director's Report recommendation, but were not included in the claimed place of use and should not be decreed:

<u>Township</u>	<u>Range</u>	<u>Section</u>	<u>Tract</u>
45N	01E	23	SWSW