

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A72-04188A**
Date Received: 1/10/1990
Receipt No: S010912
Received By: _____

AMENDED

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

AMENDED

1. Name: TUDDIE JONES
Address: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID

83226

AND/OR

Name: VERL JONES
Address: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID

83226

RECEIVED

APR 19 1994

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

2. Date of Priority: JUN 1, 1942

3. Source: CORRAL CREEK

Trib. to: MORGAN CREEK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
17N	19E	29	SE		NW		CUSTER

5. Description of diverting works:
CHECK DAM, DITCH, GRAVITY

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	~~0.630~~		
			1.14 V.J.		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
~~0.630~~ C.F.S. (and/or)
1.14 V.J.

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 30.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
17N	19E	29	SW	SW		IRR	6.0
						Section Acres	6.0
		32	NW	NW		IRR	6.0
						Section Acres	6.0
						Total Acres	12.0

11. Place of use in counties: CUSTER

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: STATUTORY CLAIM

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Paul Jones Date: 4-15-94
Trudie Jones Date: 4-15-94

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

State of Idaho)
County of Leuster) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 15th day
of April 19 94

Charlotte A. Porter
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Lohall's

My Commission Expires 7-14-98

RECEIVED

APR 19 1994

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

MICROFILMED

NOV 01 1994

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A72-04188A
Date Received: 1/10/1990
Receipt No: 5010912
Received By: [Signature]

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: VERL JONES
Address: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS, ID 83226

AND/OR

Name: TUDDIE JONES
Address: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS, ID 83226

2. Date of Priority: JUN 01, 1942

3. Source: CORRAL CREEK Trib. to: MORGAN CREEK

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
17N	19E	29	SE	NW			CUSTER

5. Description of diverting works:
CHECK DAM, DITCH, GRAVITY

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.630		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.630 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 30.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
NONE

A72-04188A

Page 1

Date: 01/10/90

MICROFILMED
MAR 18 1993

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
17N	19E	29	SW	SW		IRR	6.0
					Section Acres		6.0
		32	NW	NW		IRR	6.0
					Section Acres		6.0
					Total Acres		12.0

11. Place of use in counties: CUSTER

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
NONE

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: STATUTORY CLAIM

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do do not wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: See Map A72-00171

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Neal Jones Date: Jan 10 1990
Date:

State of Idaho)
County of Twila Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 10th day
of Jan. 19 90

[Signature]
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Kinsey, IL
My Commission Expires 6/30/94



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-30

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

March 2, 1992

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

A72-04188A
VERL JONES
TUDDIE JONES
H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS

ID 83226

RE: Evidence of Priority

RESPONSE DEADLINE
MAY 1, 1992

Dear Claimant:

The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above your name on the mailing label has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice(s) indicate your water usage is based on "Beneficial Use" or a "Statutory Claim". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed. The date of first use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a License, Decree or Permit. The Department will soon conduct reviews &/or field exams for the claims in your area. Since the priority date of a beneficial use water right can not be confirmed by field examination, proof must be provided supporting the priority date you have claimed.

The Department will not recommend the Notice(s) be decreed by the court as claimed if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.

Please find enclosed a computer printout of your Notice(s) and the pamphlet entitled "Evidence of Priority". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "What Kind of Evidence is Required" and "Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date".

Please submit the required evidence supporting the priority date(s) by May 1, 1992, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice number(s). If you have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please contact this office.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen Merritt, P.E.
Water Rights Supervisor

Enclosures

MICROFILMED

MAR 18 1993

April 17, 1994

Kelly,

I am alone here and I have
been able to get away from these
was very often, when I do it is
some kind of emergency and I
could forget the papers. I
hope that they are not too
late to be of value to me.

Thanks
Oest. Jones.

RECEIVED

APR 19 1994

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

11-Feb-1994

VERL JONES
HC 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID 83226

RE: SNAKE RIVER BASIN ADJUDICATION CLAIM A72-04188A

Dear Mr Jones

I have completed my review and recommendations for all of your adjudication claims. All have been recommended either as claimed, as amended, or at the capacity of your system.

By comparing both sets of evidence of priority you submitted for A72-04188A and A72-04189 I have recommended them with the priority date you originally claimed. A72-04189 (the spring source near your home) was recommended for the acre along Morgan Creek road and the acres south of the slew near the end of your property. A72-04188A (Corral Creek source) is recommended for the field south of Sawmill Gulch and the field south of your access road totaling 12 acres as you claimed. It is also recommended at 0.63 cfs which you claimed. That rate represents approximately 1/4 of the ditch capacity that I measured at the diversion point. This also represents just over 2 and 1/2 inches per acre for your place of use. If this is acceptable then you need to do nothing, however, if you want to modify this rate please let me know.

You can reach me at this office at 736-3033 or you can just stop in during one of your trips to Twin Falls and we can discuss your claims further. I may have to go back to Challis to clean up a couple of details on other claims some day but it may not be before spring. I have no date set yet.

Please contact me if you have any questions as these are your claims, not mine, and they should represent your use within Idaho Code.

Sincerely

Kelly K. Christensen
Sr. Water Resource Agent

PROFILMED
FEB 01 1994

RECEIVED

FEB 10 1994

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region Office

Kelley R. Christensen

34. Water Resource Dept

Kelley, I am not sure the way it is written up which claim no. represents what, & if the water amounts correspond with the acres and location.

We do need to get it squared away.

Carl Jones.

MICROFILMED

NOV 01 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

04-Jan-1994

VERL JONES
HC 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID 83226

RE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION CLAIMS
A72-00173, A72-04188A AND A72-04189.

Dear Mr Jones

Some details need to be clarified before the above claims can be recommended and I will list my questions by claim.

CLAIM A72-00173

As we discussed in Challis, I split your combined claim A72-10412 into claim A72-10412A (which is still the combined total of decreed rights 72-00167 and 72-00169 and for the ground near the mouth of Morgan Creek) and A72-00173.

When the original claim was filed as a combined right Idaho Code directed that the latest priority date be the claimed date which in this case was 07-01-1921. 72-00173 was decreed with a 05-01-1920 priority date and an amendment of the claim is required before I can recommend that date. I have enclosed a copy of A72-00173 for your use if you wish to amend the claim.

ACTION NECESSARY

To amend the claim cross out the incorrect information, write in the correct information, initial the changes, sign the claim form, and have your signature notarized.

MICROFILMED

NOV 01 1994

JONES
PAGE 2
04-Jan-1994

Kelly, it seems that there is a Paul up in the two claims. As I have mentioned before, I am not familiar with water measures and such. It would seem that I need to meet with you and get it straight.

CLAIM A72-04189

SOURCE, SPRING SOUTHWEST OF MORGAN CREEK ROAD NEAR CORRALS

Because we have discussed your uses several times I understand this claim, the basis for the claimed priority date, and the location of the place of use, however, this claim is a part of the Snake River Basin Adjudication and as such your affidavit supporting the claimed priority date must be clear enough for someone unfamiliar with your use to be able to tie your statement with the claim.

ACTION NECESSARY TO SUPPORT EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY

1. You stated in the first affidavit that you and your brother built the ditch to carry the water which establishes that you have personal knowledge of the development, but you need to state specifically the date the development commenced and why that date is correct.
2. ~~As this claim overlaps with the Morgan Creek claims, you need to better describe the physical location of the place of use such as between road and slew or southern end of irrigated ground or what ever identifies that place of use.~~
3. This statement is an affidavit which requires having your signature notarized.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NECESSARY

Although the claimed diversion rate appears to be greater than the excess flow requirement for the found acres I did not obtain the days required to irrigate this ground. I need the number of days required to irrigate the ground and then the dry days between irrigations (just the acres covered by the spring itself). I have made a preliminary estimate based upon the ten day rotation practice you indicated as necessary for the other ground irrigated but I cannot make a final recommendation without this information.

CLAIM A72-04188A
SOURCE, CORRAL CREEK

Mistake
It is my understanding that all of the ground west of the Morgan Creek road that is irrigated by Corral Creek water is within HES-823 which you described in your first affidavit as a desert entry taken up by your mother. I assume that the

The ground that is irrigated by the water carried by the ditch described in MO 1 is in HES # 70 between the Morgan Creek road and Morgan Creek itself. Below Sawmill Cr.

MICROFILM
NOV 01 1994

1942
Build Ditch
1952

Due to the fact that ever feed heavy on this ground in winter and end up with a lot of nitrogen I have to water the ground every four

JONES
PAGE 3
04-Jan-1994

The forty acres that was a desert entry is at the lower ranch. Netta Jones has no connection to it.

~~forty acres you refer to in that affidavit refers to ground that Netta Jones has claimed (the northern portion) and that you have claimed (the southern portion of that ground).~~

ACTION NECESSARY

1. Please state the specific date you identify as the beginning of beneficial use as indicated in the first affidavit as the time you developed the ground to prove up on the desert entry.
2. As you are claiming only a portion of the original statutory claim, please describe all of the original place of use and then specify the portion that you are now claiming.
3. Again, this statement is an affidavit which requires having your signature notarized.

POTENTIAL AMENDMENT OF CLAIM NEEDED

I did not obtain the irrigation practices for this portion of your property, however, assuming that this ground is irrigated similar to the ground west of Morgan Creek at ten days between irrigations I ran the excess flow analysis for the 12 acres which confirms the need for an additional diversion rate above one inch per acre. The analysis indicated a potential requirement of 1.14 cfs. Your claim was for 0.63 cfs. If your irrigation practices are indeed ten days and you wish to claim 1.14 cfs your claim will have to be amended. I have enclosed a copy of A72-04188A for your use if you wish to amend the claim. To amend the claim, cross out the incorrect information, write in the correct information, initial the changes, sign the claim form, and have your signature notarized. Please confirm or identify the irrigation days requirement.

I realize it seems that we are beating the same horse time after time, but getting things in order the first time is a lot easier than trying to correct a mistake later. If you have any questions or want additional help please call me at 736-3033. I will be out of the office on Monday, January 10 but I should be in the office almost any other working day. I hope you have settled into your new trailer home by now.

Sincerely

Kelly K. Christensen
Kelly K. Christensen
Sr. Water Resource Agent
enclosures

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

RECEIVED

AUG 30 1993

Department of
Southern

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
15N	19E	23	NW	NE	IRR	7.0
			NE	NW	IRR	6.0
Section Acres						13.0
Total Acres						13.0

11. Place of use in counties: CUSTER

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A72-10412, A72-10413, A75-02169

14. Remarks: *Sorry I got these two claims mixed. These remarks should be for claim no. A72-04188*

15. Basis of Claim: STATUTORY CLAIM
My brother and I built the ditch to carry the water to the ground that the water is used to irrigate, and I, personally, have irrigated the ground since.

Veal Jones

~~A72-04188~~

Page 2

Date: 01/10/90
MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

MICROFILMED

MAR 18 1993



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

June 3, 1993

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

VERL OR TUDDIE JONES
HC 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID 83226

RE: SECOND REQUEST EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY - CLAIM A72-04188A

DEAR Mr. & Mrs. JONES,

YOU WERE ASKED TO SUBMIT SOME EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY FOR THE ABOVE WATER RIGHT AND THE INFORMATION HAS NOT BEEN RECEIVED IN THE INITIAL REVIEW OF YOUR CLAIM INVESTIGATION.

YOUR CLAIM IS CURRENTLY RECOMMENDED FOR DISALLOWAL DUE TO LACK OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED. AS EXPLAINED IN OUR PREVIOUS LETTER, BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT CAN MAKE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE COURT TO DECREE THE CLAIM, SOME EVIDENCE OF THE PRIORITY DATE MUST BE SUBMITTED. WE REQUEST THAT YOU SUBMIT YOUR EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. IF WE DO NOT RECEIVE THE REQUESTED DOCUMENTATION, YOUR CLAIM WILL BE RECOMMENDED FOR DISALLOWAL IN THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT DUE TO LACK OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING ANY PRIORITY DATE.

PLEASE SUBMIT THE REQUIRED EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE PRIORITY DATE **WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THIS DATED LETTER**. PLEASE REFERENCE THE CLAIM NUMBER ON YOUR CORRESPONDENCE. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS OR NEED OTHER ASSISTANCE PLEASE CONTACT THIS OFFICE.

SINCERELY,


STEVE CLELLAND
WATER AGENT, SR.

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

13-Jul-1993

VERL JONES
HC 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID 83226

RE: REQUEST FOR EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY FOR A72-04189 AND
A72-04188A

Dear Mr Jones

Enclosed are the copies of the two claims that require evidence of priority. Also enclosed is a brochure giving general guidelines pertaining to the evidence.

Generally, when an individual provides an affidavit to support a claim, a narrative in a storybook fashion generally is the best. The narrative needs to identify why the claimed priority date is correct, why the individual knows why the date is correct, and that the source indeed was used to irrigate the claimed place of use. It generally is better to give more information to support the claim than a brief statement as this information will be the basis for the recommendation of that claim.

I will try to remember to create copies of all your claims so that I can give them to you when I meet with you for the field verification of all your claims.

If you have any further questions please contact this office and someone here can discuss them with you.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Kelly K. Christensen". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed name.

Kelly K. Christensen
Sr. Water Resource Agent

enclosures

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

Stage: BENEFICIAL USE

CUSTER County

MS

TOTAL ACRES

Other Rights: NONE

C16 - THE FOLLOWING WATER RIGHTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF WATER

- THE FOLLOWING WATER RIGHTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF WATER
- IN BASIN 72 SHALL BE ADMINISTERED SEPARATELY FROM ALL OTHER WATER
- RIGHTS IN BASIN 72:
- WATER RIGHT NO. SOURCE
- NONE NONE
- THE FOLLOWING WATER RIGHTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF WATER
- IN BASIN 72 SHALL BE ADMINISTERED SEPARATELY FROM ALL OTHER WATER
- RIGHTS IN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN:
- WATER RIGHT NO. SOURCE
- NONE NONE
- ALL WATER RIGHTS WITHIN BASIN 72 ARE FROM CONNECTED SOURCES
- OF WATER IN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN AND SHALL BE ADMINISTERED
- CONJUNCTIVELY.

Remarks: GEN PROPERTY IS ALSO KNOWN AS HOMESTEAD ENTRY NO. 70.

THIS RIGHT AND RIGHTS LISTED BELOW ARE EACH DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE, AND THE TOTAL COMBINED RATE OF DIVERSION OF THESE RIGHTS IS LIMITED TO 3.05 CFS (capacity of diversion system). OTHER RIGHT NOS.: 72-00153, 72-00154 AND 72-16110.

JAN 20 195

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

AJ0749NP
No. R72-04188A

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 01/11/99
PAGE: 2

=====
Dates: Exam Made Date: 08/03/1993 Verified Date: 01/11/1999 Objection Filed Date: =====

Misc: Water District Number: 72F Field Inspection Flag: Presumption Flag:

Comments: Date: 11/21/1998 User-ID: AUJ10-DS Subject: AUJ10 CONDITION UPDATE
CONDITION CODE(S) C16 ADDED IF NOT ALREADY PART
OF RECORD THRU AUJ10.

Date: 01/11/1999 User-ID: DSHAFF Subject: EXP REMARK CHANGE
WHEN THE DIVERSION RATE FOR OTHER RIGHTS WITH THIS POD CHANGED
THE TOTAL RATE SHOWN IN THE REMARKS FOR ALL OF THE RIGHTS HAD TO
BE CHANGED TO REFLECT THIS .10 CFS INCREASE.

Audit: 01/11/99 DSHAFF

January 11, 1999

Verl and Tuddie Jones
HC 82 Box 4020
Challis, Idaho 83226

Re: 72-04188A
Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)

Dear Mr. And Mrs. Jones,

Your neighbor on the Corral Creek ditch asked us to take a look at the quantity of water IDWR was preparing to recommend for one of his water rights. The staff has concluded Mr. Hammond should have slightly more water for the specific water right.

The result is your water right will also change in a minor way down in the Explanatory Material remark. The total diversion for all of the water rights from the point of diversion on the creek will now read 6.30 cfs instead of the previous 6.20 cfs.

You can look for these recommendations at the end of the month to give you notice the right has been sent to the Court. Thank you for participating in the error process. If you have any questions, please contact me in this office or Kelly Christensen in the Twin Falls office 208/736-3033.

Sincerely,

Donald V. Shaff
Technical Section Manager

Old (D) Number -

VERIFIED BY -

VERIFIED BY KAC
11-11-98

State- ID Zip- 83226

```
+ - - Status - - - +
```

- - Tributary
MORGAN CREEK

Point
County
(37) CUSTER

1.140

	-	+	-	+
Total Consumptive Use -	+0.0	KW Usage -	+	-

(37) CUSTER
(37) CUSTER

GIS
126
127

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use - NONE

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

+		Remarks	

PROPERTY IS ALSO KNOWN AS HOMESTEAD ENTRY NO. 70.

EXP THIS RIGHT AND RIGHTS LISTED BELOW ARE EACH DIVERTED THROUGH

Water right number - R72-04188A

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE, AND THE TOTAL COMBINED RATE
OF DIVERSION OF THESE RIGHTS IS LIMITED TO 2.95 CFS (capacity
of diversion system). OTHER RIGHT NOS.: 72-00153, 72-00154, AND
72-16110.

No Old Water Right Numbers Found

Conditions of Approval found.

Decree Plaintiff Decree Defendant Decree Date
00/00/0000

No Comments Found

Audit Fields
11/11/98 KCHRISTE

Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area
Court Report Filing - 00/00/0000 Field Inspection Made - 08/03/1993 Civil Case Number - 39576
Objection Filed Date - 00/00/0000 Field Inspection Flag - Old Case Number -
Response Filed Date - 00/00/0000 Verified Date - 00/00/0000 Old Judicial District - P
Claim Received Date - 01/10/1990 Last Amended Date - S010912 Temporary Right - 72F
Receipt Number - Y Water District -
POU Ownership Flag - AND/OR Federal/State Indicator - S
Name Connector - Notice of Error Flag -

72-04188A
CORRAL CREEK RIGHT
VERL JONES



RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
TWP 15N. RNG 19E,
SEC 29, & 32
data entry on August 5, 1998



-  **RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION**
-  **RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE**
-  **SECTION LINES**
-  **QUARTER/QUARTER LINES**

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1992 USDA-F AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY BY KELLY K CHRISTENSE

CLAIM NUMBER

72-04188A

DATE

10-5-98

TRANSFER NUMBER

AGENT

KKC

CLAIMED ACRE TOTAL

12

GIS ACRE TOTAL

12

	TWP	RNG	SEC	NE				NW				SW				SE			
				NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE
CLAIM	17N	19E	29											6					
GIS														7					
RECOM																			
CLAIM	17N	19E	32							6									
GIS										5									
RECOM																			
CLAIM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			
CLAIM																			
GIS																			
RECOM																			

B72-DBPOU.SHP

SHAPE NOS.

126

127

B72-DBPOD.SHP

NOS. DESCRIPTION

40

Sec 29

NESENW

DBF TABLES UPDATED

B72-DBPOU.DBF

10-5-98

B72-DBPOD.DBF

RE-EVALUATION OF IRRIGATION RATES BASED UPON 1997 REVISED HUBBLE ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

CLAIM NUMBERS

72-04188A

 DATE: 10-5, 1998

 REVIEWED BY KKC

CHALLIS AREA SOIL REVIEW											
SOIL TYPE	BORDER CFS/AC		FURROW CFS/AC		OPTIMUM ROTATION		SOIL TYPE	BORDER CFS/AC		FURROW CFS/AC	
	PAST	ALFA	PAST	ALFA	PASTURE	ALFALFA		PAST	ALFA	PAST	ALFA
ATC	.15	.13			6.49	7.62	PD2	.11	.12		
BB1	.09	.09			6.09	6.17	RE2	.29	.21		
BBD							RP1	.19	.21		
BC1	.16	.17			5.82	5.46	SH1	.06	.05		
BK1	.07	.06			10.62	11.86	SOC	.08	.07		
BT1	.18	.16			3.99	4.19	SSA	.15	.14		
BT2	.41	.32			2.34	2.87	SXF	.12	.11		
CB1	.06	.06			11.55	13.47	TC1	.06	.06		
CHA	.21	.21			4.52	4.76	TD1	.04	.03		
CP1	.36	.36			2.61	2.78	WB2	.13	.13		
DB1	.13	.11			5.66	6.65	WAA	.19	.16		
DBB	.13	.11			5.71	6.69	WBB	.17	.14		
DBC	.13	.11			5.71	6.69	WC1	.07	.06		
DMF	.18	.21			5.12	4.72	WPT	.19	.15		
DT2	.05	.04			12.46	16.50	WV1	.07	.06		
DWA	.14	.14			4.79	5.01	XHS				
GO1	.10	.12			12.47	10.51	YN1	.18	.14		
HAYSE											
KEG	.08	.07			8.73	10.06					
MUG	.15	.14			4.54	4.98					
NDL	.13	.12			5.30	6.06					

The rate per acre calculations are based upon a 300 foot run at a 2% slope. An 8 hour set time was also used. Individual graded border evaluations, soil characteristics work sheets, and peak irrigation diversion requirement work sheets have been created and archived separately for each soil type.

Where actual rotation is shorter than the optimal rotation, optimal rotation is the criteria to be used for the recommendation analysis and, therefore, the rotation percentage adjustment is not necessary. The rate becomes the acres per soil type times the rate per acre from the above chart.

SOIL TYPE	OPTIMAL ROTATION / (chart)	ACTUAL ROTATION / (field)	ACRES PER SOIL TYPE (field)	RATE PER ACRE (chart)	TOTAL DIVERSION RATE
BC1	5.82	10	12	.16	1.11 CFS
					CFS
					CFS
					CFS

TOTAL ACRES _____

DECREED RATE = _____

 CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE = 1.14

MEASURED DIVERSION RATE = _____

within 2% of Hubble accept eliminate

R PROOF
CVR
MAPS
PHOTOS
MISC

—

—

—

—

—



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 2148 4th Ave. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 734-3578

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

05-Dec-1989 13:56

Verl Jones
H.C. 82 Box 4020
Challis, Idaho 83226

A72-00172
041884
04188
04189
10412
10413

A72-00155
00156
00157
00171

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication

Dear Mr. Jones:


10225-Netta

Please find enclosed proof reports for the water rights listed in your name in our water rights records. These water right proof reports are the ones in which the numbers are highlighted in red. As you may recall I told you that I could generate completed claim forms from our records however after reviewing the records it appears that the acres irrigated are not listed on many of the rights so I was not able to generate the claims as I previously indicated. Please note however, the proof reports should aid you in completing the forms which you were provided when we met in Challis.

Please also find enclosed two proof reports for claims already filed by Netta Jones in the adjudication. These proof reports are the ones in which the numbers are highlighted in blue. Netta's claims are based on two of the rights in which your name appears.

If you need further assistance in filing your claims I suggest that you schedule an appointment with either our office or the Idaho Falls office.

Sincerely,


Allen Merritt
Water Rights Supervisor

A75-02169

Encl:

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

Old (D) Number -

Water Right Number - R72-04188A

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***

JONES

VERL

1 - HC 82 BOX 4020

VERIFIED BY KKC

State- ID Zip- 83226

JONES

TUDDIE

2 -
3 -
City- CHALLIS
Phone - 208-000-0000
1 - HC 82 BOX 4020
2 -
3 -
City- CHALLIS
Phone - 208-000-0000

State- ID Zip- 83226

Priority Date - + 06/01/1942

Region - + 17 SOUTHERN

Stage - + J - BENEFICIAL USE

Status - +

Source - + CORRAL CREEK

Tributary - + MORGAN CREEK

Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot Rflg County

17N 19E 29 NW SE NW (37) CUSTER

Code Description Period from Period to Water Use Diversion Rate Diversion Volume

01 - IRRIGATION 04/15 10/15

1.140

Total Div. Rate - + 1.140

Total Consumptive Use - + 30.0

Twn	Rng	Sec	Tract	Lot	Acres	Place of Use	Code	Description	County
17N	19E	29	SW SW		8.0	01 IRRIGATION		(37) CUSTER	
17N	19E	32	NW NW		4.0	01 IRRIGATION		(37) CUSTER	

Total Acres: 12.0

Diversion Means - CHECK DAM, DITCH, GRAVITY

Non-Irrigation Use - NONE

Other Rights for Same Use - NONE

Remarks

THIS RIGHT AND RIGHTS LISTED BELOW ARE EACH DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE, AND THE TOTAL COMBINED RATE OF DIVERSION OF THESE RIGHTS IS LIMITED TO 2.95 CFS (capacity)

MICROFILMED NOV 01 1994

MICROFILMED NOV 01 1994

(REGIONAL)

Water right number - R72-04188A

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Remarks - - - - - of diversion system). OTHER RIGHT NOS.: 72-00153, 72-00154 AND 72-16110.
PROPERTY IS ALSO KNOWN AS HOMESTEAD ENTRY NO. 70.

+ - - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +

+ - - - - - No Old Water Right Numbers Found - - - - - +

+ - - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + - - - - - Decree Defendant - - - + - - - - - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ - - - - - -Comments- - - - - - No Comments Found - - - - - +

+ - - - - - Audit Fields - - - +
08/25/94 KCHRISTE

+ - - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made	- 08/03/1993	Civil Case Number	- 39576
Objection Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag	-	Old Case Number	-
Response Filed Date	- 00/00/0000	Verified Date	- 08/25/1994	Old Judicial District	-
Claim Received Date	- 01/10/1990	Last Amended Date	- 00/00/0000	Temporary Right	- P
		Receipt Number	- S010912	Water District	- 72F
		POU Ownership Flag	- Y	Federal/State Indicator	- S
		Name Connector	- AND/OR	Presumption Flag	-
				Notice of Error Flag	-

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

CLAIM NUMBER: A72-04188A

06/05/92

LAST: JONES
ADDRESS: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CITY: CHALLIS
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000

FIRST: VERL
STATE: ID ZIP: 83226

OWNER CODE: C

ST: JONES
ADDRESS: H.C. 82 BOX 4020
CITY: CHALLIS
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000

FIRST: TUDDIE
STATE: ID ZIP: 83226

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DATABASE FIELD
N EXAMINER

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

MICROFILMED
MAY 01 1994

CONTACT PERSON: Val Jones

PHONE NUMBER: () - -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 8/3/93

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y() N() TIME: : A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: () - -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: owner

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / REMARKS:

A. AJ CLAIM: # A72-04188A PRIORITY DATE: 06-01-1942 STAGE: 2
 P. WR RECORD: # 72-04188 PRIORITY DATE: 06-01-1942 STAGE: 5

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED? DATABASE N FIELD EXAMINER

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y () N ()
 IF YES EXPLAIN.

REMARKS:

*None = Disallowed
 2nd Reg. sent 6-3-93*

Claimant submitted 2 different statements for this claim and A72-04189. Both were vague on their own but by linking them coupled with field verification of the physical characteristics I accept this as priority date as claimed.

*Evidence Summary:
 B'n between M. Ca & N. Ca Road So of Summit Ca. Built by brother & claimant.
 Built in 1942 or 6-1-1942 as shown on face of claim.*

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
 06-01-1942

REVIEWED BY *KKC*

DATE *2-10-94*

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A72-04188A	17N	19E	29		SE	NW		CUSTER

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD
A PREFIX R PREFIX
NONE NONE

WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT

NONE

SOURCE: CORRAL CREEK

TRIB: MORGAN CREEK

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
72-04188	17N	19E	29		SE	NW		CUSTER

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
A PREFIX R PREFIX

NONE

NONE

NONE

SOURCE: CORRAL CREEK *found. ok*

TRIB: MORGAN CREEK *found ok*

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

N

DATABASE

FIELD EXAMINER

4

REMARKS:

The Found P/D is the same P/D as originally constructed Recommend adding the NW 10 Acre designation. not flagged as a change.

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:
TWP. RGE. SEC.

1/4 1/4

LOT

COUNTY

17N 19E 29

NW SE

NW

OK

SOURCE: CORRAL CREEK

TRIBE: MORGAN CREEK

REVIEWED BY:

KKC

DATE:

12-20-93

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A72-04188A

B. FOU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
17N	19E	29	SW	SW		JONES, DEVL	A72-04188A / 6.0	-			
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	A72-10412 / 11.0	-			
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	A72-00171 / 11.0	72-00171	/		
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	A72-00172 / 11.0	72-00172	/		
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	A72-10413 / 11.0	-			
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	A75-02169 / 11.0	-			
			SW	SW		TURNER, EST. OF GEORGE M.	72-00173	/			
			SW	SW		TURNER, EST. OF GEORGE M.	72-00174	/			
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	72-04185	/ 6.0			
			SW	SW		JONES, VERL	72-04188	/ 6.0			

8

17N	19E	32	NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A72-04188A / 6.0	-			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A72-00172 / 8.0	72-00172	/		
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A72-10412 / 8.0	-			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A72-00171 / 8.0	72-00171	/		
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A72-10413 / 8.0	-			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	A75-02169 / 8.0	-			
			NW	NW		TURNER, EST. OF GEORGE M.	72-00173	/			
			NW	NW		TURNER, EST. OF GEORGE M.	72-00174	/			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	72-04185	/ 19.0			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	72-04186	/ 2.0			
			NW	NW		JONES, VERL	72-04188	/ 6.0			

4

TOTAL ACRES A72-04188A 12.00 23.00

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y () N (X)

IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET.

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO FOU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

Y DATABASE FIELD EXAMINER

FOU COUNTY: CUSTER

Found P/R as claimed. Additional ground between field & Morgan Creek may receive water at times but that ground is not within the recommended P/R.

PRESUMPTION FLAG:

REMARKS:

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES:

12

REVIEWED BY:

KKC

DATE:

12-20-93

The system is one main ditch shared with the Jones with each P₁ ^{normally} being irrigated concurrently. Occasional rotation is practical. The system is flood irrigation with an average 250' runoff a grass pasture.

For effluent analysis BCI Soils was used. See Attached memo dated 12-13-93.

I found a potential requirement of 1.15 CFS which is greater than the claimed rate. I accept claimed rate as the limiting factor. Claimant returned ~~an~~ ~~unmodified~~ ~~claim~~ ~~form~~ ~~for~~ ~~the~~ ~~year~~ ~~of~~ ~~1994~~ on 2-10-94 (No signature, nothing) therefore I recommend the 0.63 CFS. * the claim was amended on 4-15-94 to increase the diversion rate to 1.14 CFS therefore I recognize that rate as valid. KKC 8-25-94

MICROFILMED

A. AS CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES
A72-04188 IRRIGATION

FROM 04-15 TO 10-15
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
630 CFS
630 CFS

chg to 10-15 not 5-15

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES
72-04188 IRRIGATION

FROM 05-01 TO 10-15
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
2,000 CFS
2,000 CFS

RESUMPTION FLAG:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?	DATE	EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY?	(Y/N)		
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY?	(Y/N)		
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY?	(Y/N)		

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N()
Y(X) N()

Explain in remarks, below.

REMARKS:

see pg 7 i attached narrative.

Y () N ()

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?
A1 TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.630 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 2.000 CFS

*** IF NO or IF STATUTORY CLAIM or BENEFICIAL USE then flow measurement required. ***
** If an amount smaller than the original diversion rate is **
** claimed and an exam is NOT required, explain in remarks. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED? FIELD DATABASE EXAMINER

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) Y

MEASUREMENT METHOD 2.95 cfs *Measurement sheet in 472-00153 (No overlapping lt)*
SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y () N () IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: NONE

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A72-041884	CORRAL CREEK	JONES, VERL	0.630	0.052		
A72-00171	MORGAN CREEK	JONES, VERL	1.500	0.009		
A72-00172	MORGAN CREEK	JONES, VERL	0.700	0.004		
A72-10412	MORGAN CREEK	JONES, VERL	1.700	0.008		
A72-10413	MORGAN CREEK	JONES, VERL	1.000	0.005		
A73-02169	OTTER CREEK	JONES, VERL	4.000	0.020		

NO RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

0. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1b. CALCULATIONS

1a. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES 12 $\times$ 0.02 CFS/ACRE = .24 CFS
(Taken from p/u review)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N()

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 30.0 AF/A

ACRES 12 $\times$ CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 2.5 =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 30 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = N/A AF/A

ACRES 12 $\times$ DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 1 =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 1 AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()

REMARKS: (Include limitations on CONSUMPTIVE USE for combined rights.)

Rights from Same P/D but diff P/D

*Remark EFB A72-00153
00154
3rd day
2.95 CFS*

16110

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC.
PURPOSES

REC. PERIOD
FROM TO

REC. DIV
VOL. AE/A

REC. CONS.
USE

IRRIGATION

04-01
15 11-01
10 15

DATABASE EXAMINER
0.630 OK

DATABASE EXAMINER

DATABASE EXAMINER
30.0 30

TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT

0.630

30.0

REVIEWED BY:

KKC

DATE:

2-10-94

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP
FORFEIT/ABANDON
ADDITIONAL DIV. ABANDON

ACCEPTED
AS CLAIMED
()
()
()
NEEDS
REVIEW
()
()
()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 72-04188

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

DATABASE
2.000

EXAMINER

CFS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT
NUMBERS

CURRENT
CLAIM NUMBERS

DIVERSION
RATE

CURRENT "R"
CLAIM NUM.

"R" DIV.
RATE

WR RIGHT
NUMBERS

DIVERSION
RATE

-

A72-04188A

0.630

-

72-04188

2.000

TOTAL CFS

0.630

2.000

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, is the written review sheet attached? (Y/N)

DATABASE
N

FIELD
EXAMINER

REMARKS:

The claim was based upon a 5th to 6th claim and this claim has been substantiated via an affidavit for the irrigated 8/94

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE:

Walter J. Christensen

DATE:

2-10-94

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER:

TITLE:

Soil Water Resource Agent

WP ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE								
POINT OF DIV	Y	None - 2nd Red Seal 6-3-93	KKC 8-3-93	N	1, 2, 5, 6	KKC 2-10-94		
SOURCE			KKC 8-3-93	N	"	"		
PLACE OF USE	Y		"	N	"	"		
DIV. AMOUNT			"	N	"	"		
CFS/VOLUME	Y		"	N	"	"		
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-		"	N	"	"		
PURPOSES			"	N	"	"		
PERIOD OF USE	Y		"	N	"	"		
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y		"	N	"	"		
REMARKS	Y		"	N	"	"		

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PN = 1
ASCS MAP = 2
WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
NAPP PHOTOS = 4
FIELD EXAM = 5
OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

MAPS USED:

DATE:

ASCS
ORTHO S-75 1974
NAPP USDL-F 1789-119 9/4/91
SCS SOLIS MAP 24/1/30

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

NOV 01 1994
UNFILED

(shifted from
between quarters
no real quarters)

614060

1789-119

POOR ORIGINAL

CLAIM A72-04188A INIT KKC

T. R. S. Q/Q Pg 1/2

P/D 17N 19E 29 NWSENW

PHOTO USDA-F 1789-119

DT.&ID. 9-4-91 flight

enlarged
200%

P/2
A72-00153
00154
1/2 110

DIRT
DITCH

P/4 this claim

See Also map

Pg 2

MICROFILMED

NOV 01 1994

CLAIM A72-04188A INIT KKC
T. R. S. 212922 enlarged
P/D see other map
PHOTO USDA-F 1789-119 200%
DT. & ID. 9-4-91 Flight

POOR ORIGINAL

PASTURE
ACRES

8 ACRES
WITHIN Q

PASTURE
ACRES

4 ACRES
WITHIN Q/Q

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

irr rotation
 10 days via highline
 10 days via main ditch
 no dry days.

} contained
 in.
 30 some tailwater from
 high line ditch reaches
 the main ditch on some
 sets.

CLAIM #72-00171-174 INIT KKC
 T. R. S. Q/Q
 P/D See other map enlarged
 PHOTO USDA F 1789-119 200%
 DT. & ID. 9-4-91 flight

FOOT ORIGINAL
 40
 CRE
 CRE


END
 OF HIGH-
 LINE
 DITCH
 (FROM NORTHERN
 P/D)

END OF
 MIDDLE DITCH

Main
 Ditch
 (FROM P/D #3)

DITCH
 Terraced.
 after end of
 middle ditch

MICROFILMED
 NOV 01 1997



POOR ORIGINAL

P/D
17N 19E
SEC 29
NWSENW

P/u.
this right
See Also
USDA-F
Photos
(2 copies)

CLAIM A72-04188A INIT KKC
T. R. S. Q/Q
P/D 17N 19E 29 NWSENW
PHOTO Copy of original now in
DT. & ID. A72-00153

CLAIM A72-00153, 10/10 INIT KKC
2- T. R. S. 16 10/10
P/Ds 17N 19E 29 NWSENW flight
PHOTO ID ORTHO PHOTO S-74 1974
with overlap of contour line
from Quad map S-74 (1974)
Created by identify section & Q/Q
a/c locations

MICROFILMED
1974



CLAIM NO. --- A72-04188A
 AGENT ----- KKC
 DATE ----- 12/20/93

Lotus Program:
 IRR-PRAC.WK3
 Date form revised:
 4/21/93 by KKC

 SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

Soil Type = BC1; BIGLOST...GRAVELLY FINE SANDY LOAM
 Source of Soils Data = Printout From SCS State Office

soil depth (inches)		AWC (in/in)		PH		organic (%)		soil depth (ft)	AWC (inches)	intake family
lower	upper	lower	upper	lower	upper	lower	upper			flood furrow
0	5	0.11	0.14	6.6	7.8	2	3	1	1.57	3 0.7
5	23	0.12	0.15	6.6	7.8			1	1.71	
23	60	0.02	0.04	6.6	7.8			3	3.44	
								4	3.80	alternate
								5	4.17	method
										NA NA

Management Allowed Deficit (MAD)

crop	root depth (ft)	stress point (INCHES)	MAD	FIELD NOTES AND CALCULATIONS				
				FIELD 1	FIELD 2	FIELD 3	FIELD 4	
				FIELD WIDTH	2091	0		
				IRRIGATION DAYS	10			
				DRY DAYS	0			
				SET TIME(hours)	8			
				CALCULATED RUN (run = acres*43560/width)				
				LENGTH (RUN) =	250			
				CALCULATED BORDER WIDTH (ditch length/irr days * cha				
				WIDTH =	70			
				THEORETICAL ROTATION (mad/net irr req in inches per				
				SELECTED MAD =	1.72			
				ROTATION =	7.5 DAYS			

PEAK IRRIGATION DIVERSION REQUIREMENT AT FIELD

Weather Station = CHALLIS
 Figure No = 10-15

crop	acres	system	recomm. eff.	mar	Net Irrigation Requirement (mm/day)						
					apr	may	jun	jul	aug	sep	oct
PASTURE	12	FLOOD	7.6		1.47	3.84	4.87	5.86	4.56	3.23	2.07
ALFALFA		FLOOD			1.28	4.51	5.65	6.25	4.45	2.52	0.7

Summary	12		8		1.47	3.84	4.87	5.86	4.56	3.23	2.07
Peak Net Irrigation Requirement (mm/day) =					5.86						

Program Operation based upon

"GUIDELINES FOR THE EVALUATION OF IRRIGATION DIVERSION RATES"

HUBBLE ENGINEERING INC. OCTOBER 1991

Soil Characteristics based upon

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE STUDIES

Peak Irrigation Diversion based upon ALLEN & BROCKWAY WEATHER INFORMATION 1983

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

GRADED BORDER EVALUATION

Job name: A72-04188A
By: KKC

Location: BC1 SOIL FOR 12 ACRES
Date: Dec 20, 1993

Roughness coefficient (n)= 0.250 Intake family (If)=3.00
Slope (S)= 0.0400 ft/ft Border width (W)= 70 ft
Net application (Fn)= 1.72 inches Border length (L)= 250 ft
Inflow time (Ti)= 8 hours, 0 minutes

Flow per Border (cfs)	Unit Flow (cfs/ft)	Gross Application (in)	Deep Percolation (in)	Runoff (in)	Application Efficiency (percent)	Note
1.10	Gross depth is less than required gross application depth					
1.11	Gross depth is less than required gross application depth					
1.12	Gross depth is less than required gross application depth					
1.13	Gross depth is less than required gross application depth					
1.14	Gross depth is less than required gross application depth					
1.15	0.016	22.71	20.83	0.16	7.6	
1.16	0.017	22.91	20.83	0.36	7.5	
1.17	0.017	23.11	20.83	0.55	7.4	

$$1.15/12A = 0.095 \text{ CFS/Acre}$$

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Southern Region, 222 Shoshone St. East, Twin Falls, Idaho 83301 (208) 736-3033

CECIL D. ANDRUS
Governor

R. KEITH HIGGINSON
Director

04-Jan-1994

VERL JONES
HC 82 BOX 4020
CHALLIS ID 83226

RE: ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NEEDED FOR ADJUDICATION CLAIMS
A72-00173, A72-04188A AND A72-04189.

Dear Mr Jones

Some details need to be clarified before the above claims can be recommended and I will list my questions by claim.

CLAIM A72-00173

As we discussed in Challis, I split your combined claim A72-10412 into claim A72-10412A (which is still the combined total of decreed rights 72-00167 and 72-00169 and for the ground near the mouth of Morgan Creek) and A72-00173.

When the original claim was filed as a combined right Idaho Code directed that the latest priority date be the claimed date which in this case was 07-01-1921. 72-00173 was decreed with a 05-01-1920 priority date and an amendment of the claim is required before I can recommend that date. I have enclosed a copy of A72-00173 for your use if you wish to amend the claim.

ACTION NECESSARY

To amend the claim cross out the incorrect information, write in the correct information, initial the changes, sign the claim form, and have your signature notarized.

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

JONES
PAGE 2
04-Jan-1994

CLAIM A72-04189

SOURCE, SPRING SOUTHWEST OF MORGAN CREEK ROAD NEAR CORRALS

Because we have discussed your uses several times I understand this claim, the basis for the claimed priority date, and the location of the place of use, however, this claim is a part of the Snake River Basin Adjudication and as such your affidavit supporting the claimed priority date must be clear enough for someone unfamiliar with your use to be able to tie your statement with the claim.

ACTION NECESSARY TO SUPPORT EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY

1. You stated in the first affidavit that you and your brother built the ditch to carry the water which establishes that you have personal knowledge of the development, but you need to state specifically the date the development commenced and why that date is correct.
2. As this claim overlaps with the Morgan Creek claims, you need to better describe the physical location of the place of use such as between road and slew or southern end of irrigated ground or what ever identifies that place of use.
3. This statement is an affidavit which requires having your signature notarized.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION NECESSARY

Although the claimed diversion rate appears to be greater than the excess flow requirement for the found acres I did not obtain the days required to irrigate this ground. I need the number of days required to irrigate the ground and then the dry days between irrigations (just the acres covered by the spring itself). I have made a preliminary estimate based upon the ten day rotation practice you indicated as necessary for the other ground irrigated but I cannot make a final recommendation without this information.

CLAIM A72-04188A
SOURCE, CORRAL CREEK

It is my understanding that all of the ground west of the Morgan Creek road that is irrigated by Corral Creek water is within HES-823 which you described in your first affidavit as a desert entry taken up by your mother. I assume that the

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

JONES
PAGE 3
04-Jan-1994

forty acres you refer to in that affidavit refers to ground that Netta Jones has claimed (the northern portion) and that you have claimed (the southern portion of that ground).

ACTION NECESSARY

1. Please state the specific date you identify as the beginning of beneficial use as indicated in the first affidavit as the time you developed the ground to prove up on the desert entry.
2. As you are claiming only a portion of the original statutory claim, please describe all of the original place of use and then specify the portion that you are now claiming.
3. Again, this statement is an affidavit which requires having your signature notarized.

POTENTIAL AMENDMENT OF CLAIM NEEDED

I did not obtain the irrigation practices for this portion of your property, however, assuming that this ground is irrigated similar to the ground west of Morgan Creek at ten days between irrigations I ran the excess flow analysis for the 12 acres which confirms the need for an additional diversion rate above one inch per acre. The analysis indicated a potential requirement of 1.14 cfs. Your claim was for 0.63 cfs. If your irrigation practices are indeed ten days and you wish to claim 1.14 cfs your claim will have to be amended. I have enclosed a copy of A72-04188A for your use if you wish to amend the claim. To amend the claim, cross out the incorrect information, write in the correct information, initial the changes, sign the claim form, and have your signature notarized. Please confirm or identify the irrigation days requirement.

I realize it seems that we are beating the same horse time after time, but getting things in order the first time is a lot easier than trying to correct a mistake later. If you have any questions or want additional help please call me at 736-3033. I will be out of the office on Monday, January 10 but I should be in the office almost any other working day. I hope you have settled into your new trailer home by now.

Sincerely

Kelly K. Christensen
Sr. Water Resource Agent
enclosures

*Claim Form for A72-04188A
returned on 2-10-94 with ~~no changes~~
made therefore I recommend
this right at the claimed rate
of 0.63 CFS
K KC
2-10-94*

MICROFILMED
NOV 8 1994

FIELD EXAM ATTACHMENT

DATE: 12-23-93
BY: KKC

FILES: A72-00171, A72-00172,
A72-00173, A72-00174,
A72-16108, A72-10412A,
A72-10413A, A72-16107,
A72-04188A, A72-04189,
& A75-02169

SUBJECT: VERL JONES CLAIMS IDENTIFICATION BY AREA OF USE

Claims filed by Verl Jones represent two major independent operations on Morgan Creek and two minor uses, one from Corral Creek and another from an unnamed spring. Claim A75-02169 is a diversion from Otter Creek in basin 75 which is injected into Morgan Creek to be re-diverted on either major P/U depending on his current needs.

The northern system (aka HES-70) lays within T-17N, R-19E and consists of claims A72-00171, A72-00172, A72-00173, A72-00174, A72-16108, and A75-02169. The P/U for A72-04188A (source, Corral Cr.) joins this property along the northern and eastern border.

The southern system lays within T-15N, R-19E and consists of claims A72-10412A, A72-10413A, A72-16107, A72-04189, and A75-02169. The P/U for A72-04169 (source, Spring) joins this property along the southwestern border and also overlaps on the southern end of the P/U.

SUBJECT: COMBINED RIGHT/ SPLIT RIGHT ANALYSIS

The original claims A72-10412 and A72-10413 combined a total of 6 decreed rights covering three independent systems based upon similar priority dates. As the decreed P/U for rights 72-00173 and 72-00174 were tied to ground approximately 10 miles upstream of the other rights they were split from the base claims. 72-00173 and 72-00174 are included in the northern system analysis.

SPLIT CLAIM REVIEW				
<u>base claim</u>	acres	rate	claimed priority date	decreed priority date
A72-10412 --	200	1.70 cfs	07-01-1921	
<u>split claims</u>				
A72-10412A	38	1.20 cfs	07-01-1921	
A72-00173	162	0.50 cfs	07-01-1921	05-01-1920
split total	200	1.70		

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

base claim

A72-10413 -- 200 1.00 cfs 09-14-1931

split claims

A72-10413A 38 0.30 cfs 09-14-1931

A72-00174 162 0.70 cfs 09-14-1931 09-14-1931

split total 200 1.00

COMBINED RIGHT WITHIN CLAIM, REVIEW

split claim

A72-10412A 38 1.20 cfs 07-01-1921

base decreed rights

72-00167 -- .80 cfs 05-01-1921

72-00169 -- .40 cfs 07-01-1921

total 1.20

split claim

A72-10413A 38 .30 cfs 09-14-1931

base decreed rights

72-00168 -- .20 cfs 09-14-1931

72-00170 -- .10 cfs 09-14-1931

total 0.30

CURRENT SYSTEM FOR A72-10412A, A72-10413A, A72-16107, &
A75-02169 THE SOUTHERN SYSTEM

The current system is three P/Ds delivering Morgan Creek water to a 33 acre P/U. The P/U is flood irrigated using a combination of wild flooding and contour ditch delivery. The P/U appears to be the same or nearly the same as the original decreed and/or transferred P/U although the legal descriptions do not exactly match. The ground irrigated under decreed rights 72-00169 and 72-00170 is no longer irrigated and the P/D is no longer used. These rights have been moved through an unrecorded transfer to the current P/U. Verl Jones did not remember when he abandoned the P/D in Sec 15 but he stated that it was more than five years ago. I am not recommending the use as decreed for ground in Sec 15 but rather recognizing the unrecorded transfer to ground in Sec 14 and 23 and recognizing that those rights are still valid as currently used.

CURRENT SYSTEM FOR A72-04189 (SPRING SOURCE)

The spring lays south of the Morgan Creek road and is collected into a ditch and then carried to the P/U through

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1984

two separate culverts that go under the road. The northern culvert diverts water into a slew that roughly follows the road going southeast to eventually deliver water to the five overlapping acres. That culvert can be blocked to allow the water to flow southeast along the south side of the road to the second culvert where it then goes under the road to irrigate the one acre parcel that is isolated from the main body of the irrigated ground by the same slew described above. This acre can only be irrigated from the spring.

OVERLAPPING RIGHTS P/U ANALYSIS FOR THE SOUTHERN SYSTEM

A75-02169 (the Otter Creek diversion) utilizes the same delivery system and covers the same 33 acres as the above Morgan Creek source claims. This system also partially overlaps with a spring source claimed under A72-04189. A72-04189 is being recommended for the irrigation of 6 acres with five of those acres overlapping with this system. Between the two systems 34 total acres are irrigated within this same general area.

EXCESS FLOW ANALYSIS FOR THE SOUTHERN SYSTEM

Excess flow analysis indicates a need for greater than one inch per acre as claimed through A72-16107. This claim represents excess flows beneficially used since the original decree on the same decreed ground and therefore I accept this claim with a priority date one day after the decree date of 05-02-1935.

The analysis used the following assumptions; 'wild' flooding for irrigation, soil type for all acres - CP1, average run of 188', alfalfa and/or pasture as the basic crop and a ten day rotation. Both analysis' for pasture and alfalfa gave a 3.13 cfs requirement for the 33 acres (analysis forms attached).

DIVERSION RATE RECOMMENDATION ANALYSIS

Measured diversion rate	---	5.08 cfs total
Excess flow requirement	---	3.13 cfs total*
Total Decreed rate recognized	---	<u>1.50 cfs</u>
Balance not decreed	---	1.63 cfs (to be recommended in claim A72-16107)

*limiting factor.

CURRENT SYSTEM FOR A72-00171, A72-00172, A72-00173, A72-00174 A75-02169, AND A72-16108 THE NORTHERN SYSTEM

The system is three P/Ds and ditch systems delivering water to the P/U for flood irrigation of a 162 acre grass meadow that is normally harvested for grass hay. Otter Creek water

MICROFILME
NOV 01 1994

under A75-02169 is also used on this P/U to supplement when necessary and if it is not being used with the southern system. The ground irrigated by Corral Creek which joins this property and is irrigated under A72-04188A does not overlap. They are separate systems.

EXCESS FLOW ANALYSIS

Excess flow analysis indicates a need for greater than one inch per acre as claimed through A72-16108. The excess flow analysis indicates a need greater than the system capacity therefore the system capacity is considered the limiting factor. This claim is recommended with a priority date of 5-3-35. Soils information was not available from the SCS at this time therefore the calculations were based upon soil type BC1 (see narrative dated 12-13-93; Basis for Soil Type Analysis). The entire P/U is grass pasture and basically flood irrigated with few cross ditches.

DIVERSION RATE RECOMMENDATION ANALYSIS

Measured diversion rate	---	9.27 cfs total*
Excess flow requirement	---	15.36 cfs
Total decreed rate recognized	---	<u>3.40 cfs</u>
Balance not decreed	---	5.87 cfs (to be recommended in claim A72-16108)

*limiting factor.

CURRENT SYSTEM FOR A72-04188A (CORRAL CREEK SOURCE)

This system shares the lower ditch with the decreed rights for Netta Jones but is for a separate P/U. The recommended P/U lays east of Morgan Creek and both north and south of the farmstead but ends prior to any ground irrigated from Morgan Creek. It is also a grass pasture and the same excess flow analysis applies to this system. Otter Creek water does not reach this property.

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994

FIELD EXAM ATTACHMENT

DATE: 12-13-93PAGE: 1/1AGENT: KKCFILES: Claims where SCS soilsBASIS FOR SOIL TYPE ANALYSIS Info. not available as of this dateATTACHMENT SUBJECT: AREA OF CONCERN:17 N 18 E SEC. 25, 3617 N 19 E SEC. 18, 19, 20, 21, 29 & 3116 N 19 E SEC. 6

Available soils identification of Ground surrounding Morgan Creek ends with SCS map 243-32 which covers the junction of Morgan Creek & West Fork of Morgan Creek. The irrigated ground in this area (covered by claims A72-00158A, 00159, & 00160A) is classified as BCI. The physical appearance of this ground is similar to the irrigated parcels within the above legal descriptions therefore I have selected this soil type for the excess flow analysis.

Soils analysis conducted by the Forest Service and provided by the claimant for rights A72-00165/00166 excludes private ground from their descriptions. The surrounding analyzed grounds are the steeper, timbered slopes that do not match the irrigated ground profile.

MICROFILMED
NOV 01 1994