

1 Movant's Name:

2 Attorney's Name and Address:

4-12
Twin Falls Canal Company
North Side Canal Company
John A. Rosholt
Norman M. Semanko
ROSHOLT, ROBERTSON & TUCKER
P.O. Box 1906
Twin Falls, Idaho 83303-1906
Telephone: (208) 734-0700
04129506.NMS

4 RECEIVED

RECEIVED

APR 13 1995

5 APR 14 1995

OFFICE OF THE
ATTORNEY GENERAL

6 Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Bureau

8 IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
9 STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

11 In Re SRBA

12 Case No. 39576

) Subcase Nos. 01-10223; 01-10248;
) 25-02160; 25-13615; 27-02007;
) 27-11560; 27-11561
)

14) REPLY RE:
15) JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS
16) OBJECTIONS TO THE 1990
FORT HALL INDIAN WATER
RIGHTS AGREEMENT

18 DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY

19 Reply on behalf of the Twin Falls Canal Company and the North Side
20 Canal Company ("the Companies") in support of the Joint Reply of the United States,
21 Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, and State of Idaho to the Response of the Fort Hall Water
22 Users Association and Kim Bodily to the Joint Motions of the United States, the
23 Shoshone-Bannock Tribes and the State of Idaho to dismiss objections filed by the Fort
24 Hall Water Users Association and Kim Bodily to the proposed consent decree
25 implementing the 1990 Fort Hall Indian Water Rights Agreement ("Agreement").
26
27

28 REPLY RE: JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS OBJECTIONS TO THE 1990 FORT
HALL INDIAN WATER RIGHTS AGREEMENT - 1


1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28


ARGUMENT

The Companies fully concur with the arguments set forth by the joint movants in Joint Reply of United States, Shoshone-Bannock Tribes, and State of Idaho Re: Motions to Dismiss Objections to 1990 Fort Hall Indian Water Rights Agreement ("Joint Reply"), dated April 11, 1995. As previously indicated, the Companies have an interest in seeing that the water rights are decreed as agreed to in 1990, and therefore request that the court dismiss the objections for the reasons set forth in the Joint Reply, Joint Motions, and previous documents submitted by the Companies. See Companies' Memorandum in Support of Motion to Participate (March 13, 1995) and Memorandum in Support of Joint Motions to Dismiss (March 13, 1995).

DATED this 12 day of April, 1995.

ROSHOLT, ROBERTSON & TUCKER

By: 
John A. Rosholt

By: 
Norman M. Semanko

Attorneys for Twin Falls Canal Company
and North Side Canal Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 12 day of April, 1995, I served a copy of the foregoing REPLY RE: JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS OBJECTIONS TO THE 1990 FORT HALL INDIAN WATER RIGHTS AGREEMENT, by depositing same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope, addressed to the following:

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P.O. Box 44449
Boise, Idaho 83711-4449


United States Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resources
Division
550 West Fort Street, Box 33
Boise, Idaho 83724

Candy L. Jackson
Attorney Shoshone-Bannock Tribes
P.O. Box 306
Fort Hall, Idaho 83203

Peter C. Monson
Attorney, Indian Resources Section
Environment and Natural Resources Division
U.S. Department of Justice
999 18th Street, Suite 945 North Tower
Denver, Colorado 80202

Carolyn Minder
SRBA Attorney
7283 Obsidian
Boise, Idaho 83709

Margaret J. King
Richard A. Simms
Simms & Stein, P.A.
102 Aspen Lakes Drive
P.O. Box 3329
Hailey, Idaho 83333


Norman M. Semanko

REPLY RE: JOINT MOTIONS TO DISMISS OBJECTIONS TO THE 1990 FORT HALL INDIAN WATER RIGHTS AGREEMENT - 3