

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A43-00185
Date Received: 6/06/1989
Receipt No: 5007564
Received By: BHS

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: WILLIAM D. JONES AND SONS 208-824-5527
Address: C/O WILLIAM D. JONES
P.O. BOX 152
ALMO, ID 83312

2. Date of Priority: APR 30, 1883

3. Source: RAFT RIVER Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
15S	25E	33	SW	SW	SW		CASSIA

5. Description of diverting works:
WOODEN HEADGATE AND LATERALS TO FLOOD IRRIGATE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	1.600		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
1.600 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
15S	25E	32	SE	SW		IRR	10.0
			SW	SE		IRR	33.0
			SE	SE		IRR	30.0

Section Acres 73.0

A43-00185

Page 1

Date: 06/06/89

MICROFILMED

MAR 12 1993

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
16S	25E	4	SW	SW		IRR	15.0
			SE	SW		IRR	9.0
			SW	SE		IRR	10.0
					Section Acres	34.0	
		5	SE	SW		IRR	11.0
	SW		SE		IRR	21.0	
	SE		SE		IRR	25.0	
					Section Acres	57.0	
					Total Acres	164.0	

11. Place of use in counties: CASSIA

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A43-00184, A43-02538, A43-02479, A43-04043

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

ALBION-IDAHO LAND CO.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

JOBE ADAMS ET AL
Decree Defendant

MICROFILMED

MAR 02 1993

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do do not wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 0

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Partner of
William D Jones & Son, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization Title
document in the space below as Partner of
Title
William D Jones & Son and that the statements contained in the
Organization
foregoing document are true and correct.

William D Jones
Signature of Authorized Agent

Partner William D Jones & Son
Title and Organization

6/6/89
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Wellington Falls SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 6 day
of June 19 89

Bruce A. Schuld
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

BRUCE A. SCHULD, NOTARY PUBLIC
RESIDING AT BOISE, IDAHO
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES APRIL 11, 1995

For claims A43-00175 & A43-00184

Legal Description appears to belong
for the P/D. The correct Section
should be Sec 32 not Sec 33.

info per William Jones during
office visit on 1-27-92

KKC

MICROFILMED
MAR U 2 1993



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200, Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Phone: (208) 736-3033 FAX: (208) 736-3037

SOUTHERN REGION

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

MEMO

8-25-99

To: William D Jones

Here are computer print outs of all the claims you have filed. Some are for irrigation, some for stock water.

The colored map shows your irrigation claims as they existed in 1987/88 from aerial photography. The yellow outline represents the acreage I found to be irrigated during my initial desk-top review.

Give me a call so we can schedule a field exam as soon as convenient for you.

Vikie Hancock
Water Resource Agent

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Georgia Satterlee

DATE

February 14, 1997 4:26pm

CUSTOMER

Jones, Wm and Sons

SUBJECT: 43-00184,-00185

phone call (.) walk-in (x)

Possibility of transfer of one or both decreed rights. The p/d on AJ claims indicates the wrong Section, should be Section 32, instead of Section 33. The water right claims do not state any p/d. The Jones' say they have always used the diversion point in Section 32. Supposedly on DECREED rights one is lucky to even get a diversion point designated!!

Mr. William {SON} (208)824-5567

Called Rodney per above

25 Feb 97 call w/ what I find out ?

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

Claim No. A43-00184, -00185

-10356.

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Frank Hitchcock

February 17, 1997 11:33am

NAME:
WILLIAM D. JONES AND SON

RE:
CHANGE POD/BU CLAIM IN SRBA
- TRANSFER POD/DECREE USAGE
FROM RAFT RIVER.

ASKED BY GEORGIA SATTERLEE TO REVIEW WITH HER AND JONES THE STATUS OF THE BU CLAIM AND THE DECREED RIGHT CLAIM ASSIGNED TO ME FOR REVIEW IN THE UPPER RAFT RIVER VALLEY.

CLAIMANT WANTS TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION FOR BU CLAIM FILED IN THE SRBA A43-10356. REVIEWED AND MARKED A MAP FOR REFERENCE.

CLAIMANT WANTS TO MOVE THE CLAIMED POD FOR A43-00184/-00185 TO A NEW LOCATION WEST OF THE CLAIMED POU NEAR THE NARROWS ROAD. REVIEWED THE PREVIOUSLY (1992) NOTED ERROR IN THE LEGAL FOR THE CLAIMED POD NOTED BY KKC BUT NOT CHANGED.

AS TIME WAS RUNNING OUT ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON CLAIMANT AGREED TO MAKE UP A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF HOW/WHAT ELEMENTS HE WANTS TO CHANGE AND WILL CALL FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO COME IN.

TO: WILLIAM D. JONES
FROM: FRANK HITCHCOCK
DATE: 05-08-1997

RE: CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION IN SRBA CLAIM A43-10356; CHANGE
THE POINT OF DIVERSION FOR SRBA CLAIMS A43-00184 AND A43-
00185.

When we last talked way back on February 17 of this year about the changes I've noted up above you were going to make up a detailed description of the elements of the usages that you wanted to change.

We made up a draft map of some points of reference and you were going to work on getting it lined out to your satisfaction.

You may remember that you started out talking with Georgia Satterlee and then I came in as the person responsible for reviewing claims in your area.

We are both planning work for the future and wanted you to know that we would like to be of help when it is conveneient for you to come up again.

Please call the office at 733-3033 to make an appointment to see us and we can take as much time as we need to. If you need to call after business hours please call 736-4753 extension 233 to leave a voice mail message for me.

If you have any questions I can help with as you prepare your changes please call. I'm available Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

best wishes,

*No reply to this letter was RECEIVED by
Frank or G. Satterlee, per G. Satterlee.*

Vikie Hawcock 7/27/99

05/29/2001

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: June 15, 2001

Water right number: 43-185

WILLIAM D JONES AND SONS

C/O WILLIAM D JONES

PO BOX 152

ALMO ID 83312

Telephone Number: (208) 824-5527

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE:

6/15/01

SIGNATURE:

William D. Jones

Please print your name:

William D. Jones

IDWR Regional Office, 1341 Fillmore St, Suite 200, Twin Falls, ID 83301, 2087363033

10/31/2000

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 43-185

NAME AND ADDRESS: WILLIAM D JONES AND SONS
C/O WILLIAM D JONES
PO BOX 152
ALMO, ID 83312

SOURCE: RAFT RIVER TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 1.6 CFS

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 6.38 CFS. COMBINED RIGHT NOS:
43-00184, 43-02479, 43-02538

PRIORITY DATE: 04/30/1883

POINT OF DIVERSION: T15S R25E S32 SWSWSW Within CASSIA County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
IRRIGATION	04-01 10-31	1.60 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION Within CASSIA County

T15S R25E S32	SENE	1.8	T15S R25E S32	NESE	25
T15S R25E S32	SWSE	25	T15S R25E S32	SESE	40
T15S R25E S33	SWNW	12	T15S R25E S33	NESW	32
T15S R25E S33	NWSW	28	T15S R25E S33	SWSW	31
T15S R25E S33	SESW	34	T15S R25E S33	SWSE	12
T16S R25E S4 LOT 4	SWSW	15	T16S R25E S4 LOT 3	SESW	19
T16S R25E S4 LOT 2	SWSE	13	T16S R25E S5 LOT 2	SWSE	7.3
T16S R25E S5 LOT 1	SESE	24			
319.1 ACRES TOTAL					

THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 80 ACRES WITHIN
THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION
SEASON. ^^^ USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH THE RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS
LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 319 ACRES IN
A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 43-00184,
43-02479, 43-02538

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS
NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE
EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE
ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER
THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6),
IDAHO CODE.

THIS RIGHT IS SUBJECT TO REGULATION BY THE WATERMASTER OF
WATER DISTRICT 43B


EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PLACE OF USE PURSUANT
TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

Idaho Department of Water Resources

RIGHTS: 43-184, 43-185,
43-2479 and 43-2538,

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
TWP 15S, RNG 25E, Sec 32, 33
TWP 16S, RNG 25E, Sec 4, 5
Data entry on October 30, 2000



-  RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION
-  RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
-  SECTION LINES
-  QUARTER/QUARTER LINES



GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987/1988 NAPP AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY BY VIKIE HANCOCK

CLAIM NUMBER: A43-00185

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	WILLIAM D JONES AND SONS	04/30/1883	IRRIGATION	03-15 11-15	1.60 CFS	

TOTAL DIVERSION: 1.60 CFS

Water Source: RAFT RIVER

Tributary to: SNAKE RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion:

T15S R25E S33

SWSWSW

CASSIA County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

	NE				NW				SW				SE				
T	R	S	NE	NW	SE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	SUM
15S	25E	32	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	:
16S	25E	4	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
16S	25E	5	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
TOTAL ACRES																	164.0

POU County: CASSIA

Other Rights: A43-00184, A43-02538, A43-02479, A43-04043

Dates: Exam Made Date: 03/12/1985

Misc: Water District Number: 438

Field Inspection Flag: Presumption Flag:

Cond
C-18
C-25

Rem

BQ9-438
~~245-184~~ 80 ac
Hole - 184/184
E-14 - 184/184
319 ac 24.38 gals

319 ac
319 pbl

SEE 43-184 FOR GIS LAYOUT

Idaho Department of Water Resources


RIGHTS: 43-00184
and 43-00185

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE

TWP 15S, RNG 25E, SEC 33, 34

□ TWP 16S, RNG 25E, SEC 4

Data entry on March 27, 2000



-  RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION
-  RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
-  SECTION LINES
-  QUARTER/QUARTER LINES



*Extent of Surface
Water Irrigation from
Raft River, 1987
Per claimant*

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987/1988 NAPP AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

DATA ENTRY BY VIKIE HANCOCK

NOTE TO FILE

From: Vikie Hancock

3/24/00

Regarding: Claim number **Various, William Jones**

Mr. Bill Jones came in this date to further review his irrigation claims and to give me some proofs of priority for his beneficial use claim number **A43-10356** on Almo Creek. In reviewing this claim, we used a 1956 ASCS aerial photo that Mr. Jones had acquired, a 1978 ASCS aerial photo and 1987 NAPP photography used as a layer in ARCVIEW. It became apparent from the photos that the Jones' changed the course of Raft River to run diagonally through the SENE Section 32 and SWNW Section 33 sometime before 1978. This change in channel location prevented any flood irrigation from Almo Creek reaching land to the south and east of this channel without some sort of pipeline or flume across Raft River. Mr. Jones stated that they did not use any such device to convey water from Almo Creek across Raft River. The Jones have continued to use water from Almo Creek on approximately 31 acres.

Although it appears that they began using Almo Creek to irrigate all their land originally on the north side of Raft River in the early 1940s or late 1930s, they have not used it on land to the southeast of the re-located Raft River channel since at least 1978. Mr. Jones stated that they have conveyed Raft River water to that area using ditches from the point of diversion in the SWSW Section 32, and they began using water from the well located in the SWSESW Section 32 to irrigate that property using sprinkler lines. I told Mr. Jones that based upon the information I had, I was inclined to limit my recommendation for this claim to the 31 acres he has continued to irrigate since the late 1930s.

I showed Mr. Jones a GIS layout dated 3-23-00 that I had prepared showing the original location of the conveyance ditch from Raft River used to irrigate land on the south side of the river. This land is claimed by **A43-00184 and A43-00185**. He agreed that, except for the land formerly irrigated by Almo Creek and subsequently by Raft River, the fields I had outlined represented the extent of the property they had irrigated with the river. The two decrees that Mr. Jones bases these claims upon are for a total of 314 acres and a total diversion rate of 3.2 cfs. The area outlined on my GIS layout totaled 165 acres, which represents an accomplished transfer of all the original decreed place of use for 43-00184. (Note, however, that the original decree holders are the grandparents of Mr. Bill Jones). I found that an additional 39 acres had been irrigated within the original decreed place of use for 43-00185,

making a 1987 total of 204 acres. The diversion rate will be limited to the combined decreed total of 3.2 cfs.

Claim **A43-02479** is based upon a license for 154 acres and represents some change in the licensed place of use. According to my conversation with Mr. Jones on 3-23-2000, the claim as originally filed also did not accurately reflect the water use as of 1987 (see notes on GIS layout dated 3/23/2000). It was determined that the area flood irrigated using gated pipe circa 1987 irrigated 154 acres, although it is also true that irrigation runoff continued north to the low spot once occupied by the River channel. Mr. Jones explained that they have now installed a pivot in the identified area which he believes irrigates approximately 150 acres.

A43-02538 also reflects a change in place of use subsequent to licensing and prior to 1987. The adjudication claim, as filed, was slightly different from the area Mr. Jones identified during our meeting on March 23rd (see GIS layout dated 3-23-00). He showed two fields that continue to be flood irrigated plus the area irrigated by Almo Creek prior to 1978, that is now irrigated by sprinkler lines using groundwater from this well. Per 1987 NAPP photography, the total acres I found irrigated using this right is 140. Mr. Jones is contemplating the installation of a pivot on a portion of this ground. I advised him to notify our Allocations Bureau if it changed the place of use.

At this time Mr. Jones had not yet obtained any documentation toward proof of beneficial use of George Creek as claimed by **A43-04043**.

NOTE TO FILE

From: Vikie Hancock

3/8/00

Regarding: Claim number: Various – William Jones

William and Rodney Jones came into the office 3-8-2000 to discuss their adjudication claims for irrigation, to discuss a possible transfer of points of diversion and to file stockwater claims on Raft River.

An instream stockwater claim was filed for the Raft River as it flows through their property. They included a portion of river in the NESWSW Section 5, T16S, R25E. The USGS quadrangle maps does not show the river going through that corner of Section 5, but the claimants state that the river braids and does indeed flow through there. 1987 NAPP photos substantiate this claim.

The claimants stated that they had been having a hard time getting water out of Raft River at the point of diversion that they had historically used in the SWSW Section 32 and wished to move it to a point farther downstream where they could put in a pump. I told them that I didn't see that there would be a problem with that but, that it would require an administrative transfer through our Allocations Bureau. This would affect claims A43-00184 and -00185 which are based on old decreed water rights to Raft River. They also wished to move the point of diversion on Almo Creek downstream to a place just above the Raft River and pump from there. This would effect Beneficial Use claim A43-10356. I advised them that, at this point in the Adjudication process, it may be best to hold off on the p/d transfers until the partial decree had been issued, since a transfer at this late date would possibly hold up the decree. I said that my SRBA recommendation would reflect the rights as filed in 1987. They said that they had actually already moved the points of diversion but had only used portable pumps so far. I said that they would need to get the changes made to their system post 1987 approved by Allocations at some point.

A discussion of their Adjudication claims went as follows:

A43-10356 – BU claim on Almo Creek. Priority date 4-30-1879.

I told them that the priority date would need to be advanced at least until the day after the Jobe Adams Decree in October 1928. We went over the fact that, subsequent to the date of the deed they submitted showing conveyance of 160 inches of water from Almo Creek

and Raft River, two separate decrees had been issued without including the use of Almo Creek water on their place of use. I said that this was good evidence that their use of water from Almo Creek began sometime after 1928, especially since William's grandparents were included in the Jobe Adams and decreed water from Raft River. I told them that to validate their claim they needed some proof of water use beginning before 1971 and after 1928. They were very aware of the priority dates upstream on Almo Creek and where they could find the Decree filed in the Cassia County Courthouse. I explained that an affidavit from a party that had no interest in their property would be acceptable. Rodney asked about his grandmother, who is in her 90's. I said that, since this was a possible court proceeding, I would want to have some corroborating evidence in the file. Since they only used Almo Creek water on the north side of the river, I suggested ASCS photos. In discussing this claim we also found that the place of use was incorrect as originally filed. The place of use for the Almo Creek water should have been filed in the SENE Section 32 and SWNW, NWSW, NESW Section 33, T15S, R25E. No one knew why there had been that much of an error, but it does make more sense. They discussed that the two points of diversion actually were on Almo Creek and one of the laterals. I said that a point of diversion on a lateral said "wastewater" to me. I further said that the advancement of the priority date would probably not, in reality, affect their use of Almo Creek since they weren't now a permittee recognized by the District 43D watermaster and were already being affected by all the prior rights on the creek.

A43-04043 Statutory claim on George Creek – claimed priority 1920.

I told the Jones's that this claim had the same problem as their claim to Almo Creek, in that George Creek was specifically included in the Jobe Adams but that their use was not found. I said that once again they needed to provide proof of priority after 1928 and before 1971. I reminded them that, at the time they filed the Stat Claim, there were people upstream on George Creek and downstream on Raft River that protested their right to use any water from George Creek. Rodney showed me the ditch and place of use for George Creek and they both said that they hardly ever got any water down that far. I told them that they could understand why people downstream on Raft River might not like them interfering with the contributing flow of one of the tribs, even during high water.

A43-02538 and A43-02479 – two licenses for Ground water

We looked at these claims and verified the points of diversion. I told them that it looked like they had moved the place of use some, but that they were OK as it was prior to 1987, and that they would be limited to the number of acres and amount of water licensed. They showed me a place that they now had a pivot. They said that the stackyard I had not

included in the irrigated place of use per my GIS print-out was now all irrigated. I said that I was charged by the legislature to determine and recommend the number of irrigated acres as of 1987, and that was why we had the 1987 NAPP photos taken. I told them that they were obligated to inform the department of any changes in the place of use, and that we were only letting go any changes done prior to the commencement of the adjudication. They said that they were also going to put in another small pivot. I told them that they needed to apply for a transfer of place of use and that the number of acres they would have to work with would be those found irrigated with valid water rights as of 1987. They thought that they would not increase any acres by putting in another pivot and I said they were probably correct. I mentioned to them that the Department's reason for needing to approve changes in water right use was demonstrated by their recent difficulty in diverting water from Raft River from a point they had used for years.

A43-00184 and A43-00184 – based upon decrees in the Jobe Adams

These two rights, from 1882 and 1883 are pretty strait-forward. The place of use has been moved around due to dynamics of the Raft River and probably easier methods of moving water to better ground. One of the rights is over-claimed on acres. I told them that they would be limited to the number of acres identified in the Jobe Adams Decree. There is every indication that the water from Raft River has been continuously used in accordance with these decrees. Rodney showed me the location of the 1987 ditches and places irrigated.

amounts given them by virtue of the decree aforesaid, said Heglar Ranch being a tract of land described as follows, viz: $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 17 and $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 18, Twp. 11 S. R. 27 E.B.M.

David W. Jones
Violet Jones
1912-Feb. 17

1.5 s.f.

To be diverted from Round Mountain Creek for the irrigation of $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 12, $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}NW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 13, Twp. 16 S. R. 28 E.B.M.

183 Wm. Jones and
Maryann Jones
1883-July 1

1.6 s.f.

To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 11, Twp. 16 S. R. 25 E.B.M.

184 1882-May 1

1.6 s.f.

To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of $N\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 33, Twp. 15 S. R. 25 E.B.M.

185 1883-Apr. 30

1.6 s.f.

To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 10, Lots 1 and 2, Sec. 4, Lots 2, 3 and 4, Sec. 3, Twp. 16 S. R. 25 E.B.M.

Stella Livingston
1894-Apr. 1
1887-Apr. 1

2.38 s.f.
.8 s.f.

To be diverted from Cassia Creek for the irrigation of the following described land, to-wit: Lot 7, Sec. 6, Lots 1 and 2 of Sec. 7, Twp. 14 S. R. 25 E.B.M. A portion of Twp. 14 West of Range 24, the same being H. E. Survey No. 105 described as follows:

Beginning at corner No. 1 identical with the corner of Sections 1 and 12, Twp. 14 S. R. 23 and Secs. 6 and 7, Twp. 14 S. R. 25, thence South 57' East 39.28 chains to corner No. 2, thence North 12°1' West 60.95 chains to corner No. 3, thence North 84°59' East 12.02 chains to the place of beginning.

F. M. Kossman
1882-March 1

1.4 s.f.

To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of $NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 6, Twp. 13 S. R. 27 E.B.M., also a further tract of land, described as follows: Commencing at the SE corner of the $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 6, thence North 60 rods, thence West 160 rods, thence South 60 rods, thence East 160 rods, to place of beginning.

Eddy Kossman
1882-March 1

1.4 s.f.

To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of Lots 2 and 3, Sec. 6, Twp. 13 S. R. 27 E.B.M., also a further tract of land described as follows: Beginning at the SE corner of Lot 2, said Sec. 6, thence South 20 rods, thence West 160 rods, thence North 20 rods, thence East 160 rods, to place of beginning.

Nate Leavitt
1885-Apr. 30

1 s.f.

To be diverted from Cassia Creek for the irrigation of Beginning at Corner No. 1 from which the quarter corner to Sec. 34, Twp. 13 S. R. 24 E.B.M., and Sec. 3, Twp. 14 S. R. 24 E.B.M. bears South 5 deg. 10' West 92.61 chains distant, thence North

Amend

154 ac

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

Claim No. STEED HEARING

A43-00185

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Frank Hitchcock

September 8, 1997 1:34pm

NAME:
OLEN WARD-UPPER RAFT RIVER

RE:
RECORDS FOR USAGES RELATED
STEED/REEDSPRINGS-HEARING.

WALK-IN AT 9:20-CW-WANTED ALL USAGES "LICENSES" FOR BRUCE DURFEE, KENT DURFEE, BRENT JONES AND BILL JONES.

DISCUSSED HIS PROTEST RE: STEED USAGES AND FLOWS FROM THE STEED ACRES.

REVIEWED BASIN 43 SEL/ALPHA CLAIMS RECORDS FOR UPPER RAFT RIVER CLAIMS ASSIGNED TO FH AND DID AN AJS58 QUERY FOR THE CLAIMS OF THE NOTED PEOPLE. COLLATED BY NUMBER AND PUT COPIES OF THE NAME LISTS USED WITH EACH SET.

WARD CALLED BACK AT 11:42 TO CONFIRM THE JONES CLAIMS BEING PULLED. HE STOPPED IN SHORTLY AFTER NOON TO PICK UP THE PROOFS LEFT FOR HIM AT THE FRONT DESK.

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

Claim No. A43-00184, -00185 ✓

-10356.

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Frank Hitchcock

February 17, 1997 11:33am

NAME:
WILLIAM D. JONES AND SON

RE:
CHANGE POD/BU CLAIM IN SRBA
TRANSFER POD/DECREE USAGE
FROM RAFT RIVER.

ASKED BY GEORGIA SATTERLEE TO REVIEW WITH HER AND JONES THE STATUS OF THE BU CLAIM AND THE DECREED RIGHT CLAIM ASSIGNED TO ME FOR REVIEW IN THE UPPER RAFT RIVER VALLEY.

CLAIMANT WANTS TO CHANGE POINT OF DIVERSION FOR BU CLAIM FILED IN THE SRBA A43-10356. REVIEWED AND MARKED A MAP FOR REFERENCE.

CLAIMANT WANTS TO MOVE THE CLAIMED POD FOR A43-00184/-00185 TO A NEW LOCATION WEST OF THE CLAIMED POU NEAR THE NARROWS ROAD. REVIEWED THE PREVIOUSLY (1992) NOTED ERROR IN THE LEGAL FOR THE CLAIMED POD NOTED BY KKC BUT NOT CHANGED.

AS TIME WAS RUNNING OUT ON FRIDAY AFTERNOON CLAIMANT AGREED TO MAKE UP A DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF HOW/WHAT ELEMENTS HE WANTS TO CHANGE AND WILL CALL FOR AN APPOINTMENT TO COME IN.