

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A43-00046**
Date Received: 3/20/1997
Receipt No: S019943
Received By: _____

**COPY FOR
YOUR FILES**

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

**COPY FOR
YOUR FILES**

1. Name: REID S STEWART
Address: 1655 SUNSET DR
LOGAN UT

84321

801-753-2027

AND/OR

Name: RUTH O STEWART
Address: 1655 SUNSET DR
LOGAN UT

84321

801-753-2027

2. Date of Priority: APR 30, 1884

3. Source: RAFT RIVER

Trib. to: SNAKE RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
15S	26E	23		SE	SE		CASSIA
		26		NW	NE		CASSIA

5. Description of diverting works:

DAM, HEADGATE, PUMPS, SUMPS, DITCHES & PIPELINES TO PLACE OF USE

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	03/15	11/15	2.000		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:

2.000 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is

432.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
15S	26E	23	SE	SE		IRR	29.0
						Section Acres	29.0
		24	NW	SW		IRR	37.0
			SW	SW		IRR	38.0
			SE	SW		IRR	40.0
						Section Acres	115.0
						Total Acres	144.0

11. Place of use in counties: CASSIA

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
43-04097, 43-02327, 43-02461

14. Remarks:
HISTORICALLY, WATERS HAVE BEEN DIVERTED AT DIFFERENT POINTS ALONG THE RAFT RIVER FROM NWNE SEC 26 TO THE SESE SEC 23, DEPENDING ON THE FLOW OF THE RIVER AND CHANGES IN CHANNEL PROPERTIES.

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: / /

ALBION-IDAHO LAND CO.
Decree Plaintiff

JOBE ADAMS ET AL
vs Decree Defendant

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

**IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM**

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number A43-00046Date Received 3/20/97Receipt Number 5019943

**NOTICE OF CLAIM
TO A
WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

43-0046

Please type or print clearly

1. Name of Claimant (s) Reid S. and/or Ruth O. Stewart Phone (801) 753-2027Mailing Address 1655 Sunset Drive, Logan, Utah Zip 843212. Date of Priority (Only one (1) per claim) April 30, 18843. Source of water supply (a) Raft River
which is tributary to (b) Snake River4. a. Location of existing point of diversion is: Township 15S Range 26E Section 23,
1/4 of SE 1/4 of SE 1/4, Govt. Lot, B.M., County of CassiaAdditional points of diversion if any: also NW NE, Sec 26, T15S, R26E

b. If instream flow, beginning point of claimed instream flow is:

Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4,

Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____

ending point is: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____

_____ 1/4, Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____

5. Description of existing diversion works (Dams, Reservoirs, Ditches, Wells, Pumps, Pipelines, Headgates, Etc),
including the dates of any changes or enlargements in use, the dimensions of the diversion works as
constructed and as enlarged and the depth of each well.Dam, headgate, pumps, sumps, ditches, and pipelines to place of use.

6. Water is claimed for the following purposes:

(both dates are inclusive)

(cfs)

(acre feet)

For Irrigation purposes from Mar 15 to Nov 15 amount 2.0 or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

7. Total quantity claimed (a) 2.0 (cfs) and/or (b) _____ (acre feet)

8. Total consumptive use claimed is 432 acre feet per annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses; describe fully (eg. Domestic: Give number of households served; Stockwater: Type and number of livestock Etc.) _____

10. Description of place of use:

a. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below.

b. If water is used for other purposes, place a symbol of use (example: D for Domestic) in the corresponding place of use below. See instructions for standard symbols.

TWP	RNG	SEC	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	NE1/4	NW1/4	SW1/4	SE1/4	
15S	26E	23																29	29
15S	26E	24										37	38	40					115

Total number of acres irrigated 144

11. In which county (ies) are lands listed above as place of use located? Cassia

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes X No _____
If your answer is No, describe in Remarks below the authority you have to claim this water right.

13. Describe any other water rights used at the same place and for the same purposes as described above.
43-4097, 43-2327, and 43-2461 or None ()

14. Remarks:
Historically, waters have been diverted at different points along the
Raft River from the NW NE of Section 26 to the SE SE of Section 23,
depending on the flow of the river and changes in channel properties.


Last Name Stewart Ident No. 43-0046

Copies: White -State, Yellow-Claimant

Map of Project: show clearly the point of diversion, place of use, section number, township, and range number.

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile

T15S, R26E



Last Name Stewart Ident No. 43-0046

Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant

15. Basis of Claim (check one) Beneficial Use _____ Posted Notice _____ License _____ Permit _____
Decree ☒ X

If applicable provide IDWR Water Right Number 43-0046

Court US Jud. Dist. ID Case Number _____ Decree Date Oct. 18, 1928

Plaintiff vs Defendant Albion ID. Land Co. vs Jobe Adams Et Al

16. Signature (s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read, and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not ☒ X wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments none

For Individuals: I do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant (s) *Ruth O. Stewart* Date 3-2-97

Ruth O. Stewart Date 3-2-97

For Organizations: I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____ Title _____

of _____, that I have signed the foregoing
Organization

document in the space below as _____ of _____
Title Organization

and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent _____

Title and Organization _____ Date _____

State of Idaho/or _____)
County of Twin Falls) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 20th day of March 19 97

SEAL

Notary Public *Douglas Jones*

Residing at Buhl

My Commission Expires 8/8/2001

Please Print Name

17. Notice of Appearance:

Notice is hereby given that I, _____ will be acting as attorney at law on behalf of the claimant signing above, and that all notices required by law to be mailed by the director to the claimant signing above should be mailed to me at the address listed below.

Signature _____

Address _____

Date _____

Last Name Stewart Ident. Number 43-0046

Copies White-State, Yellow-Claimant

A43-09097
A43-02461
A43-02327

#15
Reid

3-43.0 NHEL

1-16.7 NHEL

CAP
89-400

6-77.5 NHEL

4-19.9

CAP
89-400
18 NHEL

32

40
38

29

23 24

26

2-30.4

1-27.0

1-23.1

6-78.8

3-41.2

3-41.2

3-41.2

3-41.2

3-41.2

3-41.2

3-41.2

RECORD NOT FOUND

SD-0601-1

Idaho Department of Water Resources

File Inventory Query by Water Right Number

Date: 11/14/9'

Time: 08:55:4'

BC

BCQ1

Water Right Number: A43-00046__

(Enter=Cont)


(GOLD8=Exit)

(Menu _____)

Map of Project: show clearly the point of diversion, place of use, section number, township, and range number.

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile

T15S, R26E



Last Name Stewart

Ident No. 43-0046

Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant

SD-0401-2
AJ2

Idaho Department of Water Resources
Adjudication Modification Accept Water Right No

Date: 11/14/9
Time: 09:05:2

Region: 17

Water Right Number: A43-00046__

File to Read from: 1

1=Read Host Temp File

2=Read Host Master File

CLAIM READ FROM HOST MASTER...PRESS ENTER TO CONTINUE

(Enter=Continue)

(Menu _____)

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: Dave F. Hill

RECEIVED

SUBJECT: late claim

FROM: Doug Jones

MAR 24 1997

DATE: 3/20/97

Department of Water Resources

I have the claims in hand, have drafted them into the computer, and actually reprinted them (claims on temp). I recommend authorizing filing the late claims officially - no compelling reason to deny them - per 42-1409A(3). Please ~~reference~~ reference attached WR & A's profiles if you are curious about these oddballs.

REC V E Janks

() For your information

MAR 26 1997

() Response required

signature

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

RECEIVED

MAR 26 1997

Date Received

3/20/97

RECEIVED

MAR 24 1997

Department of Water Resources

COPY

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
South
Department of Water Resources
REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE ADJUDICATION CLAIM(S)

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request by the claimant for an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

Name of Claimant Reid S. & Ruth O. Stewart (801) 753-2027
Phone Number

Mailing Address 1655 Sunset Dr City Logan State UT Zip 84321

County(s) where place of use is located Cassia Co. Date claim originally due 6/8/89; 3/12/93

Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested 43-00046 43-04097

State why an extension of time is requested explaining how a diligent effort has been made to meet the deadline:

I did not own the property at the filing should
have been done

Claimant requests an extension to

Signature Ruth O. Stewart Date of request 5/1/97, 19 97
3-20

Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby [☒ granted] [☐ denied] for the following reasons:

and if granted the filing deadline is extended to June 1, 1997, 19 97

Signed this 24th day of March, 19 97

Dana R. Tuttle
Director or his designated agent

Mail to: Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard St.
Boise, ID 83706

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

NAME AND ADDRESS: REID S STEWART
RUTH O STEWART
1655 SUNSET DR
LOGAN, UT 84321

PURPOSE AND PERIOD OF USE:	PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
	Irrigation	03-15 TO 11-15	2.00 CFS

THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 100 ACRES WITHIN THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. USE OF THE RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 1023 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130, 43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A, 43-2461, 43-2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095, 43-10535, 43-13102.

THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. I.C. SECTION 42-1412(6).

07/20/2001

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 43-46

NAME AND ADDRESS: REID S STEWART
RUTH O STEWART
1655 SUNSET DR
LOGAN, UT 84321

SOURCE: RAFT RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 2 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 04/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T15S R26E S23 SWESE Within CASSIA County
T15S R26E S26 NWNE Within CASSIA County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE	QUANTITY
IRRIGATION	03-15 11-15	2.00 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION Within CASSIA County

T15S R26E S23	NESE	0.1	T15S R26E S23	SESE	4.2
T15S R26E S24	NENE	40	T15S R26E S24	SWNE	35
T15S R26E S24	SENE	40	T15S R26E S24	SWNW	39
T15S R26E S24	SENE	38	T15S R26E S24	NESW	40
T15S R26E S24	NWSW	40	T15S R26E S24	SWSW	40
T15S R26E S24	SESW	40	T15S R26E S24	NESE	40
T15S R26E S24	NWSE	40	T15S R26E S24	SWSE	40
T15S R26E S24	SESE	40	T15S R26E S25	NENE	40
T15S R26E S25	NWNE	40	T15S R26E S25	NENW	40
T15S R26E S25	NWNW	40	T15S R26E S26	NENE	39
T15S R26E S26	NWNE	33	T15S R26E S26	SWNE	40
T15S R26E S26	SENE	40	T15S R27E S18	LOT 4	SWSW
T15S R27E S19	LOT 1	49	T15S R27E S19	LOT 2	SWNW
T15S R27E S19	LOT 3	49			

1023.3 ACRES TOTAL

THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 100 ACRES WITHIN THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.

USE OF THE RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 1023 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130, 43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A, 43-2461, 43-2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095, 43-10535, 43-13102.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:


THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO CODE.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

Idaho Department of Water Resources

RIGHTS: 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130,
43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A,
43-2461, 43-2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095,
43-4097, 43-10535, 43-13102

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
TWP 15S, RNG 26E
TWP 15S, RNG 27E
Data entry on July 17, 2001



-  RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION
-  RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
-  SECTION LINES
-  QUARTER/QUARTER LINES



GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987/1988 NAPP AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY
PREPARED BY VIKIE HANCOCK



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200, Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Phone: (208) 736-3033 FAX: (208) 736-3037

SOUTHERN REGION

**DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR**

**KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR**

July 24, 2001

Don Barnett
Barnett Intermountain Water Consulting
106 West 500 South #101
Bountiful, Utah 84010

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claims of Reid Stewart

Dear Don,

Per our phone conversation yesterday, here are copies of all Reid's water rights as they will appear - with revised conditions and remarks - in the upcoming Basin 43 Director's Report to the Court.

Although the time for Reid to object to his own Clear Creek rights has passed, I have also included copies of the Clear Creek water rights for your convenience, so that you may see how they mesh with the ground water rights on the Grow property. If you find any inconsistencies, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Vikie Hancock
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Southern Regional Office, Twin Falls

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

From: Vikie Hancock

6/13/2001

Regarding:

Contact:

Claims of Reid Stewart

Reid Stewart and Don Barnett

I met with the claimant and his water consultant, Mr. Barnett, today in the office. We reviewed all Mr. Stewart's claims. **Regarding the claims on Raft River we addressed the following:**

Acres in the W½ SW Section 24 need to be added to the place of use. These tracts were included in the decreed tracts identified in 43-046 for 2 cfs from Raft River priority date 1884. Mr. Stewart now irrigates this land by sprinkler from both Raft River and groundwater, and it appears that there was irrigation on those tracts and also cultivation beginning before 1962 and increasing in 1968 per aerial photos. It should be part of the permissible place of use at the large, or home ranch, portion of Mr. Stewart's property. ✓

Mr. Stewart currently uses two points of diversion from Raft River, both equipped with pumps. The downstream POD is at the bridge crossing the river at the SESESE Sec 23 T15S R26E where my preliminary recommendation identified it. There are currently two pumps attached to the bridge. The upper point of diversion is downstream of the point I identified in the NWNE Sec 26 and has been noted on the 1962 photo in file 43-046. Only 2 cfs under right 43-046 is valid for diversion at the bridge in the SESESE Sec 23. The balance of Stewart's rights, 8.19 cfs, should be diverted in the NWNE Sec 26. As this is not what is actually happening, Mr. Stewart needs to file a transfer so that he can divert his Raft River rights from either point of diversion. We will discuss the timing of filing this transfer during our next conversation.

Stewart pumps the river water directly into pipelines that are integrated into his sprinkler system and co-mingled with ground water. He currently has 550 acres with surface water rights on approximately 1022 acres (this includes the added acres in Section 24 noted above). We discussed whether or not he wanted to file an enlargement claim based upon his decrees to enable him to use Raft River water on all his acres. Mr. Barnett asked if he ever used Raft River water exclusively to irrigate the whole acreage. Mr. Stewart said that he always had at least one well pumping. Based on this, Mr. Barnett questioned his need to file an enlargement claim on Raft River, and expressed concern that other users may find an enlargement claim objectionable. They will discuss it further and let me know.

Other than the possible enlargement and the need for a POD transfer they found the Raft River claims correct as filed provided acreage was added to the PPU in the SW of Section 24.

Darrington

Using SESESE well for irrigation

1) 1160 - add land in W 1/2 SW Sec 24 to Sec line. (need Expansion claim) ~~& Enlarge~~

2) Run pumps in SESESE 23

1
980
+ 42

3) Upper location POD (1284 etc)

21022

need to GPS PODS

pumps into pipes

2 places - at Budge & in NW NE 26

Previously into ponds

RR integrated into Spktr System

MOVE POD on River (upper)

to that noted on 00046

(Ask about this with Day.) Timbraw? get Boca
with Don Barnett.

Do they want to file an enlargement on RR?

no → They always have 1 well running anyway.

RR claims OK as is Except POD Transfer

02/14/2001

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 43-46

NAME AND ADDRESS: REID S STEWART
RUTH O STEWART
1655 SUNSET DR
LOGAN, UT 84321

SOURCE: RAFT RIVER

TRIBUTARY: SNAKE RIVER

QUANTITY: 2 CFS

WHEN COMBINED, RIGHT NOS. 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130,
43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A, 43-2461, 43-
2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095, & 43-10535, SHALL PROVIDE NO
MORE THAN .02 CFS PER ACRE.

PRIORITY DATE: 04/30/1884

POINT OF DIVERSION: T15S R26E S23
T15S R26E S26

SWSESE
NWNWNE

Within CASSIA County
Within CASSIA County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

PURPOSE OF USE
IRRIGATION

PERIOD OF USE
03-15 11-15

QUANTITY
2.00 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

IRRIGATION Within CASSIA County

T15S R26E S23	SESE	4.1	T15S R26E S24	NENE	40
T15S R26E S24	SWNE	35	T15S R26E S24	SENE	40
T15S R26E S24	SWNW	39	T15S R26E S24	SENE	38
T15S R26E S24	NESW	40	T15S R26E S24	NWSW	6.8
T15S R26E S24	SWSW	31	T15S R26E S24	SESW	40
T15S R26E S24	NESE	40	T15S R26E S24	NWSE	40
T15S R26E S24	SWSE	40	T15S R26E S24	SESE	40
T15S R26E S25	NENE	40	T15S R26E S25	NWNE	40
T15S R26E S25	NENW	40	T15S R26E S25	NWNW	40
T15S R26E S26	NENE	39	T15S R26E S26	NWNE	33
T15S R26E S26	SWNE	40	T15S R26E S26	SENE	40
T15S R27E S18	LOT 4	48	T15S R27E S19	LOT 1	49
T15S R27E S19	LOT 2	49	T15S R27E S19	LOT 3	49

980.9 ACRES TOTAL

THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 100 ACRES WITHIN
THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION
SEASON.

*chq ppw
pu noe*

*Acres added
pu noe
7-17-01
UH*

USE OF THE RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 981 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130, 43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A, 43-2461, 43-2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095, & 43-10535

*chg
1023 ac*

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:


THIS PARTIAL DECREE IS SUBJECT TO SUCH GENERAL PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR THE DEFINITION OF THE RIGHTS OR FOR THE EFFICIENT ADMINISTRATION OF THE WATER RIGHTS AS MAY BE ULTIMATELY DETERMINED BY THE COURT AT A POINT IN TIME NO LATER THAN THE ENTRY OF A FINAL UNIFIED DECREE. SECTION 42-1412(6), IDAHO CODE.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Decreed

Idaho Department of Water Resources

RIGHTS: 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130,
43-131, 43-2167A, 43-2276, 43-2327, 43-2381A,
43-2461, 43-2521, 43-2534A, 43-4035, 43-4095,
43-4097, 43-10535

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
TWP 15S, RNG 26E,
TWP 15S, RNG 27E,
Data entry on February 12, 2001



○ RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE

SECTION LINES

QUARTER/QUARTER LINES



*Superseded
7-17-01*

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION
1987/1988 NAPP AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY
DATA ENTRY BY VIKIE HANCOCK

DATE: 07/31/00
PAGE: 1

~~4,32.00 AFA~~

Stage: DECREED

CASSIA County
CASSIA County

SE

29.0
115.0
144.0

100%

100%

THE FLOW OF THE RIVER AND CHANGES IN CHANNEL PROPERTIES.

Objection Filed Date:

Field Inspection flag:

Presumption Flag:


227

FILE NOTES

REID STEWART

T15S R16E

POD Search



V. HANCOCK

6-13-2001
info from Stewart 1962 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

FILE NOTES

REID STEWART

T15S R16E



V. HANCOCK

1962 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

FILE NOTES

CLAIM NO(S): 43-00046

TWP 15S RNG 26E
SEC 23, 24



V. HANCOCK

6-13-01 info from Stewart

1968 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

34	1881-June 1 (Wilkes Tract)	3 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $E\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 33, Twp. 15 S. R. 27 E.B.M., $NW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
35	1881-June 1 (Shangle Tract)	3 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 33, Twp. 15 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
36	1881-June 1 (Schwabacker Tract)	2.2 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $S\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, $E\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 4, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E. B. M.
37	1881-June 1 (Kelso Tract)	1.4 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 3, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
38	<u>Albion-Idaho Land Company</u> 1886-June 15 (Leafy Neal Tract)	1.7 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NE\frac{1}{4}$, $N\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 15 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
39	1881-June 1 (James Kempton Tract)	.5 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 15, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
40	1887-June 1	2.2 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 15, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
41	1887-June 1 (Jerome Kempton Tract)	2.7 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $NW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 15, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
42	1886-June 15 (Gallihier Tract)	2.2 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $E\frac{1}{2}$, Sec. 32, $W\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 15 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
43	1908-May 1 (Retan Tract)	2.6 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $E\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $NE\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, $NW\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 4, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
44	1887-June 1 (Pierce Tract)	4.4 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $S\frac{1}{2}$ and the $S\frac{1}{2}NW\frac{1}{4}$, $SW\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 10, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.M.
45	1882-June 1 (Rice or Round Mountain Tract)	1.7 s.f.	To be diverted from Clear Creek for the irrigation of $W\frac{1}{2}SE\frac{1}{4}$, $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 13, $NE\frac{1}{4}NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, Twp. 16 S. R. 27 E.B.


PROVIDED: That the Albion-Idaho Land Company or any of the appropriators having a lower point of diversion shall not be entitled to divert any of the waters of the said Clear Creek when the same does not exceed 200 inches or 4 second feet, at the said Company's point of diversion.

46	<u>Orlando Bateman and Ella Bateman</u> 1884-Apr. 30	2 s.f.	To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of $SE\frac{1}{4}SE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 23, $S\frac{1}{2}SW\frac{1}{4}$ and $NW\frac{1}{4}SW\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 24, Twp. 15 S. R. 26 E.B.M.
47	1872-Apr. 1	3.82 s.f.	To be diverted from Raft River for the irrigation of Lots 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5, S 6, Twp. 16 S. R. 26 E.B.M.
48	<u>J. Edwin Barker and Teggie Barker</u> 1884-Apr. 30	.65 s.f.	To be diverted from Cassia Creek for the irrigation of $E\frac{1}{2}NE\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 31, Twp. 13 S. R. 25 E.B.M., excepting the following described land:
49	1881-Apr. 30	.2 s.f.	
50	1894-Apr. 1	1.1 s.f.	

FILE NOTES

CLAIM NO(S): 43-00046

TWP 15S RNG 26E
SEC 23, 24



214
A43-04697
A43-02461
A43-02327

CRP
BR. 400
VHEL

2-34

29

3-24

26

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

3-430 VHEL

4-627 VHEL

2-804

#15
Reid S

CRP

See J36
for 1978
Actual

POD ANALYSIS, RAFT RIVER DECREED RIGHTS - REID STEWART

In response to the concerns of other irrigators in the area, and also the highway department and BLM, about pumps installed on a bridge over Raft River by Reid Stewart, I did some investigating into the historical point of diversion for the rights on Raft River purchased by Stewart. This bridge spans the river between sections 23 and 24 T15S R26E. The pumps are on the west side of the bridge, putting them just inside the SESE Section 23 line.

It is clear, from the claims filed by Stewart himself, that the point of diversion for decreed rights **43-128A, -129, -130 and -131** was in NWNE of Section 26 in 1989. From 1962, 1968 and 1978 aerial photos, there does appear to be two good candidates for diversion ditches from Raft River to the Stewart property. Both these diversion points are in the N½NE Section 26. The POD in NWNE Sec 26 was evidently still in use for these rights in 1989, after the commencement of the Adjudication. These rights represent 8.19 cfs from Raft River, which would require a transfer of change in point of diversion if they are to be diverted at the bridge.

Licensed right **43-2167A**, also claimed by Stewart, has a licensed point of diversion in Section 27, and a claimed point of diversion (by Stewart) in Section 26. A transfer would also be required to divert the 1.6 cfs represented by this right from the bridge.

Ivan Darrington filed a statutory claim 43-4097 in 1980 for winter irrigation and stockwater from Raft River. He stated that the place of use for irrigation was the same as decreed right **43-00046** from Raft River. He listed the point of diversion in the SESE Section 23. Although a clear diversion in the SESE Section 23 does not appear in the 1962, '68, '78 or 1987 aerial photos, there may have been a diversion for irrigation at the point indicated by Darrington in 1980 due to the dynamic nature of Raft River. Claim 43-4097 should not be recommended for irrigation, however, 43-00046 does represent a valid right to 2.0 cfs that, barring information proving the non-existence of the claimed Darrington diversion, could be diverted through the pumps on the bridge in question without a transfer.

Ugk

MEMO TO FILE: REID STEWART A43-00046 & A43-04097
DATE: OCTOBER 28, 1999
AGENT: Jeanie Robertson

Yesterday, while meeting with Mr. Olene Warr regarding his Basin 43 Irrigation Claims, he asked if I would accompany him and a co-worker (Tom) to a bridge over the Raft River where Mr. Stewart had installed three pumps. They both work for the Highway Department and questioned the legality of the pumps on a county bridge abutment.

I did a GPS location of the site and took several photographs of the area. I also gathered some information on the pumps. It seems the County has been involved in a legal dispute over this matter with Mr. Stewart and they wondered if our department should be involved. I told them I would have to check and see if Mr. Stewart had a claim with this Point of Diversion and that if he did, the matter would likely not involve us.

Today I downloaded the GPS files, corrected them and brought them into my Arcview Project in GIS. It shows that the location of the bridge in question is on the Section Line between Sections 23 and 24, T15S, R26E. When I zoomed in, it was actually in the SESE of Section 23. This would be consistent with the abutment being slightly to the West of the roadway.

I checked our database and Mr. Stewart has two claims with this Point of Diversion (T15s, R26E, SESE of Section 23). They are A43-00046, with an 1884 priority date and A43-04097, with a 1930 priority date.

At this point, since Stewart does have a claimed Point of Diversion in that quarter-quarter, this diversion may be legal as far as we are concerned. The dispute is between the county and Stewart as to whether he can have a pump on their bridge or right-of-way...

Questions will need to be answered during review as to when the pumps were installed and if they qualify as an accomplished transfer and whether there are flow meters or measuring devices. Perhaps the local watermaster will need to be involved...

pole # 28024
3 pumps on bridge (Cty. Hwy District)
gps R 102716 A
tag A 0007914 on pole
pics roll #7, 1 → 9

10/27/99

1. GE Motor 75 hp.
mod. 5K6258XH1A
ser. BTJ207538

2. U.S Motor 30 hp
ser # 7644 97



3. GE 100 hp
mod # 5K6276XC72A
ser # KAJ1020154

roll

TO: Allen

DATE: April 28, 1999

FROM: Jim Stanton

SUBJECT: Report of Possible Illegal Diversion From Raft River

Today I spoke with Kurt Pavlat of the Burley BLM office. He told me that Reid Stewart has installed some pumps on a bridge over Raft River to irrigate land that appeared to Pavlat to be historically unirrigated. The bridge is located where the Raft River crosses the section line between Sec. 23 & 24 T. 15S R. 26E. The place of use is in Sec. 24, 25 & 26 east and south of the pumps. I checked for water rights and claims on this property, and found several; printouts are attached. Vickie has Stewart's claims, and she is interested in going along on any field work we do on this; she printed out a NAPP photo of the area, which seems to show irrigation on land claimed by Stewart. How do you want to proceed?


I told Pavlat that we would look into this. Kurt still has to work with Stewart on other matters, so prefers to remain anonymous in this matter.

Claims been filed.
Appears right recorded on maps
and claims been filed. Doesn't
appear to be illegal diversion at
this time.

FIELD NOTES

CLAIM NO(S):
REID STEWART

TWP 15S ,RNG 26E,
SEC 24, 25, 26



V HANCOCK

Date:

1987/1988 NAPP PHOTOGRAPHY

USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
10/10 05/07	4.000 CFS	
09/20 06/06	4.400 CFS	

Stage: STATUTORY CLAIM

CASSIA County

SE

T	R	S	NENE	NUNE	SUNE	SENE	NENU	NUNU	SUNU	SENU	NESU	NUSU	SUSU	SESU	NESE	NUSE	SUSE	SESE	SUM
155	26E	23	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	40.0
15S	26E	24	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	40.0
TOTAL ACRES																			160.0

Pou County: CASSIA

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):		
43-02327	43-02461	43-04095

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of use:
43-00046 43-02327 43-02461

Dates:	App. Received:	Priority Date:	10/11/1950
	Proof Due Date:	Proof Made Date:	
	Exam Made Date:	Exam Sent to S.O.:	
	Licensed Date:		

Misc: **Water District Number:** **Field Exam Fee:**

Audit:	01/28/86	05/28/88	CONVERT
--------	----------	----------	---------

Dates:	Exam Made Date:	Verified Date:	Objection Filed Date:
Misc:	Water District Number:	Field Inspection Flag:	
Audit:		Presumption Flag:	
03/21/97 DUONES			

Stage: DECREED

04/30/1884
Priority Date:
Proof Made Date:
Exam Sent to S.O.:
Field Exam Fee:

DATE: 04/28/99
PAGE: 1

Stage: DECREASED

[illegible]

Other Rights: 43-04097, 43-02327, 43-02461

Remarks: GEN HISTORICALLY, WATERS HAVE BEEN DIVERIED AT DIFFERENT POINTS ALONG THE RAFT RIVER FROM NINE SEC 26 TO THE SESE SEC 23, DEPENDING ON THE FLOW OF THE RIVER AND CHANGES IN CHANNEL PROPERTIES.

Dates:	Exam Made Date:	Verified Date:	Objection Filed Date:
Misc:	Water District Number: 43B	Field Inspection Flag:	
		Presumption Flag:	

Audit: 03/21/97 DJONES

WR5809NP
No. 43-00129

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
WATER RIGHT PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 04/28/99
PAGE: 1

NO. 43-00129		WATER RIGHT PROFILE REPORT				PAGE:	
OWNER	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY	DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD	DIVERSION	DIVERSION
CODE					FROM TO	RATE	VOLUME
C	MARGARET HAWKINS		12/05/1913	IRRIGATION	00/00 00/00	0.660 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION:						0.660 CFS	

Water Source: RAFT RIVER

Tributary to: SNAKE RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NENE		SENE		NENW		SENW		NESW		NWSW		SWSW		SESE		SUSE		SSES		SUM	
T	R	S	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac
155	26E	24	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
155	26E	25	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
155	26E	26	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
155	27E	19	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:

Pou County: CASSIA

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of use:
43-00130 43-00131 43-02276 43-02341 43-02381 43-02513 43-02534 43-04035

Remarks: GEN SEE DECREE FOR FURTHER DESCRIPTION OF P. U.

Dates: App. Received: 12/05/1913

Proof Due Date: Exam Made Date: Exam Sent to S.O.:

Licensed Date: Misc: Water District Number: 43B Field Exam Fee:

Audit: 02/11/86 05/28/88 CONVERT 02/08/98 CLEARFLD

Audit: 06/19/89 WHITE 03/30/95 POST-STD

Stage: DECREASED

	NE				NW				SW				SE				
	NENE	NNNE	SNNE	SENE	NENW	NNNW	SNW	SEW	NESW	NNSW	SWW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	SUM
T R S	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	lt	Ac	
15S 26E 24 :																1	
15S 26E 25 :						1											
15S 26E 26 :	1			1													
15S 27E 19 :							02-1	1		1	03-1		1				

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of use:	
43-00129	43-0226
43-00131	43-02341
	43-02381
	43-02513
	43-02534
	43-040355

Dates:
App. Received:
Priority Date: 03/08/1915

Priority Date: 03/08/1915
Proof Made Date:
Exam Sent to S.O.:

Misc: Water District Number: 438 Field Exam Fee:

Audit:	02/11/86	05/28/88	CONVERT	02/08/98	CLEARFLD
--------	----------	----------	---------	----------	----------

Audit:	06/19/89	WHITE	03/30/95	POST-STD
--------	----------	-------	----------	----------

Stage: DECREED

ME

Pou County: CASSIA

Other Water Rights Listing	Overlapping Place(s) of use:
43-00129	43-02276
43-00130	43-02341
	43-02381
	43-02513
	43-02534
	43-0403535

Remarks: GEN SEE DECREE FOR FURTHER DESCRIPTION OF P. U.

Dates: **App. Received:** **Priority Date:** **09/27/1915**

App. Received:	Priority Date:
Proof Due Date:	Proof Made Date:
Exam Made Date:	Exam Sent to S.O.:
Licensed Date:	

Misc: Water District Number: 43B Field Exam Fee:

Audit:	02/11/86	05/28/88	CONVERT	02/08/98	CLEARFLD
--------	----------	----------	---------	----------	----------

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	REID S STEWART	09/27/1915	IRRIGATION	03-15 11-15	2.40 CFS	
C	RUTH O STEWART					
	1655 SUNSET DR					
	LOGAN UT 84321					
	(801) 753-2027					
					TOTAL DIVERSION:	2.40 CFS
					CONSUMPTIVE USE:	855.00 AFA

Water Source: RAFT RIVER &/OR GROUNDWATER Tributary to: SNAKE RIVER Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T15S R26E S24 S26 S26 NAME CASSIA County
CASSIA County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION											
NE				NW				SW			
T	R	S	:	NE	NE	NE	:	NE	NE	NE	:
15S	26E	24	:	NE	NE	NE	:	NE	NE	NE	:
15S	26E	25	:	NE	NE	NE	:	NE	NE	NE	:
15S	26E	26	:	NE	NE	NE	:	NE	NE	NE	:
15S	27E	19	:	NE	NE	NE	:	NE	NE	NE	:
TOTAL ACRES											
SUM											
40											
40.0											
72.0											
73.0											
100.0											
285.0											

POU County: CASSIA


Other Rights: A43-D0129, 00130, 02534A, 02381A, 02276, 04035

Remarks: GEN SEE ATTACHED.

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: 03/12/1985 Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: 43B Field Inspection Flag: Presumption Flag:

Audit: 06/19/89 WHITE 03/30/95 POST-STD



BARNETT INTERMOUNTAIN WATER CONSULTING

March 10, 1997

RECEIVED

MAR 12 1997

106 West 500 South, Suite 101
Bountiful, Utah 84010-6232
(801) 292-4662
FAX (801) 524-6320

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

Doug Jones
Idaho Department of Water Resources
Southern Region
1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Re: Filing of Raft River SRBA Claims by Reid S. Stewart

Dear Mr. Jones:

I understand from Reid Stewart that he is going to be meeting with you in the next week or so regarding the filing of adjudication claims on a piece of property which he has acquired in the Raft River Valley. He refers to the property as the "Darrington Place", as it was previously owned by Ivan Darrington. Based upon research and information gathered in your office, we understand that Ivan Darrington filed timely adjudication claims for two ground water rights on the properties (43-2327 and 43-2461), but he did not file adjudication claims for the two existing surface water rights on the property (43-0046 and 43-4097). It is for these two latter water rights that Mr. Stewart wishes to meet with you.

The two rights contemplate diversion from the Raft River and irrigation on the subject properties. The first water right is for an old decreed water right which has been used for many, many years on the properties. Quite honestly, we do not quite understand WR 43-4097 and it appears that, at least in part, it may be duplicate of the decreed water right. Nonetheless, we have prepared adjudication claims for Mr. Stewart for each of these water rights. Attached hereto are copies of those claims for your review prior to meeting with Mr. Stewart, and he will be carrying the originals with him.

We believe that these two water rights are the only remaining rights on the properties for which adjudication claims have not been filed. Nonetheless, in reviewing file materials for WR 43-4097, we find a letter dated July 25, 1980 from Dr. Richard H. Matherson which references an additional water right (43-2268) which, from the description in the letter, also appears to be appurtenant to the subject properties. However, in IDWR's water right searches of the area, this water right was not found and, therefore, we are not certain as to the disposition of such.

Lastly, Mr. Stewart has also recently acquired properties in the Southeast of the Southeast of the Southeast quarter of Section 23, T15S, R26E which adjoins the Darrington properties. We find that associated with the properties is a water right (43-4095) in the name of Gary E. Crook

Don A. Barnett, P. E.

Jack A. Barnett, P. E.

BARNETT INTERMOUNTAIN WATER CONSULTING

Doug Jones
March 10, 1997
Page 2

which we believe should have its title updated to show Stewart as the current owner. Mr. Stewart will be bringing with him property ownership information. As best we understand, an appropriate claim was filed in the adjudication for this water right. We do not know whether there are additional water rights on this 10 acre tract which should be updated to Mr. Stewart's name.

We greatly appreciate all your help in the water right matters associated with Mr. Stewart's ranch. Should you have any questions of us prior to your meeting with Reid Stewart, you are welcome to contact us.

Sincerely,



Don A. Barnett, P.E.

np
attachments

cc: Reid S. Stewart (w/o attachments)



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200, Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Phone: (208) 736-3033 FAX: (208) 736-3037

SOUTHERN REGION

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

February 15, 2001

Don E. Barnett
Barnett Intermountain Water Consultants
106 West 500 South, Suite 101
Bountiful, Utah 84010

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claims of Reid Stewart

Dear Mr. Barnett,

In response to your phone call of December 15th, I have done a review of Mr. Stewart's claims in preparation for recommendation in our Preliminary Director's Report due out the end of January, 2001. The following is a summary of the status of the existing claims so that you and Mr. Stewart may determine if any additional filings are required to cover the use of water on his Idaho property. Be aware that the recommended elements are not final for any of the claims summarized herein.

Claim numbers 43-046, 43-128A, 43-129, 43-130, 43-131, 43-4097 and 43-2167A represent filings for surface water for irrigation from Raft River. Based upon my review of the decrees, aerial photos and other historical documents, it is indicated that 43-046 represents a valid right for 2.0 cfs on 100 acres. 43-128A represents 4.67 cfs on 233.5 acres. 43-129, -130 and -131 represent a combined total of 3.52 cfs on 176 acres. The number of acres allowed for the decreed rights is based upon the Jobe Adams Decree, which specifies 1 inch per acre. 43-2167A represents Mr. Stewart's 50% portion of the 1914 license that is appurtenant to the property he retained through a transaction with Richard Miller. This claim would therefore properly be for 40 acres and .80 cfs. Without additional compelling proof, the "winter season irrigation" use represented by 43-4097 will not be recognized as a beneficial use by the Department, however the claim does represent a .08 cfs stockwater right.

Three claims for ground water are appurtenant to the Darrington and Crooks lands. There was quite a history surrounding four wells that exist in the SESE of Section 23. It has been determined that 43-2327 represents a valid right to 0.21 cfs on 80 acres, that 43-2461 represents 1.5 cfs on an overlapping 75 acres and that 43-4095 may represent 0.04 cfs used for domestic, heating, and the irrigation of 1 acre from the wastewater used first for heating. The three rights combined are limited to 1.71 cfs and 80 acres of irrigation. Also note that Department personnel visited the site of the geothermal wells and documented that there is currently no existing domestic or heating use at the site. Also note that information in IDWR files show that,

upon the successful drilling of the replacement irrigation well in the SESESE Section 23 by Ivan Darrington in 1967, the two wells existing at that time in the SWSESE were to have been abandoned. It appears that one of those wells is currently an uncapped artesian.

There are two groundwater claims based upon licenses that were originally appurtenant to the property split in the real estate transaction between Mr. Stewart and Richard Miller. Mr. Stewart's portion of license 43-2534, claimed as 43-2534A, appears to be 1.24 cfs on 69 acres. His portion of 43-2381, claimed as 43-2381A, appears to be 1.07 cfs on 105 acres.

43-2509B, which was originally filed for 5.55 cfs on 80 acres will be adjusted to a proportionate diversion rate of 1.39 cfs, which agrees with transfer 3697. According to our records, the remainder would be recommended to Wayne Rich.

The following rights for groundwater appear to be as originally filed: 43-2521, 1.42 cfs on 71 acres. 43-2299B, 1.86 cfs on 105 acres. 43-2387, 2.44 cfs on 125 acres. 43-2276, 2.81 cfs on 141 acres. Statutory claim 43-4035 for water put to beneficial use in 1958 by Harlan Jensen, overlaps 112 acres licensed in 1935 under 43-2276. Therefore claims 43-4035 and 43-2276 combined, will be limited to a total of 517 acres. 1962 aerial photography does support Mr. Jensen's claim to the development of these acres circa 1958. Other than this overlap of acres, the only apparent adjustments to Mr. Stewart's claims for groundwater would be in diversion rate, not acres.

Expansion claim 43-10535 was filed for 52 acres located in various tracts. Please compare the attached GIS layout representing the extent of development in 1987 to your records. I hope it will help you determine if it is necessary for you to amend or file additional expansion claims for irrigation from groundwater. I have no map outlining the current boundary of Mr. Stewart's property. The layout represents the extent of irrigation only within tracts claimed by him for irrigation from groundwater and Raft River.

Because we are getting close to the time when our Preliminary Report is due to the SRBA Court, I would ask that if you wish to amend or file claims, or see a gross error in the tracts I found irrigated, please contact me as soon as possible. Of course, if for some reason we do not make the preliminary deadline, we can always address the issues during the Notice of Error process. At any rate, I look forward to speaking with you again.

Sincerely,

Vikie Hancock
Sr. Water Resource Agent

NOTE TO FILE

From: Vikie Hancock


2/12/01

Regarding: Claims of Reid Stewart


In response to a conversation with Don Barnett, representing Reid Stewart, I investigated various ground water licenses in the area to determine if there was an error which excluded acres in the NESW Section 24 T15S R26E. Mr. Barnett explained that it seemed that those acres had been historically irrigated but did not show up on any of the licenses or historic claims for irrigation from groundwater.

Searches of the data base found only surface water right 43-128A appurtenant to that property. The tract was not included on the applications for permit of licenses 43-2521, -2276, -2327, -2461, nor on statutory claim 43-4035, all of which surround that tract.

- 1962 aerial photos indicate that a majority of the tract was well irrigated at that time, possibly better irrigated than would be expected using just Raft River water
- It is possible that there is a beneficial use claim for ground water appropriate to that property, however
- E. T. Hawkins, the individual who owned the tract as evidenced by a 1948 transfer of 43-128 (creating A and B portions) did not include the NESW Sec 24 in his 1935 license 43-2276 for GW on adjacent lands. Nor did Harlan Jensen on his 1970 Statutory Claim on overlapping acres claiming a priority date of 1958.
- Whoever owned the property when groundwater was first applied there did not document the use. Claimant will have to find documentation in order to include those acres in a beneficial use claim with a priority date prior to 1962.



Geo Points & Division




SWD SW SE
27 old

DM 9
2381
131

DM 9 old
546 18


2276 - 2.81 -
2521 - 1.42 -
2384 - 1.07
2534A - 1.24

4035 4.32
2327, 2461, 4095 1.71




CLEAR CREEK






10E = 1319



Stewart Claims - ALL GW

R26E R27E



Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile


Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile

3.52 ψ s

MILLER

55

TRANS
128B

$$N_A$$


2167A

6/10/20


129
130
131

116.5
1111 1284

Last Name

Ident No.

Copies: White-State, Yellow-Claimant




V. HANCOCK

1987 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

FILE NOTES

REID STEWART

T15S R16E




V. HANCOCK

1968 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

FILE NOTES

REID STEWART

T15S R16E



V. HANCOCK

1962 AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHY

IDAHO
CASSIA
Report ID: ASCS-156EZ

U.S. Dept. of Agriculture
Agri. Stab. & Conserv. Svc.
Abbreviated 156 Farm Record

OPERATOR: Name, Address & I D No.	FARMLAND	CROPLAND	IRRIGATED CROPLAND	EFFECTIVE CROPLAND	FARM IDENTIFIER	S
528-38-1604 S REID S STEWART 1655 SUNSET DR LOGAN	10.0 801-753-2027	7.0	7.0	7.0	NONE	ACT1
UT 84321						

CRP: .0
IFM: N
RECON. REF. NO.: A00230
OFAV NORMAL PLANTED ACRES: .0

No. of Tracts:	1	Current Year															
TRACT NO.	FARMLAND	CROPLAND	IRRIGATED CROPLAND	HEL	027	A027	W	CW	FW	PC	AW	MW	RW	MG	CWTE	CWNA	PCW
2005	10.0	7.0	7.0	N	N		N										N
IDENTIFIER	DD38 S-24 T-15 R-26																
OWNER	1 - REID S STEWART																

Prepa

6

1603
+ 8457

13 13
24 + 19

1496
T 2.605
117.0

117.0

Handwritten: County
J.H.W. 1000
p 10

4
167.7

5
68.9

6

CRP
89-98

24 19

2

IN THE MATTER OF:

AFFIDAVIT

State of Idaho

County of _____

I, Richard Lance Udy _____ being first duly sworn,
deposes and says: I, Richard Lance Udy, resided in Bridge, Idaho close
to the land Richard Miller purchased from Reid Stewart. I also did
some work on the farm. Water right #43-2381 & 43-2534 with the points
of diversion locations on the above mentioned farm were exclusively
utilized on that farm. The water was pumped into a main line and then
run into sprinkler pipe for use on that property. The water was
utilized this way prior to the sale to Richard Miller during the
sale and after his purchase in May 1976.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 29th day of January 19 92.

Barbara Johnson
(notary public)

Residing at Albion, IdahoMy commission expires 7-23-93

NAME AND ADDRESS OF SENDER

Postmaster's Certificate

7-20-68

SPECIAL DELIVERY
C-50107

THE FOLLOWING IS A SUMMARY OF MY FINDINGS IN THE PRESENTS OF MR. M. BOO BRIDGE, IDAHO. (PROTESTANT) 7-17-68

DIVERSION FROM RAPT RIVER SE SW SEC 27
155 26 E. CONSISTS OF ONE ISHABELIANE
ELECTRIC MOTOR - A HIGGINS WATER LATER PUMP
MOUNTED ON A 36" CONCRETE TILE APPAR 7' ABOVE
WATER - THROUGH AN APPROX 8" STEEL PIPE TEES
NORTH & SOUTH WITH ADJUSTABLE CHECK VALVES
ON EACH - OPEN DISCHARGE INTO EARTH DITCHES
THE WATER WAS BEING LISTED OR DIVERTED IN
THE TIME EACH WAY - (NOTE. ONLY ONE
MEASURING DEVICE - ON NORTH DITCH - A 24" S
CIRCUIT WIRE - INSTALLED & OPERATING NEAR
STANDARD CONDITIONS - DIFFICULTY BEING THAT THE
WIRE WAST WAS NOT KEPT NOR PROPERLY PLUMBED

Indicate type of mail:
☐ INSURED ☐ C. O. D.
☒ REGISTERED

POSTAGE PER

ALL stamp here if issued as
confirmer of mailing or for
additional copies of this bill
POSTMARK AND DATE OF RECEIPT
DUE SEND PLENDORA
IF C. O. D. PAYMENT
5.4
111

THE VERTICAL PLANE. I BELIEVE THE DPT
 WAS NOT AT THE PRESENT CANON
 MEASUREMENT WAS USED. Q NORTH = 2.74 cfs
 Q SOUTH = 1.59 cfs
 TOTAL $Q = 4.33$ cfs

DIVERSION FROM WALL
 SEE 26 T 15 S R 26 E 3 W)
 TO BE EQUIPPED PRESENTLY WITH
 A B VTP 1100 gpm 220' POWERED BY
 75 HP US HOLLOW SHAFT # 166334. 8" DISCHARGE
 IS THROUGH EARTH DITCHES
 MEASURED WITH MICROMETER.
 $Q = 1.60$ cfs

THE WATER DIVERTED FROM THE ABOVE TWO
 SOURCES SERVES GROUND AS ESTIMATED AS FOLLOWS:

NF NW 20 AC NW NW 30 AC SEC 25 15 S 26 E
 NW NE 20 AC NW NW 20 AC NW NW 35 AC SEC 26
 15 S 26 E. & NF NE 35 AC NW NE 30 AC SW NE 20 AC
 SE NE 20 AC NFSW 15 AC SESW 20 AC SEC 27 15 S 26 E.

IN LOCATING THE WELL IN THE SW 1/4 SEC 18
155 276 - I ASSUMED THAT THE ONE
THAT APPEARS TO BE THE LATEST DUG & EQUIPPED
IS THE ONE OF INTEREST. I FOUND
TWO WELLS WITHIN 50' OF EACH OTHER
& BOTH INFORMED ME THE ONE WELL IN
SECTION 18 WAS DUG & IS 1/2" DIA. 90'
THAT SINCE A CWS AT IN OWNERSHIP - IT HAS BEEN
REPAIRED WITH A LARGER PUMPER MOTOR & IS NOW
PRODUCING APPROX 125". ALSO - THAT 35 ACRES
OF NEW GROUND HAS BEEN DEVELOPED &
IRRIGATED BY THIS WELL. (SOMETHING TO CHECK)

I DID FIND THE OTHER WELL EQUIPPED
WITH A 125 HP GE ELECTRIC MOTOR # VUL 007143
A L & B V.T.A. & PRODUCING 3.60 cfs
AS MEASURED WITH THE MCGOWAN METER.
(THIS WELL PUMPS INTO A RAIL RIVER SURFACE WATER
DITCH & THE DITCH THE ADJACENT WELL SERVES -
FOR APPROX 150 - 155 ACRES IN THE NW 1/4 SEC 18
155 276) OR IN OTHER WORDS IS CO-MINGLED
WITH RAIL RIVER WATER & THE WATER FROM THE
NEARBY WELL & I SUSPECT WASTE WATER - IS
& WAS BEING USED FOR IRRIGATION OF THE NW 1/4 -
THE RIVER 150 - 155 AC & DOES REACH THE RAIL
RIVER AT A POINT IN THE SW 1/4 SEC 7 155 276.

I WAS SHOWN A STRUCTURE (A CIPOLLETTI W.F.A.)
WHICH SEEMED TO BE THE WATER MASTER
TO THE TRACK OF THE R. R. REQUIRING
THE RIVER. NO STANDARD CONDITIONS
I WOULD HAVE BEEN NOT
AS A MEASUREMENT DEVICE.
ESTIMATED 2.72 cfs TAKEN FROM CHANNEL
UNDER STANDARD CONDITIONS (LIMIT BY
1/2 FOOT / SEC ALLOWING FOR ABOUT 4.08 cfs.
THE WATER LEAKS EXCESSIVELY. (NOT PROPERLY CONSTRUCTED
OR INSTALLED TO SAY THE LEAST)

Dean

30107


[illegible]

...which may help clarify the importance

1990

The Condon Co.,
Bridge, Idaho.

3102



BARNETT INTERMOUNTAIN WATER CONSULTING

September 26, 1996

RECEIVED

SEP 30 1996

106 West 500 South, Suite 101
Bountiful, Utah 84010-6232
(801) 292-4662
FAX (801) 524-6320

Kent Jones
Asst. State Engineer for Appropriation
Division of Water Rights
1594 West North Temple, Suite 220
Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6300

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

Re: Notice of Hearing for Applications to Appropriate Nos. 11-1147 (A70159, 11-1148 (A70160), 11-1149 (A70218), 11-1150 (A70219), 11-1151 (A70220), 11-1152 (A70221), and 11-1153 (A70222)

Dear Kent:

We have assisted and represented Mr. Reid S. Stewart on various water right matters in the past. The above applications to appropriate are from Clear Creek or its tributaries above where Mr. Stewart diverts his decreed water rights from Clear Creek. Clear Creek is an interstate stream which originates in Utah and flows northward into Idaho. Mr. Stewart has grave concerns regarding the approval of the above referenced applications and therefore has filed protests on these applications and has requested a hearing.

In reviewing these applications to appropriate and in discussions with water users in Utah and Idaho, we are most concerned about these applications and their impact to water distribution from Clear Creek. We have reason to be concerned that the distribution of waters from Clear Creek in recent years may not have been in accordance with the federal interstate decree which regulates this matter. The issues regarding the distribution and use of waters in the Clear Creek drainage are complicated. As such, we anticipate an involved hearing on this matter. Therefore, we hereby kindly request that we might receive notice of the hearing well in advance of its scheduled date. As soon as you have identified a date to hear the above referenced matter, we would be most appreciative of a quick notice.

Sincerely,

Don A. Barnett, P.E.

np

cc: Robert Fotheringham
Reid S. Stewart
Frank Hitchcock, IDWR

Don A. Barnett, P. E.

Jack A. Barnett, P. E.

6/9/94

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

Claim No. 43-04095 ET AL

This form is to be used to document public record information requests regarding any SRBA claim or claims. The form may also be used to document IDWR communications requesting information regarding any SRBA claim or claims when the request is not made in writing or the claim verification report is not readily available to document the request. This form is to be filed in all relevant claim files or a central office file.

IDWR Employee/Agent Frank Hitchcock

February 7, 1997 12:55pm

NAME:
DON BARNETT
INTERMOUNTAIN INC

RE:
REID STEWART-RAFT RIVER
CROOK TO STEWART-CLAIM?

DON CALLED 02-06-1997 AT 3:15 PM-TCW. TOLD ME THAT REID WAS PLEASED ABOUT THE OUTCOME OF THE WATER DISTRICT MEETING LAST MONTH IN REGARDS TO THE CLEAR CLREEK DELIVERIES AND THE WATER MASTER THAT WAS CHOSEN. I TOLD HIM THAT I WASN'T NOTIFIED OF THE MEETING NOR DID THE STAFF MEMBERS WHO ATTENDED TELL ME ABOUT THE OUTCOME.

HE THEN ASKED ME IF I KNEW ABOUT THE UTAH CLAIMS FILED BY THE CLEAR CREEK USERS IN UTAH THAT HAD BEEN THE SUBJECT OF A PUBLIC HEARING. I SAID I HAD NO WORD ABOUT THAT AT ALL. HE DESCRIBED THE QUESTIONING BY THE UTAH WATER RESOURCES CHAIRPERSON OF THE UTAH APPLICANTS.

HE THANKED ME FOR THE WORK DONE ON THE LATE CLAIMS. I SAID THAT I STILL HADN'T DONE ANYTHING WITH THEM AS THEY WERE BEING TAKEN CARE OF BY DOUG JONES. HE TOLD ME THEY WERE ALL TAKEN CARE OF IN THAT THE DEPARTMENT HAD ACCEPTED THEM WITHOUT LATE FEES AND CREDITED THE PREVIOUS FEES OF RICH/GROW. I TOLD HIM THAT MY CLAIM RECORDS WERE STILL SHOWING AS TEMP HOLDS AND THAT I HAD NO NOTICE OF ANY CHANGE.

I SAID THAT DOUG HAD TAKEN MY 05-1996 RESEARCH FILE AGAIN RECENTLY BUT THAT I HADN'T SEEN IT OR HEARD OF ANY CHANGES. HE SAID THAT IT IS ALL DONE. I WILL REVIEW TEMP FILE FOR THE STATUS OF THE CLAIMS THAT WERE TAKEN BY DOUG 11-06-1997.

WE THEN WENT ON TO STEWART'S PURCHASE OF PROPERTY CLAIMED BY CROOK IN THE SRBA AND I PROMISED TO GET HIM RESEARCH BY THE FIRST OF NEXT WEEK BASED ON THE CLAIM AND NOTE ANY PROBLEMS.

**Reid S. Stewart
1655 Sunset Drive
Logan, Utah 84321**

RECEIVED

NOV 06 1996

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

October 30, 1996

Frank Hitchcock
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Southern Regional Office
222 Shoshone St. East
Twin Falls, ID 83301

Re: Filing of SRBA Claims for Grow Properties (43-0116, 43-0117, 43-0118, 43-0119, 43-2387, 43-2299, and 43-2509b)

Dear Frank:

As anticipated, attached hereto please find SRBA claims for the above referenced water rights. I greatly appreciate your assistance and the assistance of other IDWR personnel which has ultimately led me to and allowed me to file the above claims. Your most recent assistance has helped in the finalization of the effort and the filing of the attached claims.

As you know, questions and issues associated with these water rights have a rather long history. As you were not historically involved in these water rights, let me provide a brief summary of the many issues which have led to the filing of these claims. In the mid-1970's, I purchased the farm which is the subject of these claims. After we had worked the farm for several years, we divided it into two pieces. The eastern portion of the farm I sold to my son, who ultimately sold it to Melbourne Grow. I then entered into a contract to sell the western portion of the farm to Wayne Rich. At the time I owned the farm, there were several wells in use which we had integrated into a common distribution system. With the division of the farm, it became necessary to assign certain wells to each of the two portions. Therefore, in the sales, I agreed to divide the water rights such that certain wells would go to the portion purchased by Grow and other wells to the portion being purchased by Rich.

To that end, in the late 1970's, I came into IDWR's Twin Falls office and requested assistance in effectuating the intent of the above transfers. Transfer forms were prepared by IDWR and signed by me, and I believed that I had followed through with my commitments on dividing the water. In the late 1980's, as I began preparing claims for the SRBA, it was learned that in fact the above referenced transfers had erroneously created significant issues on my properties. Rather than simply changing and dividing the wells between the two properties, the water rights themselves had been moved via the transfers. This had the effect of mounding some water rights on existing water rights, while vacating other lands of any water rights. At the time, I was still the owner of record of the Rich properties, as Rich had not yet completed the terms

REID S. STEWART

Frank Hitchcock
October 30, 1996
Page 2

of the purchase contract. In fact, Rich refused to make final payment under the contract until such time as I was able to correct the water right transfers.

As of this point in time, the farms had been divided and the correction of the transfers required transfers to be filed by myself, as well as Mr. Grow. We contacted Mr. Grow and he was agreeable to the transfer. In fact, the transfers were to simply recognize what had been occurring since the division of the properties in the late 1970's. Therefore, transfer documents were prepared and signed and filed with IDWR. The applications were advertised and no protests were received. However, as IDWR prepared to finalize the approval of the transfers, the question arose regarding Grow's financial status and Grow's lending institution became a party to the transfers. As the time drew nigh for the filing of adjudication claims, we worked over a number of months with Norm Young in your office, as well as Grow's lending institution, to resolve any questions or issues associated with approval of the transfers. At that time, we even offered to file claims in behalf of Grow's lending institution. However, quite honestly the water issues were too complicated for the lending institution to follow and they ultimately responded by saying that they would not file claims in the SRBA, nor would they be willing to allow us to file claims, nor would they be willing to sign any transfer documents which they did not fully understand.

Given these circumstances and the deadline for filing claims in Cassia County about to expire, it appeared that we would not be able to immediately correct the previous erroneous transfers. Therefore, I believed that Rich would refuse to make final payment on the western portion of the farm and under default the farm would revert to me. Therefore, in an effort to comply with the requirements for filing claims in the SRBA, I prepared and filed adjudication claims for the Rich properties. This included preparing claims for some water rights which should have been on the Grow properties but because of the erroneous transfer were currently residing on the Rich properties. Likewise, Rich, who had been farming the properties for nearly 10 years, also filed adjudication claims. The claims were not competing with one another but rather we were simply both trying to appropriately file and protect the water rights on the Rich property; me because I was the owner of record of the property and Rich because he was actually in the process of farming the property and hoped to purchase it. Both of our claims were filed pursuant to the anticipated ultimate approval of the transfers correcting the water right situation.

With time, Grow's financial situation worsened and ultimately resulted in him taking out bankruptcy. We then began working with the bankruptcy court to try and resolve the water right issue. With time, there became an opportunity for me to simply purchase back the Grow property which I pursued and ultimately accomplished. With me then being the owner of record again of both properties, I was in a position to then request that IDWR approve the previously prepared and filed transfer documents which then corrected the erroneous transfers filed in the

REID S. STEWART

**Frank Hitchcock
October 30, 1996
Page 3**

70's. With this putting of the water rights back in order, Wayne Rich ultimately made final payment for the western portion of the farm. With him then becoming the owner of the western portion of the farm, I no longer had, nor have, interest in the properties previously referred to herein as the Rich properties.

I then found myself in a situation where I had appropriately filed claims in the SRBA for the portion of the farm I believed I would ultimately maintain possession of. Instead, I ended up with the portion of the farm for which no adjudication claims had been filed. With the approval of the transfers correcting the water right situation, some of the water rights which I had filed claims for on the Rich properties were now on the Grow properties. As I am now no longer in possession of the Rich properties, it would be appropriate and I would like to herewith withdraw my claims to the duplicate claims which I had filed for on the Rich property.

Over the past several years, we have had a number of discussions with personnel in your office as to the appropriate way to correct the claims. Several years ago we had had a number of conversations with Anna Baumhoff, and she had suggested that we should file an extension request but that IDWR would not act upon the request pending their review of other options. At the time she had suggested that we could either simply amend the claims filed on the Rich properties to the Grow properties and/or withdraw the claims and ask that the payment of fees previously applied to the Rich properties by me be applied to the new claims being filed on the Grow properties.

Your most recent research has confirmed our belief that associated with the filing of claims 43-0083, 43-2509A and 43-2285A I have paid a total of \$550. At the time that these claims were filed, an additional claim (43-2387) was also filed. Your recent research has shown that though this claim was filed, fees were never paid. Upon a review, this is consistent with the circumstances at the time as a claim for the use of this water right on the Rich properties would only be applicable if we were to ultimately fail in reversing the erroneous transfer. Therefore, the payment of fees for this application would have been in direct conflict with other claims filed and would have mounded water rights on the Rich properties. The attached documents include seven claims totalling 703 unique acres of irrigation.

With this letter, I am hereby requesting that IDWR waive the late filing fee which would be associated with these claims under normal circumstances. I believe that the above history and the records in your files would indicate that I made concerted and significant efforts to comply with the claim filing deadlines, and that in fact I filed timely claims for the portion of the property I believed I would ultimately end up with and that I made significant efforts to even file claims for the Grow property to assist in the resolution of the matters. However, as was discussed above, because of ownership situations I was unable to fully resolve the issues prior

REID S. STEWART

**Frank Hitchcock
October 30, 1996
Page 4**

to the time that claims needed to be filed. Then, through some strange course of fate, after all these efforts I ended up owning the properties for which claims were not filed.

If IDWR were to accept my request to waive the late filing fees, then I calculate that total adjudication filing fees associated with the Grow properties should be \$1,053 (7 claims x \$50 = \$350 + 703 acres x \$1 per acre = \$703, which equals \$1,053). I then request, as discussed with the Department previously, that the \$550 filed on the Rich properties now be applied to the claims being filed on the Grow properties. Therefore, I calculate the total remaining required fee of \$503. Therefore, also attached herewith please find my check payable to the Idaho Department of Water Resources for \$503.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact either myself or Don Barnett (801-292-4662). Again I greatly appreciate your efforts, as well as the efforts of many other people within IDWR's offices which has led to what I believe to be the ultimate resolution of the various water right issues associated with the Grow and Rich properties.

Sincerely,



Reid S. Stewart

attachments