

Ted Booth

From: Saxton, Craig [Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Thursday, February 24, 2011 9:41 AM
To: Ted Booth
Subject: RE: 81-2031 and 81-7040
Attachments: 81-7040 SF5 Format (2-24-11).docx

Good Morning Ted,

My intent with both 81-2031 and 81-7040 was not to define a QQ designation for the POU and POD descriptions for each right. The place of use for both of these rights borders the Middle Fork Clearwater so the government lots are non-standard. Due to the nature of the GCDB, I think it is better to describe the government lot only and the government lot description "trumps" (if you will) the QQ designation.

Therefore, there is an error, but it is in 81-7040. I would like to remove the SESW designation on the irrigation and stockwater POU, and add "lot 4" designation for the stockwater use. See attachment.

There isn't an error in 81-2031.

Please let me know if you have other questions or if there is an action I need to take to correct the POU description on 81-7040.


Thanks,
Craig

From: Ted Booth [mailto:tbooth@srba.state.id.us]
Sent: Wednesday, February 23, 2011 4:42 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: 81-2031 and 81-7040

Hi Craig,

I am reviewing the uncontested rights in a late claim director's report and have a question about the POU and POD for these two. All of the parenthesis after the lot numbers are blank except for the irrigation place of use on 81-7040. Is there an error here?

Thanks, Ted



2/24/2011