




1 inch equals 950 feet

**SUN VALLEY COMPANY
SRBA SHAPE FILE REVISION
NAIP 2009 AERIAL**

- Legend**
- SRBA_SHAPE_REV AA#27.7 ACRES
 - Points_of_Diversion
 - 104n18e
 - 105n18e
 - Sections



Clelland, Steve

From: Clelland, Steve
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 3:58 PM
To: Bromley, Chris; Kelly, Vicki; Fritschle, Carter
Cc: Clelland, Steve
Subject: update on SVC - summary of numbers

I have put together some maps showing a comparison of POU shapes and a list of acreage scenarios derived from those maps. I must admit the acre number I gave to you yesterday, for IDWR POU, was off by 6.1 acres... I hadn't deleted the pond acres from the shape I was using. The corrected, actual acres = 369.5 ac.

The first pdf contains 2 maps. One compares Brockway's two shapes; he has only provided two. The yellow line is his initial, post-OBJ version. It is over-layed with a red line which is his settlement offer POU shape. As you can see, he made no effort to reflect the issues Allen and I raised at our settlement meeting in Twin Falls in April. Disappointing.

The second pdf compares Brockway's recent shape (427.7 ac.) with my final POU shape (369.5 ac.). They match closely for the most part. The main areas of variation is pointed out by the callout labels on the lower end of the POU. These are the same issues that were raised as non-irrigated lands at our TF meeting. In an effort to settle the differences between our POU acres since then I have added acres to the Hilltop site and the Horse Stable site based on aerial photos of those sites.

After reviewing SVC's claim 37-12111 for the Trail Creek Dam pond, I removed those 6 acres from the POU shape. Since this shape/recommendation is to be the final cut I reviewed the entire IDWR POU shape for accuracy. I removed several larger building areas near the parking lots as non-irrigated hardscape. The final pdf map shows this area in a closer view to show the details around the buildings and parking lots.

Historical photos do not support the claim of irrigation of the Horse Stables, the Crescent at Dollar Mtn. of the upper part of the Hilltop area. Allen and I both agreed on this point. We requested additional information of the irrigation



POU shape 5-28-10 :omparison close up
updated acre...



SVC.pdf



comparison maps
settlement.pdf...

claim on the Crescent site; no response. Yesterday, I believe it was requested to estimate the acreages of these areas as a matter of comparison between our shapes. These numbers are based on the shapes Brockway has outlined outside of my shape and not based on irrigation of the sites.

Here are the estimations of those acres:

Hilltop	3.1 ac
Crescent	1.3 ac
Horse Stable	8 ac
Gun club	3 ac
=====	
	15.4 acres

A quick summary of the numbers, since there have been so many versions of the numbers.

Claimed acres = 529.2 ac

Rec'd acres = 529.2 within PPU = 628.6 ac


Brockway revised Acres (w/o expansions) = 427.7 ac (within a PPU = 628.6 ac)

IDWR final POU acres irrigated = 369.5 ac (no PPU)

< Difference between us: 58.2 ac >

It was discussed that perhaps we can reach settlement if we offer up the 15.4 acres shown above but hold fast to the parking lots and buildings as non-irrigated acres. If we must "draw the line" let it be here with the 369.5 acres and the current shape. Brockway has shown, with his 2 shapes, he is not interested in reaching a compromise between the shapes with IDWR. If we fail to stand with our findings it has been my experience, and based on the questions he was given (by Campbell) to ask Allen and me in TF, they are interested in showing how IDWR is continually revising our numbers. Does this illustrate we really don't have a firm grasp of the facts and data? I realize new information is gathered during review of a water right recommendation but that is not the case here.

Just my view,
Steve



IDWR recd shp
(red line/blue hatch)

COMPARES
IDWR final
Shape with
chuck's final
Shape.

SUN VALLEY CO
5/28/10 SCC

gun club

parking area
/buildings

Chucks Settle shp
(yellow line/pink fill)

hilltop

pond area

crescent

horse stables

37-415
37-416

gun club

6

urking area
uildings

7

pond a


horse stables

Shape Comparison
Close-up
5/28/10

37-415
37-416

17

1



Clelland, Steve

From: Bromley, Chris
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:27 AM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Merritt, Allen; Kelly, Vicki; Fritschle, Carter
Subject: RE: SV Water Rights Settlement Proposal
Attachments: img-512082253.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Steve,

Please contact Brockway for the shape. I've attached the paper copy Scott gave us yesterday.

Regarding the PPU, that number was represented to me by Scott Campbell as the number in the Department's recommendation.

Please double check with Carter on the POU for aesthetic, recreation, and wildlife where the POU is within an irrigation POU/PPU.

Chris

From: Clelland, Steve
Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:23 AM
To: Bromley, Chris
Cc: Merritt, Allen
Subject: RE: SV Water Rights Settlement Proposal

Hi Chris,

This is good news!

I don't believe I have the latest Brockway shape. I am sure it has changed since we last met in Twin Falls. Until I can review that and see what acres they are counting I will hold off on the SF5 docs. They aren't that hard to put together since the only element that seems to be changing is the POU. The acre number seems pretty generous at 427 ac. and I am not clear as to what PPU he has proposed.

As for the shape for the non-irrigation uses; I didn't think that was too hard to get. This suggestion was raised by Allen Merritt who has requested similar items from Brockway in other cases. It made sense to include this given the expansions developed by the SVC with this water right. I hope this doesn't undermine what he is working with in these other cases with Brockway. I have always tried to be consistent in our approach to recommendations across all the basins.

As for the timing, I am trying to get caught up on cases like this before my surgery on June 29th. This should be done by then.

Steve

From: Bromley, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2010 5:17 PM
To: Clelland, Steve

Cc: Kelly, Vicki

Subject: SV Water Rights Settlement Proposal

Steve,

We met with Scott Campbell today. Scott provided an updated screen shot of a shapefile. The new shape removes the golf course acres and the cabin acres. Scott believed the total irrigated acres would now be ~427.7 within a ~628.6 ppu. If you didn't receive a copy of the shape from Brockway, please let us know.

Scott's proposal is if the Department accepts the updated shape, Sun Valley will accept the Department's recommendations for its Trail Creek water rights. This would constitute a total resolution of Sun Valley's Trail Creek system.

I did speak with Scott about a separate shape for aesthetic/recreation/wildlife purposes. Scott said it would be a huge undertaking and the uses are all within the updated shape. I spoke with Carter about the need for a separate A/R/W shape. Carter said as long as it's identified within the ppu, there isn't a need for a separate shape.

There isn't a huge rush on this since we're not back on before the court until around August. It would be nice, however, if this is acceptable, to get the SF5s generated and routing.

Thanks,

Chris

Clelland, Steve

From: Bromley, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, May 11, 2010 5:17 PM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Kelly, Vicki
Subject: SV Water Rights Settlement Proposal

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Steve,

We met with Scott Campbell today. Scott provided an updated screen shot of a shapefile. The new shape removes the golf course acres and the cabin acres. Scott believed the total irrigated acres would now be ~427.7 within a ~628.6 ppu. If you didn't receive a copy of the shape from Brockway, please let us know.

Scott's proposal is if the Department accepts the updated shape, Sun Valley will accept the Department's recommendations for its Trail Creek water rights. This would constitute a total resolution of Sun Valley's Trail Creek system.

I did speak with Scott about a separate shape for aesthetic/recreation/wildlife purposes. Scott said it would be a huge undertaking and the uses are all within the updated shape. I spoke with Carter about the need for a separate A/R/W shape. Carter said as long as it's identified within the ppu, there isn't a need for a separate shape.

There isn't a huge rush on this since we're not back on before the court until around August. It would be nice, however, if this is acceptable, to get the SF5s generated and routing.

Thanks,

Chris

37-415 & 37-416

SUN VALLEY Co.

5/26/10

SCC

D.R. Rec'd

Acs/w. uses

(143)

decree 753 acres

- 529.2 claimed/rec'd Acres

223.8 AC

(OR 29.7%) Reduction in
IRRig. USE25 cfs = 17.6 IRR. + 7.4 NON-IRR. (0.033 cfs/AC)6.5 cfs = 4.6 IRR. + 1.9 NON-IRR. (0.0087 cfs/AC)
0.0417 cfs/ACUSING THE SAME CALC. for New/Revised
POU-AC.

753 AC.

- 377376 AC (for conversion to NON-IRR.)
(OR 50%)25 cfs = 12.50 cfs IRR. (0.033 cfs/AC)
12.50 cfs NON-IRR.6.5 cfs = 3.25 cfs IRR. (0.0086 cfs/AC)
3.25 cfs NON-IRR.Total Duty = 0.0416
cfs/AC

< Fig. 3 > 8/14/96 Brockway Report (243)

Total acres in Actual Irrigation
as shown on Fig. 3

= 282.6 Acres

5/25/10

Met w/ Carter to Review
Status of this SVC case.

1. No Purpose for PPU.
- there are no additional acres
to be irrigated under this system.
All acres are described w/in POU.
2. After Review of Brockway's 1996 POU map,
it confirms the Acres I find not
irrigated in my POU description.
3. No changes will be made Re: Quantity issues.

G15

1. Add Acres @ Horse Stable
Based on 1974 photo.
2. Add Acres @ Hill top
Based on 1987 AERIAL.
3. Removed Dam & Reservoir:
this area has a WR under
37-12111 for Storage & is
not part of Irrigation.
4. Adjustments around Parking Areas
as a final analysis of
Irrigation.
5. Some Ditch Areas may Not be
part of the IAR shape - they are Acs.

Sun Valley IAWR Recd - update (scc) (3 of 3)

6 polys	284.6
	29.8
	32.5
	5.6
	13.4
	1.1
	6.4
	373.4 AC

2/27/07
VS. DR ACRES
= 516.3 AC
(SH.
EXAM: 12/12/06)

SRBA Shape Rev: 427.7 AC

628.6 ppu?
where is this?

54.3

- matches DR ppu

* INCLUDES ALL parking lots!

* Horse facilities = NOT irrig (historically)

* Brockway Acres = 1996 Report Values

- (says it does not include Non-irrigable
Hardscape.)

- Report states = potential
IRRIGATION

- "in order to irrigate all of the potentially
irrigable land, additional... modifications
to improve system efficiency would be
Required."

(p.18)

Moffatt Thomas

MOFFATT THOMAS BARRETT ROCK & FIELDS, CHTD.

RECEIVED

NOV 02 2009

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

Boise
Idaho Falls
Pocatello
Twin Falls

US Bank Plaza Building
101 S Capitol Blvd 10th Fl
PO Box 829
Boise Idaho 83701 0829

October 30, 2009

208 345 2000
800 422 2889
208 385 5384 Fax
www.moffatt.com

Eugene C. Thomas
John W. Barrett
R. B. Rock
Richard C. Fields
John S. Simko
John C. Ward
D. James Manning
David B. Lincoln
Gary T. Dance
Larry C. Hunter
Randall A. Peterman
Mark S. Prusynski
Stephen R. Thomas
Glenna M. Christensen
Gerald T. Husch
Scott L. Campbell
Robert B. Burns

Michael E. Thomas
Patricia M. Olsson
Christine E. Nicholas
Bradley J. Williams
Lee Radford
Michael O. Roe
Nancy J. Garrett
David S. Jensen
James L. Martin
C. Clayton Gill
Michael W. McGreaham
David P. Gardner
Julian E. Gabiola
Tara Martens
Kimberly D. Evans Ross
Mark C. Peterson
Tyler J. Anderson

Jon A. Stenquist
Jason G. Murray
Rebecca A. Rainey
Paul D. McFarlane
Tyler J. Henderson
C. Edward Cather III
Andrew J. Waldera
Dylan B. Lawrence
Benjamin C. Ritchie
Matthew J. McGee
David J. Dance

Robert E. Bakes, *of counsel*

Willis C. Moffatt, 1907-1980
Kirk R. Helvie, 1956-2003

Chris Bromley
Idaho Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0003

Steve Clelland
Idaho Department of Water Resources
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0003

Re: SRBA Subcase Nos. 37-415, 37-416, 37-22105, and 37-22106
(Sinclair Oil Corp. d/b/a Sun Valley Co.)
Revised Place of Use Map and Shapefile
MTBR&F File No. 16845.0005

Dear Chris and Steve:

In accordance with Special Master Bilyeu's instructions during the September 30, 2009 telephonic initial hearing in the above-referenced subcases, as well as her follow-up order of October 2, 2009, I am enclosing with this letter a revised place of use shapefile prepared by Dr. Charles E. Brockway, Ph.D., P.E.

As was discussed during the initial hearing, Dr. Brockway has removed the areas not owned by the Claimant from the permissible place of use that was initially recommended by IDWR for these water rights in the SRBA. In addition, the Claimant still has pending objections based upon IDWR's exclusion of certain areas from the place of use claimed by the Claimant. Those areas are also included in this shapefile. Obviously, by submitting this revised place of use shapefile, the Claimant does not intend to waive any of its pending objections to these water rights in the SRBA.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information. Otherwise, please let me know your thoughts, once you have had a chance to review this revised place of use.

Chris Bromley
Steve Clelland
October 30, 2009
Page 2

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Very truly yours,




Scott L. Campbell

SLC/dbl

Enclosures

cc: Wallace Huffman
Albert P. Barker
Charles Brockway Sr.
Craig D. Hobdey
James P. Speck



1 inch equals 1,000 feet

SUN VALLEY COMPANY
SRBA SHAPE FILE 37-415, 37-416
NAIP 2004 AERIAL

BROCKWAY ENGINEERING, PLLC.
ALR - Oct. 29, 2009

Legend


- Points_of_Diversion
- 04n18a
- 05n18a
- Sections
- REVISED POU

2009 GREENS
= 47.8 AC.

(132.2 AC.
NON-IRRIG.)

2009
NAIP

31-415
31-416



2009

NAIP

POU
EXPANSION

Whole PPU
Brockway


= 606.1 AC


hilltop Exp. POU
= 180 AC

426 orig.
Ac.

180 AC.

31-415
31-416






SUN VALLEY CO
IDWR PPU BOUNDARY
1992

REVISED TO OWNERSHIP

37-415
37-416




Total Acreage of Recommended POU = 630.0
Total Irrigated Acreage within POU = 178.0



- 37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_oil_corp_irrigated
- 37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_oil_corp

Total Acreage of Recommended POU = 630.0
Total Irrigated Acreage within POU = 220.9



1974 Aerial

37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_oil_irrigated_2004
37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_oil_corp (RECPOU)

Total Acreage of Recommended POU = 630.0
Total Irrigated Acreage within POU = 180.1



Private Parcels within Sun Valley Co. dba Sinclair Oil POU




DRAFT CONFIDENTIAL

2004 USDA aerial

37-415
37-416

2004

0 0.05 0.1 0.2 0.3 0.4 Miles



Revised 8/14/96

Figure 3. Irrigated areas from Trail Creek based on Sun Valley staff information and aerial photo analysis. Acreages shown exclude non-irrigated spaces such as waterways and roads.

3.6
168.0
3.5
27.3
4.3
18.5
3.0
16.6
16.1
14.7
7.0

= 282.6 AC

Notes: 1/24/10
acc 5/24/10

Clelland, Steve

From: Bromley, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 3:59 PM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Kelly, Vicki
Subject: FW: Sinclair POU map part 2
Attachments: 37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_1987.pdf; 37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_2004_20081110.pdf; 37_415_416_22105_22106_sinclair_1974.pdf

Here's the second.

From: Albert Barker [mailto:apb@idahowaters.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 3:06 PM
To: Bromley, Chris
Subject: FW: Sinclair POU map part 2

Resending part 2.

From: Albert Barker
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 2:18 PM
To: 'Bromley, Chris'
Subject: Sinclair POU map

Chris-

Here are a couple of parcel maps showing the condo properties which get water from the SV Water and Sewer Dist and a spreadsheet of those parcels. These all appear to be in the claimed and recommended POU. We calculated 165 acres.

Also attached is an aerial photos of the POU showing our take on the irrigated acres as of 1974, 1987 and 2004. (not including the parcels belonging to others).

I just have a hard copy of the map with areas marked by hand where Sinclair is irrigating with municipal water. I don't know how long that has been going on. Brockway said he would include that in his analysis.

Albert P. Barker
Barker Rosholt & Simpson LLP
(208) 336-0700

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and its attachments are confidential and may be privileged. If you believe this e-mail has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission.

From: Wendy Pabich [mailto:wjpabich@gmail.com]
Sent: Monday, September 28, 2009 12:46 PM
To: Albert Barker
Subject: revised Sinclair POU map

Here's the revised Sinclair map. Let me know if you need anything else.

--

Wendy J. Pabich, Ph.D.
Water Futures, Inc.
P.O. Box 3814
Hailey, ID 83333
(208) 788-6097

10/7/2009

Clelland, Steve

From: Bromley, Chris
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 1:17 PM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Kelly, Vicki
Subject: Sun Valley POU

Steve,

Please see the below email from Al Barker relative to the Sun Valley POU issue.

Chris

From: Albert Barker [mailto:apb@idahowaters.com]
Sent: Tuesday, October 06, 2009 12:04 PM
To: Bromley, Chris
Subject: RE: surprise

Chris –

Since we are withdrawing from these subcases, and since Brockway promised an irrigated acre analysis dealing with the POU issue, it is important to my clients that the Department carefully review the 3 POU issues I raised at the initial hearing:

1. irrigated ground owned by the condo associations in the recommended POU – if you look at the supplemental discovery responses we sent out last week, you will see those areas identified, which we count as much as 165 acres
2. ground in the POU irrigated from a municipal source. Sinclair paid for 30 acre feet of municipal water in the past few years and they have a map with those areas identified. I can get you a copy if it would help the Department's analysis
3. unirrigated hillsides in the POU. The latest POU map we have from Sinclair shows a significant amount of hillside in the 500 acre plus POU.

If I can provide anything, please let me know.

Later this week would work for me on the SV Ranch subcases. There is a hearing today before the county which tell us if we can get a big picture settlement done. Brockway and Shaw have talked and agreed on a delivery protocol based on the Chapman and Coffin decrees, which we need to run by the Department, if all goes well.

Albert P. Barker
 Barker Rosholt & Simpson LLP
 (208) 336-0700

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This e-mail and its attachments are confidential and may be privileged. If you believe this e-mail has been sent to you in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete this email. Do not deliver, distribute or copy this transmission.

10/7/2009

original
ppu
in D.R.

6

Borrow Pit

BM 6023

Water Tank

Ski Lift

Rudd Mountain

Water Tank

PACK

Prospect Hill

37-415

37-416

SUN VALLEY CO
SINCLAIR

18

Radio Tower

Ski Lift

Dollar

17

TRAIL

Clelland, Steve

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2008 4:17 PM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Jones, Doug; Skinner, Corey; Fritschle, Carter; Shaff, Don; Peppersack, Jeff
Subject: Sun Valley Co.

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Red

Steve,

I understand you may be working on Sun Valley Co. objections. I've had some discussions with Mr. Lawrence and Campbell on a proposed transfer of the Sun Valley Co. rights. Basically they are drying up acres to cover acres on the hillside for a new golf course. Today Corey and I met with Mr. Lawrence to discuss issues with their proposed transfer and look at different ways to structure a transfer.

In our initial analysis we have identified some issues you may need to be aware of that we discussed today.

In a nut shellas I calculate Sun Valley has a recommended PPU covering approx. 628.6 acres

Sun Valley's two irrigation rights when combined are limited to 529.5 acres in any season.

Sun Valley Resort owns 434.6 gross acres in the recommended PPU which includes buildings, roads, parking lots, reservoirs and irrigated areas.

The other 194.0 acres in the PPU are owned by others (numerous individuals, homeowner associations, etc) and includes buildings, roads and irrigated lawns.

I suspect Sun Valley Sewer and Water District provides water for irrigation and in-house use to much of the land owned by others but to what extent not certain.

The problem is Sun Valley's water right's acre limit far exceeds what is irrigated and the rights are likely on other peoples property.

I have a map project that I could share with some shapes I have created that shows the problem. I have shapes to share if you are interested.

Seems all of this needs to be straightened out before a transfer moves forward.

Allen

12/10/08 ADM - phone
Resolve POU/PPU ACRES Before Trans.

Decree 753 AC.

Clelland, Steve

From: Clelland, Steve
Sent: Tuesday, December 02, 2008 3:34 PM
To: 'Dylan Lawrence'
Subject: FYI ownership/address issues

Attachments: 37-8885 app sunvco.doc; 37-8575A permit sunvco.doc; 37-8798 permit sunvco.doc

Hi Dylan,

This really has nothing to do with the meeting tomorrow and may not be an issue at all. I just ran across this in my research of Sun Valley/Sinclair water rights.

The attached rights have different addresses than the standard your clients requested for the SRBA recommendations. If they wish to change them it can be done with a Change of Address form which can be found on our website.

Talk with you tomorrow,
Steve

IDWR
208-287-4913



37-8885 app
unvco.doc (30 KB)..



37-8575A permit
sunvco.doc (33...



37-8798 permit
sunvco.doc (30 ...

Proposed TRANS.

Clelland, Steve

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Friday, November 14, 2008 8:00 AM
To: Clelland, Steve
Subject: RE: Sun Valley Co.

Attachments: POU SV owned.shx; RecommendedRight.dbf; RecommendedRight.prj; RecommendedRight.sbn; RecommendedRight.sbx; RecommendedRight.shp; RecommendedRight.shx; Sun Valley Resort owned.dbf; Sun Valley Resort owned.shp; Sun Valley Resort owned.shx; Sun_Valley_Resort_owned.dbf; Idle.dbf; Idle.prj; Idle.sbn; Idle.sbx; Idle.shp; Idle.shx; POU SV owned.dbf; POU SV owned.prj; POU SV owned.sbn; POU SV owned.sbx; POU SV owned.shp; POU SV owned.shp.xml



POU SV owned.shx (4 KB) RecommendedRight.dbf (5 KB) RecommendedRight.prj (4 KB) RecommendedRight.sbn (4 KB) RecommendedRight.sbx (4 KB) RecommendedRight.shp (10 KB) RecommendedRight.shx (4 KB)



Sun Valley Resort owned.dbf (4 KB) Sun Valley Resort owned.shp (4 KB) Sun Valley Resort owned.shx (4 KB) Sun_Valley_Resort_owned.dbf (3 KB) Idle.dbf (9 KB) Idle.prj (4 KB) Idle.sbn (4 KB)



Idle.sbx (4 KB) Idle.shp (19 KB) Idle.shx (4 KB) POU SV owned.dbf (10 KB) POU SV owned.prj (4 KB) POU SV owned.sbn (4 KB) POU SV owned.sbx (4 KB)



POU SV owned.shp (46 KB) POU SV owned.shp.xml (20 KB)

From: Clelland, Steve
Sent: Friday, November 14, 2008 7:52 AM
To: Merritt, Allen
Subject: RE: Sun Valley Co.

I am very interested in the research you have done and the shape files you have. Sounds like we should share notes throughout this process.

John Homan and I are meeting with Mr. Lawrence next Friday at 9 am here in the office. I'll let you know how that goes.
Thanks,
steve

From: Merritt, Allen
Sent: Thursday, November 13, 2008 4:17 PM
To: Clelland, Steve
Cc: Jones, Doug; Skinner, Corey; Fritschle, Carter; Shaff, Don; Peppersack, Jeff
Subject: Sun Valley Co.

Steve,

I understand you may be working on Sun Valley Co. objections. I've had some discussions with Mr. Lawrence and Campbell on a proposed transfer of the Sun Valley Co. rights. Basically they are drying up acres to cover acres on the hillside for a new golf course. Today Corey and I met with Mr. Lawrence to discuss issues with their proposed transfer and look at different ways to structure a transfer.

In our initial analysis we have identified some issues you may need to be aware of that we discussed today.

In a nut shellas I calculate Sun Valley has a recommended PPU covering approx. 628.6 acres

Sun Valley's two irrigation rights when combined are limited to 529.5 acres in any season.

Sun Valley Resort owns 434.6 gross acres in the recommended PPU which includes buildings, roads, parking lots, reservoirs and irrigated areas.

The other 194.0 acres in the PPU are owned by others (numerous individuals, homeowner associations, etc) and includes buildings, roads and irrigated lawns.

I suspect Sun Valley Sewer and Water District provides water for irrigation and in-house use to much of the land owned by others but to what extent not certain.

The problem is Sun Valley's water right's acre limit far exceeds what is irrigated and the rights are likely on other peoples property.

I have a map project that I could share with some shapes I have created that shows the problem. I have shapes to share if you are interested.

Seems all of this needs to be straightened out before a transfer moves forward.

Allen

SHPs:

IDLE.shp

PDH SV owned.shp (XML Doc)

RecommendRight.shp

Sun Valley Resort owned.shp
(DBF file)