

RECEIVED

JUL 20 2010

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA Fifth Judicial District County of Twin Falls - State of Idaho	
JUL 19 2010	
By _____	Clerk Deputy Clerk

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA	)	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
	)	FILE LATE OBJECTION AND
Case No. 39576	)	SETTING DEADLINE
	)	
	)	SUBCASE NO.: 37-260

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A *Motion to File Late Objection* was filed by Pioneer Moon LLC on March 22, 2010. A hearing was held on the *Motion* on July 14, 2010. There was no opposition to the *Motion*.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

The SRBA Administrative Orders do not provide a standard to be applied for filing late objections. However, the court's administrative rules set forth that *Motions to File a Late Notice of Claim* are to be reviewed under the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) and 60(b). *SRBA Administrative Order I (AO1) § 4 (d)(2)(d)*.

According to case law and the Rules of Civil Procedure, a court applies the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) when determining whether to allow the filing of a late answer. Motions for Late Objection have been reviewed in the SRBA under the same criteria of Rule 55(c). The proponent of a Motion for Late Objection, like a party seeking to file an untimely answer, must show both "good cause" for untimeliness and the existence of a "meritorious position." I.R.C.P. 55(c).

The SRBA has recently affirmed the legal standard of what must be shown to satisfy "good cause" and "meritorious position." The legal standard for "good cause" for untimeliness of an objection is determined under the standard for setting aside the entry of a default under I.R.C.P. 55(c). The "good cause" standard under Rule 55(c) is more lenient than the standard under Rule 60(b). The I.R.C.P. 55(c) standard takes into account the following factors:

- 1) whether the default was willful;
- 2) whether setting aside the judgment would prejudice the opponent; and
- 3) whether a meritorious position has been presented.

Order on Motion to Set Aside Partial Decrees and File Late Objections (A.L. Cattle) (Jan. 31, 2001).

In support of the *Motion*, Pioneer Moon LLC explained that the lateness of the *Objection* was not willful because the claimant did not know there were errors on the elements of the water right until the time the *Motion* was filed. The meritorious position was explained as errors in the point of diversion and place of use pointed out by IDWR.

Thus, this court finds good cause for the untimeliness of the *Objection* and also finds a meritorious position was alleged. No parties to the SRBA opposed the *Motion*, and there is no showing prejudice would result from allowing the late objection. Pioneer Moon LLC explained that the *Objection* contained a clerical error. Therefore, an *Amended Objection* will be submitted.

III. FINDINGS

There was no opposition to the *Motion*. Therefore, the *Motion to File Late Objection* is **granted** subject to a corrected *Objection* being filed. The *Objection* will be filed and dated stamped with the court once received.

IT IS ORDERED that the following deadline shall govern further proceedings:

July 23, 2010	5:00 pm	Deadline to file Corrected <i>Objection</i>
August 20, 2010	5:00 pm	Deadline to file <i>Response to Objection</i>

Dated: July 19, 2010.



BRIGETTE BILYEU
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE LATE OBJECTION AND SETTING DEADLINE was mailed on July 19, 2010, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

PIONEER MOON LLC

Represented by:

JAMES P. SPECK

SPECK & AANESTAD

120 EAST AVENUE

PO BOX 987

KETCHUM, ID 83340

Phone: 208-726-4421

DIRECTOR OF IDWR

PO BOX 83720

BOISE, ID 83720-0098