

09/12/2006

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS:

Water right number: 24-10036
FRED BROG
1644 STATE LINE RD
FREEDOM WY 83120
Telephone Number: (307)883-2363

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

4 Homes

310 head Mother cows 250 head of calves to 900#


3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation: no changes need to be made.

DATE: 10-12-06 SIGNATURE: Fred Brog

Please print your name: Fred Brog

IDWR Regional Office, 900 N Skyline Dr Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, 2085257161



Receipt Details for ID E012048

Status	Adjustment Type	Version
	Adjusted Receipt	1

Brog
24-10036

General Information:

Date Received	Date Deposited	Region	Total Amount	Payment Type
2/13/1990 4:32:13 PM	2/13/1990	Eastern	\$93.00	Check
Payer		BROG, FRED D.		

Account Information:

Check #	Account #	Credit Card Name	Authorization #
4011			
Card Expiration Date	Credit Card Transaction #		

Comment ADJUDICATION FEE

Fee Detail:

Amount	Description	PCA	Fund	Fund Detail	Subsidiary	Object
\$93.00	ADJUDICATION FEE	410162	9337	0	0	6490

Refund(s):

Amount	Payee	Date	Document Number
--------	-------	------	-----------------

Water Right Information:

Basin Number	Sequence Number	Split Suffix	Amount	Type
24	10036		\$93.00	Claim

Quick Look	Contacts	Priority Date	POD	Source	POU	Water Use	Period of Use	Quantity
------------	----------	---------------	-----	--------	-----	-----------	---------------	----------

Review/Verify Log

Status:

Claim Number: Version Number:

[View Documents](#) [View Recommendation](#)

Check List

☒ Priority Date

☒ Contacts

☒ Point of Diversion

☒ Source

☐ Place of Use

☐ Purpose of Use

☒ Period of Use

☐ Quantity

☒ Summary [Yes to All](#)

Print Form

Contacts

Comments

Author: Text

Subj:

Date: - - [Add](#) [Edit](#) [Remove Selected](#)

152197

[Save Comment](#)

Free Bros 24-10036

SWSE

SESW

3

10

NENW

NWNE

24-2018

Notes

- 10-16-04 County Assessor address same: BP
- 10-19-04 Letter to claimant re evidence of priority. BP
- 11-23-04 Sent two line disallow letter to claimant. PB
- 12-1-04 Fred Brog called. Will work on getting correct docs. BP
- 11-29-04 Letter from claimant
- 12-7-04 Fred Brog called. Docs on the way. BP
See docs in 24-10031
- 6-2-05 Checked with the Caribou County Assessor's office house was built in 1926, parcel # RL1155A
- 7/18/05 Amend claim to 1926 priority date.
recommend Domestic only. according to
- 7/19/05 Brog please check on dairy use.
Fred Brog, no dairy use is going on.
- 10 Sept 05 field inspection / conference w/ Fred Brog.
- 12/16/05 Sent Fred a letter requesting him to locate the 4 hms. CB
- 12/21/05 350 head of cows.
- 12-21-05 Sent amended claim, BP

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip	Phone
Current Owner	Fred Brog	1644 State Line Rd.	Freedom	WY	83120	

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____

Current Owner _____

Current Owner _____

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: _____ **Time:** _____

Directions to Property (If different from above) and/or update owner information:

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal blue or grey ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Contacts Data Entry Form

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number A24-10036

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: 1-1-1926 Recommended Basis: B. U.☐ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Frank Brog has filed a separate domestic claim based on the finding mentioned in the contact log of cantonment records showing a home in 1926. Claimed priority of this WR is based on commercial use of cheese factory. Newspaper article suggests first shipping of cheese 1927. Since Swiss cheese takes ~ 9 months to produce, claimant asserts this indicates 1926 start of water use. Notation on back of photo of 1st factory suggests Aug 22, 1927. Claimant is looking for journal entry of Ernest Brog which may clarify actual date. I spoke on the phone with Frank Brog (801 785 6875) who states he was born in 1925 and remembers as a boy six or eight years old being assigned to sweep the upper floor, suggesting use at least as early as 1931 to 1933. The original factory burned and was rebuilt in 1936 per Frank Brog.
Doc 28 Sept 2005

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. 24 - 10036

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 24-10036

Water Right Point of Diversion: T SS, R 46E, Sec. 3, County: Caribou

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary: _____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
<u>55</u>	<u>46E</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>NESWE</u>	<u>Caribou</u>	<u>GW</u>
GPS Coordinates: <u>2740889E</u>				<u>+314987N</u> <u>1316062</u>		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		
GPS Coordinates: _____				_____		

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

POD from aerial photo (located inside building
where GPS would not work). Pac 28 Sept 05
Site tag A0013661

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Point of Diversion

Claim No. 24 - 10036

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (X) No ()

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Source

Claim No. 24 - 10036

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number:

A24-10036

____ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Per Fred Bugz, commercial use was changed to dairy use when factory was moved to Thayer. The date is unclear but from the newspaper article it appears this was before 1956. Dairy use continued until 3 or 4 years ago. Water use continues for three homes (plus 1 home on original domestic claim) plus stockwater use for 350 mother cows plus calves & bulls. BAC 28 Sept 05

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Please see e-mail in A24-10031.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes () No (X)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. 24 - 10036

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 24-10036☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use
35N	119W	21			Lincoln			C, S, D

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

POU is in Wyoming, confirmed by field inspection.
 Claim appears to be in error; range should be 119W of
 6th principle meridian. My map does not have Wyoming QQ
 data. Fourth home on new domestic claim is in Idaho
 as is POU. BAC 28 Sept 05.

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

Yes () No (X)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Place of Use

Claim No. 24 - 10036

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 24-10036☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Domestic	1/1	12/31	0.10	4.8
Stockwater	1/1	12/31	0.04	10.0
Commercial	1/13	12/31	0.04	13.5

Overall Diversion Rate 0.14Overall Diversion Volume 28.3

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Three domestic homes + dairy.
 See dairy worksheets attached. Per Fred Brog recent use
 was 200 milking cows + 400 dry cows, replacement heifers
 and steers. Steers were fed to 1000 lbs. Current use
 is 350 mother cows + calves + bulls. Note on door
 states "Well 24' water 18' water to well head 8'
 5 hp pump 8 1/2 feet overall." Current well control is
 Franklin 2hp Model 28 23018110. Older motor at site (see

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

(photo) is tagged 3 hp. Discharge pressure per gage is 35psi.

$$GPM = \frac{\text{Water HPK } 3960}{TDH}$$

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

$$(5 \text{ hp} \times 502 \times 3960) / (8 \frac{1}{2} + 35 \times 2.31) = 111 \text{ gpm} = 0.25 \text{ cfs}$$

$$\frac{(2 \text{ hp} \times 502 \times 3960)}{(5 \frac{1}{2} + 35 \times 2.31)} = 44 \text{ gpm}$$

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18

4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12

Yes () No (X)

7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

11. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?

If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13

Yes (X) No ()

13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?

If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15

Yes () No (X)

14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?

If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18

Yes () No (X)

16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?

If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?

If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18

Yes () No ()

If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18

18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Period of Use

Claim No. 24 - 10036

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes () No (X)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes () No (X)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes () No (X)

domestic / stock

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (X) No ()

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes () No (X)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes () No ()

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Quantity

Claim No. 24 - 10036

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes () No (X)

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes (X) No ()

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (X)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (X)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (X)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (X) No ()

Summary

Claim No.

241 - 10036

Summary Data Entry Form

This image shows a single sheet of white paper with horizontal black ruling lines. The lines are evenly spaced and run across the width of the page. There is no handwriting or other markings on the paper.

[illegible]

Reviewer _____ Date _____

Date _____



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

21 December 2005

COPY

Fred Brog
1644 State Line Road
Freedom, WY 83120

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claims 24-10031 & 24-10036

Subject: AMENDING YOUR CLAIMS

RESPONSE DATE: 18 JANUARY 2006

Dear Claimant(s):

Thank you for talking with me concerning the above referenced claims. I have prepared amended claims as we discussed. Please signed these before a notary public and return them to my office by the above response date.

If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at 547-3993 in Soda Springs.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

CEB/bjp
Enclosure:

Amended Notice of Claim 24-10031
Amended Notice of Claim 24-10036



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

December 16, 2005

Fred Brog
1644 State Line Rd
Freedom, WY 83120

REF: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 24-10036

Subject: Location of the 4 domestic homes claimed.

Dear Mr. Brog,


This summer we discussed this Adjudication claim. You claimed stock water for a dairy and 4 homes. In September when Bryce Contor was out doing field examinations he visited with you and took a photo of one of the homes claimed under this right. He didn't however locate the other three homes claimed. I have enclosed a 2004 aerial photo of the area described under your claim. Would you please located the other three homes for me on the photo and return it to me as soon as possible. We need to amend the claim to correct the priority date but I wanted to make sure that there are still 4 homes under the domestic.

It's my understanding that the stock water claimed under this right is no longer used in the dairy so I wasn't going to include it in the Amended claim. If things have changed then please let me know. If you have any questions please feel free to contact me at (208) 547-3993.

Respectfully,

Cindy E. Bird
Adjudication Bureau

Enclosure: 2004 Aerial Photo



2004 aerial photo

Fred Brog.

FILE NUMBER _____ A24-10036 - 2001 condition. No. of cows from claimant, other values assumed

REVIEWER _____ B Contor

DATE _____ October 1, 2005

DAIRIES: WATER USE WORKSHEET

REQUIRED DAIRY DATA

NUMBER OF MILKING CATTLE _____	200
NUMBER OF DRY CATTLE _____	200
NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT CATTLE: 0-2 MONTHS _____	35
3-4 MONTHS _____	35
5-8 MONTHS _____	35
9-12 MONTHS _____	35
13-15 MONTHS _____	35
16-24 MONTHS _____	35
ENTER 1 FOR MANUAL COW WASHING, 2 FOR AUTOMATIC COW WASHING, OR 3 IF SPRINKLERS ARE USED TO FLUSH HOLDING PEN AND WASH COWS _____	1
IF SPRINKLERS ARE USED: NUMBER OF SPRINKLERS IN HOLDING PEN _____	0
NOZZLE DISCHARGE (USE 5.0 GPM IF UNKNOWN) _____	0.00
TOTAL OPERATION TIME OF SPRINKLERS (MIN/DAY) _____	0
NUMBER OF MILKINGS PER DAY _____	2
AVG. LBS. OF MILK PRODUCED PER DAY PER ANIMAL (IDAHO AVG IS 70 LBS.) _____	60
ARE THERE SEPARATE PUMPS FOR COMMERCIAL AND STOCKWATER USES? _____	N
IF SO: HOW MANY HOURS PER DAY DOES THE STOCKWATER PUMP RUN? _____	12.0
HOW MANY HOURS PER DAY DOES THE COMMERCIAL PUMP RUN? _____	12.0
IF ONLY ONE PUMP, HOW MANY TOTAL HOURS PER DAY DOES IT RUN? _____	12.0
IS A PLATE COOLER USED? _____	N
IS PLATE COOLER WATER LATER RECYCLED FOR OTHER USES? (IF NO PLATE COOLER, LEAVE BLANK) _____	
ARE CORRAL MISTERS USED IN HOLDING PEN? _____	N
IF USING CORRAL MISTERS: NUMBER OF MISTERS (IF UNKNOWN, ASSUME 1 MISTER FOR EVERY 5 COWS) _____	0
NOZZLE DISCHARGE (USE 5 GPH IF UNKNOWN) _____	0.00
SPRAY INTERVAL (EX - 2 MIN ON EVERY 5 MIN = 2/5) _____	0
OPERATION TIME OF MISTERS (HRS/DAY) _____	0
DAYS PER YEAR MISTERS ARE USED _____	0
ENTER A TOTAL VOLUME IN GPD FOR MISCELLANEOUS WATER USES NOT INDIVIDUALLY CALCULATED BELOW (EX. - RESTROOMS, WASHING MACHINES) _____	500

FILE NUMBER ----- A24-10036 - 2001 condition. No. of cows from claimant, other values assumed
REVIEWER ----- B Contor
DATE ----- 10/1/05

CALCULATIONS

DIVERSION RATE (CFS):

STOCKWATER

MILKING CATTLE (BASED ON MILK PRODUCTION)	****
DRY CATTLE @17.0 GPD/HD	****
REPLACEMENT CATTLE	****
TOTAL	0.04

COMMERCIAL

TOTAL	0.04
-------------	------

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE	0.04
----------------------------	------

DIVERSION VOLUME (AFA):

STOCKWATER

MILKING CATTLE	5.5
DRY CATTLE	3.0
REPLACEMENT CATTLE	1.4
TOTAL	10.0

COMMERCIAL

WASHING OF BULK TANK AND RELATED EQUIPMENT	0.4
BACKFLUSH	0.2
PARLOR/MILKHOUSE/HOLDING PEN CLEANUP	2.0
CATTLE WASHING BASED UPON METHOD OF WASHING	0.2
PLATE COOLER	0.0
CORRAL MISTERS	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS USES	0.6
TOTAL	3.5

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME	13.5
------------------------------	------

CONSUMPTIVE USE VOLUME (AFA)(assuming 100% consumptive):

STOCKWATER	10.0
------------------	------

COMMERCIAL	3.5
------------------	-----

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE	13.5
-----------------------------	------

FILE NUMBER _____ A24-10036 - 1956 condition. No. of milking cows from newspaper,
other values assumed

REVIEWER _____ B Contor

DATE _____ October 1, 2005

DAIRIES: WATER USE WORKSHEET

REQUIRED DAIRY DATA

NUMBER OF MILKING CATTLE _____ 100

NUMBER OF DRY CATTLE _____ 100

NUMBER OF REPLACEMENT CATTLE: 0-2 MONTHS _____ 15

3-4 MONTHS _____ 15

5-8 MONTHS _____ 15

9-12 MONTHS _____ 15

13-15 MONTHS _____ 15

16-24 MONTHS _____ 15

ENTER 1 FOR MANUAL COW WASHING, 2 FOR AUTOMATIC COW WASHING,
OR 3 IF SPRINKLERS ARE USED TO FLUSH HOLDING PEN AND WASH COWS _____ 1

IF SPRINKLERS ARE USED: NUMBER OF SPRINKLERS IN HOLDING PEN _____ 0

NOZZLE DISCHARGE (USE 5.0 GPM IF UNKNOWN) _____ 0.00

TOTAL OPERATION TIME OF SPRINKLERS (MIN/DAY) _____ 0

NUMBER OF MILKINGS PER DAY _____ 2

AVG. LBS. OF MILK PRODUCED PER DAY PER ANIMAL (IDAHO AVG IS 70 LBS.) _____ 60

ARE THERE SEPARATE PUMPS FOR COMMERCIAL AND STOCKWATER USES? _____ N

IF SO: HOW MANY HOURS PER DAY DOES THE STOCKWATER PUMP RUN? _____

HOW MANY HOURS PER DAY DOES THE COMMERCIAL PUMP RUN? _____

IF ONLY ONE PUMP, HOW MANY TOTAL HOURS PER DAY DOES IT RUN? _____ 12.0

IS A PLATE COOLER USED? _____ N

IS PLATE COOLER WATER LATER RECYCLED FOR OTHER USES? (IF NO PLATE
COOLER, LEAVE BLANK) _____

ARE CORRAL MISTERS USED IN HOLDING PEN? _____ N

IF USING CORRAL MISTERS: NUMBER OF MISTERS (IF UNKNOWN,
ASSUME 1 MISTER FOR EVERY 5 COWS) _____ 0

NOZZLE DISCHARGE (USE 5 GPH IF UNKNOWN) _____ 0.00

SPRAY INTERVAL (EX - 2 MIN ON EVERY 5 MIN = 2/5) _____ #

OPERATION TIME OF MISTERS (HRS/DAY) _____ 0

DAYS PER YEAR MISTERS ARE USED _____ 0

ENTER A TOTAL VOLUME IN GPD FOR MISCELLANEOUS WATER USES NOT
INDIVIDUALLY CALCULATED BELOW (EX. - RESTROOMS, WASHING MACHINES) _____ 500

FILE NUMBER _____ A24-10036 - 1956 condition. No. of milking cows from newspaper, other values assumed

REVIEWER _____ B Contor

DATE _____ 10/1/05

CALCULATIONS

DIVERSION RATE (CFS):

STOCKWATER	
MILKING CATTLE (BASED ON MILK PRODUCTION) -----	****
DRY CATTLE @17.0 GPD/HD -----	****
REPLACEMENT CATTLE -----	****
TOTAL -----	0.02
COMMERCIAL	
TOTAL -----	0.02
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE -----	0.02

DIVERSION VOLUME (AFA):

STOCKWATER	
MILKING CATTLE -----	2.8
DRY CATTLE -----	1.5
REPLACEMENT CATTLE -----	0.6
TOTAL -----	4.9
COMMERCIAL	
WASHING OF BULK TANK AND RELATED EQUIPMENT -----	0.4
BACKFLUSH -----	0.1
PARLOR/MILKHOUSE/HOLDING PEN CLEANUP -----	2.0
CATTLE WASHING BASED UPON METHOD OF WASHING -----	0.1
PLATE COOLER -----	0.0
CORRAL MISTERS -----	0.0
MISCELLANEOUS USES -----	0.6
TOTAL -----	3.2
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME -----	8.1

CONSUMPTIVE USE VOLUME (AFA)(assuming 100% consumptive):

STOCKWATER -----	4.9
COMMERCIAL -----	3.2
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE -----	8.1

pages 3-4 not applicable;
these refer to Δ purpose
transfers.

A24-10036


Adjudication Claim no

Field Photos

(Fred Borg)



Looking NE at dairy area in Wyoming, from in front of old Cheese factory. Home at left is one of the homes owned by this WR. 10 Sept 05



② Well, pressure tank and controls. This is inside old cheese factory. Well is inside square concrete box under the piece of 6" steel casing. 10 Sept 05

Sac

SS 46 E 3 NE SW 54
10' 6"
D-7410 841 E 13160000
T 012000
100' 500' 1000' 1000'

A24-10036

Adjudication Claim no


Field Photos

(Fred Burg)



3

Original motor. 10 Sept 05



4

Photo of first factory.

Original in possession of
Phea Stok. See file for
photo copy of notation from
back of photo. 10 Sept 05

Bac

Please amend priority date to Sept 22, 1926 to correspond to first use of water in the cheese factory. 1926 bac FB

FIELD AMENDMENT FORM

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Fred Bray Date: 9-10-05

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am _____ of _____ Title _____, that I have signed the foregoing Organization document in the space below as _____ of Title _____ and that the statements contained in the Organization


Signature of Authorized Agent
Title and Organization
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Caribou) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 10th day of September 20 05
Bryce A. Contor
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Idaho
My Commission Expires 14 Oct 05




sent in 1926-27

opening date - aug. 22 1927

first Star Valley Swiss
cheese factory at Freedom, Wyo.

Ernest Grog
Freedom, Wyo.



This was written on the
back of the photo of the
cheese factory

Source: Clairmont

Date: 10 Sept 05 BAC

Determination created Star Valley Swiss cheese

By ROSE WRAY

Afton, Wyo.

Black sheep of the dairy land, Ernest Brog led his first caravan over the precarious trail-road of Tincup, Idaho. He and his drivers knew that they faced drifts, cold winds, and blinding snows before they reached Soda Springs and the railroads with their precious product. That famous trip in 1927 heralded the first cargo of Swiss cheese to be shipped out of Star Valley.

The 800-pound tubs of cheese, three to a sleigh, were packed snugly in hay, and the nine teams of horses began their five-day journey. But the weather dropped to 42 degrees below zero. Long after dark weary drivers pulled into Payson, the "drifty village."


A ring of fire was built around the huge cheeses to keep them from freezing. The third day, still fighting the snows, determined men refused to give up when they reached Henry. At noon on the fifth day they unloaded their cheese at the station. But when the cargo arrived at Portland, the buyers were wary. Only through a friend who tested and guaranteed the cheese was Brog able to close the contract which put Star Valley Swiss cheese on the market at 28 cents.

A New Industry

This lucky start plus many months of hard work brought a new industry into the valley, a little Switzerland high in the Rocky Mountains. For 10 years ago continued to put out emmentaler cheese made from the best of two great recipes from the original family dates back to the twelfth century settlers of Aargau, Berne, Switzerland.

Ernest Brog was born in 1866. As soon as he was old enough, he followed his father and older brothers, Fred and Paul, into the low hills of the Snake River bottom third of the Snake River valley where ideal pasture lands rose 7,000 feet above sea level.

His father tied a bell on the neck of the cow and the others followed it and the Brog family lived in the hills where the best cheese and butters were produced. In the fall after the cows were milked, the boys and their



Brothers Ernest, Fred and Paul Brog with Grade A. Star Valley cheese.

father would each load two eighty pound cheese blocks on their backs and ski back down the narrow trails.

Learning English

Young Ernest was apprenticed for seven years before he was allowed to take the six months' examination for a master's diploma in the cheese and agriculture school. Learning to be a first rate cheesemaker did not occur around a vat of milk alone, but in the classes of bacteriology, chemistry and agriculture as well.

At his first job in an export-import cheese company, the young 22-year-old Swiss was hampered because he could neither read nor write English. And so he came to America to learn the language. Soon aware of the vast opportunities in the New World, he stayed.

It was only luck which drew young Ernest to Wyoming. After a short sojourn in Wisconsin, he had decided to go to New Zealand where the rich highlands produced the best milk cows. But at San Diego he met a man from Teton Basin

who talked him into trying the highlands of the Rockies.

Many thought Brog was insane to come to Star Valley and compete with Kraft and Mutual; but he held to his conviction that good Swiss cheese would always sell, and another competitive factory would be good for the farmers and their community. And the butterfat did rise 15 cents by 1926.

Small Beginning

To begin his co-op Ernest only had 13 farmers join him; and they felt like traitors to the butter and American cheese manufacturers. But the venture was worth it because Brog's background and ability made him an ideal cheesemaker and leader.

Ernest's other brothers eventually settled in Star Valley — Fred at Osmond, Paul at Thayne. But when the Food and Drug Administration insisted on many new and expensive improvements such as tiling and new whey disposal units, it was evident to

Ernest, whose factory was in Freedom, that consolidation was necessary. A new factory was built at Thayne with Ernest as manager. For eight years the new plant could boast the largest and most modern Swiss cheese factory in the world and had the greatest percentage of grade A cheese.

Climate Ideal

Ernest discovered that Star Valley with its 8,000-foot altitude and cool climate was just right for grasses with good protein content so necessary to good cheese. This immigrant worked with the farmers to improve their herds and increase their milk output.

And he trained expert cheesemakers.

As each vat of milk coagulated, the men stirred the curds with harplike tools in rhythmic motions that increased the cheese's flavor. At the right moment the curds were pressed into 200-pound wheels about ten inches thick and 30 inches in diameter, then placed on racks for six months

of curing and aging with rotation, washing, and salting. In this stage the cheese produced the healthy acids. When the salt permeated the entire wheel, the flavor right.

Swiss cheese with its emmenthalers (eyes) often large as 25 mm in diameter is difficult to make. Three species of bacteria are required to produce the fermentation process produce the largest holes, give the cheese its nutty flavor. Good cheese plus an attractive factory soon became a great tourist attraction.

But it was Mrs. Brog who gave the final touches to a boom in the tourist trade.

Trade Doubles

At Alta, Wyoming, Ernest was fortunate to meet a young Swiss immigrant, Martha Kaufman, whose father had been a cheesemaker in the Country.

It was Mrs. Brog's time innovations that put the famous Swiss cheeseburgers into national aspect. Since the cutting room where tourists could order cheese was in an inconvenient upstairs room she suggested that a store built on the front of the factory where travellers could buy burgers, homemade pies a bread, ice creams, and a variety of packaged cheeses.

The trade doubled that first year.

Before she and her husband finally retired, Martha worked long hours managing this factory-canteen to make it the greatest success it is today.

In 1956 Brog sold his machinery to the Star Valley Co-op. His son Frank became manager. But Ernest's hardware store and 100-cow dairy kept him busy. Now, at 77, has turned over the dairy to son Fred.

All four of Ernest's sons have worked at his side in the creamery business. Roy has invented a milk test 99 per cent accurate in checking fat and protein. Since protein makes the cheese, it is the most honest test there is. Roy went to school for two and one-half years in the

Old Country to become a "notch" cheesemaker.

In 1971 another son, Larry, told his father that it would be great shame if the old cheese recipe went to the grave with his father. A fiery gleam of anxious anticipation came into the older man's eyes, and Larry had a partner in his new hobby.

The old Alp cheese recipe brought out of storage, and Star Valley now boasts the birth of the Elk Horn cafe and factory the only one of its kind in the world. Alp cheese costs more to make than the standard wheel show cheese. And Brog feared the recipe could not be revived.

But now the unique setup active east of the famous Elkhorn Arch on the main street Afton, Wyoming. One half of giant windows show the act of processing of both Alp and B cheese from raw milk; the other giant windows picture a quiet Swiss cafe.

The tourist can see the process separate into curd and whey which are both pumped together into another vat. When whey goes on and the curd settles into long half wheel formations. The lid which is the other half of the wheel comes down to press the cheese. The 220 small wheels of four to five pounds can be cut in five minutes. Alp cheese, with high protein content, is put in the salt brine for 24 hours to be aged for a minimum of 90 days.

Beer cheese is brined for hours. From the brine cheeses go to the drying racks for 24 hours before being packaged in airtight plastic bags which make the cheese per cent useable. Beer cheese concentrating on more flavor, is popular for party snacks.

Alp cheese, with its creamy color and smooth taste already in great demand health stores all over the country. One pound of eight month-old Swiss made with pasteurization is the prot equivalent of a two and one fourth-pound steak. Super flavor is gained in these snags (two-inch thick) wheels.

Larry's newly patented processing machine makes these family packages quick and efficiently. He is proud to have his father guide him that he can continue a great skill that has been handed from "father to son for over years."


Now Star Valley boasts famous Swiss cheese lab Little Switzerland of America Thayne where the large Swiss cheese is made and Switzerland in the Rockies at Afton with Alp cheese. The first planned, the second just came into being. But both have been successful because of the wisdom of Ernest Brog.

Ernest says that lots of people go along with his life. He says his recipes have been good for the valley and the valley has been good to him.

Final proof of his great contribution to industry is file in the Department of Agriculture at Washington, D. C. Ezra Taft Benson has personally complimented Ernest on his efforts to help the farm and Star Valley.



Manager Larry Brog learns cheese-making



The old Swiss cheese factory, Star Valley

This was in a Star Valley or Salt Lake newspaper in about 1971 or 1972 per claimant's sister Rhon Stock

Source: Claimant

Date: 10 Sept 05 Rec

Form 42-300-1-1000-01 July, 2000

STATE OF IDAHO

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

NOTICE OF CHANGE IN WATER RIGHT OWNERSHIP

Please print or type. Attach pages with additional information. Instructions are included at the end of this form.
Incomplete forms will be returned.

1. Please list the water right number(s) and/or adjudication claim number(s) (if any) for each water right to be changed. List just the adjudication claim number if there is no corresponding water right record on file with the department. Indicate, by checking in the space provided (under the "split" heading), if the change in ownership is limited to a portion of a water right in which case division of the existing water right or adjudication claim record will be required.

Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split	Water Right No(s).	Adjudication Claim No(s).	Split
	24-10035				

2. The following **REQUIRED** information must be submitted with this form.

A. The appropriate **FILING FEE**. See instructions for fee amounts.

B. A copy of the most recent **DEED, TITLE POLICY, CONTRACT OF SALE** or other legal document indicating your ownership of the property and water right(s) or claim(s) in question, **WITH ATTACHED LEGAL DESCRIPTION**.

C. Either of the following (if necessary to clarify division of water rights or other complex property descriptions):
PLAT OF PROPERTY or **SURVEY MAP** clearly showing the location of the point(s) of diversion and place of use of your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) (these are usually attached to your deed or on file with the county).

OR

If your water right(s) and/or adjudication claim(s) is for ten or more acres of irrigation, you must submit a USDA Farm Service Agency **AERIAL PHOTO** with the irrigated acres outlined and point(s) of diversion clearly marked. The **AERIAL PHOTO** should be submitted in place of the **PLAT OF PROPERTY** or **SURVEY MAP**.

3. Name and Address of Former Owner/Claimant(s)

Conn Hadenlie
132 S 300 W, Logan, UT

4. New Owner/Claimant(s)

Fred & Annie Brog
Name Connector (Check one) ☐ and, ☐ or, ☐ and/or

New Mailing Address

1644 State Line Rd

City, State and ZIP Code


Frederick, UT 83120

New Telephone Number

WO 873-2233 or 307-883-2363

5. Date you acquired the property

8-27-01



6. If the change in ownership affects the entire water right for each water right or adjudication claim number listed in Item 1, THEN SKIP THIS ITEM AND GO TO ITEM 7. If the change in ownership divides the water right(s) among multiple owners, you must describe, in detail, your portion of each water right after the change. Fill in the appropriate spaces in the box(es) below to describe your water right(s) after the change (one water right per box, you may copy this page as necessary). If your quantity of water is greater than a proportionate split, you must attach documentation to show justification for a larger amount. If you are not sure how to identify your portion of the original water right or adjudication claim records, please contact the nearest IDWR office for assistance.

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR PORTION OF WATER RIGHT (If the right(s) will not be split, skip this area and proceed to item 7)		
Water Right and/or Adjudication Claim Number _____		
Water Use	Diversion Rate or Volume (cubic feet per second or acre-feet per annum)	Description (acres, number and type of stock, homes, etc)
Irrigation	_____	Irrigation 1954 Cons Adjudicate
Stock	_____	_____
Domestic	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____

DESCRIPTION OF YOUR PORTION OF WATER RIGHT (If the right(s) will not be split, skip this area and proceed to item 7)		
Water Right and/or Adjudication Claim Number _____		
Water Use	Diversion Rate or Volume (cubic feet per second or acre-feet per annum)	Description (acres, number and type of stock, homes, etc)
Irrigation	_____	_____
Stock	_____	_____
Domestic	_____	_____
Other	_____	_____
Total	_____	_____

7. Signature of New Owner(s) or Claimant(s)
(include title if applicable)

Fred D. Brog
Carrie C. Brog

For Snake River Basin Adjudication Claims: Please attach a Notice of Appearance completed by your attorney, if you wish IDWR to correspond with him/her for all matters related to your claims.

For Office Use Only

Received by _____ Date _____ Fee _____
 Receipted by _____ Date _____ Receipt No. _____
 Processed by _____ Date _____ WR _____ Date _____

NOV 2 2004

TIMELINE 24-10031

Fred Brog

- 12/11/1917 Desert Land Certificate #1142, U.S.A. to Walter Barber
T5S, R46E, Sec. 3, Lot 5 & 6, SW
T5S, R46E, Sec. 4, SE, SE
T5S, R46E, Sec. 9, E1/2NE
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1 & 2, NW
621.45 acres
Water and ditch rights
- 6/2/1909 Deed, Fred & Clara Luthi to William Robinson
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, NW, part of, 31 acres
- 10/26/1907 Deed, Walter & Clara Barber to William Robinson
T5S, R46E, Sec. 3, SW, part of, 159 acres
All water rights, ditches and canals
- 2/15/1908 Homestead Certificate #5204, U.S.A. to Fred Luthi
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 3 & 4, E1/2SW
170.10 acres
subject to accrued water rights and ditch rights
- 7/22/1929 Warranty Deed, William & Emily Robinson to Eastern
Idaho Loan & Trust Co., William Robinson & Fredrick
Dewey Robinson, Trustees
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, NW, part of
31 acres
- 7/22/1929 Warranty Deed, William & Emily Robinson to Eastern
Idaho Loan & Trust Company, William G. Robinson &
Fredrick D. Robinson, Trustees
T5S, R46E, Sec. 3, NW
159 acres
all canal, ditch and water rights
- NOTE: WHEN THEY START SPLITTING THE PROPERTY INTO FOURTHS,
THEY SITE METS AND BOUNDS AND THEN STATE
THAT IT IS ALSO PART OF LOTS 1, 2 AND 3, ETC. SO I
JUST LISTED THE LOT NUMBERS. AND ADDED "PART
OF".**
- 9/1/1944 Warranty Deed, Eastern Idaho Loan & Trust Co., as
Trustees William G. Robinson and Fredrick D. Robinson to
John J. and Martha L. Haderlie
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of

1/25/1953	Deed, John & Martha Haderlie to John & Martha Haderline T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of T5S, R46E, Sec. 5, SWNW ?? T5S, R46E, Sec. 6 SENE, NESE, 160 acres water rights T4S, R46E, Sec. 32, SWSE T4S, R46E, Sec. 5, Lots 3 & 4, SENW, 159.4 acres all water rights T5S, R46E, Sec. 15, Lot 2, part of, 5 acres All water and water rights T5S, R46E, Sec. 5, NESW, W1/2SE, SESE, 160 acres
6/1/1961	Warranty Deed, John & Martha Haderlie to Conn & Elmina Haderlie T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of, 160 acres All water and ditch rights
1/4/1965	Tax Deed, John Haderlie to Caribou County T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of, 160 acres All water and ditch rights
1/5/1965	Redemption Deed, Caribou County to Conn & John Haderlie T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of, 160 acres All water and ditch rights
1/3/1977	Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie to Conn Haderlie Family Inter Vivos Revocable Trust T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of, 160 acres All water and ditch rights
8/21/1992	Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie as trustees for Family Trust to W. Brian & Gaylene Haderlie ¼ interest in: T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part of, 160 acres All water and ditch rights
8/21/1992	Quit Claim Deed, , Conn & Elmina Haderlie as trustees for Family Trust to J. Max & Patricia Haderlie ¼ interest in:

T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part
of, 160 acres
All water and ditch rights

8/21/1992 Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie as trustees for
Family Trust to Lloyd & Sharyl Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part
of, 160 acres
All water and ditch rights

8/21/1992 Quit Claim Deed, , Conn & Elmina Haderlie as trustees for
Family Trust to Elwin & Nadine Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, part
of, 160 acres
All water and ditch rights

10/2/1992 Quitclaim Deed, W. Brian & Gaylene Haderlie to J. Max &
Patricia Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3,
E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are same as 8/21/1992 deeds

11/6/1992 Quitclaim Deed. Lloyd & Sharyl Haderlie to J. Max &
Patricia Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3,
E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are same as 8/21/1992 deeds

2/24/1993 Correction Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie to
Max & Patricia Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3,
E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are different than the 8/21/1992
deeds.

2/24/1993 Correction Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie to W.
Brian & Gaylene Haderlie
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3,
E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres

NOTE: The dimensions are different than the 8/21/1992 deeds.

2/24/1993 **Correction Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie to Lloyd & Sharyl Haderlie**
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are different than the 8/21/1992 deeds.

2/24/1993 **Correction Quit Claim Deed, Conn & Elmina Haderlie to Elwin & Nadine Haderlie**
¼ interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are different than the 8/21/1992 deeds.

2/28/1993 **Quitclaim Deed, Elwin & Nadine Haderlie to J. Max & Patricia Haderlie**
¼ Interest in:
T5S, R46E, Sec. 10, part of, same as Lots 1, 2 & 3, E1/2NW, NESW, 160 acres
NOTE: The dimensions are different than the 2/24/1993 deeds.

8/29/2001 **Warranty Deed, Max & Patricia Haderlie to 1032 Exchange, Inc.**
T5S, R46E, Section 10, part of
NOTE: Quick glance appears the dimensions are the same as the 2/24/1993 deeds.
Recorded #164285

2/25/2002 **Special Warranty Deed, 1031 Exchange, Inc. to Fred & Annie Brog**
T5S, R46E, Section 10, part of
NOTE: Quick glance appears the dimensions are the same as the 2/24/1993 deeds.
Recorded #165520

12/15/2004
BJP



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

Fred & Annie Brog
1644 State Line Road
Freedom, WY 83120

November 23, 2004

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim(s): 24-10031, 24-10035 & 24-10036

Subject: CLAIM RECOMMENDATION - DISALLOW

Dear Claimant(s):

Please be advised that since we have had no response from you, we will recommend to the Court that the above referenced claims be disallowed.

Respectfully,

Pauline Bassett

COPY



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

15 West Center Street, Soda Springs, ID 83276

Phone: (208) 547-3993 Fax: (208) 547-3939 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

19 October 2004

Fred & Annie Brog
1644 State Line Road
Freedom, WY 83120

COPY

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 24-10036

Subject: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY

Response Date: 16 November 2004

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources has begun its water right review in Basin 24. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above, has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Notice. The date the water was first put to use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by a license, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. **As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.**

It is important that each element of the Notice be substantiated. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.


For your reference, I have enclosed the pamphlet entitled **"Evidence of Priority"**. The pamphlet describes the types of evidence required and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled **"What Kind of Evidence is Required"** and **"Some examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date"**.

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible.

.....
The Department cannot recommend the court decree the Notice if no evidence of a priority date is submitted.
.....

Please submit the documentation supporting the priority date by the above noted response date, referencing all documents with the corresponding Notice number. If you have any questions not covered by this letter, please feel free to contact me at 547-3993.

Respectfully,



Barbara Payne

Enclosure: Brochure on *"Evidence of Priority"*
Notice of Claim to a Water Right

DATE: 04/27/98
PAGE: 1

Audit: 04/27/98 DSMITH

AJS856NP

(DARD DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
RECOMMENDED WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

DATE: 8/12-19-1997

PAGE: A-26

RIGHT NUMBER: 24-10036

NAME & ADDRESS: FRED BROOK
FREEDOM WY 83120

SOURCE: GROUNDWATER

QUANTITY: 0.43 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 06/01/1950

POINT OF DIVERSION: TOWN RANGE 503 LOT 6 (SWSE)

Within CARIBOU County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:PURPOSE OF USE
STOCKWATER
DOMESTIC D/FOUR HORSES, DAIRY WITHPERIOD OF USE
07-01 12-31
01-01 12-31QUANTITY
0.33 CFS
0.1 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

DOMESTIC Within CARIBOU County
TOWN RANGE 503 Lot 6 (SWSE)

Place of use for STOCKWATER is same as DOMESTIC

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

PLACE OF USE IS ALSO RANGE, SURVEY, NEWMAN, SECTION 34 SECTION
21, TOWN, RANGE, COUNTY OF LINCOLN, STATE OF WYOMING.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - BENEFICIAL USE

1155
1155ABF Company
HCR 60

Freedom, WY 83120

2 Improvements

Verified by John
@ By Assessors Office
JH

24-10036

Fred & Annie Brog

No Layout Needed

Domestic/Stockwater

24-10036

Fred & Annie Brog

No Layout Needed

Domestic/Stockwater

10/12/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 24-10036

NAME AND ADDRESS: FRED BROG
1644 STATE LINE RD
FREEDOM WY 83120

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.180 CFS
14.800 AFY

PRIORITY DATE: 01/01/1926

POINT OF DIVERSION: T05S R46E S3 NESWSE Lot 6 Within CARIBOU County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
DOMESTIC	01/01 12/31	0.100 CFS 4.80 AFY
STOCKWATER	01/01 12/31	0.080 CFS 10.00 AFY
Domestic use is for 4 homes.		

PLACE OF USE: STOCKWATER Within CARIBOU County
T05S R46E S03 Lot 6 SWSE
DOMESTIC Within CARIBOU County
T05S R46E S03 Lot 6 SWSE

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

Stockwater use is for 310 milking cows and 250 calves.

09/11/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 24-10036

NAME AND ADDRESS: FRED BROG
1644 STATE LINE RD
FREEDOM WY 83120

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.170 CFS
13.600 AFY

PRIORITY DATE: 01/01/1926

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T05S R46E S3 NESWSE Lot 6 Within CARIBOU County

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
DOMESTIC	01/01 12/31	0.090 CFS 3.60 AFY
STOCKWATER	01/01 12/31	0.080 CFS 10.00 AFY

Domestic use is for 3 homes.

PLACE OF USE: STOCKWATER Within CARIBOU County

T05S R46E S03 Lot 6 SWSE

DOMESTIC Within CARIBOU County

T05S R46E S03 Lot 6 SWSE

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - Beneficial Use

Stockwater use is for 200 milking cattle and 200 dry cattle.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 24-10036
Date Received: 2/13/1990
Receipt No: E012048
Received By:

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name of Claimant(s)

FRED BROG
1644 STATE LINE RD
FREEDOM WY USA 83120

Phone: (307)883-2363

2. Date of Priority: 01/01/1926

3. Source: GROUND WATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
05S	46E	3	SW SE 6	CARIBOU	

5. Description of diverting works:

WELL AND PUMP FOR DOMESTIC AND STOCKWATER USES.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
STOCKWATER	01/01	12/31	0.08	
DOMESTIC	01/01	12/31	0.09	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.17 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use:

9. Non-irrigation uses:

D/FOUR HOMES, RANGE COWS.

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4		Lot	Use	Acres
05S	46E	3	SW	SE	6	STOCKWATER	
			SW	SE	6	DOMESTIC	

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:
N/A

14. Remarks:

PLACE OF USE IS ALSO SWNE STOCK, NENW ONE HOME, SENW STOCK, TWO HOMES, ALL SECTION 21, T35N R118W, COUNTY OF LINCOLN, STATE OF WYOMING.

15. Basis of Claim: Beneficial Use

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____

_____ Date:

State of Idaho)
County of _____) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this

of _____ 20__

Notary Public

Residing at _____

My commission Expires _____

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number 24-10036
Date Received: 2/13/1990
Receipt No: E012048
Received By:

AMENDED
NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name of Claimant(s)

FRED BROG
1644 STATE LINE RD
FREEDOM WY USA 83120

Phone: (307)883-2363

2. Date of Priority: ~~04/01/1950~~ 01/01/1926

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 of 1/4 Lot	County	Type
05S	46E	3	SW SE 6	CARIBOU	

5. Description of diverting works:

WELL AND PUMP FOR DOMESTIC AND STOCKWATER USES.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or) A.F.A
STOCKWATER	1/01	12/31	~~0.33~~ 0.08	
DOMESTIC	1/01	12/31	~~0.1~~ 0.09	

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:

~~0.43 C.F.S.~~
0.17 C.F.S.

8. Total consumptive use is

Acre Feet Per Annum.


9. Non-irrigation uses:

D/FOUR HOMES, ~~DAIRY WITH 400 DAIRY CATTLE.~~

RANGE COWS.

10. Place of use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4 Lot	Use
05S	46E	3	SW SE 6	DOMESTIC
05S	46E	3	SW SE 6	STOCK



11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes

13. Other Water Rights Used:
N/A

14. Remarks:

PLACE OF USE IS ALSO ~~NW 1/4 NE 1/4~~, ~~SW 1/4 NE 1/4~~ STOCK, ~~NE 1/4 NW 1/4~~ ONE HOME, ~~SE 1/4 NW 1/4~~ STOCK, TWO HOMES, ALL SECTION 21, T35N, R118W, COUNTY OF LINCOLN, STATE OF WYOMING.

15. Basis of Claim: Beneficial Use

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:


I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Fred Brog Date: 1-11-06

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Caribou) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 11th day of January 2006



Barbara J. Payne
Notary Public

Residing at Bancroft, ID

My commission Expires 15 Oct 2008

SCANNED

AUG 05 2001

\$9300

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A24-10036
Date Received: 2/13/1990
Receipt No: E012048/90
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: FRED BROG 307-883-2363
Address: FREEDOM, WY 83120

2. Date of Priority: APR 01, 1950

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
05S	46E	3	SW	SE		6	CARIBOU

5. Description of diverting works:
WELL AND PUMP FOR DOMESTIC AND STOCKWATER USES.

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
DOMESTIC	01/01	12/31	0.100		
STOCKWATER	01/01	12/31	0.330		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.430 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
D/FOUR HOMES, DAIRY WITH 400 DAIRY CATTLE.

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
05S	46E	3	SW	SE	6	DOMEST	

11. Place of use in counties: CARIBOU

A24-10036 Page 1 Date: 02/13/90

A2410036



MICROFILMED
NOV 06 1992

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:

N/A

14. Remarks:

PLACE OF USE IS ALSO NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ ALL SECTION 21, T35N, R118W, COUNTY OF LINCOLN, STATE OF WYOMING.

15. Basis of Claim: BENEFICIAL USE

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Lud D. Brog Date: Feb 13 90

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bonneville) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 13th day

of Feb 19 90

Betty J. Thompson
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at NO LATE FEE IF RECEIVED

My Commission Expires BY



250 000 FE

498

497

2' 30"

496

495

A24-0036

1 MILE

SCALE 1:24000

