

10/10/07 GPS File "244006"

10:21

POD.

N 42° 59.915'

W 111° 03.624'

1699 - Looking @ diversion dam in
Grouse crk. Schesters crk.

1700 Looking westerly at Fall.

1701. Looking at old diversion structure
on Tin Cup crk. Just as tin cup crosses
under Hwy 34

photo 1702. Looking easterly at ^{old} ditch
from Tin Cup crk.

EXAM INFORMATION

Exam Date

10/10/07
9/13/07

Exam Time

4pm 9Am

CLAIM(S)

24-4006

NAME

Lon Scarlett

ADDRESS

PHONE

(307) 733-6718

HOME



WORK

CELL

307-690-1553

DOMESTIC

STOCKWATER

IRRIGATION



OTHER

OTHER RIGHTS

DIRECTIONS

Freedom, ID

Hwy 24

DOGS

DATE


9/10/07

APPOINTMENT


Mrs. Scarlett

24-4006

Amended POB's



- Sections
- GCDB05S46E GCDB
 - Claim POD
 - Recommendation POD
 - Recommendation POU
 - Administrative BasinsAdminbas.shp



RECEIVED

2-18-07 /

FEB 23 2007

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

To: Snake River Basin Adjudication Court

Re: Water Rights - Irrigation 24-4006 Caribou G. Id.

FILED
2007 FEB 23
IDAHO
COUNTY CLERK
IDAHO

PM 10 55
To whom it may concern,

I Lou Scarlett would like to ask permission to file a late objection for the above named water right 24-4006.

The reason for objections were brought to my attention by Cindy Bird of the I.D.W.R. February 2007 what I'm objecting to is shown on the map prepared by A. Colart September, 2006. This map shows a recommended boundary that includes property I do not own. This recommended boundary also takes away from the historical right, I did have, to irrigate the 128 acres of farmable ground, that I do own. The crops I plant do not need irrigation every year. It varies depending on the rotation of crops planted. I just did not catch this earlier, and my understanding of what the recommended boundary represented was not correct.

I am asking that you please consider my request to expand the recommended boundary. The boundary would include the 128 acres I have always been eligible for in the past. It would exclude what I do not own, and include a point of diversion I used to have. The map showing this acceptable boundary was prepared by Cindy Bird February 2007. Thank You! for your time in considering my request.

Sincerely,

Lou Scarlett

24-4004.

Wednesday 5:22pm 5/23/07

Photo 1593 17:48

Photo taken from the SE corner of
Fredrick Louis Scarlett's farm
The ground is higher along east fence

Photo 1594 17:48 Looking N.W. Hwy
at POA. This corner is low.

photo 1595 17:55 Looking in a NE'ly
direction at the ground. North of Tin Cup
Creek. The POA should be pulled back
away from the creek. because the ground
is uphill.

photo 1596 17:55 looking east at
Tin Cup creek. The banks are
high, creek low, it would be
difficult to flood migrate from
creek.

photo 1597 17:56 looking east at the
land behind the house. White house I
believe belongs to Lou Scarlett. The ground
on the corner of Hwy 34 and Tin Cup junction. Red
creek is in the background.

SRBA CLAIM 24-4006: LOU SCARLETT

IRRIGATION CLAIM



IMG_1593

5/23/2007

5:48

Wednesday

AM

Photo number

Photo Rename

Date

Time

PM

This photo was taken from the southeast corner of Fredrick Louis Scarlett's property.
This corner is a low spot and you can see that there are several high spots in the field.
The row of trees in the center of the photo is Tincup Creek. This would make it difficult
to flood irrigate the field from Tincup Creek. I'm looking in a northerly direction.

No irrigation was being done on the day of my visit.

SRBA CLAIM 24-4006: LOU SCARLETT

IRRIGATION CLAIM



IMG_1594

5/23/2007

5:48

Wednesday

AM

Photo number

Photo Rename

Date

Time

PM

This photo was taken from the southeast corner of Fredrick Louis Scarlett's property. In this photo I'm looking in a northwesterly direction at the claimed place of use under his amended claim. The corner is a low spot and you can see that there are several high spots in the field. The row of trees in the center of the photo is Tincup Creek. This would make it difficult to flood irrigate the field from Tincup Creek. No irrigation was being done on the day of my visit. No one was home at the time of my visit so I did not look at any claimed points of diversion.

SRBA CLAIM 24-4006: LOU SCARLETT

IRRIGATION CLAIM



IMG_1595

5/23/2007

5:55

Wednesday

AM

Photo number

Photo Rename

Date

Time

PM

This photo was taken from Tincup junction road. I'm looking east on the north side of Tincup Creek. The claimed place of use under the amended claim claims the land clear up to the creek banks. As you can see from this photo the place of use should be cut back. No irrigation was being done on the day of my visit. No one was home at the time of my visit so I did not look at any claimed points of diversion.

SRBA CLAIM 24-4006: LOU SCARLETT

IRRIGATION CLAIM



IMG_1596

5/23/2007

5:56

Wednesday

AM

Photo number

Photo Rename

Date

Time

PM

This photo was taken from Tincup junction road. I'm looking east at Tincup Creek. As you can see in the photo the creek was very low and the banks very high. Unless someone was pumping out of the creek I don't think they could flood irrigate from this source. No irrigation was being done on the day of my visit. No one was home at the time of my visit so I did not look at any claimed points of diversion.

SRBA CLAIM 24-4006: LOU SCARLETT

IRRIGATION CLAIM



IMG_1597

5/23/2007

5:56

Wednesday

AM

Photo number

Photo Rename

Date

Time

PM

This photo was taken from Tincup junction road. I'm looking east at the south side of Tincup Creek. This dirt area in the foreground was recommended but is not owned by Lou Scarlett. Beyond the fence is a dirt/grassy area that is claimed as irrigated. It appears from the imagery to have a ditch on the east side of it. That ditch appears to be higher than the land claimed as irrigated. The creek was very low and the banks very high on the day of my visit. No irrigation was being done on the day of my visit. No one was home at the time of my visit so I did not look at any claimed points of diversion.
