

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION SECTION
CLAIM VERIFICATION FIELD EXAM SHEET
(Use with the ECVR)

CLAIM NO(s). 75-4089

1. ☒ No Change NAME IS SAME

☐ NEW OWNER: _____

☒ No Change ADDRESS

☐ NEW ADDRESS: _____

2. ☐ No Change - DATE OF PRIORITY: Completed evidence submitted

☐ Incomplete - Claimant should be asked during the exam when was water first used and submit proof of date of priority.

Priority date, per claimant, is: _____

(If the priority date, based on your exam, seems questionable, explain below.)

3. ☒ No Change — SAME SOURCE

☐ Source is different than that claimed:

Source found: _____

4. ☐ No Change — POINT OF DIVERSION

☒ Point of diversion is different than the claim and/or new P/D's:

GPS Site Tag No.: A0015026 (S-14) A0017447 (S-12)

Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____
Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____

5. ☒ No Change - DESCRIPTION OF DIVERTING WORKS

☐ Incomplete description, list below:

headgates, ditches to pou

6. ☒ No Change - WATER USES
☐ Different uses, (list uses found):

Use _____	From _____	To _____	Amount _____	CFS _____	AFA _____
Use _____	From _____	To _____	Amount _____	CFS _____	AFA _____
Use _____	From _____	To _____	Amount _____	CFS _____	AFA _____

7. ☐ No Change - TOTAL VOLUME

☐ Incomplete on claim, the total is, Amount _____ CFS _____ AFA _____

☒ Changes found, the new total is, Amount _____ CFS _____ AFA *See remarks*

8. ☒ No Change - NON-IRRIGATION USES:

☐ Changes Exam found:

Domestic # of homes: _____ Stock # _____ List types: _____

Additional comments: _____

9. ☒ No Change — PLACE OF USE: (If changed, list below.)

Use: _____	Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____
Use: _____	Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____
Use: _____	Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____
Use: _____	Township _____	Range _____	Section _____	1/4 _____	1/4 _____

Remarks: (Comments pertinent to field examination) ☐ See additional attachment

Flow rate would be limited to screen design. 100 cfs seems excessive. Recommend 0.04 cfs/Acre on 311.1 acres

☐ SYSTEM DIAGRAM INCLUDED

☐ NA

☒ Shown on GIS Layout

☐ CK IF IN OFFICE EXAM


DATE EXAM CONDUCTED: *8-8-06*

FIELD EXAMINER: *Bob Foster*

Print Name

Bob Foster

Signature



75-4089

T21N R22E Sections 30 & 31



Place of Use



Point of Diversion

