

Notes

1020-04 Cesspool's Ops

P.O. Box 969

Afton, WY 83710

Parcel 11500 280 Dry grazing. BP

I did not write down any measure, if there was any. BP

2-23-05 Letter to Clement re PO4 & POD changes BP

3-1-05 " from " BP

3-2-05 " to " re PO4 & POD. BP

3-10-05 " from " re POD. BP

6 May 05 307-886-3191 out of service BAC

7 Sept 05 307-885-9587 is number from A25-4156.

Friday AM. 9 AM - Auburn - Rock Church -

9 Sept 05 Field inspection w/ Mr. Frome. BAC

11/10/06 Recommendation complete EB


24-2004

Attributes of Intsct667.shp		
<i>Tn</i>	<i>Tnqy</i>	<i>Area</i>
08S46E3	08S46E3NWNW	8.4
08S46E3	08S46E3SWNE	0.1
08S46E3	08S46E3SEnw	36.4
08S46E3	08S46E3NENw	23.1
08S46E3	08S46E3NWSE	0.4
08S46E3	08S46E3NESw	25.0
08S46E3	08S46E3SWSE	3.9
08S46E3	08S46E3SESw	11.7
08S46E10	08S46E10NWNE	0.5

109.6

109.5

4 PCD



4/15 - 10/31

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report 24-2004

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 24-2004

Owner Type Name and Address
Current Owner JOHN G MILLER
FAIRVIEW, WY

Priority Date: 08/19/1904

Basis: License

Status: Active

SourceTributary

TYGEE CREEK

STUMP CREEK

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
DOMESTIC	/ to /		
IRRIGATION	/ to /	2.820 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	2.820 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

TYGEE CREEK	NE1/4NW1/4	Sec. 10, Twp 08S, Rge 46E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		
TYGEE CREEK	SE1/4NW1/4	Sec. 3, Twp 08S, Rge 46E, B.M.
CARIBOU County		

Place of Use

IRRIGATION


Twp	Rge	Sec	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
			NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
08S	46E	3					39.0	23.0		39.0	40.0								141.0

Total Acres: 141

DOMESTIC same as IRRIGATIONConditions of Approval:

- USGS QUAD INDICATES TAGEE CK. AS TYGEE CK., HORSESHOE CK. NOT LISTED, SALT CK. AS SALT LICK CK.

Remarks:Comments:



CLAIMS BASED ON LICENSE COMPARISON

	Licensed	Claimed	Field Exam
License #	787	242004	
Priority Date	8-19-1904	same	
Source(s)	Tygee Creek	Tygee Creek	
	Horse Shoek		
	Salt Creek	Salt Creek	
		Drooney Creek	
Tributary to	—		
			8.46, 3 SWSESW
			3 NENENW
			3 NENWSEW
POD	8.46, 10, NENW	8.46, 10, NW NENW	
	3, SENW	3 SWSESW	3 SWSESW
	9, SENE	10 SE NENW	10, SE NENW
Purpose of Use	irrigation	same	same
	domestic	—	
Season of Use		4/1 - 11/1	
Quantity (cfs)	2.82	2.82	2.82
POU	Acres		
40	8.46, 3 NESW	8.46, 3 NESW 32	
39	SESW	SESW 30	
39	NENW	NENW 17	
23	NWNW	NWNW 11	
141		SESW 33	
		123	
	Licensed	Claimed	Field Exam

Checker BP Date 10.2005

Claim # 24-2004

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-02004

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Licence priority August 19, 1904. Doc 28 Sept 2005

Reviewer: CBDate: 4/4/06

Priority Date Data Entry Form

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit.
Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim.
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete.
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Priority Date

Claim No. A24 - 2004

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-2004

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

____ Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

	Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	OOO of OO of Q	County	Source
①	8S	46E	3		NE NWSW	Caribou	Unnamed stream
	GPS Coordinates: <u>2739806 E</u>						<u>1288088 N IDTM 83</u>
③	8S	46E	3		SW SE NW	Caribou	Tyger Creek
	GPS Coordinates: <u>2739933 E</u>						<u>1288228</u>
⑤	8S	46E	3		NE NE NW	Caribou	Unnamed stream
	GPS Coordinates: <u>2740021 E</u>						<u>1288773 N IDTM 83</u>
⑦	8S	46E	10		SE NE NW	Caribou	Tyger Creek
	GPS Coordinates: <u>2740172 E</u>						<u>1287041 N IDTM 83</u>
⑨	8S	46E	3		SW SE SW	Caribou	Draney Creek
	GPS Coordinates: <u>2739983 E</u>						<u>1287361 N IDTM 83</u>
	GPS Coordinates: _____						_____
	GPS Coordinates: _____						_____

Other rights from this POD? none

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

Waypoint 3, lower (N) Tyger Creek POD site tag A0013657.
 Ted Frome states nothing has changed in 50 years. Differences between this inspection & license may represent accomplished changes or simply differences in technology & methods. Note that license authorizes diversion from "Tyger Creek, Horseshoe Creek, Salt Creek and Tributaries." Names of some creeks appear to have changed. I proposed current PODs.

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Can be recommended as accomplished changes of original

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

license. Bac 28 Sept 2005.

Remove Draney crk is of this pt. Th looks like it might be a ditch?
 CB.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10
If No, go to 6

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 9

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

8. Was a transfer filed?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10
If No, go to 9

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete
If No, POD review is complete.

Point of Diversion

Claim No. A24 - 2004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

Yes (X) No ()

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11
If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 10

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10
If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11
If No, go to 10

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

Yes () No ()

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11
If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No (X)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.
If No, Source review is complete.

Source

Claim No. A24 - 2004

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-02004☐ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

Irrigation confirmed by field
inspection & aerial photo. Bac 28 Sept 05

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (X) No ()

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes () No ()

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13
If No, go to 6

Yes (X) No ()

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 11

Yes () No ()

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11
If No, go to 8

Yes () No ()

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9
If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes () No ()

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13
If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.
If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use

Claim No. A24 - 2004

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-2004☐ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Please see attached list.

Document how the POU was verified:

Claimant states nothing has changed in 50 years. Differences between GIS and license likely represent differences in methods. Note that field inspection confirms ditches serve the extent of lands included in proposal shapefile. DAC 28 Sept 2005.

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 2a
If No, go to 2b

2a. Is a POU required?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 14

2b. Is a POU required?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 14

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 7

4. Has the License been issued?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 7

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14
If No, go to 7

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 13

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13
If No, go to 9

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10
If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 13
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

12. Was a transfer filed?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14
If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 14
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

Yes () No ()
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.
If No, POU review is complete.

Place of Use

Claim No. A24-2004

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A24-2004☐ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
irrigation	4/15	10/31	2.82	

Overall Diversion Rate 2.82

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

Even though current acres are less than licensed, I propose recommending full licensed diversion rate of 2.82 cfs as an accomplished transfer to concentrate diversion rate. This is justified by wild flood practice. Because runoff returns directly to Tygee creek w/o intervening diversions by other rights, there is no potential for injury from increased

Reviewer: CBDate: 1/4/06

diversion rate. Bac 28 Sept 2005.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
3. Has the License been issued? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
9. Was an enlargement claim filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
11. Was a transfer filed? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☒ No ☐
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use? Yes ☐ No ☐
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required? Yes ☐ No ☒
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
If No, Period of Use review is complete.

Period of Use

Claim No. A24 - 2004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is a flow measurement required?

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9
If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

If Yes, go to 10
If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12
If No, go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.
If No, quantity review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☐)

Quantity

Claim No. A24 - 2004

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 3

Yes (✓) No ()

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4
If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

Yes () No (✓)

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

Yes () No ()

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5
If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

Yes () No ()

5. Does this right have any combined used limits with other rights?

If Yes, go to 6
If No, go to 6

Yes () No (✓)

6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 7
If No, go to 7

Yes (✓) No ()

7. Would you like to view the recommended right?

If Yes, go to 8
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

Yes (✓) No ()

8. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 9
If No, go to 9

Yes () No (✓)

9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 10
If No, go to 10

Yes () No (✓)

10. Would you like to set the verification date?

If Yes, the review is complete.
If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Yes (✓) No ()

Summary

Claim No. A24 - 2004



Reviewer _____ Date _____

A24-02004 Acres by QQ.				
Township,	Section	QQ	Q	Acres
08S46E	3	SW	NE	0.1
08S46E	3	NE	NW	23
08S46E	3	NW	NW	8.4
08S46E	3	SW	NW	0.5
08S46E	3	SE	NW	36
08S46E	3	NE	SW	25
08S46E	3	NW	SW	0.2
08S46E	3	SE	SW	12
08S46E	3	NW	SE	0.4
08S46E	3	SW	SE	3.9
Section Total				109.5
08S46E	10	NW	NE	0.5
Section Total				0.5
Total Acres				110

A24-02004 GPS Points

Waypoint	Lon	Lat	Claim	Site_tag	Feature	Collect_by	Instrument	Comment
1	-111.0695667	42.75581667	A24-02004	none	POD	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	unnamed stream serves west side
3	-111.0679667	42.75703333	A24-02004	A0013657	POD	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	Tygee Creek serves west side
4	-111.0680167	42.76283333	A24-02004	none	POU	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	north extent of POU
5	-111.0666667	42.76191667	A24-02004	none	POD	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	unnamed stream serves east side
6	-111.0633667	42.75093333	A24-02004	none	POU	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	see notes
7	-111.06555	42.74628333	A24-02004	none	POD	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	Tygee Creek serves east side
8	-111.06365	42.74835	A24-02004	none	POU	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	see notes
9	-111.0677333	42.74921667	A24-02004	none	POD	B.Contor	Garmin Geko 201	Draney Creek serves west side

Legal description

Waypoint 1 S S 46 E 3 NE NW SW
 3 SW SE NW
 5 NE NE NW
 7 10 SE NE NW
 9 3 SW SE SW

Waypoints 6 and 8 were used to help mapping POU.

POC 28 Sept 2005

Water Resources Fld Svcs
PO Box 94
Iona, ID 83427-0094
(208) 681-9100



Ted C. Frome
PO Box 968
Afton WY ~~83110~~ 83110

© USPS 2002 recycled

Dear Mr. Frome

3 Sept 05

I have been asked by Idaho Department of Water Resources to do field inspections of your water rights from Hassan Creek and others in the Tyger Creek area. I will try to call you at 307-886-3191 to make an appointment. If I am unable to reach you, please call me at 208 681 9100.

Thank you, Bryce A. Conton



STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 24-2004



1000 0 1000 2000 Feet

IRRIGATED ACRES 121.2

LEGEND

- ⊙ Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections
- Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T8S, R46E:
(part of) Sec. 3, NWNW, NENW,
SENW, NESW, SESW

Point(s) of Diversion: T8S, R46E:
Sec. 3, SWSW
Sec. 10, NWNENW.



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: March 2005.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region



Water Right(s) 24-2004



1000 0 1000 2000 Feet

licensed POD
#1 NENW 10
#2 SE 1/4 3
#3 SE 1/4 9

Claim POD
SW 1/4 SE 1/4 3
NW 1/4 NE 1/4 10
SE 1/4 NE 1/4 10

IRRIGATED ACRES 121.2

LEGEND


- Point of Diversion
- Place of Use
- Townships
- Sections
- Quarter-Quarters

Place of Use: T8S, R46E:
(part of) Sec. 3, NWNW, NENW,
SESW, NESW, SESW

Point(s) of Diversion: T8S, R46E:
Sec. 3, SWSW
Sec. 10, NWNENW.



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: B. Payne
Date: March 2005.



Ted C. Frome
P.O. Box 968
Afton, WY 83110
(307) 885-9587

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
Attn: Barbara Payne
15 West Center Street
Soda Springs, ID 83726

Re: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim 24-2005B, 24-2012, 24-2004

COPY

Dear Ms. Payne:

Thank for your letter of February 23, 2005. I have reviewed the material within and have the following comments:

Claim 24-0212- This claim is for seven (7) acres. In reviewing the aerial photo, it appears that perhaps two (2) acres of this claim on the south side is not irrigated. Thus, I would like to change the claim to five (5) acres.

Claim 24-02005B- This claim is for ninety one (91) acres. It appears that approximately 50 acres on the south and east are not irrigated. Thus, I would like to revise this claim to 41 acres.

Claim A24-02004- This claim is for 123 acres. Via a planimeter measurement, total irrigated lands appear to be 120 acres. Thus, I would like to revise this claim to reflect 120 acres.

The claims after revision total 166 acres. You noted that the Caribou County Assessor classifies 136 acres as irrigated. I feel it is obvious from the infra-red photos that 166 acres is actively irrigated.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



Ted C. Frome



Caribow County property codes

2-05

REAL PROPERTY (LAND)

- 1 - Investment IRR AG
- 2 - Investment IRR Pasture
- 3 - Investment Non-IRR AG
- 4 - Investment Meadow
- 5 - Investment Dry Grazing
- 6 - Productivity Value-Forest Land
- 7 - Bare Forest Land Value
- 8 - Reforestation
- 9 - Mineral
- 10 Homesite Value on Rural Investment
Land Categories 1-9
- 11 Recreational Sites
- 12 Rural Residential Tracts
- 13 Rural Commercial Tracts
- 14 Rural Industrial Tracts
- 15 Rural Sub Res Lots and/or Acreages
- 16 Rural Sub Commercial Lots and/or Acreages
- 17 Rural Sub Industrial Lots and/or Acreages
- 18 Other Rural Land
- 19 Waste (acreage only, public r-o-w)
- 20 Res Lots and/or Acreages (inside city)
- 21 Commercial Lots and/or Acreages (inside city)
- 22 Industrial Lots and/or Acreages (inside city)
- 25 Common Areas, condos, townhouses, etc

IMPROVEMENTS

- 30 Non Res Imp on Cat 20
- 31 Imp on Cat 10
- 32 Non Res Imp on Cat 1-12, 15
- 33 Imp on Cat 11
- 34 Imp on Cat 12
- 35 Imp on Cat 13
- 36 Imp on Cat 14
- 37 Imp on Cat 15

- 38 Imp on Cat 16
- 39 Imp on Cat 17
- 40 Imp on Cat 18
- 41 Imp on Cat 20
- 42 Imp on Cat 21
- 43 Imp on Cat 22
- 44 Imp on Partially I
- 45 Utility Systems (I
- 46 Manufactured Hc
- 47 Imp to Manufact

PERSONAL PROPE

- 55 Boats
- 56 Construction Ma
tools & equip
- 57 Equities in State
(pymt in 2 inst
- 58 Farm Machinery
tools & equipr
- 59 Furniture & Fbct
- 60 Imp on Railroad
- 61 Imp by Lessee
other than C
- 62 Leasehold Imp E
(pymt in 2 inst:
Sec 63-1302 :
- 63 Logging Machin
tools & equip
- 64 Mining Machine
tools & equip
- 65 Manufactured F
- 66 Net Profit of Mi
- 67 Operating Prop



①

A24-02004
 Ted Frome
 Unnamed creek
 POD on E side.
 Creek comes from
 Nigh, through
 culture, is
 intercepted by
 ditch where calf
 is standing in
 front of pickup.
 Waypoint 5 959705
 180m NNU

②



Tyger Creek POD
 for E side of
 POW. Creek is
 left fork, ditch is
 right fork.
 Waypoint 7
 959705
 Bac
 looking N



③

A24-02004
Ted Frame.
N Tye creek
POD, serves W side
of POD. Ditch
comes through galvanize
pipe to rear left,
creek goes through
sewer gate to
far left. Water pit
3, to 40013657
9 Sept 05

④



Looking E down hill
at same POD.
9 Sept 05 JBC

④



A24-02004
Pod Home

Dravay Creek POD.
Creek goes off to left,
ditch goes off to
right. Looking NNE
from Waypoint 9.
Sees west side
of pod. 9 Sept 05

⑤



Unnamed stream
pod, seen west
side of pod.
Dam is circled,
ditch goes off to
left. Waypoint 8, 1
9 Sept 05. Ditch
picks up entire
flow of stream.
Bae
looking E


RURAL PROPERTY RECORD CARD

MEMBERSHIP RECORD AND DESCRIPTION

RL 17730
TYGEE RANCH, LLC
 PO BOX 569
 ASTON WY 83110

AREA=06

NE 1/4, SW 28N1/4, N1/2SE1/4, SW
 1/4SE1/4, NE 1/4SW1/4 N 35 F00S
 SE 1/4SW1/4, TR 01, ENW1/4, NW1/4
 SW1/4, N1/2SW1/4SW1/4 E OF ROAD
 415 AC, SEC 3, T18S, R46E



Well
 Irrigation = 0
 Stock = S

Improvements
 Occupied = X
 Vacant = V

TAX CODE

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PARCEL NUMBER

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

SUMMARY OF LAND AND IMPROVEMENT VALUES

Property	Code	Appraised Value	19	87	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
ind	1. S	10	4060	4050	4050								
	1. S	M4	28322	26322	25006								
	1. S	G5	12277	11558	10980								
	1. S	W19											
	1. S		42549	41930									
	1. S												
	1. S												
	1. S												
improvements	1. S	31	27360	27360	25992								
	1. S	32	28440	23940	23940								
			51300	51300									
TOTAL	1. S		93949	93230	89968								


list of RHESc 9-M-83

REMARKS

PURCHASE INFORMATION

MEMBERSHIP RECORD AND DESCRIPTION

TYGEE RANCH, LLC

[illegible]

SUMMARY OF LAND AND IMPROVEMENT VALUES						40. ANNUAL ASSESSMENT								
Property	Code	Appraised Value	18	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Imp = 50	1 S		5911											
200	00		12702											
00	1 S													
	1 S													
	1 S													
	1 S													
	1 S													
	1 S													
Improvements	1 S	2200	2244											
	1 S													
TOTAL	1 S	28265	26964											

REMARKS	PURCHASE INFORMATION
Estimated Howard gate was added the so far	

Prepared By: RLL & SC 9-21-83