

Saxton, Craig

From: Lori Graves [LGraves@spfwater.com]
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 2:51 PM
To: Saxton, Craig
Subject: RE: Late Claim 63-2462 - no signature

← OK Cg 1-23-09

The acres were paid for under original claim 63-30175. I'll get a signed claim ASAP. Thanks Craig. (I'm still waiting for pictures)

From: Saxton, Craig [mailto:Craig.Saxton@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 1:58 PM
To: Lori Graves
Cc: Spencer, Nick; Courtney, Andrea; Williams, Ray
Subject: RE: Late Claim 63-2462 - no signature

Hey Lori,

I am sorry but it also appears that we need an additional filing fees of \$25.00.

The motion says a fee of \$100 was paid. I calculate the fees to be:

\$50 claim fee
\$50 late fee
\$25 (\$1 per acre @ 25 acres)
\$125.00

Unless of course the acreage fee has been paid for by someone else?

An overlap analysis shows that Farmers Cooperative Ditch Co identifies some of it's POU in some of the same QQ's that your client claimed, but the associated shapfiles on both the claim and recommended layer don't indicate that the claimed acreage is included in Farmers POU. Do your clients use Farmers water to irrigate the field? If so, drop me an e-mail and I will throw it in the file. If not, then we will need an additional \$25 filing fee.

That fee should be sent to the court until the motion is granted and then it can be sent to us.

Thanks,

Craig

From: Saxton, Craig
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 1:34 PM
To: 'LGraves@spfwater.com'
Cc: Spencer, Nick; Courtney, Andrea; Williams, Ray
Subject: Late Claim 63-2462 - no signature

Hey Lori,

How are you doing? Sally forwarded me some shape files you made for late claim 63-2462. I updated the POU in the claim database so we are set to go there.

However, when I got to looking at the motion, I notice that the claim isn't signed. It isn't critical right now, but even if the judge approves the motion, I will have to keep it at temporary status until we get a signature.

1/23/2009

I think you could just get them to sign it and send me a copy, but in other instances when I have found the claim that was included in the motion to be incomplete, the claimant has been required to resend the motion to all the people on the mailing list so everyone has an up to date copy before the hearing. I don't know if we need to do that for a signature since the elements of the claim wouldn't change.

I will inform legal via this e-mail and maybe we can get some guidance on how to handle this.

Thanks & sorry,

Craig