

10 N 26 27E

1817
1920

T

10

N

POINT OF DIVERSION



2217
T-305

O ANDREASON

(37)

NHEL

0

41.5

1920

3029

252 NHEL

T-305

R WAYMIRE

NHEL

PASTURE

420.0

CLAIM NO(S) 123-0704, 000913, ETC...

DATE: 7/15/93 TDU R 27E

SOURCE OF DUC ASCS, 1987

SIGNATURE: Eric Sleeper




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: James Andreason

Water Right(s): 33-00001B, 33-00095B, 33-00096B, 33-00097C



LEGEND




Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use



Section Line



Quarter-section line

Place(s) of Use:

T.10N., R.26E. (parts of)
Sec. 13 E2SE, Sec. 24 NENE,
T.10N., R.27E. (parts of)
Sec. 18 SWSW, Sec. 19 NW,
Sec. 20 E2SW

Point(s) of Diversion: T.10N., R.26E.
Sec. 12 SENESW (Summit Ck)
T.10N., R.27E.
Sec. 20 NWNESW (Little Lost River)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: October 1999

10/11/11 11:44:20 AM

Sawmill Creek, IDWR Eastern Region

To: Fred Price, BLM-ISO

CC: Candice McHugh, IDWR ADJUD.

From: A. Ruberry, IDWR Eastern Region

Re: B33 Irr POD's objected to by BLM

POD LOCATION

T11N R26E S10 NWNENE

This legal description defines the beginning of a channelized portion of Sawmill Creek. Apparently back in the 1920'-1930's there were several attempts tried to preserve water from Sawmill Creek because the stream would "break". Among them were things like a canal dug from Sawmill Creek to Summit Creek and a channelization project aimed at cleaning and to some extent straightening the Sawmill Creek channel as it flowed across Mud Flats (ref: OQ U-82).

*See ATTACHED
LIST of SAs
CR. 11/11/11*

In review of the adjudication claims from Sawmill Creek and the Little Lost River, it was initially considered that the source(s) could all be Little Lost River since it was difficult to determine where Little Lost River started and Sawmill Creek ended. Seeing no difference in source recommendations of Sawmill Creek claims were changed to Little Lost River. The Preliminary Director's Report was filed only to find that Sawmill Creek now occasionally breaks at the shearing corrals, which is about half-mile upstream from the confluence of Sawmill Creek and Summit Creek; The beginning of the Little Lost River. This new information seriously impacted recommendations since the Sawmill Creek claims, now changed to Little Lost River, became senior to the junior Little Lost River rights, causing injury to the junior Little Lost River appropriators.

Ultimately, the source issue was changed back to Sawmill Creek. Now a problem arose where a Point of Diversion had to be defined for the Sawmill Creek claims since actual deliveries are made from Little Lost River (except 33-00107 which is a true Sawmill Creek right, but, Decreed as a Little Lost River right). The 1927 Decree states "at the mouth of Sawmill Creek". However, there were no rights diverted at the mouth of Sawmill Creek. And, the dilemma of where was the mouth of Sawmill Creek. In consideration of the channelization project aimed at preserving waters of Sawmill Creek it was determined that the channelization had in-fact changed the streambed to a canal, saving water. Therefore, the beginning of the channelization would now become the Point of Diversion and the ending of the channelization would be a Point of injection back into the Sawmill Creek stream channel. The Point of Injection is near the shearing corrals, about half-mile upstream from the confluence of the streams. The Sawmill Creek claims Point(s) of Diversion are recommended as Points of Re-diversion from Little Lost River at or near their respective Place(s) of Use. This recommendation preserves both the source and the Sawmill Creek priority dates and, forgoes the injury issue of junior Little Lost River rights.

T9N R27E S4 SWNWNE

This objection is a true error. Apparently a typographical error was made during the recommendation process. The TRUE Point of Diversion should read **T9N R26E S4 SWSWNE**. The claimant, Mr. Waymire has been notified and is now aware of the issue.

33-00036
00037
00038

T11NR27E S31 NESESE

BLM believes this POD should read S31 SENESE based on GPS data. However, during the field exam of these claims several Points of Diversion were identified to include the one in question. The recommended POD in-question is an actual Point of Diversion where an unnamed stream intersects with the collector ditch and can be verified using OQ V-32. The site proposed by the BLM is a drainage that was dry during the field exam, thus no recommended POD.

33-00065
33-70871

T6N R28E S2 SESWSW

Specifically named is SRBA claim 33-10995. This claim identifies private lands historically irrigated by the Hawley's, later sold without water. The Point of Diversion used during the irrigation era is on BLM but is now longer used. The claimant filed this SRBA claim and Transfer No. 4551 in an effort to preserve the acreage irrigated by surface water. The transfer is in-place awaiting the BLM objection to prompt IDWR to review and act. However, the transfer is currently protested.

33-00051
33-00060
THRU

T6N R 28E S11 SESENW

This is an historic Point of Diversion dating back to the late 1800's (according to the water right priority dates, 1885-1891) and is one of three serving the ranch. One of which previously mentioned above, T6N R28E S2 SESWSW. The other is within the private holding of the ranch.

33-10996 is an enlargement claim for acres on BLM lands that were historically irrigated by the Hawley's and is part of the Transfer No. 4551 aforementioned. BLM is aware of this claim and supports the transfer of acreage to private lands. As mentioned previously, the transfer is protested.

T7N R28E S21 NWNWSW

According to the Proof of Beneficial Use filed for this right (33-07010) this well dates to the 1940's. However, the Licensed date of priority was March 19, 1971, but then Transfer No. 3809 was filed to "unstack" surface and groundwater rights. As a result, the priority date of the well was advanced to July 2, 1990. Surface water decreed to this ranch date to 1885 to 1891.

This particular well dating to the 1940's (unsupported evidence of prior claimant) was located according to the initial survey dating to July 9, 1890, which no doubt established fence lines. A resurvey of the East line of Section 21 was done in August 1941. Then, in 1978, another resurvey was done as evidenced by the monument caps. This 1978 resurvey puts the TRUE Point of Diversion of the 33-07010 well in the NENWSW (a BLM QQ). However, this matter was discussed with Ms Deena Teal, BLM, Idaho Falls District Office, in October of 1996, shortly after the discrepancy was found. Ms Teal indicated, at

Rocky Ross

that time, that since the well predated some 1976 ruling, by which BLM operated, they (BLM) would not protest the actual POD.

This basically explains the history of the well and the recommendation; however, the listing of the POD as NWNWSW is clearly an error. The CVR shows the POD to be located as NENWSW Sec. 21, which is accurate.

T8N R28E S31 SWNWSE

This POD defines a well used solely for mitigation and is defined as an amendment to the 1927 decree as a Judgement against Orville Nicholson, 1954. The recommendation does define a Place of Use; however, this POU is by exchange with surface waters as defined in the Judgement. The period of use is 4/1 to 7/15 annually, when all this well does is deliver water to the river. The Objection is not to the Point of Diversion, but rather to the Point of Injection. And, in-fact, as recommended this Point of Injection is accurate.

T6NR29E S31 NWSWNW

This is another typographical error. The recommended POD should read T6N R29E Section 30 NWSWNW. There is a pending Transfer to correct the actual POD location to T6N R28E Section 25 NESENE.

33-02128
31B
48B
49B
50B
83C

Contor, Bryce
From: Contor, Bryce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 4:27 PM
To: Ruberry, Allen; Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Sawmill Creek Rights

This morning we met via teleconference with Dave Tuthill and Peter Anderson. One of the things we discussed was the Sawmill recommendations. During our call, Bill Stauffer called and reported that he had discussed the Sawmill recommendations with others, including Don Isham, James Andreason, and David Andreason. They all concur with the proposals in the January 6 letter. James Mays has sent us written concurrence.

Per Dave Tuthill's instructions to Al and me, I have gone ahead and changed the source of Sawmill Creek rights back to "Sawmill Creek, tributary to Little Lost River." The point of diversion is 11N 26E section 10, NWNENE, and the point of injection is 10N 27E section 18, NWSESW. The former points of diversion have been identified as points of redirection. I removed any comments relating to change of source and I removed condition C02.

The rights I changed were:

R33-00023B
R33-00030
R33-00043
R33-00077
R33-10070
R33-10073
R33-10084
R33-10086

I'll put a new profile report and a copy of this memo in each file.

There were other Sawmill rights which I did not change. These are:

R33-00038 1927 decree & claim list source as
Little Lost River
R33-00096B Recommended w/ source "Summit Creek"
R33-00107 Recommended originally w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

33-10464 Stockwater claim already PD w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

Contor, Bryce

From: Contor, Bryce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 4:27 PM
To: Ruberry, Allen; Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Sawmill Creek Rights

This morning we met via teleconference with Dave Tuthill and Peter Anderson. One of the things we discussed was the Sawmill recommendations. During our call, Bill Stauffer called and reported that he had discussed the Sawmill recommendations with others, including Don Isham, James Andreason, and David Andreason. They all concur with the proposals in the January 6 letter. James Mays has sent us written concurrence.

Per Dave Tuthill's instructions to Al and me, I have gone ahead and changed the source of Sawmill Creek rights back to "Sawmill Creek, tributary to Little Lost River." The point of diversion is 11N 26E section 10, NWNENE, and the point of injection is 10N 27E section 18, NWSESW. The former points of diversion have been identified as points of redirection. I removed any comments relating to change of source and I removed condition C02.

The rights I changed were:

R33-00023B
R33-00030
R33-00043
R33-00077
R33-10070
R33-10073
R33-10084
R33-10086

I'll put a new profile report and a copy of this memo in each file.

There were other Sawmill rights which I did not change. These are:

R33-00038 1927 decree & claim list source as
Little Lost River
R33-00096B ✓ Recommended w/ source "Summit Creek"
R33-00107 Recommended originally w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

33-10464 Stockwater claim already PD w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"



State of Idaho
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

900 N. Skyline Dr., Suite A, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83402-1718 - (208) 525-7161- Fax (208) 525-7177

EASTERN REGION

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

January 6, 2000

David Andreason
Watermaster, Water District 33
Howe, Idaho, 83244

Sawmill Creek Water Users
Water District 33
Howe, Idaho, 83244

Dear Watermaster and Water Users:

Based on the information available to the department at the time, Idaho Department of Water Resources recommended the Little Lost River as the source for water rights originally decreed with a source of Sawmill Creek. This change effectively puts the Sawmill Creek rights on a par with Little Lost River rights.

Through the Notice of Error process, additional information has been brought forward indicating that the recommendations should be changed to show the source as "Sawmill Creek" as originally decreed. This change would afford protection to holders of Little Lost River rights that have priorities junior to May 25, 1891, the priority for decrees from Sawmill Creek.

The 1927 decree lists "the mouth of Sawmill Creek" as the Point of Diversion, with implied injection into the Little Lost River and implied points of rediversion near the places of use.

It appears that the basis for these Sawmill Creek rights was a channelization or improvement of Sawmill Creek. We have tentatively selected the apparent beginning of the channelization as the recommended Point of Diversion, as illustrated on the attached maps. The confluence of Sawmill Creek and Summit Creek would be recommended as the Point of Injection, and the headgates on the Little Lost River as Points of Rediversion.

To administer Sawmill Creek rights separately from Little Lost River rights, the watermaster would have to measure the contributions of Sawmill Creek to the Little Lost River. The attached maps show the apparent historical location where these measurements were taken and a proposed alternate measurement point. The measurement point would not be specified in the water right recommendations but would be a practical matter for the watermaster's administration.

Please review these proposed changes to the recommendations and the enclosed maps and respond with the attached form. Feel free to mark the maps and add additional comments as needed. Please return any comments by January 15, 2000.

Sincerely,

Ernest Carlsen
Water Rights Supervisor

**RESPONSE TO PROPOSED CHANGES IN
SAWMILL CREEK RECOMMENDATIONS**

Name _____

Water Rights _____

I. Point of Diversion

I agree with the proposed Point of Diversion _____

I disagree. I propose instead: (describe below and mark maps)

II. Point of Injection and Points of Rediversion

I agree _____


I disagree. I propose instead: (describe below and mark maps)

Signature _____ Date _____


Please feel free to attach additional comments. Please return to:

IDWR Adjudication, 900 N Skyline Ste A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402, by January 15, 2000


Idaho Department of Water Resources Sawmill Creek Proposal



Idaho Department of Water Resources
Sawmill Creek Proposal



Idaho Department of Water Resources Sawmill Creek Proposal



November 23, 1999

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 10, 1999

Water right number: 33-00096B

JAMES O ANDREASON
ELIZABETH ANDREASON
PO BOX 3
HOWE ID 83244

Daytime Telephone Number:

Evening Telephone Number:

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

X I AGREE with this proposed recommendation; no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12-8-99 SIGNATURE: _____

Please print your name: _____

James O Anderson
James O Anderson

18	17
19	20

T

10

4

十

22/1/1967
F-36T
ANDREASON

57

NYHEL

0
41.5

19	20
20	29

252
T-305
R WAYMIRE

7/15/93
A-3 0714,000715, etc.
BN 211
A-3, 1987
L.S. - Eric S. Green

00041

OVERLAPPING RIGHTS AND EASIS SHEET
(COMBINED RIGHTS W/ PERMITS FILE P.O.U.)

4/27/93 EDC
VERSION 1.3

CLAIMANT: JAMES ANDERSON

BASIN 33

284 AC of water (original)
361 AC ANALYSIS

AGENT: _____ DATE: _____

TOTAL ACRES 361 (COMBINED)

IRRIGATION METHOD: FLOOD 3 SPRINKLER 3

DIV VOL = AC. X = AF

C.U. VOL = AC. X = AF

P.D. A. _____
B _____
C _____

RIS. W/ SAME P.D. _____

DIVERSION RATE ANALYSIS

DIV. RATE AT 1" PER ACRE = _____ X 0.02 CFS = _____
DIV. RATE AT 1/2" PER ACRE = _____ X 0.01 CFS = _____
DIV. RATE AT _____ PER ACRE = _____ X _____ CFS = _____

DOES MOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y () N ()

IF YES, ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM INCLUDED? Y () N ()

REMARKS:

STANDARD CONDITIONS: RT.#1 -00097c

RT.#2 -00001B 00096B 00095B

RT.#3 07014 07056

RT.#4 _____

STANDARD REMARKS: (41) E04 - 7.22 cfs (all rights)

E14 - 240 AC (00001B, 00096B, 00095B)

E14 - 361 AC (00001C, 00096B, 00095B, 07014, 07056)

(42) E04 7.22 cfs (all rights)
E14 361 AC (all rights)

(43) E04 7.22 cfs (all rights)
E11 305 AC (other rights)
E05 1067.5 AC (other rights)
E14 361 AC (all rights)

ANALYSIS #	AJ NUMBER	REC. ACRES	RECOMMENDED PRODUCTIVITY	RECOMMENDED CFS	COM. CFS
<u>Summit</u>	<u>00001B</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>4-1-1887</u>	<u>0.36</u>	
<u>"</u>	<u>00096B</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>5-25-1891</u>	<u>0.45</u>	
<u>"</u>	<u>00095B</u>	<u>240</u>	<u>6-1-1891</u>	<u>0.63</u>	<u>1.44</u>
<u>DRY CR</u>	<u>00097C</u>	<u>750</u>	<u>7-15-1891</u>	<u>2.00</u>	<u>3.44</u>
<u>G.P.</u>	<u>-07014</u>	<u>305</u>	<u>5-10-1972</u>	<u>3.73</u>	
	<u>-07056</u>	<u>514</u>	<u>07-07-97</u>	<u>1.46</u>	<u>5.19</u>
		<u>361</u>	<u>1.02 =</u>	<u>7.22 cfs</u>	

10% B.T.M.

Place of Use Overlap Attachment

Water Right	Twn.	Rge.	Sec.	NE				NW				SW				SE				Totals
				NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
33-00001B.	10N	26E	13													38			30	68
00096B.			24	11																11
00095B.	10N	27E	19					9	40	13	12									74
			20									10			37					47
			78											40						~~40~~ 240
33-00097C	10N	27E	19					9	40	13	1									63
			20									10			37					47
			18											40						~~40~~ 150
33-07014/	10N	26E	13																40	80
07056			24	29																29
		27E	18											40	20					60
			19					39	40	37	29									145
																				~~314~~ 47
																				361
Total Acres:																				

Water Right Number: _____ Date: ____/____/____

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

00:03:54
03/31/93

795
CLAIM NUMBER: A33-000968

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

LAST: ANDREASON
ADDRESS: PO BOX 3
CITY: HWE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-767-3395

FIRST: JAMES O.
STATE: ID ZIP: 83244

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: ANDREASON
ADDRESS: PO BOX 3
CITY: HWE
PHONE NUMBER: 208-767-3395

FIRST: ELIZABETH
STATE: ID ZIP: 83244

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

FIELD
EXAMINER

VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?

DATABASE
N

NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

CONTACT PERSON: _____ PHONE NUMBER: () - -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 7/15/93 APPOINTMENT MADE: V() N() A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____ PHONE NUMBER: () - -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

SEE A33-000978

A. AJ CLAIM # A33-000968 PRIORITY DATE: 05-25-1891 STAGE: D
B. WR RECORD # 33-000968 PRIORITY DATE: 05-25-1891 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED? FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) N

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? (Y/N) N()

IF YES EXPLAIN: VERIFIED MATCH W/ DEGREE

Tx 15/91 HISTS DATE 5/25/91
WRONG PRIORITY # 5/25/91
OF 5/25/91 PRIORITY TO
NO APPROPRIATE

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:

05-25-1891

REVIEWED BY

DATE 8-16-93

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	IMP.	AGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A33-000968	10N	26E	12	NE	NE	SH		BUTTE
A33-000968	10N	27E	20	NE	NE	SH		BUTTE

OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD

A PREFIX
A33-000968
R PREFIX
A33-000958
A33-000978

HR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
NONE

SOURCE: SNOWMILL CREEK

TR18: LITTLE LOST RIVER

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	IMP.	AGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
33-000968	10N	26E	12	NE	NE	NW		BUTTE

OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD

AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT
A PREFIX
R PREFIX

33-000968
33-000958
33-000978
33-000978
33-000978

NONE

NONE

SOURCE: SNOWMILL CREEK

TR18: LITTLE LOST RIVER

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
		Y	
		N	

NOTES:

VERIFIED POD IN FIELD: SEE 33-000978
Case: C093 / F09: 33-000968, 000958, 000968,

MADE DIVERSION FROM SNOWMILL CREEK AND INTERFERED INTO SUMMIT CREEK AND
REQUIRED FROM SUMMIT CREEK AND THE OLD W/11 (NEVER) OR IS (NEVER) (DOWN)
SHOULD BE LK TO RECONVERSION IN SEC. 20. → NO!

CONFIRMED OF SUMMIT & SUMMIT CHS.
NW/SE/NE SEC. 18 10/27
BEGINS LITTLE LOST RIVER

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

TWP.	R3E.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME
10N	26E	12	NE	NE	SW	---	COTTE	Point of Intersection
10N	26E	12	NE	NE	SW	---	COTTE	Point of Intersection
10N	26E	12	NE	NE	SW	---	COTTE	Point of Intersection
10N	27E	20	NE	NE	SW	---	COTTE	Point of Intersection
10N	27E	18	SW	NE	SW	---	COTTE	Point of Intersection

SOURCE: SAWMILL CREEK

TRIB: LITTLE LOST RIVER

REVIEWED BY:

Dati:

7/5/93

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION:

Use Remarks: G02: Little Lost River
Little Lost River and Summit Creek
G04: Little Lost River; Little Lost River or Summit Creek; S
G5:

WATERS OF Sawmill Cr are exchanged for Summit Cr water at
 SEC. 12 NW 1/4 SW DIVISION. Sawmill Cr and Little Lost River (over and
 the same) are also diverted at SEC. 20 NW 1/4 SW. NO POINTS OF
INTERSECTION. AFTER THE CONFLUENCE OF Sawmill Cr and Summit Cr
 AT NW 1/4 SW SEC. 18 T10N R27E, THE DRAINAGE BECOMES LITTLE LOST RIVER
 SEE U.S.G.S. QUAD V 32 (EXCERPT ATTACHED)

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A33-00095A
A33-00095B

B. POULISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	JR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
10N	26E	13	NE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /40.0	33-000968 /40.0	36.0	40	40
			NE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /40.0	33-000018 /40.0			
			NE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /40.0	33-000958 /			
			NE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /40.0	-			
			NE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /40.0	33-07014 /40.0			
10N	26E	13	SE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /40.0	33-000968 /40.0	36.0	39	39
			SE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /40.0	33-000018 /40.0			
			SE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /40.0	33-000958 /			
			SE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /40.0	-			
			SE	SE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /40.0	33-07014 /40.0			
10N	26E	24	NE	NE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /13.0	33-000968 /40.0	18.0	17	17
			NE	NE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /13.0	33-000018 /40.0			
			NE	NE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /13.0	33-000958 /40.0			
			NE	NE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /13.0	-			
			NE	NE		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /25.0	33-07014 /25.0			
10N	27E	18	S4	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /40.0	-		40	40
			S4	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /40.0	-			
			S4	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /40.0	-			
			S4	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /40.0	33-000978 /			
			S4	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /40.0	33-07014 /40.0			
			S4	SW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-000978 /			
			S4	SW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-00097C /			
10N	27E	18	SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /22.0	-	37.0	20	20
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /22.0	-			
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /22.0	-			
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /22.0	33-000978 /			
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /20.0	33-07014 /20.0			
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07056 /25.0	33-07056 /25.0			
			SE	SW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-000978 /			
			SE	SW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-00097C /			
10N	27E	19	NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /39.0	-	40.0	39	39
			NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000018 /39.0	-			
			NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /39.0	-			
			NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /39.0	33-000978 /			
			NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /30.0	33-07014 /30.0			
			NE	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07056 /40.0	33-07056 /40.0			
			NE	NW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-000978 /			

GDS

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	NR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
SCOTT, CECIL F.											
133-00097C /											
ION	27E	19	N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /40.0	33-000968 /40.0		89	39
			N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-00018 /40.0				
			N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /40.0	33-000958 /40.0			
			N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /40.0	33-000978 /			
			N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /40.0	33-07014 /40.0			
			N4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07055 /40.0	33-07055 /40.0			
			N4	NW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-000978 /			
			N4	NW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-00097C /			
133-000968 /40.0											
ION	27E	19	S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /33.0	33-000968 /40.0		35	35
			S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-00018 /33.0				
			S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /33.0	33-000958 /40.0			
			S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /33.0	33-000978 /			
			S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07014 /35.0	33-07014 /35.0			
			S4	NW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-07056 /20.0	33-07056 /20.0			
			S4	NW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-000978 /			
			S4	NW		SCOTT, CECIL F.		133-00097C /			
133-000968 /28.0											
ION	27E	19	SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /28.0			36	36
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-00018 /28.0				
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /28.0				
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /28.0				
133-000968 /10.0											
ION	27E	20	NE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /10.0		39.0	7	7
			NE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-00018 /10.0				
			NE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /10.0				
			NE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /10.0				
133-000968 /37.0											
ION	27E	20	SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000968 /37.0		39.0	37	37
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-00018 /37.0				
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000958 /37.0				
			SE	SW		ANDREASON, JAMES O.	A33-000978 /37.0				
TOTAL ACRES										342.30	245.30
										349	349

ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N(X)

IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO POJ DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

FIELD EXAMINER
Y

POJ COUNTY: BUTTE

PRESUMPTION FLAG: 0

NOTES:

SEE 85-000978

Case: CPT, REMARKS: SEE ATTACHED

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES:

649

REVIEWED BY:

SEE, OAR

DATE:

7/15/93

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES
A33-000963 IRRIGATION

MONTH/DAY
FROM 04-01 TO 11-01

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
-500 CFS
-500 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES
33-000963 IRRIGATION
33-000968 DOMESTIC

MONTH/DAY
FROM 03-01 TO 11-01
FROM

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
-450 CFS
-450 CFS
-450 CFS

PRESUMPTION FLAG: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

	FIELD EXAMINER
DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)	Y

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

Y() N()

Y() N()

EXPLAIN IN NOTES, BELOW

NOTES:

Use is ~~SEASONAL~~ DAILY IRRIGATION
PERIOD OF USE IS 4/15 TO 10/15
Q: TRANSFERS 899 AND 1571 REDUCED RIGOR BY 0.05 cfs FOR TRANSDUCER LOSS.
RECOMMEND Q = 0.45 cfs

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS? Y() N(X)

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.500 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 0.650 CFS

SEE pg 9

*** IF NO OR IF STATUTORY CLAIM OR BENEFICIAL USE THEN FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED. ***
** IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS **
** CLAIMED AND AN EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?
DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) Y

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER X

B. MEASUREMENT METHOD No FLOW MEASUREMENT, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y(Y) N() IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: A33-000953/000018/000978 70147056

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POB AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A33-000368	SANMILL CREEK	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	0.500		0.001
A33-000318	LITTLE LOST RIVER	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	0.400		0.001
A33-000358	LITTLE LOST RIVER	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	0.700		0.002
A33-000378	DRY CREEK	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	3.200		0.009
A33-07014	GROUNDWATER	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	3.730		0.012
A33-07056	GROUNDWATER	ANDREASON, JAMES O.	1.460		0.009

NO RIGHTS WITH SAME POB BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION

D. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1B. CALCULATIONS

1A. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES 349 X 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 6.98 CFS
(TAKEN FROM P/U REVIEW)

DJES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N(X)

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 855.0 AF/A
ACRES 349 X CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 2.5 =
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 872.5 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A
ACRES _____ X DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME _____ AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()
TRANSFER 399, 1571.
FOR TRANSPORTATION LOSS (0.05 CFS) TO RIVER (TRANSFER 1571).

NOTES: (INCLUDE LIMITATIONS ON CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR COMBINED RIGHTS.)

SEE ANALYSIS SHEET

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC. PURPOSES	REC. PERIOD FROM TO	REC. DIV RATE CFS	REC. DIV V/L AF/A	REC. CONS. USE
IRR	4/15 10/15	0.45	0.45	
		0.45		

TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT

[Signature]

REVIEWED BY:

DATE: 8-16-93

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP
FORFEIT/AWARD

ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT

ACCEPTED
AS CLAIMED

NEEDS
REVIEW

()
()
()
()
()
()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 33-00095

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	A33-00096A	0.500	033 - 00096A	0.50	33-00096A	0.500
-	A33-00096B	0.500	033 - 00096B	0.45	33-00095B	0.450
-	-	-	-	0.95	33-00096	-
TOTAL CFS		1.000				0.950

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)

IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW SHEET ATTACHED? (Y/N)

NOTES:

RIGHT IS RECORDED IN FILE

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: [Signature] DATE: 8/16/93

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: Eric Steiner TITLE: SWA

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

00:03:54
03/31/93

803
CLAIM NUMBER: A33-J00968

PAGE: 14
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE								
POINT OF DIV	Y		DWD 8/17/93	Y	5214	8/16/93	8/16/93	8/16/93
SOURCE								
PLACE OF USE	Y		DWD 8/17/93	Y	5214			
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			Y	6			
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-							
PURPOSES	Y			N	56			
PERIOD OF USE	Y			Y	6			
BASIS OF CLAIM	Y			N	6			
REMARKS								

"VERIFIED BY" CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHOPHOTO = 1
 BASINS MAP = 2
 WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
 AERIAL PHOTOS = 4
 FIELD EXAM = 5
 OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: James Andreason

Water Right(s): 33-00001B, 33-00095B, 33-00096B, 33-00097C



LEGEND



Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use


Section Line

Quarter-section line

Place(s) of Use:

T.10N., R.26E. (parts of)
Sec. 13 E2SE, Sec. 24 NENE,
T.10N., R.27E. (parts of)
Sec. 18 SWSW, Sec. 19 NW,
Sec. 20 E2SW

Point(s) of Diversion: T.10N., R.26E.
Sec. 12 SENESW (Summit Ck)
T.10N., R.27E.
Sec. 20 NWNESW (Little Lost River)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: October 1999

AJ0749NP
No. R33-00096B

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 10/20/99
PAGE: 1

OWNER CODE NAME & ADDRESS
C JAMES O ANDREASON
C ELIZABETH ANDREASON
PO BOX 3
HOME ID 83244
(208) 767-3395

PRIORITY DATE WATER USE
05/25/1891 IRRIGATION

USE PERIOD FROM TO
04-01 11-01

DIVERSION RATE
0.450 CFS

DIVERSION VOLUME
0.450 CFS

TOTAL DIVERSION:
0.450 CFS

Water Source: SUMMIT CREEK
LITTLE LOST RIVER

Tributary to: LITTLE LOST RIVER
SINKS

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T10N R26E S12
R27E S20 SENESW
NENESW

BUTTE County
BUTTE County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION																													
NE												SE																	
NENE						NWNE						SWNE						NENE						NWSE					
Lt Ac						Lt Ac						Lt Ac						Lt Ac						Lt Ac					
T	R	S				T	R	S				T	R	S				T	R	S									
10N	26E	13	:	:	:	10N	26E	24	:	:	:	10N	26E	13	:	:	:	10N	26E	13	:	:	:						
10N	26E	24	:	:	:	10N	26E	24	:	:	:	10N	26E	24	:	:	:	10N	26E	24	:	:	:						
10N	27E	18	:	:	:	10N	27E	18	:	:	:	10N	27E	18	:	:	:	10N	27E	18	:	:	:						
10N	27E	19	:	:	:	10N	27E	19	:	:	:	10N	27E	19	:	:	:	10N	27E	19	:	:	:						
10N	27E	20	:	:	:	10N	27E	20	:	:	:	10N	27E	20	:	:	:	10N	27E	20	:	:	:						

AJ0749NP
No. R33-00096B

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 10/20/99
PAGE: 2

Remarks: 33-00097C, 33-07056, 33-07014.

EXP THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS ARE ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINTS OF
DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE: 33-00001B, 33-00095B, 33-00097C.

Dates: Exam Made Date: 07/15/1993 Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: 33 Field Inspection Flag: C
Presumption Flag:

Comments: Date: 10/20/1999 User-ID: ARUBERRY Subject: TRANSFER #1571
THE TRANSFER WAS APPROVED WITH A 10% TRANSMISSION LOSS WHICH IS
ASSESSED IN THE DIV. RATE.

Audit: 10/20/99 ARUBERRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A33-00096B
Date Received: 1/12/1990
Receipt No: E011667
Received By: Dmd

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: JAMES O. ANDREASON 208-767-3395
Address: PO BOX 3
HOWE, ID 83244

OR

Name: ELIZABETH ANDREASON 208-767-3395
Address: PO BOX 3
HOWE, ID 83244

2. Date of Priority: MAY 25, 1891

3. Source: SAWMILL CREEK Trib. to: LITTLE LOST RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4 of	Lot	County
10N	26E	12	NE	SW			BUTTE
	27E	20	NE	SW			BUTTE

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE AND PRIVATE DITCH

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.500		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.500 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 855.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCKWATER; 300 SHEEP, 100 CATTLE

A33-00096B

Page 1

Date: 01/12/90

MICROFILMED
NOV 18 1992

A3300096B



10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres	
10N	26E	13	NE	SE		IRR	40.0	
			SE	SE		IRR	40.0	
		Section Acres						80.0
		24	NE	NE		IRR	13.0	
			Section Acres					
		27E	18	SW	SW		IRR	40.0
	SE			SW		IRR	22.0	
	Section Acres						62.0	
	19		NE	NW		IRR	39.0	
			NW	NW		IRR	40.0	
			SW	NW		IRR	33.0	
		SE	SW		IRR	28.0		
	Section Acres						140.0	
20	NE	SW		IRR	10.0			
	SE	SW		IRR	37.0			
Section Acres						47.0		
Total Acres						342.0		

11. Place of use in counties: BUTTE

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
A33-00095B/00001B/00097B

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED
Case Number:
Court :
Decree date:

BLAINE COUNTY INVESTMENT CO.
Decree Plaintiff

vs

ROBERT G. MAYS, ET. AL.
Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not / wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): Jamie O Anderson Date: 1-12-90

Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of Bonneville) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 12th day
of January 19 90 Colleen Wray
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Idaho Falls
My Commission Expires 5/29/1991

