





Photo #1, Hatchman Diversion on
Little Lost River @ SW corner
Sec. 32 T. 6N, R. 29E.

Photo #2, Amy-Tatum Diversion
on Little Lost River @ NW corner
Sec. 4 T. 6N, R. 29E.





NWNW NENW

NENE

SWNW SENW

NESW NWSE

SESW SWSE

NESE

SESE

DYER 80

O'MALEY 160

NWSE NESE

SWSE SESE




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: Isham Farms

Water Right(s): 33-00038, 33-10052, 33-10983



1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 11000 12000 13000 14000 15000 16000 Feet

LEGEND




Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use



Section Line

Quarter-section line


Place(s) of Use: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 2 E2SE, Sec. 3 (parts of)
NW, E2SW, W2SE, NENE,
Sec. 12 (parts of) SE

Point(s) of Diversion: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 4 NWNESE (Amy-Isham Ditch)
T.6N., R.29E.

Sec. 32 SWNWNW (Hartman Diversion)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: November 1999




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: Isham Farms

Water Right(s): 33-00038, 33-10052, 33-10983



1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 11000 12000 13000 14000 15000 16000 Feet

LEGEND




Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use



Section Line



Quarter-section line

Place(s) of Use: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 2 E2SE, Sec. 3 (parts of)
NW, E2SW, W2SE, NENE,
Sec. 12 (parts of) SE

Point(s) of Diversion: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 4 NWNESE (Amy-Isham Ditch)
T.6N., R.29E.

Sec. 32 SWNWNW (Hartman Diversion)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: November 1999

11 April 2000

From: Fred Price, BLM-ISO

To: Fred Price, BLM-ISO

CC: Candice McHugh, IDWR ADJUD.

From: A. Ruberry, IDWR Eastern Region

Re: B33 Irr POD's objected to by BLM

POD LOCATION

T11N R26E S10 NWNENE

This legal description defines the beginning of a channelized portion of Sawmill Creek. Apparently back in the 1920'-1930's there were several attempts tried to preserve water from Sawmill Creek because the stream would "break". Among them were things like a canal dug from Sawmill Creek to Summit Creek and a channelization project aimed at cleaning and to some extent straightening the Sawmill Creek channel as it flowed across Mud Flats (ref: OQ U-82).

*See ATTACHED
LIST of Saw
CR RIGHTS.*

In review of the adjudication claims from Sawmill Creek and the Little Lost River, it was initially considered that the source(s) could all be Little Lost River since it was difficult to determine where Little Lost River started and Sawmill Creek ended. Seeing no difference in source recommendations of Sawmill Creek claims were changed to Little Lost River. The Preliminary Director's Report was filed only to find that Sawmill Creek now occasionally breaks at the shearing corrals, which is about half-mile upstream from the confluence of Sawmill Creek and Summit Creek; The beginning of the Little Lost River. This new information seriously impacted recommendations since the Sawmill Creek claims, now changed to Little Lost River, became senior to the junior Little Lost River rights, causing injury to the junior Little Lost River appropriators.

Ultimately, the source issue was changed back to Sawmill Creek. Now a problem arose where a Point of Diversion had to be defined for the Sawmill Creek claims since actual deliveries are made from Little Lost River (except 33-00107 which is a true Sawmill Creek right, but, Decreed as a Little Lost River right). The 1927 Decree states "at the mouth of Sawmill Creek". However, there were no rights diverted at the mouth of Sawmill Creek. And, the dilemma of where was the mouth of Sawmill Creek. In consideration of the channelization project aimed at preserving waters of Sawmill Creek it was determined that the channelization had in-fact changed the streambed to a canal, saving water. Therefore, the beginning of the channelization would now become the Point of Diversion and the ending of the channelization would be a Point of injection back into the Sawmill Creek stream channel. The Point of Injection is near the shearing corrals, about half-mile upstream from the confluence of the streams. The Sawmill Creek claims Point(s) of Diversion are recommended as Points of Re-diversion from Little Lost River at or near their respective Place(s) of Use. This recommendation preserves both the source and the Sawmill Creek priority dates and, forgoes the injury issue of junior Little Lost River rights.

T9N R27E S4 SWNWNE

This objection is a true error. Apparently a typographical error was made during the recommendation process. The TRUE Point of Diversion should read T9N R26E S4 SWSWNE. The claimant, Mr. Waymire has been notified and is now aware of the issue.

33-00036
00037
000930

T11NR27E S31 NESESE

BLM believes this POD should read S31 SENESE based on GPS data. However, during the field exam of these claims several Points of Diversion were identified to include the one in question. The recommended POD in-question is an actual Point of Diversion where an unnamed stream intersects with the collector ditch and can be verified using OQ V-32. The site proposed by the BLM is a drainage that was dry during the field exam, thus no recommended POD.

33-00065
33-7087

T6N R28E S2 SESWSW

Specifically named is SRBA claim 33-10995. This claim identifies private lands historically irrigated by the Hawley's, later sold without water. The Point of Diversion used during the irrigation era is on BLM but is now longer used. The claimant filed this SRBA claim and Transfer No. 4551 in an effort to preserve the acreage irrigated by surface water. The transfer is in-place awaiting the BLM objection to prompt IDWR to review and act. However, the transfer is currently protested.

T6N R 28E S11 SESENW

This is an historic Point of Diversion dating back to the late 1800's (according to the water right priority dates, 1885-1891) and is one of three serving the ranch. One of which previously mentioned above, T6N R28E S2 SESWSW. The other is within the private holding of the ranch.

33-10996 is an enlargement claim for acres on BLM lands that were historically irrigated by the Hawley's and is part of the Transfer No. 4551 aforementioned. BLM is aware of this claim and supports the transfer of acreage to private lands. As mentioned previously, the transfer is protested.

33-00051 Thru
33-00060

T7N R28E S21 NWNWSW

According to the Proof of Beneficial Use filed for this right (33-07010) this well dates to the 1940's. However, the Licensed date of priority was March 19, 1971, but then Transfer No. 3809 was filed to "unstack" surface and groundwater rights. As a result, the priority date of the well was advanced to July 2, 1990. Surface water decreed to this ranch date to 1885 to 1891.

This particular well dating to the 1940's (unsupported evidence of prior claimant) was located according to the initial survey dating to July 9, 1890, which no doubt established fence lines. A resurvey of the East line of Section 21 was done in August 1941. Then, in 1978, another resurvey was done as, evidenced by the monument caps. This 1978 resurvey puts the TRUE Point of Diversion of the 33-07010 well in the NENWSW (a BLM QQ). However, this matter was discussed with Ms Deena Teal, BLM, Idaho Falls District Office, in October of 1996, shortly after the discrepancy was found. Ms Teal indicated, at

Rocky Ross

that time, that since the well predated some 1976 ruling, by which BLM operated, they (BLM) would not protest the actual POD.

This basically explains the history of the well and the recommendation; however, the listing of the POD as NWNWSW is clearly an error. The CVR shows the POD to be located as NENWSW Sec. 21, which is accurate.

T8N R28E S31 SWNWSE

This POD defines a well used solely for mitigation and is defined as an amendment to the 1927 decree as a Judgement against Orville Nicholson, 1954. The recommendation does define a Place of Use; however, this POU is by exchange with surface waters as defined in the Judgement. The period of use is 4/1 to 7/15 annually, when all this well does is deliver water to the river. The Objection is not to the Point of Diversion, but rather to the Point of Injection. And, in-fact, as recommended this Point of Injection is accurate.

T6NR29E S31 NWSWNW

This is another typographical error. The recommended POD should read T6N R29E Section 30 NWSWNW. There is a pending Transfer to correct the actual POD location to T6N R28E Section 25 NESENE.

33-02128
318
48B
49B
50B
83C

Contor, Bryce
From: Contor, Bryce
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 4:27 PM
To: Ruberry, Allen; Carlsen, Ernie
Subject: Sawmill Creek Rights

This morning we met via teleconference with Dave Tuthill and Peter Anderson. One of the things we discussed was the Sawmill recommendations. During our call, Bill Stauffer called and reported that he had discussed the Sawmill recommendations with others, including Don Isham, James Andreason, and David Andreason. They all concur with the proposals in the January 6 letter. James Mays has sent us written concurrence.

Per Dave Tuthill's instructions to Al and me, I have gone ahead and changed the source of Sawmill Creek rights back to "Sawmill Creek, tributary to Little Lost River." The point of diversion is 11N 26E section 10, NWNENE, and the point of injection is 10N 27E section 18, NWSESW. The former points of diversion have been identified as points of redirection. I removed any comments relating to change of source and I removed condition C02.

The rights I changed were:

R33-00023B
R33-00030
R33-00043
R33-00077
R33-10070
R33-10073
R33-10084
R33-10086

I'll put a new profile report and a copy of this memo in each file.

There were other Sawmill rights which I did not change. These are:

R33-00038 1927 decree & claim list source as
Little Lost River
R33-00096B Recommended w/ source "Summit Creek"
R33-00107 Recommended originally w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

33-10464 Stockwater claim already PD w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

Contor, Bryce

From: Contor, Bryce

Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2000 8:26 AM

To: Ruberry, Allen

Subject: Don Isham, A33-00038

When I was talking to Don the other day about Sawmill Creek, he mentioned that A33-00038, which is listed in the 1927 decree as a "Little Lost River" right, should actually be a "Sawmill" right. In the 1927 decree it is a 40" right, Don asserts it should be a 140" right with 72% shrink (which works out to 40" delivered).

Last night when Ernie and I were reviewing the changes I had made to the Sawmill rights, per yesterday's e-mail, he went back through the older decrees. He found an entry in the 1907 Kyle v Hawley decree that matches A33-00038 in source, quantity, priority, and owner.

I'll stick a copy of this in the file.

Contor, Bryce

From: Contor, Bryce

Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2000 4:27 PM

To: Ruberry, Allen; Carlsen, Ernie

Subject: Sawmill Creek Rights

This morning we met via teleconference with Dave Tuthill and Peter Anderson. One of the things we discussed was the Sawmill recommendations. During our call, Bill Stauffer called and reported that he had discussed the Sawmill recommendations with others, including Don Isham, James Andreason, and David Andreason. They all concur with the proposals in the January 6 letter. James Mays has sent us written concurrence.

Per Dave Tuthill's instructions to Al and me, I have gone ahead and changed the source of Sawmill Creek rights back to "Sawmill Creek, tributary to Little Lost River." The point of diversion is 11N 26E section 10, NWNENE, and the point of injection is 10N 27E section 18, NWSESW. The former points of diversion have been identified as points of redirection. I removed any comments relating to change of source and I removed condition C02.

The rights I changed were:

R33-00023B
R33-00030
R33-00043
R33-00077
R33-10070
R33-10073
R33-10084
R33-10086

I'll put a new profile report and a copy of this memo in each file.

There were other Sawmill rights which I did not change. These are:

R33-00038 ✓ 1927 decree & claim list source as
Little Lost River
R33-00096B Recommended w/ source "Summit Creek"
R33-00107 Recommended originally w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

33-10464 Stockwater claim already PD w/ source
"Sawmill Creek"

November 24, 1999

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

RECEIVED

DEC 16 1999

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Department of Water Resources

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 10, 1999

Water right number: 33-00038

ISHAM FARMS
C/O DONALD ISHAM
HC 65 BOX 1036
HOWE ID 83244

RECEIVED

DEC 20 1999

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

Daytime Telephone Number:

Evening Telephone Number:

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

*Source - Sawmill Creek instead of Little Lost River
Quantity 2.9 C.F.S. instead of .8 C.F.S.*

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

I believe Sawmill Creek needs to remain named as such as a tributary to Little Lost as there are several water rights that would be affected by this change. As there are different places that water was filed on out of Sawmill Creek.

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

This water was filed on at the mouth of Sawmill Canyon for a total of 2.9 C.F.S. with transmission loss figured at 72% it leaves .8 C.F.S. at our diversion.

see back of this page
☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation; no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12-7-99 SIGNATURE: Karen R Isham

Please print your name: Karen R Isham

IDWR Eastern Regional Office, 900 N. Skyline Drive, Suite A, Idaho Falls, ID 83402-1718, (208) 525-7161

*33-00038 WAS DECREED MARCH 4, 1927 AS 40 INCHES (.8 cfs) FROM
Little Lost River with priority date of MARCH 14, 1891.*

No change made

My concern with these changes, as with no regards to the original decree, is that it will no longer after the court makes its final decision be able to go back to the original.

In future years with advanced technology and conservation practices it may be developed that the transmission loss from Sawmill Creek to Little Last River will be reduced from the 50% we are currently being charged. If this does happen, which I certainly believe will come soon, there will be no record that we owned decreed rights of 2.9 CFS instead of the current proposed rights of .8 CFS. There are already ~~practices~~ practices in place on reaches of Sawmill Creek that are helping reduce the loss of water flow. The watermaster will also verify these.

Also about changing Sawmill Creek to Little Last River - there have been numerous years, especially during the droughts that Sawmill Creek has not reached the headwaters of Little Last River - so my understanding would be how could this be "Little Last River"?

Sawmill Creek is actually developed water. In 1891 many farmers from the lower valley built what is called the Farmer ditch to convey Sawmill Creek to Little Last River. This is the reason for the different points of origin in water decrees from Sawmill Creek

November 24, 1999

**NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY**

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 10, 1999

Water right number: 33-00038

ISHAM FARMS
C/O DONALD ISHAM
HC 65 BOX 1036
HOWE ID 83244

Daytime Telephone Number:

Evening Telephone Number:

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source):

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

☒ I AGREE with this proposed recommendation; no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12-7-99 SIGNATURE: Karen R Isham

Please print your name: Karen R Isham

COPY

May 11, 1999
Haive, Idaho.

To whom it may concern
I Sidney E. Werry know from my own personal experience that Charles Amaley and I purchased the Southeast Quarter of Section 12 Township 5 North Range 29 East of the Boise meridian from Joe Amaley in the year of 1961. At that time Joe had been farming this ground and applying water to it. Charles & I continued to farm & irrigate this ground together until 1970 when Mr Amaley retired & sold his share of this ground to me. I continued to farm this ground until I sold it to Mr Don Shum in 1986

Sidney E. Werry.
Haive, Idaho.

The above ground was irrigated with water decreed on 3-14-91 and 9-1-79.

33-00038

Sidney E. Werry

5-21-99.

COPY

RECEIVED

AUG 11 1999

Department of Water Resources
Eastern Region

See A33-10983
ENLARG CHAM

33-00031K }
33-00036A } 33-10052
33-00037 } (P15)

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

00:03:54
03/31/93

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A33-00058

LAST: HAWLEY
ADDRESS:
CITY: X
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000
OWNER CODE: D

FIRST: MARIAN
STATE: ID ID ZIP: 0

LAST: ISHAM FARNS
ADDRESS: C/O DONALD ISHAM
CITY: MC 65 BOX 1016
PHONE NUMBER: 208-767-3443
OWNER CODE: C

FIRST:
STATE: ID ID ZIP: 83244

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?
NO REMARKS IN CLAIM

DATABASE: N
FIELD EXAMINER:

CONTACT PERSON: Le P. Barry

PHONE NUMBER: () - -

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 4/24/96

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y() N()

TIME: 1:00 A() P()

ACCOMPANIED BY: Met w/ Don & Mrs. Estan

PHONE NUMBER: () - -

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: Quarante

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

Arranged an appointment w/ Mr. Estan for 1:00pm. 4/24/96 (arrived on 4/19/96).
Met w/ Don & Mrs. Estan as appointed. Discussed water usage, dates and distribution/conservation
systems

A. AJ CLAIM: # A33-00038 PRIORITY DATE: 05-14-1991 STAGE: D
B. WR RECORD: # 33-00038 PRIORITY DATE: 05-14-1991 STAGE: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO PRIORITY DATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) FIELD EXAMINER

ARE THERE OBVIOUS REASONS TO QUESTION THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED? Y() N()

NOTES: *Claim matches decree. Recommended as claimed.*

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:
33-14-1991

REVIEWED BY *S.V. Keen* DATE *7-20-94*

P.6 of Blaine County Investment v. Mays

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	IMP.	RSE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	CNTY
A33-00038	05N	29E	4	NW	NE	SE		BUTTE
A33-00038	05N	29E	32	SW	NW	NW		BUTTE
OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD								
A PREFIX				MR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT				
R PREFIX								
NONE								
A33-00342				33-00031D				
A33-00043				33-00031M				
A33-00065				33-00031J				
A33-10052				33-00086				
				33-02010				
				33-02056				
				133-00097D				
				133-00100				

*Behman Amy Ditch
Watman Division*

SOURCE: LITTLE LOST RIVER

TRIB: SINKS

B. MR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

330038 THERE IS NO POD ASSOCIATED WITH THIS WATER RIGHT NUMBER

SOURCE: LITTLE LOST RIVER

TRIB: SINKS

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

FIELD EXAMINER	DATABASE	Y	N

DUE TO POD DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO SOURCE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

NOTES:

*The BCI v. Mayo decree does not give a point of diversion for this right.
Historic Point of Diversion is Shunkel Sec. 32 TEN. R. 29E (Locally known as THE
Karaman Diversion) Behman Farms 1863 DIVERSION SEE #4. SEE Photos.
SECOND P.O.D. HEADS DIRECTLY FROM LLR TO SURVEYS BOTH LEO AMY AND BEHMAN FARMS. SEE Tm
Link's Recommendation Regarding Use of POTENTIAL POINTS OF DIVERSION (SEE EXCEPT ON Pg. 12)*

COUNTY DIVERSION NAME

Butler	Isidor - Army Dist
Butler	Harman Division

SEE EXCERPT FROM
T. Luke's work, 19 May 1993,
on page 12.

TRIO: SINKS

DATE: 12 April 96

SEE ATTACHED PHOTO REPRODUCTION SHEET ATTACHED

XI.

MARIAN HAWLEY:

From Little Lost River:

40 inches, Priority	September 1, 1879;	33 0037.
40 inches, Priority	March 14, 1891.	33-0038


Point of diversion:

From Little Lost River:

to be used and appurtenant to:

The Southeast Quarter of the Southwest Quarter, and the Southwest Quarter of the Southeast Quarter of Section Three, Township Five North, Range Twenty-nine East of the Boise Meridian.

EXCERPT FROM BCI vs R.C. MAPS et al, Decree 4 March 1927



No Scale:



Photo #1, Hudson Diversion on
Little Lost River @ SW 1/4
Sec. 32 T. 6N, R. 29E.

Photo #2, Amy-Peterson Diversion
on Little Lost River @ NW 1/4
Sec. 4 T. 6N, R. 29E.

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A33-00038

B. POUL LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	IR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
05N	29E	2	NE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /39.0	-	38.0		
			NE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /39.0	-			
			NE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00032 /			
			NE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00033 /			
			NE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00035 /			
05N	29E	2	SE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /39.0	-	38.0		
			SE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /39.0	-			
			SE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00032 /			
			SE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00033 /			
			SE	SE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00035 /			
05N	29E	3	NE	NE	1	ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /54.0	-	47.0		
			NE	NE	1	ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /54.0	-			
			NE	NE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00031K /			
			NE	NE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00032 /			
			NE	NE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00033 /			
			NE	NE		LITTLE LOST RIVER LAND & LIVES		33-00035 /			
05N	29E	3	NE	NW	3	ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /48.0	-	48.0		
			NE	NW	3	ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /48.0	-			
			NE	NW		HAWLEY, EST. OF D. B.		33-00036A /			
05N	29E	3	NW	NW	4	ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /48.0	-	47.0		
			NW	NW	4	ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /48.0	-			
			NW	NW		HAWLEY, EST. OF D. B.		33-00036A /			
05N	29E	3	SW	NW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /33.0	-	40.0		
			SW	NW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /33.0	-			
			SW	NW		HAWLEY, EST. OF D. B.		33-00036A /			
05N	29E	3	SE	NW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /31.0	-	40.0		
			SE	NW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /31.0	-			
			SE	NW		HAWLEY, EST. OF D. B.		33-00036A /			
05N	29E	3	NE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /40.0	-	43.0		
			NE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /40.0	-			
05N	29E	3	SE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 /31.0	-	30.0		
			SE	SW		SHULL, RONALD L.	A33-10011 /	-			
			SE	SW		MERRY, SID	A33-10012 /	-			
			SE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 /31.0	-			
			SE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10055 /	-			
			SE	SW		HAWLEY, MARIAN		33-00037 /			

No RECORDED
WATER RIGHTS

No RECORDED
WATER RIGHT

LOCALLY KNOWN AS
"DYER 80"

T-472 MOVES 0.4165 OFF
AS 33-00036B. THIS
QQ HAS NO AROUND RIGHT

Revised to this
fract

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	JR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
05N	29E	3	N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	37.0		
			N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
			N4	SE		HAWLEY, EST. OF D. B.	33-00036A 1	-			
05N	29E	3	S4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 726.0	33-00038 1	11.0		
			S4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 726.0	33-00037 1			
			S4	SE		HAWLEY, MARIAN					
05N	29E	12	N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	38.0		
			N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
05N	29E	12	N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	43.0		
			N4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
05N	29E	12	S4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 739.0	-	40.0		
			S4	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 739.0	-			
05N	29E	12	SE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	37.0		
			SE	SE		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
06N	29E	36	N4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 739.0	-	30.0		
			N4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 739.0	-			
06N	29E	36	N4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 739.0	-	43.0		
			N4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 739.0	-			
06N	29E	36	S4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	14.0		
			S4	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
06N	29E	36	SE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-00038 740.0	-	39.0		
			SE	SW		ISHAN FARMS	A33-10052 740.0	-			
TOTAL ACRES									746.00		707.00

NO RECORDED
WATER RIGHTS
LOCALLY KNOWN AS
'O'MALEY 160'

33-02070 G.M.
33-02139 G.M.
LOCALLY KNOWN AS THE
'MACARONI FIELD'



ARE IRRIGATED ACRES FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN INDICATED ABOVE, Y() N()
IF YES SEE ATTACHED SHEET:

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
DUE TO POU DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

FIELD EXAMINER
DATABASE Y

POU COUNTY: BUTTE

* THESE LANDS, AS CLAIMED, REPRESENT A SIGNIFICANT
EXPANSION OF DECREASED RIGHTS 33-00038 AND COMAND
RIGHT 33-10052 (SEE PG. 8 A33-10052 CORR.).
D
CPI
CPS



PRESUMPTION FLAG: D

NOTES:

Deeded P.O.U. is T5N, R29E, S.3, SE1/4 + SW1/4 (No acreages given.)

RECOMMENDATIONS: Obvious extension of Deeded Rights = CØ1?

TOTAL ACRES: _____

REVIEWED BY: _____

DATE: _____

Recorded P.O.U.

ISHAM FARMS
COMBINED RIGHT
A33-10052 AS
5.92 acs

{ 33-00037 T5N R29E S3 SE1/4 (Ante.) } HELD BY ISHAM FARMS
SW1/4

{ 33-00038A T5N R29E S3 SW1/4 - HELD BY PANCHERI, INC.
NW1/4
NE1/4 } HELD BY ISHAM FARMS
NW1/4

T. 472

{ 33-00031(K) T5N R29E S2 NW1/4 } HELD BY WOODIE LAL
S2 NE1/4

CURRENTLY HELD BY ISHAM FARMS.
UNDER DEED 4 MARCH 1927.

33-00038 SW1/4 SEC. 3 T. 5N, R. 29E.
SW1/4

T. 2945

{ W2 NW1/4
E2 SW1/4
W2 SE1/4 } HELD BY LEO ARMY

{ E2 NE1/4 } SE1/4 ⇒ NE1/4 HELD BY ISHAM FARMS
E2 SE1/4

T5N R27E S3 W2 NW1/4
SE1/4
NW1/4
NE1/4
SW1/4
SE1/4
THESE LANDS HELD
BY PANCHERI, INC.

T5N R27E S3U S11 NW1/4
W2 SW1/4
SE1/4

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSES
A33-00038 IRRIGATION

MONTH/DAY
FROM 04-31 TO 11-01
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
- 300 CFS

- 500 CFS

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSES
33-00038 IRRIGATION

MONTH/DAY
FROM TO
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME CLAIMED

DIVERSION QUANTITY
- 300 CFS

- 800 CFS

PRESUMPTION FLAG: D

C. FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?

DUE TO WATER-USE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)
DUE TO PERIOD FROM/TO DISCREPANCY? (Y/N)

FIELD
DATABASE EXAMINER
N
N
Y

D. PERIOD OF USE:

RECOMMEND AS CLAIMED?

Y() N()

RECOMMEND TO WR STANDARDS OR OTHER?

As Deputed

EXPLAIN IN NOTES, BELOW.

NOTES:

Y(✓) N()

A. DOES DIVERSION RATE CLAIMED AGREE WITH EXISTING RECORDS?

AJ TOTAL CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE: 0.300 CFS
WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 0.800 CFS

*** IF NO OR IF STATUTORY CLAIM OR BENEFICIAL USE THEN FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED. ***

** IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS **

** CLAIMED AND AN EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES. **

FLOW MEASUREMENT REQUIRED?

FIELD

DATABASE EXAMINER

DUE TO STAGE OR DIVERSION RATE DISCREPANCY? (Y/N) N

B.

FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD Watermaster Records SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

C. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED? Y() Y() N() IF YES COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW.

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: 33-00037, 33-00366, 33-00031K

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE:

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A33-00038	LITTLE LOST RIVER	ISHAM FARMS	0.300	0.001		
A33-10052	LITTLE LOST RIVER	ISHAM FARMS	5.720	0.007		

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
A33-10011	DOMESTIC	SHALL, RONALD L.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A33-10011	STOCKWATER	SHALL, RONALD L.	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A33-10012	DOMESTIC	MERRY, SID	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A33-10012	STOCKWATER	MERRY, SID	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.020 CFS	
A33-10055	DOMESTIC	ISHAM FARMS	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.040 CFS	
A33-10055	STOCKWATER	ISHAM FARMS	FROM 01-01 TO 12-31	.070 CFS	

D. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1B. CALCULATIONS

1A. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES 566 X 0.02 CFS/ACRE = 11.32 CFS
(TAKEN FROM P/U REVIEW)

DOES AMOUNT CLAIMED EXCEED 0.02 CFS/ACRE? Y() N()

*** IF YES ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y() N() ***

2. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 1855.0 AF/A

ACRES 566 X CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 3.5 =

TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 1981 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A

ACRES 566 X DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA 2.5 =

TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 1415 AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION:

SEE ATTACHED SHEET? Y() N()
P/U & P/U INCOMPLETE.

NOTES: (INCLUDE LIMITATIONS ON CONSUMPTIVE USE FOR COMBINED RIGHTS.)

FPS: 33-10052

ED9:

RECOMMENDATIONS:

REC.
PURPOSESREC. PERIOD
FROM TOREC. DIV
RATE CFSREC. DIV
VOL. AF/AREC. CONS.
USE

IRRIGATION

04-01 11-01

DATABASE EXAMINER
0.800

DATABASE EXAMINER

DATABASE EXAMINER
1865.0

TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT

0.800

1865.0

REVIEWED BY:

DATE:

A33-10052

Isham Farms

From: Tim Lukes, Little East River Diversion
Pg. 4, 19 May 1993.

COMMENTS: Based on review of transfer no. 472 it appears [this *right] was originally diverted at or near the Hartman diversion. The watermaster states that it has long been standard practice to transfer 2 cfs (1/3) under the 9/1/1879 Isham rights from the Hartman diversion to the Isham-Amy headgate downstream when the junior priority rights at the Isham-Amy diversion are cut. SRBA claims include both points of diversion for these rights. The watermaster states that a 10% loss is charged to the 2 cfs which is moved downstream to the Isham-Amy ditch.

As this transfer or rotation has been accomplished and in place prior to 1987, it is recommended that this practice may continue but that the actual reach loss which is determined between the Hartman diversion and the Robert Mays diversion be assessed to the delivery of the 2 cfs.

→ SHOULD REAO Amy-Isham Diversion.
Robert Mays Div. is about 1/2 mi further downstream.

As CLAIMED, A33-10052 COMBINES:

33-00031K (part) 0.72

33-00036A (part) 4.40

33-00037 (AW) 0.80

5.92 cfs

ALL Priority DATED AS SEPT 1, 1879.

* THIS RIGHT REPORTS TO 33-000368

SEE T. 472, 30 Aug. 1929 IN A33-

10052 FILE.

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

OWNERSHIP

FORFEIT/ABANDON

ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT

ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED

NEEDS REVIEW

()

()

()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 33-00038

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS

CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS

DIVERSION RATE

EXAMINER

CFS

WR RIGHT NUMBERS

DIVERSION RATE

33-00038

0.800

0.800

0.800

0.800

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)

IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW SHEET ATTACHED? (Y/N)

NOTES:

THIS RIGHT IS NOT SPLIT - CLAIMED IN FULL

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE:

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER:

DATE: 12 Apr 196

TITLE: Sr. Asst

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

00:03:54
03/31/93

PAGE: 14
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A33-30038

WR ELEMENT	FIELD EXAM Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	VERIFIED BY CODE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE								
POINT OF DIV	Y			N				
SOURCE				Y				
PLACE OF USE				N				
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME	Y			Y				
CONSUMPTIVE USE								
PURPOSES								
PERIOD OF USE	Y			N				
BASIS OF CLAIM				N				
REMARKS				N				

VERIFIED BY CODES

TOPO MAP/ORTHO-PQ = 1
 ISCS MAP = 2
 WATERMASTER RECORDS = 3
 MAPS PHOTOS = 4
 FIELD EXAM = 5
 OTHER (SEE REMARKS) = 6

THESE CODES ARE USED TO INDICATE HOW THE FIELD EXAMINER VERIFIED THE INFORMATION
USED FOR THIS FIELD EXAMINATION. INDICATED ARE ALL CODES WHICH APPLY.

CLAIM NUMBER: A33-2003B

USE PERIOD	DIVERSION	DIVERSION	DIVERSION
FROM	TO	RATE	VOLUME
12/1/79	12/31/79	100%	100%
1/1/80	1/31/80	100%	100%
2/1/80	2/28/80	100%	100%
3/1/80	3/31/80	100%	100%
4/1/80	4/30/80	100%	100%
5/1/80	5/31/80	100%	100%
6/1/80	6/30/80	100%	100%
7/1/80	7/31/80	100%	100%
8/1/80	8/31/80	100%	100%
9/1/80	9/30/80	100%	100%
10/1/80	10/31/80	100%	100%
11/1/80	11/30/80	100%	100%
12/1/80	12/31/80	100%	100%
1/1/81	1/31/81	100%	100%
2/1/81	2/28/81	100%	100%
3/1/81	3/31/81	100%	100%
4/1/81	4/30/81	100%	100%
5/1/81	5/31/81	100%	100%
6/1/81	6/30/81	100%	100%
7/1/81	7/31/81	100%	100%
8/1/81	8/31/81	100%	100%
9/1/81	9/30/81	100%	100%
10/1/81	10/31/81	100%	100%
11/1/81	11/30/81	100%	100%
12/1/81	12/31/81	100%	100%
1/1/82	1/31/82	100%	100%
2/1/82	2/28/82	100%	100%
3/1/82	3/31/82	100%	100%
4/1/82	4/30/82	100%	100%
5/1/82	5/31/82	100%	100%
6/1/82	6/30/82	100%	100%
7/1/82	7/31/82	100%	100%
8/1/82	8/31/82	100%	100%
9/1/82	9/30/82	100%	100%
10/1/82	10/31/82	100%	100%
11/1/82	11/30/82	100%	100%
12/1/82	12/31/82	100%	100%
1/1/83	1/31/83	100%	100%
2/1/83	2/28/83	100%	100%
3/1/83	3/31/83	100%	100%
4/1/83	4/30/83	100%	100%
5/1/83	5/31/83	100%	100%
6/1/83	6/30/83	100%	100%
7/1/83	7/31/83	100%	100%
8/1/83	8/31/83	100%	100%
9/1/83	9/30/83	100%	100%
10/1/83	10/31/83	100%	100%
11/1/83	11/30/83	100%	100%
12/1/83	12/31/83	100%	100%
1/1/84	1/31/84	100%	100%
2/1/84	2/28/84	100%	100%
3/1/84	3/31/84	100%	100%
4/1/84	4/30/84	100%	100%
5/1/84	5/31/84	100%	100%
6/1/84	6/30/84	100%	100%
7/1/84	7/31/84	100%	100%
8/1/84	8/31/84	100%	100%
9/1/84	9/30/84	100%	100%
10/1/84	10/31/84	100%	100%
11/1/84	11/30/84	100%	100%
12/1/84	12/31/84	100%	100%
1/1/85	1/31/85	100%	100%
2/1/85	2/28/85	100%	100%
3/1/85	3/31/85	100%	100%
4/1/85	4/30/85	100%	100%
5/1/85	5/31/85	100%	100%
6/1/85	6/30/85	100%	100%
7/1/85	7/31/85	100%	100%
8/1/85	8/31/85	100%	100%
9/1/85	9/30/85	100%	100%
10/1/85	10/31/85	100%	100%
11/1/85	11/30/85	100%	100%
12/1/85	12/31/85	100%	100%
1/1/86	1/31/86	100%	100%
2/1/86	2/28/86	100%	100%
3/1/86	3/31/86	100%	100%
4/1/86	4/30/86	100%	100%
5/1/86	5/31/86	100%	100%
6/1/86	6/30/86	100%	100%
7/1/86			

03/16/1871 IRRIGATION

04-01 11-01

0-80 CFS

TOTAL DIVERSION:
CONSUMPTIVE USE:

1865.0 AF3

TRIBUTARY TO: SINKS

Authored by T. Luke's
Block, 190493. Historic
Dns B7 practice.

STAGE: DECEED

CHINESE

PLACE OF USE	LEGAL DESCRIPTION FOR:	IRRIGATION
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29		
30		
31		
32		
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		
45		
46		
47		
48		
49		
50		
51		
52		
53		
54		
55		
56		
57		
58		
59		
60		
61		
62		
63		
64		
65		
66		
67		
68		
69		
70		
71		
72		
73		
74		
75		
76		
77		
78		
79		
80		
81		
82		
83		
84		
85		
86		
87		
88		
89		
90		
91		
92		
93		
94		
95		
96		
97		
98		
99		
100		

NE				NM				SM				SE				SUM					
Y	R	S		NEWE	NWWE	SEWE		NEWM	NWNM	SEWM		NESW	NWSW	SESW		NESE	WSESE	SESE		SUM	
				LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC	LT	AC		SUM
05M	29E	2																			78.0
05M	29E	3	01-54									40				39	40	25	40		351.0
05M	29E	12															40	40	37		159.0
06M	29E	35										39	39	40	40						158.0
										TOTAL A:RES											
										DAYS/578											
																				746.0	

only two 00's decreased to
\$3-000.00.

PO4 COUNTY: BUTTE

NON-IRRIGATION USES: STOCK WATER FROM DITCHES DURING THE IRRIGATION SEASON

OTHER RIGHTS: 33-00037, 33-00036A, 33-00031K → parts combine to make A33-10052 (see claim)

DATES: **EXAM MADE DATE:** **VERIFIED DATE:** **07/15/1985**

07/15/1985

VERIFIED DATE:

WATER DISTRICT NUMBER: 33

FIELD INSPECTION FLAG- D
PRESUMPTION FLAG-

Case/Remarks

103 105

cds 5/2

1




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: Isham Farms

Water Right(s): 33-00038, 33-10052, 33-10983



1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 11000 12000 13000 14000 15000 16000 Feet

LEGEND



Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use

Section Line

Quarter-section line


Place(s) of Use: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 2 E2SE, Sec. 3 (parts of)
NW, E2SW, W2SE, NENE,
Sec. 12 (parts of) SE

Point(s) of Diversion: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 4 NWNESE (Amy-Isham Ditch)
T.6N., R.29E.

Sec. 32 SWNWNW (Hartman Diversion)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: November 1999




STATE OF IDAHO

Department of Water Resources

Eastern Region

Claimant: Isham Farms

Water Right(s): 33-00038, 33-10052, 33-10983



1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 8000 9000 10000 11000 12000 13000 14000 15000 16000 Feet

LEGEND



Point of Diversion- GW



Point of Diversion- SW

SESE

Place of Use



Section Line

Quarter-section line


Place(s) of Use: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 2 E2SE, Sec. 3 (parts of)
NW, E2SW, W2SE, NENE,
Sec. 12 (parts of) SE

Point(s) of Diversion: T.5N., R.29E.

Sec. 4 NWNESE (Amy-Isham Ditch)
T.6N., R.29E.

Sec. 32 SWNWNW (Hartman Diversion)



GIS Place of Use Illustration
1987/1988 NAPP photography
Prepared by: A. Ruberry
Date: November 1999

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS
C	ISHAM FARMS C/O DONALD ISHAM HC 65 BOX 1036 HOME ID 83244 (208) 767-3443

PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE
03/14/1891	IRRIGATION

USE PERIOD	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
FROM TO		
04-01 11-01	0.800 CFS	
DIVERSION:	0.800 CFS	

TOTAL DIVERSION: 0.800 CFS

Water Source: LITTLE LOST RIVER

Tributary to: SINKS

Stage: DECREED

T05N R29E S04	NWNESE
T06N R29E S32	SWNWNW

BUTTE County

Point(s) of Diversion:

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

T	R	S	NE						NW						SW						SE						
			NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE									
			Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	SUM						
05N	29E	2	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	71.0						
05N	29E	3	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	349.0						
05N	29E	12	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	151.0						
TOTAL ACRES																					571.0						

POU County: BUTTE

Other Rights: 33-10052, 33-10983

Conditions of Approval:

C03 - RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION
 - PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.
 C05 - RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PLACE OF USE PURSUANT TO
 - SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

Remarks: QNT

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO A
TOTAL COMBINED DIVERSION RATE OF 6.72 CFS. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.:
33-10052 AND 33-10983.

POU1

USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH THE RIGHTS LISTED BELOW IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 571 ACRES IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON. COMBINED RIGHT NOS.: 33-10052 AND 33-10983. THIS RIGHT IS LIMITED TO THE IRRIGATION OF 80 ACRES WITHIN THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED ABOVE IN A SINGLE IRRIGATION SEASON.

EXP

THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS ARE ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE: 33-10052 AND 33-10983. RIGHT NO. 33-10983 IS AN ENLARGEMENT OF THIS RIGHT AND RIGHT NO. 33-10052 PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1426, IDAHO CODE.

Per Bot 102.103

AJ0749NP
No. R33-00038

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 11/12/99
PAGE: 2

=====
Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:
Misc: Water District Number: 33 Field Inspection Flag: C
Presumption Flag:
Audit: 11/12/99 ARUBERRY

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A33-00038
Date Received: 12/20/1989
Receipt No: MO05434
Received By: CA

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: ISHAM FARMS 208-767-3443
Address: C/O DONALD ISHAM
HC 65 BOX 1036
HOWE, ID 83244

2. Date of Priority: MAR 14, 1891

3. Source: LITTLE LOST RIVER Trib. to: SINKS

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	County
05N	29E	4	NW		NE		SE		BUTTE
06N	29E	32	SW		NW		NW		BUTTE

5. Description of diverting works:
2 HEADGATES, DITCHES, SURFACE IRRIGATION

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	0.800		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.800 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 1865.0 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
STOCK WATER FROM DITCHES DURING THE IRRIGATION SEASON

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
05N	29E	2	NE		SE		IRR	39.0

A33-00038

Page 1

Date: 12/20/89

MICROFILMED
NOV 18 1992

A3300038

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
05N	29E	2	SE	SE		IRR	39.0
						Section Acres	78.0
		3	NE	NE	1	IRR	54.0
			NE	NW	3	IRR	48.0
			NW	NW	4	IRR	48.0
			SW	NW		IRR	33.0
			SE	NW		IRR	31.0
			NE	SW		IRR	40.0
			SE	SW		IRR	31.0
			NW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		IRR	26.0
						Section Acres	351.0
		12	NE	SE		IRR	40.0
			NW	SE		IRR	40.0
			SW	SE		IRR	39.0
			SE	SE		IRR	40.0
						Section Acres	159.0
06N	29E	36	NE	SW		IRR	39.0
			NW	SW		IRR	39.0
			SW	SW		IRR	40.0
			SE	SW		IRR	40.0
						Section Acres	158.0
						Total Acres	746.0

11. Place of use in counties: BUTTE

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
33-00037, 33-00036A, 33-00031K

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:

A33-00038

Page 2

Date: 12/20/89

MICROFILMED
NOV 18 1992

BLAINE COUNTY INVESTMENT CO.

Decree Plaintiff

vs

ROBERT G. MAYS, ET AL

Decree Defendant

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do do not ☒ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: -1-

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am President of Title

 Isahn Farms , that I have signed the foregoing Organization document in the space below as President of

 Isahn Farms and that the statements contained in the Organization foregoing document are true and correct.

 Isahn Farms
Signature of Authorized Agent

 Isahn Farms
Title and Organization

 12-20-89
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Butte) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 20th day of December 19 89

 Carter Fritschle
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at

My Commission Expires

Carter Fritschle, Notary Public
Residing at Eagle, Idaho
My commission Expires March 21, 1994

