

RECEIVED

OCT 28 2008

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

2008 OCT 24 AM 11:55

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

) ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
) FILE LATE OBJECTION, SETTING
) DEADLINE AND STATUS
) CONFERENCE

) SUBCASE NO.: 37-04133
)

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A *Motion to File Late Objection* was filed by Syringa Ranch Trust on October 7, 2008. A hearing was held on the *Motion* on October 15, 2008.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

The SRBA Administrative Orders do not provide a standard to be applied for filing late objections. However, the court's administrative rules set forth that *Motions to File a Late Notice of Claim* are to be reviewed under the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) and 60(b). *SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1) § 4 (d)(2)(d)*.

According to case law and the Rules of Civil Procedure, a court applies the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) when determining whether to allow the filing of a late answer. Motions for Late Objection have been reviewed in the SRBA under the same criteria of Rule 55(c). The proponent of a Motion for Late Objection, like a party seeking to file an untimely answer, must show both "good cause" for untimeliness and the existence of a "meritorious position." I.R.C.P. 55(c).

The SRBA has recently affirmed the legal standard of what must be shown to satisfy "good cause" and "meritorious position." The legal standard for "good cause" for untimeliness of an objection is determined under the standard for setting aside the entry of a default under I.R.C.P. 55(c). The "good cause" standard under Rule 55(c) is more lenient than the standard under Rule

60(b). The I.R.C.P. 55(c) standard takes into account the following factors:

- 1) whether the default was willful;
- 2) whether setting aside the judgment would prejudice the opponent; and
- 3) whether a meritorious position has been presented.

Order on Motion to Set Aside Partial Decrees and File Late Objections (A.L. Cattle) (Jan. 31, 2001).

In support of the *Motion*, Syringa Ranch Trust explained that the untimeliness of the *Objection* was due to a change in address which was not recorded. Thus correspondence to Syringa Ranch Trust was returned undeliverable.

A meritorious position was alleged because Syringa Ranch Trust researched the water use related to this claim. Information from that research indicates this water right was used for irrigation. No parties to the SRBA opposed the *Motion*, and there is no showing prejudice would result from allowing the late objection.

III. FINDINGS

There was no opposition to the *Motion*. Therefore, the *Motion to File Late Objection* is **granted**. IT IS ORDERED that the following deadlines shall govern further proceedings:

November 21, 2008	5:00 pm	Deadline to file Response to Objection
April 29, 2009	4:00 pm	Status Conference
		Location: Snake River Basin Adjudication 253 3 rd Ave. North Twin Falls, Idaho 83301

Parties may participate by phone for the Status Conference by dialing 1-225-383-1099 and when prompted enter participant code 926509. Any questions regarding telephone participation, please contact the Clerk's office at (208) 736-3011.

Dated: October 24, 2008.



BRIGETTE BILYEU
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE LATE OBJECTION, SETTING DEADLINE AND STATUS CONFERENC was mailed on October 24, 2008, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

SYRINGA RANCH TRUST

Represented by:
CHARLES L. HONSINGER
455 S THIRD ST
PO BOX 2773
BOISE, ID 83701-2773
Phone: 208-342-4591

THOMAS M O GARA

Represented by:
PAUL L ARRINGTON
113 MAIN AVE W, STE 303
PO BOX 485
TWIN FALLS, ID 83303-0485
Phone: 208-733-0700

DIRECTOR OF IDWR

PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098