

Van Bussum, Monica

From: GARY LEUZINGER [gbgv16a@yahoo.com]
Sent: Thursday, October 09, 2008 1:56 PM
To: Van Bussum, Monica
Cc: railrider@centurytel.net; Roxanne Brown; curet tom
Subject: RE: note in field review

75-5
 75-196
 75-21
 75-22
 75-14465

Thanks Monica. The clarification helps. One additional comment I would like to make in relation to Arrowheads intent to irrigate the land in question. The irrigation rights are owned by Arrowhead. As a Water District Arrowhead owns and administers the water rights but the rights are associated with the land that is owned by individuals. Arrowhead has the responsibility to provide water to the owner of the land but it is not Arrowheads decision to irrigate the land or not to irrigate the land. It is however Arrowheads responsibility to protect the owners right to irrigate their land. In my Tuesday meeting with Roxanne Brown she suggested we consider putting unused water in the Water Bank. This will be proposed to the Arrowhead Board.

Thanks again

Gary

--- On Thu, 10/9/08, Van Bussum, Monica <Monica.VanBussum@idwr.idaho.gov> wrote:

From: Van Bussum, Monica <Monica.VanBussum@idwr.idaho.gov>
 Subject: RE: note in field review
 To: gbgv16a@yahoo.com
 Cc: "Foster, Bob" <Bob.Foster@idwr.idaho.gov>
 Date: Thursday, October 9, 2008, 10:51 AM

Hi Gary,

I have written and scanned a memo to the file. That should be available online by early next week, but here is a copy for you. I want to emphasize there is a difference between "could not" and "do not." During my last visit to Arrowhead's place of use with you on October 3, you showed me a ditch that Arrowhead currently does not use and has not used since the early 1990s. My statement in the field exam says you do not irrigate the field in question with the irrigation system, but with the domestic system. The place of use in question is still recommended as part of Arrowhead's water rights and is not currently at stake in the objection process. A field exam completed in 2008 naturally reflects what is happening in 2008, but most SRBA recommendations should reflect what was happening in 1987 based on the best available evidence. I hope this e-mail and the memo attached clarify things for you, but please let me know if there is anything else I can do for you.

Monica

Monica Van Bussum
 Sr. Water Resource Agent
 Idaho Department of Water Resources
 322 East Front Street
 PO Box 83720
 Boise, ID 83720-0098
 208.287.4907

10/9/2008

From: GARY LEUZINGER [mailto:gbgv16a@yahoo.com]
Sent: Wednesday, October 08, 2008 9:47 PM
To: Van Bussum, Monica
Cc: railrider@centurytel.net
Subject: note in field review

Monica, I hate to bother you again about this note but it clearly says that we do not have irrigation rights for land to the west of the fence. The note clearly states that there are not "irrigation rights" and that the land is watered by domestic water. As we observed last week the ditches clearly indicate the land was watered with irrigation water. Bob Kramer as we discussed had to quit watering land west of his house in the 90s because he was flooding his crawl space.

Dixie Graves flooded land from her sprinkler pipe as late as this summer. In 1987 this land was farmed by the lessor was recommended.

You indicated the note did not say we could not irrigate this land but when I reread it clearly does. Appreciate it if you could help us clear this up.

Thanks

Gary Leuzinger