

703 OCT -6 AM 11: 59

DISTRICT COURT-SRBA
TWIN FALLS CO., IDAHO
FILED.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA	)	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE
	)	LATE OBJECTION AND SETTING
Case No. 39576	)	DEADLINE
	)	
	)	SUBCASE NO.: 37-21794
	)	
	)	

I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

A *Motion for Order Allowing Filing of a Late Objection* was filed by Robbins Trust & O'Connor Trust on December 24, 2007. A hearing was held on the *Motion* on July 9, 2008.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

The SRBA Administrative Orders do not provide a standard to be applied for filing late objections. However, the court's administrative rules set forth that *Motions to File a Late Notice of Claim* are to be reviewed under the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) and 60(b). *SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1)* § 4 (d)(2)(d).

According to case law and the Rules of Civil Procedure, a court applies the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) when determining whether to allow the filing of a late answer. Motions for Late Objection have been reviewed in the SRBA under the same criteria of Rule 55(c). The proponent of a Motion for Late Objection, like a party seeking to file an untimely answer, must show both "good cause" for untimeliness and the existence of a "meritorious position." I.R.C.P. 55(c).

The SRBA has recently affirmed the legal standard of what must be shown to satisfy "good cause" and "meritorious position." The legal standard for "good cause" for untimeliness of an objection is determined under the standard for setting aside the entry of a default under I.R.C.P. 55(c). The "good cause" standard under Rule 55(c) is more lenient than the standard under Rule 60(b). The

60(b). The I.R.C.P. 55(c) standard takes into account the following factors:

- 1) whether the default was willful;
- 2) whether setting aside the judgment would prejudice the opponent; and
- 3) whether a meritorious position has been presented.

Order on Motion to Set Aside Partial Decrees and File Late Objections (A.L. Cattle) (Jan. 31, 2001).

In support of the *Motion*, the claimant explained that the untimeliness of the *Objection* was not willful. The deadline for objections was inadvertently overlooked. As soon as the claimant realized the objection for this right had been missed, a *Motion* was filed. The claimant set forth a meritorious position for the *Objection* based on the original claim and historic use of the right.

No parties to the SRBA opposed the *Motion*, and there is no showing prejudice would result from allowing the late objection.

III. FINDINGS

There was no opposition to the *Motion*. Therefore, the *Motion to File Late Objection* is **granted**. The *Objection* previously lodged shall be filed and dated July 9, 2008.

IT IS ORDERED that the following deadline shall govern further proceedings:

November 28, 2008

5:00 pm

Deadline to file Response to Objection

Dated: October 6, 2008.


BRIGETTE BILYEU
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO FILE LATE OBJECTION AND SETTING DEADLINE was mailed on October 06, 2008, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

ROBBINS TRUST & O CONNOR TRUST

Represented by:

JOHN E. ROBERTSON

PO BOX 1906

TWIN FALLS, ID 83303

Phone: 208-734-0700

DIRECTOR OF IDWR

PO BOX 83720

BOISE, ID 83720-0098