

A22-13130 Field Exam Layout



1987 Aerial Photography from IDWR



Gcdb_qq.shp

Illustration prepared by
Water Resources Field Services
208 681 9100

Claim No. 22-13130

Document Checklist

☐ Copy License/Decree

☐ Copy Transfer

☐ Copy Licensing Field Exam

☐ Check if Ownership/Address Change is Required

☒ Generate, mail and file "Evidence of Priority" letter

☐ Generate Arcview Map

— 1g Pou / can't do map

Claim no.

A22-13130

Claimant Contact Log

Date

Remarks

Agent initials

10/1/04

Met w/ Kip and John Miller of City
of Rexburg. Did field exam

JAC

Contacts Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-13130

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____.

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone No.: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone No.: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____ Date of Field Exam: _____

Discussion of right origin and split history. Questions and problems.

Beneficial use claim for irrigation of city park. Well is
not connected to city mains. Bae 70/4/04

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-13130

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

Per city engineers John Millar, city is working on
evidence of priority. No documents in this file. Bae 10/4/04

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

Water Right No(s). A22-13130



GPS DATA:


Tag # A0012229 Location: electrical panel on pole near well

Diversion Data:

Source: _____ Trib to: _____

MOTOR

Make: Franklin Model: _____ Hp: 7 1/2 Box 10/4/04



Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A2213130Water Right Point(s) of diversion and Source.

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: GW Tributary to: _____

Water Right Point of Diversion: T _____, R _____, Sec. _____, _____, _____, _____, County: _____

Source: _____ Tributary to: _____

Claimed Point(s) of Diversion and Source.

Tw	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	Tributary to

Recommended Point(s) of Diversion and Source.

Tw	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source	Tributary to

Other rights from this POD? _____

Transfers Pending or approved: _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified:

GN 40 E 20 SW SW GPS waypoint 10 2679003 E
Field inspection. 1405314 N PDTH 83
BAL 10/4/04

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-13130Claimed Place Of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Twp	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total acres _____

Pending or approved Transfers: _____

Overlapping rights: _____

Document how the POU was verified:

Claim is "Within City Limits of Paxburg." Mark Larson
 of IDWR confirmed he is making map of city service area.
 Actual POU for this irrigation well is sketched on
 layout. BAC 10/4/04

Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

02/26/2004

IDWR Field Exam Form

Season of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number: A22-13130Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

$$9.4 \text{ acres irrigation} \times 0.03 = 0.28 \text{ cfs}$$

Power meter demand 7.2 Kw. $7.2 \times 1.34 \approx 9.65 \text{ hp}$ elect input
 (note pump is rated 7.5 hp) $9.6 \text{ hp} \times 90\% \text{ elect eff} = 8.7 \text{ hp motor hp}$
 $\text{GPM} = \frac{\text{Water HP} \times 3960}{\text{Total Dynamic Head}}$
 $= \frac{3960 \times (8.7 \times 60\%)}{(100 \text{ ft} + 50 \text{ ps} \times 2.31)} = \frac{(3960 \times 5.2)}{(215.5)}$
 $= 95.5 \text{ GPM} = 0.21 \text{ cfs}$ c/bac 10/4/04

Reviewer: _____

Date: _____

June 7, 2004

**CITY OF REXBURG
PO BOX 280
REXBURG ID 83440**

RE: EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY FOR SRBA WATER RIGHT CLAIM 22-13130

Dear Claimant (s):

The Idaho Department of Water Resources is nearing the end of water rights review in Basin 22, the Teton River drainage. The Notice(s) of Claim identified with the number shown above has been filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA). The Notice of Claim indicates water usage is based on "Beneficial Use". Water rights of this type are established by the historical use of water, dating back to when water was first used for the purpose claimed, at or on the place of use identified on the Claim. The date the water was first put to beneficial use, known as the priority date, has not been confirmed by License, Decree, or Permit. For this reason, proof must be provided supporting the priority date. As the claimant, you are responsible for submitting the necessary proof to support the priority date.

Your claim, as referenced above, claims a Priority Date of JUN 1 1961, from UNNAMED STREAM.

It is important that each element of the Notice of Claim be verified. For instance, regarding claims for irrigation, the evidence should tend to show that diversion works were in place, that they were capable of diverting the claimed diversion rate, and that the diverted water was beneficially used on the entire claimed place of use on or before the claimed priority date.

For your reference, I have provided a printout of your Notice of Claim and the pamphlet entitled "Evidence of Priority". The pamphlet describes the types of evidence which are acceptable and suggests which documents will fulfill this requirement. While I encourage you to read the entire pamphlet, I direct your attention to the two sections entitled "What Kind of Evidence is Required" and "Some Examples of Documents Which May Be Evidence of the Priority Date". **PLEASE READ THE ENCLOSED PAMPHLET.**

It is our goal to recommend historical water rights within the Snake River Basin as accurately as possible. Field exams to verify other elements of the Notice of Claim have been or soon will be conducted by Department personnel.

.....
**The Department will not recommend the Notice of Claim be decreed by the court if
evidence of a priority date is not submitted. The Notice of Claim will be disallowed.**
.....

Please submit documentation supporting the priority date within 30 days of the date of
this letter, referencing all documents with the corresponding claim number. If you
have any questions not covered by this letter or the enclosed pamphlet, please feel free to
contact this office.

Respectfully submitted,



Allen B. Ruberry
Sr. Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau

cc: File

Enclosure: Brochure on "Evidence of Priority"