

Notes

May 15-2006

added update notes IDWR A R R 27-7377 VI

Notes

9/13/04. De Ray & Shawna Wornack and Marla & Kyle Woodhouse purchased this property from the Chandlers. The legal in the license is incorrect. Should be SWSW NOT NWSW.

Barbara, please copy Marla Woodhouse's warranty deed to see where her piece is ~~now~~ and Shauna's deed as well. Then let me review them. CB Done 9-14-04 BP

9/16/04. Previous shape that was linked in the database was in the wrong AQ. This was linked in the wrong AQ. New shape files are:
poi: 27-7377 poi.shp (gill/basin27/shapes)
pod: 27-7377 pod.shp (gill/basin27/shapes)
Update file with new ownership.

We need a new Court Report page for this file. CB

9/16/04 emailed Jennifer Crabtree and asked her to print out the Court page. CB

Claim Summary Worksheet

Claim No. 27 - 7377

Completion of claim is dependent on processing the following documents and/or actions.

	Requested	Response date	Received	Completed	Also ₂ Notes
OWNERSHIP					
Ownership Change Form	4-27-04	5-18-04	5-10-04		5/18/04 need an ownership change form from DeRay & Shawna Wmack.
Warranty Deed	"	"	"		
Map(s)	"	"	"		
Is this a split? ☒ Y ☐ N					This right was licensed for 2 homes, each daughter owns a home now. CB
AMENDMENT					
Notarized amended claim					
Correction in POD/Source					
Correction in POU					
Change in Priority Date					
Change in Diversion Rate					
ENLARGEMENT					
1. Advised claimant need to file enlargement claim					
2. Receive enlargement claim					
3. Eastern registration process					
4. Notarized claim					Enlargement Claim # -
SPLIT CLAIM	Obtain information from Eastern				Parent Right # - : CFS
Ownership	5-18-04		5-20-04		Children # - : CFS
					Children # - : CFS
					Children # - : CFS
					Children Total: CFS

Children total must agree with parent right.

Notes

Claim A21-07377

1/ On 6-14-2004 I met with Kyle Woodhouse at his home. I GPS the site which appears as a yellow dot on the attached air-view map. This well & house are both in the SESESW Sec 2 T2S R36E. There does not appear to be any excessive irrigation beyond the lot area.

2/ GPS data is: 2645062 ^{GPS} file # 277377
1342196 USR No tag

Notes

5/18/04 1130pm Apt with Latay Chandler & Marla Woodhouse on claims 27-7377 & 27-7376.

The legal description under 27-7376 is correct and is known as the Wallops property.

This property is owned by Latay Chandler. Ross passed away October 13, 2000.

27-7377 is incorrect. The warranty deed from Marla Woodhouse is in the SWSW of Sec 2, NOT the NWSW as licensed.

From earlier conversations with Marla there was an error under this right because her sister (Shawna Womack) purchased the other 11 acres under this property and she irrigates it with the well. Total of 12 acres.

Mrs Chandlers warranty deed dated 1968 conveyed Snake River irrigation water.

Mrs Chandler was under the impression that there was an error on the original paperwork and they had the entire place irrigated.

after checking the license I could see that Marla's warranty deed and the legal under the license are incorrect. The examiners report showed the POA in

2645062

1342 196 USR

5-18-04 cont'd.

Notes

SWSW but it wasn't picked up as being incorrect.

I couldn't find a new application or any other water rights for DeRay Wornack so I called Ruth Wilson at the region.

When the Chandler's submitted proof they claimed irrigation of 10.2 acres. but the permit was only for 1 acre.

Ross Chandler accompanied the examiners and said each home (2) irrigates $\frac{1}{2}$ acre and that the pasture was irrigated by Snake River water.

In Oct. 2001, the well driller filed a drilling report for DeRay Wornack for a domestic well only.

I explained to LaFay & Marla that DeRay CAN'T IRRIGATE ANYMORE than $\frac{1}{2}$ acre with his well. If he does then he is in violation and can be fined.

Then Marla said, "that is all they are doing"

I told Marla that her sister needs to file an ownership change to split one dome off this right. Because Marla got one home, DeRay & Shanna got the other home.

I'm going to have Skip verify this info. ¹ CD

Notes

5-20-04 Received ownership change from
Lafay Chandler's daughter, warranty deed and
plat map.

Notes

8/6/03 claimed shape matches the claim and licensed POD.

- add diversion volume limits
- Subject to OI water district.

link POD/POU.

POD filename: 27-7377.pod.shp

POU file name 27-7377.shp

4-27-04 After talking with Lafay Chandler she said that her daughter and son-in-law purchased the 1 acre piece from her. The new addresses are:

Lafay Chandler
373 North 200 East
Blackfoot, ID 83221
782-2044

Kyle + Marla Woodhouse
422 East 500 North
Firth, ID 83236
785-1653

The property in question with Mr. Richards is not the same property.

Sent Mrs. Chandler a change in address form and Mr. + Mrs. Woodhouse a change in ownership form. AB

5-10-04 Received ownership form, map + warranty deed from claimant. AB

Contacts Data Entry Form

Owner Type _____ Full Name _____ Address _____ City _____ State _____ Zip _____ Phone _____
Current Owner: Ross B Chandler 422 E. 500 N.
Name connector: and _____ or _____ and/or _____
Current Owner: LA Ray Chandler Firth, ID 83236
Current Owner: F

Representing Attorney: _____ Phone Number: _____

Accompanied By: _____ Phone Number: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____

Date of Field Exam: desk top review time: _____

Directions to Property (if different from above) and/or update owner information:

desk top review, using license and
1987 NAPP photography

received an ownership charge 5/20/04

Reviewer: CB

Date: 8/6/03

Priority Date Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7377

Water Right Priority Date: _____ Water Right Basis: _____

Claimed Priority Date: _____ Claimed Basis: _____

Recommended Priority Date: _____ Recommended Basis: _____

☒ Use Claimed Priority Date and Basis as Recommended Priority Date and Basis

Document the evidence submitted/reviewed for the priority date claimed, include your analysis of the evidence:

License

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/6/03

Priority Date

Claim No. _____

1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, go to 2
If No, go to 4
2. Was the owner or the owner's predecessor in interest of the place of use joined in the later decree? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 3a
If No, go to 4
- 3a. Is the date the decree was entered after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 3b
If No, go to 4
- 3b. Do the facts support disallowing the claim, or recommending the claim as an enlargement or an expansion? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 4
4. Is the basis of claim a decree or license? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 7
5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 6
If No, Consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date claimed. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
If No, Obtain an amended claim if necessary. If questions 1. and 2. were both answered yes, consult attorney. Go to 15
7. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 10e
If No, go to 8
8. Is the basis of claim a posted notice? Yes () No ()
If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin, go to 15
If No, go to 9
9. Is the Priority Date claimed after the date a permit is required for the source and the water use? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 11
If No, go to 12
- 10e. Will the license be issued prior to filing the Director's Report? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the Priority Date shown on the license, go to 15
If No, recommend the Priority Date shown on the Permit. All other elements should be recommended as shown on the Permit. Add Condition Code A01 to the Recommendation, go to 15
11. Is the claim for an expansion or enlargement under 42-1416B or 42-1426? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 12
If No, disallow with condition P01.
12. Does the claim file contain sufficient evidence of Priority Date? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, Request additional evidence of Priority Date, go to 13
13. Has additional evidence of priority date been submitted? Yes () No ()
If Yes, recommend the date supported by the evidence submitted, go to 15
If No, recommend a Priority Date based on available evidence if possible. If some of the claimed water uses can be recommended with the Priority Date supported by the evidence, then do so, go to 14
14. Can any portion of the claim be recommended as a valid water right? Yes () No ()
If Yes, go to 15
If No, disallow with condition P01.
15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? Yes (☒) No ()
If Yes, go to 16
If No, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. *verify basis as a license*
16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required? Yes () No (☒)
If Yes, Enter the appropriate basis of claim. Priority Date review is complete
If No, Priority Date review is complete.

Point of Diversion and Source Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7377

Water Right Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Claimed Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

Recommended Point of Diversion: T____, R____, Sec.____,____,____,____, County:_____

Source:_____ Tributary:_____

☒

Use Claimed POD and Source as Recommended POD and Source

Twp	Rge	Sec	Gov Lot	QQQ of QQ of Q	County	Source
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
GPS Coordinates: _____						

Other rights from this POD? _____

Document how the POD and Source were verified and/or Pump and Motor data:

License

Transfers Changing POD _____

Reviewer: CB

Date:

8/6/03

Point of Diversion

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the POD as shown on the Permit. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a POD?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown in the water right file. Go to 10

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POD?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C03, go to 9

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POD can be recommended. Go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POD as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 10

If No, go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POD?

If Yes, recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Describe how the POD was verified. Go to 10

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the POD as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. POD review is complete

If No, POD review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Source

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Source as shown on the Permit. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Source?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Source be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the water right file. Go to 11

If No, go to 7

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is the basis of claim a Posted Notice?

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Source?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C02, go to 10

If No, Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Source can be recommended. Go to 9

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Source as shown in the transfer. Go to 11

If No, go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Source?

If Yes, recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

If No, collect the necessary information. Recommend the Source as it existed on 11-19-1987. Go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Source review is complete.

If No, Source review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Place Of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-7377☒ Use Claimed POU as Recommended POU

Claimed Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Recommended Place of Use

Tw	Rge	Sec	QQ	Q	County	Lot Num	Acres	Purpose of Use

Total Acres _____

Document how the POU was verified:

License, 1987/88 N App Photography

Transfers Changing POU _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/6/03

Place of Use

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 2a

If No, go to 2b

Yes () No (X)

2a. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 14

Yes (X) No ()

2b. Is a POU required?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 14

Yes () No ()

3. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 7

Yes () No ()

4. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, recommend the POU as shown on the Permit. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

5. Does the parent right have a POU?

If Yes, go to 6

If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

6. Can the claimed POU be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown in the water right file. Go to 14

If No, go to 7

Yes (X) No ()

7. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the POU?

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 13

Yes () No ()

8. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C05, go to 13

If No, go to 9

Yes () No ()

9. Does the change include an enlargement of the POU?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the POU. Go to 10

If No, describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed POU can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes () No ()

10. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 11

Yes () No ()

11. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 13

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

12. Was a transfer filed?

If Yes, recommend the POU as shown on the approved transfer. Go to 14

If No, recommend the POU as it existed on of 11-19-1987. The size of the POU is limited to the size that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes () No ()

13. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the POU?

If Yes, go to 14

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 14

Yes () No ()

14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/ or condition. POU review is complete.

If No, POU review is complete.

Yes () No (X)

Purpose of Use Data Entry Form

Claim Number: 27-5377☒ Use Claimed Purpose of Use as Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Right Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Claimed Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other

Recommended Purpose of Use

Water Use	Number of Homes	Number of Stock	Acre Limit	Number of Other
Domestic	2			

Document how the Purpose of Use was verified:

License

Transfers Changing Purpose of Use _____

Reviewer: CBDate: 8/6/03

Purpose of Use

Claim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

Yes (☒) No (☐)

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

Yes (☐) No (☐)

3. Has the License been issued?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

4. Does the parent right have a Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use that is (are) claimed and shown in the water right file. Go to 13

If No, go to 6

Yes (☒) No (☐)

6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, go to 7

If No, go to 11

Yes (☐) No (☐)

7. Can the change be verified as an accomplished transfer?

If Yes, add condition C04, go to 11

If No, go to 8

Yes (☐) No (☐)

8. Does the change include an enlargement of the Purpose of Use?

If Yes, describe the evidence that supports that there has been an enlargement in the Purpose of Use. Go to 9

If No, Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Notify claimant that a transfer is necessary before the claimed Purpose(s) of Use can be recommended. Go to 12

Yes (☐) No (☐)

9. Was an enlargement claim filed?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, Notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10

Yes (☐) No (☐)

10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?

If Yes, go to 11

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use that existed on the date established as the priority date of this right. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

11. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Water Use?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Recommend the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

12. Did the claimant file a transfer?

If Yes, recommend the Purpose(s) of Use shown on the approved transfer. Go to 13

If No, recommend only the Purpose(s) of Use in existence on 11-19-1987. Go to 13

Yes (☐) No (☐)

13. Is a Purpose of Use remark and/or condition required?

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Purpose of Use review is complete.

If No, purpose of Use review is complete.

Yes (☐) No (☒)

Period of Use and Quantity Data Entry Form

Claim Number:

27-7377☒ Use Claimed Period of Use and Quantity as Recommended Period of Use and Quantity

Water Right Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Claimed Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume

Overall Diversion Rate _____

Overall Diversion Volume _____

Recommended Period of Use and Diversion Rate

Water Use	Start	End	Rate	Volume
Irrigation	4/1	11/1	0.02	3.5
Stock	1/1	12/31	0.04	0.4
Domestic	1/1	12/31	0.06	2.4

Overall Diversion Rate 0.06Overall Diversion Volume 6.3

Document how the Period of Use and/or Diversion Rate were verified:

license

Reviewer:

LB

Date:

8/6/03

Period of Use

Claim No.

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?
If Yes, go to 4
If No, go to 2
Yes (☒) No (☐)
2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?
If Yes, go to 3
If No, go to 6
Yes (☐) No (☐)
3. Has the License been issued?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, recommend the Period of Use as shown on the Permit. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
4. Does the parent right have a Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 5
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
5. Can the claimed Period of Use be verified using the water right file?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 6
Yes (☒) No (☐)
6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 7
If No, go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
7. Does the change include an enlargement in the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 9
If No, go to 8
Yes (☐) No (☐)
8. Can the change be recommended as an accomplished transfer?
If Yes, add Condition C10. Describe the evidence that supports the change occurred after 11-19-1987. Go to 12
If No, notify claimant that a transfer is necessary. Go to 11
Yes (☐) No (☐)
9. Was an enlargement claim filed?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, notify claimant that an enlargement claim is necessary. Go to 10
Yes (☐) No (☐)
10. Did the claimant file an enlargement claim?
If Yes, go to 12
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
11. Was a transfer filed?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, recommend the Period of Use as it existed on 11-19-1987, subject to completing the review. Go to 12
Yes (☐) No (☐)
12. Does the file contain all of the necessary information to verify the Period of Use?
If Yes, go to 13
If No, collect the necessary information. Conduct field exam if necessary. Go to 13
Yes (☐) No (☐)
13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined?
If Yes, go to 14
If No, go to 15
Yes (☐) No (☐)
14. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, go to 16
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☒) No (☐)
15. Is irrigation claimed as a purpose of use?
If Yes, recommend the "standard" Period of Use for the location of the POU. Go to 18
If No, the Period of Use is usually recommended as claimed. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
16. Is the Period of Use on the decree, license or transfer shorter on either the start date or end date than allowable under current IDWR standards?
If Yes, go to 17
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☒)
17. Was the Period of Use shortened by a Transfer to a "standard" Period of Use that is shorter than the current standard Period of Use?
If Yes, recommend the current standard Period of Use if the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was not established, equal to, or longer than the current standard. If the Period of Use of the parent right prior to the transfer was shorter than the current standard, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right and add the appropriate shoulder remark. Consult attorney assigned to the Basin if the Period of Use was an element transferred. Go to 18
If No, recommend the Period of Use of the parent right. Add appropriate shoulder remark. Go to 18
Yes (☐) No (☐)
18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Period of Use review is complete.
Yes (☐) No (☒)

QuantityClaim No. -

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree or License?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, go to 4

If No, go to 2

2. Is the basis of claim a Permit or Application?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, go to 3

If No, go to 6

3. Has the License been issued?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, go to 5

If No, recommend the Quantity shown on the Permit. Go to 11

4. Does the parent right have a diversion rate and/or volume?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, go to 5

If No, go to 7

5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, go to 8

If No, go to 7

6. Is the basis of claim a posted notice?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Go to 7

If No, go to 7

7. Is a flow measurement required?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, attach all related measurement documents. Describe the flow measurement that was made. Go to 9

If No, describe why a flow measurement is not required. Go to 9

8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 9

9. Is the source Ground Water and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, go to 10

If No, go to 11

10. Is irrigation a purpose of use?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, view the map of field irrigation requirements. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

11. Has a diversion volume limit for this water use been established by a decree, license or transfer?

Yes ☐ No ☐

If Yes, recommend an annual diversion volume. Show the calculation of the annual diversion volume or describe how the annual diversion volume was determined. Go to 12

If No, go to 12

12. Is a Quantity remark and/or condition required?

Yes ☒ No ☐

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Quantity review is complete.

If No, quantity review is complete.

See Summary Sheet

Summary

Claim No. _____

1. Is the basis of claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 2

If No, go to 3

2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Document the period of time the right or portion of the right was not used. Go to 4

If No, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

3. Is the water being used for all of the purposes claimed and to the extent claimed?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, consult the attorney assigned to the Basin. Recommend only the uses found and limit the elements of those uses to the extent of the beneficial use found. Go to 4

4. Is any portion of the claim being recommended?

Yes (☐) No (☐)

If Yes, status will be set as Active. Go to 5

If No, claim will be disallowed. Enter the appropriate condition code. Status will be set as Disallowed. Go to 5

5. Is an additional remark and/or condition required?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, enter the appropriate remark and/or condition. Go to 6

If No, go to 6

6. Would you like to view the recommended right?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, go to 7

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be viewed to complete the review.

7. Is this a "split" or "combined" right?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to the Update Pedigree Process in Workflow when the review is complete. Do any necessary data entry. Go to 8

If No, go to 8

8. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file?

Yes (☐) No (☒)

If Yes, go to PowerDOCS and profile the documents. Go to 9

If No, go to 9

9. Would you like to set the verification date?

Yes (☒) No (☐)

If Yes, the review is complete.

If No, the review is incomplete. The recommendation must be verified to complete the review.

Summary Data Entry Form

Claim No.: _____

Remarks and Conditions (Include Type, Category and Code if applicable):

C18 Gen Cond

E25 Qly Remark edit to the following:
 "This right is limited to a total
 combined diversion rate of 0.06 cfs
 and a total combined annual
 volume of 6.3 AF.

B09/~~B09~~ Gen Remark "This right is
 subject to regulation by the
 Watermaster of water district 01.

Split or Combined Right Analysis:

None

Attached Field Notes or Other Documents:

Ask Top only

Claim No.:

27-7377

Reviewer

CB

Date

8/6/03

[Close](#)IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
Water Right Report

04/29/2003

WATER RIGHT NO. 27-7377

Owner Type	Name and Address
Current Owner	LA FAY CHANDLER
Current Owner	ROSS B CHANDLER 422 E 500 N FIRTH, ID 83236 (208)785-3760

Priority Date: 06/27/1983

Basis: License

Status: Active

Source	Tributary
GROUND WATER	

Beneficial Use	From	To	Diversion Rate	Volume
IRRIGATION	4/01	11/01	0.02 CFS	3.5 AFA
STOCKWATER	1/01	12/31	0.02 CFS	0.4 AFA
DOMESTIC	1/01	12/31	0.06 CFS	2.4 AFA
Total Diversion			0.06 CFS	6.3 AFA

Location of Point(s) of Diversion:

GROUND WATER	NWSW	Sec. 02	Township 02S	Range 36E	BINGHAM County
--------------	------	---------	--------------	-----------	----------------

Licensed Diversion Capacity: 0.06

Place(s) of use:

Place of Use Legal Description: IRRIGATION BINGHAM County

Township	Range	Section	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres	Lot	Tract	Acres
02S	36E	2		NWSW	1						

Place of Use Legal Description: STOCKWATER same as IRRIGATION

Place of Use Legal Description: DOMESTIC same as IRRIGATION

Total Acres: 1

Conditions of Approval:

1. R04 Use of water under this water right will be regulated by the watermaster of State Water District No. 01 .
--

Dates:

Licensed Date: 09/17/1986

Decreed Date:

Permit Proof Due Date: 7/1/1986

Permit Proof Made Date: 7/19/1985

Permit Approved Date: 6/26/1985

Permit Moratorium Expiration Date:

Enlargement Use Priority Date:

Enlargement Statute Priority Date:

Water Supply Bank Enrollment Date Accepted:

Water Supply Bank Enrollment Date Removed:

Application Received Date:

Protest Deadline Date:

Number of Protests: 0

Other Information:

State or Federal:

Owner Name Connector:

Water District Number: 01

Generic Max Rate per Acre:

Generic Max Volume per Acre:

Civil Case Number:

Old Case Number:

Decree Plaintiff:

Decree Defendant:

Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:

Swan Falls Dismissed:

DLE Act Number:

Cary Act Number:
Mitigation Plan: False

Close

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	ROSS B CHANDLER	06/27/1983	IRRIGATION	04-01 11-01	0.020 CFS	
C	LA FAY CHANDLER		STOCKWATER	01-01 12-31	0.020 CFS	
	422 E 500 N		DOMESTIC	01-01 12-31	0.060 CFS	
	FIRTH ID 83236					
	(208) 785-3760					
TOTAL DIVERSION:					0.060 CFS	
CONSUMPTIVE USE:						2.50 AFA

Water Source: GROUNDWATER

Tributary to:

Stage: LICENSE

Point(s) of Diversion: T02S R36E S02

NWSW

BINGHAM County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

NE				NW				SW				SE				
NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	
T	R	S	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	SUM
02S	36E	2							01							1.0
TOTAL ACRES															1.0	

Place of use Legal Description for: STOCKWATER

NE				NW				SW				SE				
NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	
T	R	S	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	
02S	36E	2							S							

Place of use Legal Description for: DOMESTIC

NE				NW				SW				SE				
NENE	NWNE	SWNE	SENE	NENW	NWNW	SWNW	SENW	NESW	NWSW	SWSW	SESW	NESE	NWSE	SWSE	SESE	
T	R	S	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	Lt Ac	
02S	36E	2							D							

POU County: BINGHAM

Non-Irrigation Uses: D/2 HOMES, S/20 BEEF CATTLE.

Other Rights: N/A

Other Water Rights with Same Point(s) of Diversion with Stage (Includes All Sources):

27-11068 A27-11068

Other Water Rights Listing Overlapping Place(s) of Use:

A01-00038 A01-00039 A01-00171 A01-00172 A01-00250 A01-00328 A01-10247

Conditions of Approval:

- R04 - Use of water under this water right will be regulated by the
- watermaster of State Water District No. 01.

AJ5809NP
No. A27-07377

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT

DATE: 09/29/00
PAGE: 149

=====

Dates:	Exam Made Date:	Verified Date:	10/22/1986	Objection Filed Date:
Misc:	Water District Number: 01	Field Inspection Flag:		
		Presumption Flag:		
Audit:	03/29/89 MEYER	03/29/95 POST-STD		

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO: SkipSUBJECT: POD/POYFROM: CindyDATE: 5/18/04

The legal on this right appears to be incorrect. Please verify.

The warranty deed lists SWSW Sec. 2, NOT NWSW as licensed. Also the 2nd home was sold to the sister. The well location?

Is the sister irrigating more than 1/2 acre with the well?

() For your information

☒ Response required

CB
signature

INTER-DEPARTMENT MEMO

TO:

Barbara

SUBJECT:

Cogo

FROM:

Cindy

DATE:

4/27/04

Please Cogo warranty deed 367069
and let me see where it is in relationship
to the 27-7377 shape. This shape appears
to be in the wrong place. Please
zoom out and let me see the layout

() For your information

☒ Response required

CP
signature