




City Of Nampa

SRBA Recommendations: 63-2449, 63-2450, 63-2484, 63-2533, 63-2779, 63-2780, 63-2781, 63-2782, 63-2787, 63-2943, 63-7003, 63-7567, 63-7708, 63-7954, 63-8312, 63-8324, 63-9180, 63-9853, 63-9889, & 63-10212


Service Area Boundary
GIS Place of Use Illustration

Legend


- Service Area
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Canyon County
IDWR Basin 63



Prepared by A. Warntjes
On Wednesday, November 22, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography



RECEIVED

MAY 14 2004

Department of Water Resources
Adjudication BureauIN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLSIN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHT TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number

63-2449

Date Received

Receipt Number

NOTICE OF CLAIM
TO A
WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

AMENDED **AMENDED**

Please type or print clearly

1. Name of Claimant(s) City of Nampa (Nampa) Phone (208) 468-5420
Mailing Address 411 3rd Street South, Nampa, ID Zip 83651
2. Date of Priority (only one (1) per claim) August 13, 1931
3. Source of water supply (a) Ground water
which is tributary to (b) (not applicable)
4. a. Location of existing point of diversion is: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____
1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4, Govt. Lot, _____ B.M., County of _____
Additional points of diversion if any: See Exhibit A for list of alternate points of diversion.
b. If instream flow, beginning point of claimed instream flow is:
Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4,
Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____
ending point is: Township _____ Range _____ Section _____, 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____
1/4, Govt. Lot _____ B.M., County of _____
5. Description of existing diversion works (Dams, Reservoirs, Ditches, Wells, Pumps, Pipelines, Headgates, Etc),
Including the dates of any changes or enlargement in use, the dimensions of the diversion works as
constructed and as enlarged and the depth of each well. The wells listed in Exhibit A are all connected to
Nampa's integrated municipal delivery system for non-potable water.

SCANNED

MAY 19 2004

ORIGINAL

6. Water is claimed for the following purposes

(both dates are inclusive) (cfs) (acre feet)

For Municipal purposes from 1/1 to 12/31 amount 0.80 or 180 AF

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

For _____ purposes from _____ to _____ amount _____ or _____

7. Total quantity claimed (a) 0.80 (cfs) and/or (b) _____ (acre feet)

8. Total consumptive use claimed is (not applicable) acre feet per annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses; describe fully (eg. Domestic: Give number of households served; Stockwater: Type and number Of livestock Etc.) Municipal uses within municipal service area

10. Description of place of use:

- a. If water is for irrigation, indicate acreage in each subdivision in the tabulation below.
- b. If water is used for other purposes, place a symbol of use (example: D for Domestic) in the corresponding place of use below. See instructions for standard symbols.

TWP	RNG	SEC	NE 1/4				NW 1/4				SW 1/4				SE 1/4				Totals
			NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	NE 1/4	NW 1/4	SW 1/4	SE 1/4	

Total number of acres irrigated (not applicable)

11. In which county(ies) are lands listed above as place of use located? Canyon County

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? Yes _____ No ✓

If your answer is No, describe in Remarks below the authority you have to claim this water right.

13. Describe any other water rights used at the same place and for the same purposes as describe above.

See "Remarks" section or None ()

14. Remarks:

1. Nampa is a municipal water provider and claims this right as a municipal right under the Municipal Water Rights Act of 1996 and/or the common law.

2. Nampa operates an integrated water supply network involving numerous ground water rights throughout its service area.

3. This claim includes an accomplished transfer of the purpose of use and place of use. To prevent enlargement, we include a volume limitation based on the diversion volume associated with the 40 acres of irrigation authorized under original the right.

Last Name City of Nampa


Ident. Number 63-2449

SCANNED

MAY 19 2004

Map of Project: show clearly the point of diversion, place of use, section number, township, and range number.

Scale: 2 inches equals 1 mile **Please see Exhibit B**



Last Name City of Nampa Ident. Number 63-2449

SCANNED

MAY 19 2004

15. Basis of Claim (check one) Beneficial Use _____ Posted Notice _____ License X Permit _____
Decree _____

If applicable provide IDWR Water Right Number _____

Court _____ Case Number _____ Decree Date _____

Plaintiff vs Defendant _____

16. Signature(s)

(a.) by signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read, and understand the form entitled

"How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not X
wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments 2

For Individuals: I do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s) _____ Date _____

_____ Date _____

For Organizations: I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am Paul Raymond
Title _____

of the City of Nampa, that I have signed the foregoing

Organization

document in the space below as Director, Public Works Department of the City of Nampa

Title

Organization

and that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Authorized Agent Paul Raymond

Title and Organization Director, Public Works Dept, City of Nampa Date 5/11/04

State of Idaho/or _____)

) SS.

County of Canyon)

Subscribed and sworn to (affirmed) before me this

11 day of May 2004

Notary Public

Residing at

My Commission Expires

Carla Carrell

Nampa

4/20/10

17. Notice of Appearance:

Please Print Name

Notice is hereby given that, Christopher H. Meyer and John M. Marshall will be acting as attorneys at law on behalf of the claimant signing above, and that all notices required by law to be mailed by the director to the claimant signing above should be mailed to us at the address listed below.

Signature

Christopher H. Meyer

John M. Marshall, Givens Pursley LLP

Address 601 W. Bannock, PO Box 2720, Boise, ID 83702

Telephone 208-388-1200

Date 5/12/04

Last Name City of Nampa Ident. Number 63-2449

MAY 9 2004

Exhibit A

List of Alternate Points of Diversion for City of Nampa Municipal System for Non-Potable Water

Map Index	Well Name	TWN	RGE	SEC	Tract	Water right associated with well	Priority date associated with well	Diversion rate associated with well (cfs)
1	MAYORS	3N	2W	23	SENW	63-02449	8/13/1931	0.80
2	UNKNOWN	3N	2W	23	SENW	63-02450	8/13/1931	1.00
3	6TH SOUTH	3N	2W	22	SWSW	63-02484	8/22/1933	1.62
4	LAKEVIEW	3N	2W	23	SWNW	63-02780	9/2/1949	2.50
5	UNKNOWN	3N	2W	22	SWNE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
6	PHYLLIS	3N	2W	22	SENE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
7	KOHLER LAWN CEMETERY 1	3N	2W	15	NESW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
8	KOHLER LAWN CEMETERY 2	3N	2W	15	SWSE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
9	EAST POWERLINE	3N	2W	23	SWSE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
10	19TH AVE. NORTH	3N	2W	23	SWSW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
11	15TH AVE. NORTH	3N	2W	23	NWSW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
12	14TH NORTH	3N	2W	22	NESE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
13	INDIAN	3N	2W	23	SWSW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
14	SOUTH POWERLINE	3N	2W	35	NWNW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
15	MEYER	3N	2W	26	NWSW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
16	CHESTNUT	3N	2W	34	NENE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
17	KURTZ	3N	2W	34	NWNE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
18	12 TH & ROOSEVELT	3N	2W	28	NESE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
19	MAPLE	3N	2W	27	SWNW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
20	HOLLY	3N	2W	27	SENW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
21	FERN	3N	2W	27	SWNE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
22	19TH SOUTH	3N	2W	27	SENE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
23	14TH SOUTH	3N	2W	27	NENW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
24	HIGH STREET	3N	2W	21	NESE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
25	HUDSON	3N	2W	21	NESE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
26	PARK LANE & ORR	3N	2W	21	NWNE	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
27	4TH ST. SOUTH	3N	2W	22	SESW	63-02787	9/2/1949	30.00
28	CANYON	3N	2W	21	SENE	63-02943	6/3/1953	1.74
29	BIRDSEYE	3N	2W	22	NENW	63-07003	6/9/1967	7.68
30	STATE ST.	3N	2W	28	NWNE	63-07708	2/14/1973	0.40
31								
32	MORGAN	3N	2W	20	NESE	63-08312	5/24/1976	0.50
33	GRANGE PARK	3N	2W	17	NWSE	63-09853	1/17/1982	1.00
34	COLORADO	3N	2W	34	NWNW	63-09889	3/30/1982	1.50
35	MEADOWBROOK #1	2N	2W	3	NWNW	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
36	SWEETWOOD	3N	2W	20	NESE	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
37	BOONE	3N	2W	17	SESE	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
38	WINTHER	3N	2W	20	SENE	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
39	VENICE	3N	2W	23	NWSE	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
40	YOUNG	3N	2W	28	SENE	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
41	WESTPARK	3N	2W	28	NENW	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69
42	JUNIPER	3N	2W	34	SWSW	63-10211	3/9/1984	8.69

Claim
Rev'd
1
2
3
4
5

6
7
8


9
10
11

No
SRBA
Clai
Prag
filed
after
Comments

** Shaded row indicates an abandoned well

** Map index number 31 is a pump from the Elijah drain and is not included as an alternate point of diversion for ground water rights.

SCANNED
MAY 19 2004



SCALE: 1" = 1/2 MILE

LEGEND

— CITY LIMITS (1987)

● WELL LOCATION

○ LOCATION OF WELLS ORIGINALLY ASSOCIATED WITH RIGHT 63-10211

○ LOCATION OF WELLS ORIGINALLY ASSOCIATED WITH RIGHT 63-02787

⊗ UNKNOWN OR ABANDONED WELLS



JUB

Copyright © 1987 by JUB

FIGURE 1
NAMPA WATER RIGHTS PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 19, 1987
IRRIGATION GROUND WATER RIGHT

Exhibit B

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A63-02449
Date Received: 4/ 1/1991
Receipt No: C015984
Received By: _____

COPY FOR
YOUR FILES

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

COPY FOR
YOUR FILES

1. Name: CITY OF NAMPA
Address: OFFICE OF THE CITY ENGINEER
411 3RD ST SO
NAMPA ID

83651

2. Date of Priority: AUG 13, 1931

3. Source: GROUNDWATER Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
03N	02W	23	SE	NW			CANYON

5. Description of diverting works:

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
MUNICIPAL	04/01	10/15	0.800		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.800 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03N	02W	23	SW	NW		MUNI	10.0
			SE	NW		MUNI	30.0

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
					Section Acres	40.0
					Total Acres	40.0

11. Place of use in counties: CANYON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? NO

13. Other Water Rights Used:
SEE REMARKS

14. Remarks:

OTHER RIGHTS: A63-02450, A63-02484, A63-02779, A63-02780,
A63-02781, A63-02787, A63-02943, A63-05257, A63-05258, A63-07003,
A63-07567, A63-07708, A63-07954, A63-08312, A63-08324, A63-09180,
A63-09853, A63-09889, A63-28219, A63-28220.
THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED IN ITEM #10 IS THE FOUNDATION OF THIS
WATER RIGHT. THE ACTUAL PLACE OF USE UNDER THE CITY OF NAMPA'S
CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM IS WITHIN THE CITY OF NAMPA MUNICIPAL
IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF NAMPA.
POU CORRECTED TO MUNICIPAL AS CLAIMED FOR WATER USE. 9/3/97 LH

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE

Water Right Number: 17526

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A63-02449**
Date Received: 3/28/1990
Receipt No: C015984
Received By: _____

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

1. Name: CITY OF NAMPA
Address: 411 3RD ST SO
NAMPA ID

83651

2. Date of Priority: AUG 13, 1931

3. Source: GROUNDWATER

Trib. to:

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
03N	02W	23		SE	NW		CANYON

5. Description of diverting works:

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
MUNICIPAL	04/01	10/15	0.800		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.800 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is

Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03N	02W	23	SW	NW		IRR	10.0
			SE	NW		IRR	30.0

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

10. Place of Use: Continued

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of 1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
					Section Acres	40.0
					Total Acres	40.0

11. Place of use in counties: CANYON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? NO

13. Other Water Rights Used:
SEE REMARKS

14. Remarks:

OTHER RIGHTS: A63-02450, A63-02484, A63-02779, A63-02780, A63-02781, A63-02787, A63-02943, A63-05257, A63-05258, A63-07003, A63-07567, A63-07708, A63-07954, A63-08312, A63-08324, A63-09180, A63-09853, A63-09889, A63-28219, A63-28220.

THE PLACE OF USE DESCRIBED IN ITEM #10 IS THE FOUNDATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT. THE ACTUAL PLACE OF USE UNDER THE CITY OF NAMPA'S CLOSED LOOP SYSTEM IS WITHIN THE CITY OF NAMPA MUNICIPAL IRRIGATION DISTRICT, AND WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS OF NAMPA.

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE

Water Right Number: 17526

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): _____ Date: _____
_____ Date: _____

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Date: 07/21/93

State of Idaho)
County of _____) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this _____ day
of _____ 19 _____

Notary Public

Seal

Residing at _____

My Commission Expires _____

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A63-02449
Date Received: 2/20/1990
Receipt No: 2015984
Received By: _____

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RECEIVED
MAR 28 1990

1. Name: CITY OF NAMPA
Address: 411-3rd St. So.
NAMPA, ID 83651

2. Date of Priority: AUG 13, 1931

3. Source: GROUNDWATER

Trib. to:

Department of Water Resources

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
03N	02W	23	SE	NW			CANYON

5. Description of diverting works:

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
~~IRRIGATION~~ Municipal	4-1	10-15	0.800		
~~DOMESTIC~~					

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
0.800 C.F.S. (and/or)

A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is

Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
03N	02W	23	SW	NW		IRR	10.0
			SE	NW		IRR	30.0

Section Acres 40.0

A63-02449

Page 1

Date: 02/20/90

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

10. Place of Use: Continued

Total Acres 40.0

11. Place of use in counties: CANYON

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? NO

13. Other Water Rights Used:

14. Remarks:

See attachment.

15. Basis of Claim: LICENSE
Water Right Number: 17526

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: 1

For Organizations:

I do solemnly swear or affirm that I am the City Engineer of
the City of Nampa, Organization, that I have signed the foregoing
document in the space below as the City Engineer of
the City of Nampa, Organization and that the statements contained in the
foregoing document are true and correct.

Lambliedre
Signature of Authorized Agent

City Engineer, Nampa, Idaho
Title and Organization

March 27, 1990
Date

State of Idaho)
County of Canyon) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this 28th day
of March, 19 90

Liana Lambing
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at Nampa, Idaho
My Commission Expires 12/21/93

Attachment

Remarks:

The place of use described in Item #10 is the foundation of this water right. The actual place of use under the City of Nampa's closed loop system is within the City of Nampa Municipal Irrigation District, and within the city limits of Nampa.

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

ADJUDICATION CLAIM REVIEW CHECKLIST

12-1-92

CLAIMANT'S NAME

City of Nampa

CLAIM IDENT. NO.

A63-02449

DATE RECEIVED 3/28/90 RECEIPT # 6015984 FEE PAID \$9120 FEE REQ'D \$130.00

	YES	NO	Required Info?
1. Is the name and address complete with zip code?	☒	☐	*
2. Is a priority date entered?	☒	☐	*
Is the priority date acceptable in view of mandatory filing dates? See Adjudication Memos #1 and #4 to determine if a Permit is required.			
3. (a) Is a source entered?	☒	☐	*
(b) Is a tributary to entered? (if required)	N/A ☒	☐	
4. Is the legal description of the p.d. sufficient?	☒	☐	*
5. Is there a description of the diverting works?	☒	☐	
6. Are the water uses appropriate for the form? The short form is limited to D/S uses. Other uses must be filed on the long form. Note: If stockwater stor. or instream stockwater are filed on the short form & all items complete, do not return claim.	☒	☐	*
Is the period of use included?	☒	☐	
Is a quantity claimed for each use?	☒	☐	*
7. Is a total quantity claimed entered?	☒	☐	
8. If required is a consumptive use entered?	N/A ☐	☒	
Is the consumptive use correct?	N/A ☐	☒	
9. Are the non-irrigation uses listed showing # of homes, hd. of stock?	☐	☐	*
If multiple domestic, is single ownership indicated?	☐	☐	
10. Is (are) the place(s) of use and purpose(s) indicated?	☒	☐	*
11. Is (are) the county(ies) entered?	☒	☐	
12. Is the ownership of p.u. checked?	☒	☐	
13. Are other water rights listed or "none" indicated?	☐	☒	
14. If required, are remarks correct? (If the claimant does not own the place of use, authority for filing the claim must be explained in the remarks.)	N/A ☒	☐	
15. Is the basis of the claim indicated?	☒	☐	
16. If the basis of claim is shown as license, permit, or decree is the existing water right no. listed?	N/A ☒	☐	
If yes, compare claim w/existing right and attach proof report noting any differences in comments.	☐	☐	
If no, was water right no. found?	☐	☐	*
17. If the basis of claim is shown as beneficial use, or not marked, are there existing water rights shown in our records using the legal description or name on the claim?	N/A ☒	☐	
(If yes, attach a proof report & complete number change)			
NOTE: If the claim is for dom/stock this check is not as necessary unless it is located within an area where dom/stock rights were decreed.			
18. If the claimant requested a monthly docket sheet, was the fee paid?	N/A ☒	☐	
19. Is the claim signed by the claimant, and is the signature appropriate?	☒	☐	*
20. Has the claim been signed, sealed, and dated by a notary?	☒	☐	*
21. Was this claim filed on time? (Check ML3 for date mailed-not all were mailed on the planned date) If no, was an extension of time filed & approved?	☒	☒	*
22. Is the fee enclosed correct?	☒	☐	*
	Exempt	☐	

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

	YES	NO
23. Is this claim being rejected and returned to claimant?	—	✓
24. Can this claim be data entered?	✓	—
25. Was claim modified?	—	✓
If yes, do modifications require notification to claimant?	—	✓

Note: Modifications made must be explained in comments stating where the information for any changes was found.

Claim reviewed by: HHT Date 1/22/93
 Claim data entered by: JED Date 7.21.93

IF REQUIRED INFORMATION IS INCOMPLETE SEE INSTRUCTIONS TO DECIDE WHETHER THE CLAIM NEEDS TO BE RETURNED OR IF IT CAN BE MODIFIED. IF IT MUST BE RETURNED INSTRUCTIONS WILL SPECIFY WHICH FORM LETTER TO USE.

Comments: _____

Note:

63-~~2~~ 2787

has the PODs

listed

Non - Potable System

OK to add historic

use condition and

POD conditions (Use tables
in file)

Antra - let's discuss.

Dan

MAR 04 2008

CHRISTOPHER H. MEYER
DIRECT DIAL: 208 388-1236
CELL: 208 407-2792
EMAIL: chris@givenspursley.com

WATER RESOURCES
WESTERN REGION

LAW OFFICES
601 W. Bannock Street
PO Box 2720, Boise, Idaho 83701
TELEPHONE: 208 388-1200
FACSIMILE: 208 388-1300
WEBSITE: www.givenspursley.com

Gary G. Allen
Peter G. Barton
Christopher J. Beeson
Clint R. Bolinder
Erik J. Bolinder
William C. Cole
Michael C. Creamer
Amber N. Dina
Kristin Bjorkman Dunn
Thomas E. Dvorak
Jeffrey C. Fereday
Martin C. Hendrickson
Steven J. Hippler
Debra K. Kristensen
Anne C. Kunkel

Jeremy G. Ladle
Michael P. Lawrence
Franklin G. Lee
David R. Lombardi
John M. Marshall
Kenneth R. McClure
Kelly Greene McConnell
Cynthia A. Melillo
Christopher H. Meyer
L. Edward Miller
Patrick J. Miller
Judson B. Montgomery
Deborah E. Nelson
W. Hugh O'Riordan, LL.M.

G. Andrew Page
Angela M. Reed
Scott A. Tschirgi, LL.M.
J. Will Varin
Conley E. Ward
Robert B. White
Terri R. Yost

RETIRED
Kenneth L. Pursley
Raymond D. Givens
James A. McClure

Via Email and U.S. Mail

March 3, 2008

Kay Walker
Senior Water Resource Agent
Adjudication Bureau
Western Regional Office
Idaho Department of Water Resources
2735 Airport Way
Boise, ID 83705-5082

Re: City of Nampa SRBA Claims

Dear Kay:


I am writing on behalf of my client, the City of Nampa, to confirm our telephone conversation the other day. As you know, the City of Nampa has pending various objections to the Director's Recommendations on its water rights. For each of its municipal water rights, the City requested that the Department recommend an annual volume.

Based on the Department's assurance that its longstanding policy remains in place (that municipal water rights are authorized to pump year-round at the authorized diversion rate), the City withdraws its request that the annual volume be stated on the face of the water right decree.

Kay Walker
March 3, 2008
Page 2

Again, I thank you for your assistance in sorting out these claims. I look forward to resolving the remaining issues via stipulation. It has been a pleasure to work with you.

Sincerely,



Christopher H. Meyer

CHM:ch

cc: David R. Tuthill, Jr., Director, IDWR
Michael J. Fuss, Director, Public Works Department, City of Nampa
Fred Snoderly, Superintendent, Water Works, City of Nampa
John Priester, City Engineer, City of Nampa
Daniel Badger, Plan Review, Engineering Division, City of Nampa
David L. Bauer, J-U-B Engineers
Kent Gingrich, J-U-B Engineers
Terry M. Scanlan, SPF Water Engineering LLC
Kevin Boggs, CH2M Hill

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP

RECEIVED

FEB 01 2008

WATER RESOURCES
WESTERN REGION

*Rec'd
via
e-mail
kw*

LAW OFFICES
601 W. Bannock Street
PO Box 2720, Boise, Idaho 83701
TELEPHONE: 208 388-1200
FACSIMILE: 208 388-1300
WEBSITE: www.givenspursley.com

Gary G. Allen
Peter G. Barton
Christopher J. Beeson
Clint R. Bolinder
Erik J. Bolinder
William C. Cole
Michael C. Creamer
Amber N. Dina
Kristin Bjorkman Dunn
Thomas E. Dvorak
Jeffrey C. Faraday
Martin C. Hendrickson
Steven J. Hippler
Deborah K. Kristensen
Anne C. Kunkel

Jeremy G. Ladle
Michael P. Lawrence
Franklin G. Lee
David R. Lombardi
John M. Marshall
Kenneth R. McClure
Kelly Greene McConnell
Cynthia A. Melillo
Christopher H. Meyer
L. Edward Miller
Patrick J. Miller
Judson B. Montgomery
Deborah E. Nelson
W. Hugh O'Riordan, LL.M.

G. Andrew Page
Angela M. Reed
Scott A. Tschirgi, LL.M.
J. Will Varin
Conley E. Ward
Robert B. White
Terri R. Yost

RETIRED
Kenneth L. Pursley
Raymond D. Givens
James A. McClure

January 31, 2008

Clerk of the Court
Attn: Diana R. Delaney
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 3rd Avenue North
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707


Re: Basin 63 – Affidavits of Michael J. Fuss

Dear Diana:

Pursuant to our telephone conversation on today's date, I am enclosing in connection with Sub Case Nos. 63-2533 and 63-28219 replacement page 1, (three (3) copies) to the Affidavit of Michael J. Fuss Regarding Well Nos. 1 and 2 (Potable) dated January 30, 2008.

Please forward conformed copies of each document that were filed and return them to our office in the enclosed self-addressed, stamped envelope. Thank you for your assistance with this matter. If you have any questions or require any additional information please call.

Sincerely,



Tina M. Adornetto
Document Specialist

Enclosures

cc: Service List

/tma SACLENTSM4628\NITA to Clerk of the Court re filing Aff of Fuss.DOC

*see under "J"
in book set.*

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

In Re SRBA

Case No. 39576

A. Subcase 63-2449

(insert water right number)

**STANDARD FORM 1
OBJECTION**

Please **print or type** the following information:

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON OBJECTING

Name: City of Nampa
Attention Michael Fuss
Public Works Director
Address: 411 3rd Street South
Nampa, ID 83651
Daytime Phone: 208-468-5420
Email: fussm@cityofnampa.us

Name & Address of Attorney, if any:

Christopher H Meyer
Givens Pursley LLP
601 W. Bannock St.
P O. Box 2720
Boise, ID 83701
Daytime Phone: 208-388-1236
Facsimile: 208-388-1300
Email: chrismeyer@givenspursley.com

C. CLAIMANT OF WATER RIGHT AS LISTED IN DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Name: City of Nampa
Address: 411 3rd Street South
Nampa, ID 83651

D. I object to the following elements as recommended in the Director's Report (Please check the appropriate box(es)).

1. ☐ **Name and Address**
Should be: _____
2. ☐ **Source**
Should be: _____
3. ☒ **Quantity**
Should be: 0.80 cfs (avg. daily peak flow) and 579.2 acre-feet (annual volume)
4. ☐ **Priority Date**
Should be: _____
5. ☒ **Point(s) of Diversion**
Should be: See Appendix B (29 PODs currently in use for Integrated Non-potable Delivery System). Also see discussion under section E regarding remark on original POD(s).
6. ☐ **Instream Flow Description**
Should be: _____
7. ☐ **Purpose(s) of Use**
Should be: _____
8. ☐ **Period of Year**
Should be: _____
9. ☐ **Place of Use**
Should be: _____
11. **I object because:**
 - ☐ This water right should not exist.
 - ☒ This water right was not recommended, but should be recommended with the elements described above.

E. **REASONS SUPPORTING OBJECTION(S):** _____
Corrected PODs: The City has included a corrected list of PODs associated with the integrated non-potable irrigation water delivery system. The well associated with Water Right

No. 63-5257 (the 13 Ave. N. well) was inadvertently omitted from the Amended Claim list. This well is in the same quarter-quarter as the 14th Ave. N. well.

Annual Volume: The City claims an annual volume based on use of this right for municipal purposes.

Original POD Remark: The Department included a remark for some of the City's rights stating "To the extent necessary for administration between points of diversion for ground water, and between points of diversion for ground water and hydraulically connected surface sources, ground water was first diverted under this right as [original well information]." The City does not object to this remark so long as a similar remark is included for all similarly situated municipal water rights in Idaho. To the extent that the SRBA court (or other court) determines that this is an inappropriate limitation on municipal water rights, the City requests that the remark be removed from its water rights.

F. VERIFICATION (Must be Completed)

State of Idaho)
) ss.
County of Ada)

Christopher H. Meyer, duly sworn, upon oath, deposes and says:
(Name of person filing objection)

That I am the party/claimant filing this objection as defined by I.C. §§ 42-1401A(1) and (6) or that I am the attorney for the party/claimant responding and that I have read this objection, know its contents and believe that the statements are true to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature of person filing objection)

Christopher H. Meyer

(Attorney signing in representative capacity)


Subscribed and sworn to before me on: June 19, 2007

Katrina Thomas

Notary Public for Idaho

Residing at: Boise, Idaho

My Commission Expires: May 22, 2012



INSTRUCTIONS FOR MAILING

You must mail the objection to the Clerk of the Court **FAX filings will not be accepted.** You must also send a copy to all the parties listed below in the Certificate of Mailing.

G. CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on June 19, 2007, I mailed the original and copies of this response, including all attachments, to the following persons:

1 Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
PO Box 2707
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707

2 One copy to the claimant of the water right at the following address:

Name: City of Nampa
Attention Michael Fuss
Public Works Director
Address: 411 3rd Street South
Nampa, ID 83651

3 Copies to:

IDWR Document Depository
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
PO Box 44449
Boise, ID 83711-4449

United States Department of Justice
Environment & Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033
Boise, ID 83724



Christopher H Meyer, Attorney for City of Nampa

Appendix B to City of Nampa's Objections - List of PODs for Integrated Non-Potable Water System and Miscellaneous Rights (SRBA Rights Only)

Count	Well Name	Location	Water Right Associated with Well	Priority Date Associated with Well	Diversion Rate (cfs)
Irrigation Wells Currently in Use - Integrated Non-potable Delivery System					
1	Mayors	T3N R2W §23 SENW	63-2449	8/13/1931	0.80
2	8th Street South	T3N R2W §22 SWSW	63-2484	8/22/1933	1.62
3	Lakeview	T3N R2W §23 SWNW	63-2780	9/2/1949	2.50
4	Phyllis	T3N R2W §22 SENE	63-2787	9/2/1949	30.00
5	Kohler Lawn Cemetery 1	T3N R2W §15 NESW			
6	Kohler Lawn Cemetery 2	T3N R2W §15 SWSE			
7	East Powerline	T3N R2W §23 SWSE			
8	19th Ave. North	T3N R2W §23 SWSW			
9	15th Ave. North	T3N R2W §23 NWSW			
10	14th Ave. North	T3N R2W §22 NESE			
11	Indian	T3N R2W §23 SWSW			
12	Meyer	T3N R2W §26 NWSW			
13	Chestnut	T3N R2W §34 NENE			
14	Kurtz	T3N R2W §34 NWNE			
15	Maple	T3N R2W §27 SWNW			
16	Holly	T3N R2W §27 SENW			
17	Fern	T3N R2W §27 SWNE			
18	19th South	T3N R2W §27 SENE			
19	14th South	T3N R2W §27 NENW			
20	High Street	T3N R2W §21 NESE			
21	Hudson	T3N R2W §21 NESE			
22	4th St. South	T3N R2W §22 SESW			
23	Canyon	T3N R2W §21 SENE	63-2943	6/3/1953	1.74
24	Birds Eye	T3N R2W §22 NENW	63-7003	6/9/1967	7.68
25	State St	T3N R2W §28 NWNE	63-7708	2/14/1973	0.40
26	Morgan	T3N R2W §20 NESE	63-8312	5/24/1976	0.50
27	Grange Park	T3N R2W §17 NWSE	63-8853	1/17/1982	1.00
28	Colorado	T3N R2W §34 NWNW	63-8889	3/30/1982	1.50
29	13th Ave. North	T3N R2W §22 NESE	63-5257	1/1/1905	0.30
Abandoned Irrigation Wells (water rights remain valid)					
1	Unnamed well near Mayor's Well	T3N R2W §23 SENW or NESW	63-2450	8/13/1931	1.00
2	Unnamed Well	T3N R2W §22 SWNE	63-2780	9/2/1949	
3	South Powerline	T3N R2W §35 NWNW			
4	12th & Roosevelt	T3N R2W §28 NESE			
5	Park Lane & Orr	T3N R2W §21 NWNE			
All SRBA ground water irrigation wells - TOTAL cfs:					49.04
Drain Pump (wastewater right)					
1	Elijah Drain	T3N R2W §21 NWSW	63-7954	3/20/1974	4.00
Domestic & Stockwater Right					
1	Airport Well	T3N R2W §24 NWSE	63-20264	6/3/1925	0.06

Walker, Kay

From: Walker, Kay
Sent: Friday, June 15, 2007 9:35 AM
To: Tuthill, Dave
Cc: Walker, Kay
Subject: City of Nampa

Dave: I have been working on the City of Nampa between other duties and have tried to document responses for Chris Meyer. Here's what I have so far, sorry its taken me so long.



RE City of Nampa
Claims.htm

I have tried to answer all of the questions posed by Chris Meyer and have addressed my take on the claims below. I just had a couple of questions of my own.

Has Chris Meyer been advised to file objections on these claims so changes can be made if and when they submit the additional information discussed in his e-mail of May 31, 2007? Will the meeting you want me to schedule need to happen before the objection deadline next week (June 20th)?

Disallowed Water Right Nos. 63-28219 and 63-28220

IDWR could recommend the beneficial use claims, rather than the licensed rights, if evidence is submitted that those wells were in production and in use during the permit development period. Good evidence would be documentation of the flow rates from those wells during the development periods. I did find an affidavit in the license file (63-2782) that was written by the water superintendent supporting the diversion and use of this well back to June, 1950.

Disallowed Water Right Nos. 63-5258 and 63-5257

A statutory claim is nothing more than a claim to a water right by the claimant. Statutory claims were filed to preserve a priority date of historic water uses. It is up to the claimant to be able to substantiate the use of water claimed. Good evidence can be affidavits of someone with personal knowledge of the use of the water; pump records of the wells back to date of priority, well driller reports, pump test records, or sales receipts for equipment used in the well.

In regard to claim 63-5257: If this well is not included in the listing of wells under 63-2787 documentation must be submitted showing that there are actually 2 wells located within the 40 acre tract description.

Integrated Points of Diversion - Irrigation System

IDWR considers integrated points of diversion as one system. A separate claim should be filed for each "system".

Walker, Kay

Subject: FW: City of Nampa Claims

-----Original Message-----

From: Tuthill, Dave
Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2007 5:11 PM
To: 'Christopher H Meyer'; Walker, Kay
Cc: Shurte, Jan; Warntjes, Anita
Subject: RE: City of Nampa Claims

Kay,

Please review the information prepared by Chris Meyer, and schedule a meeting to review the results with Chris and me at the State Office.

Thanks for your help on this.

Dave

-----Original Message-----

From: Christopher H Meyer [mailto:ChrisMeyer@givenspursley.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2007 2:25 PM
To: Tuthill, Dave; Walker, Kay
Cc: Shurte, Jan; Warntjes, Anita
Subject: RE: City of Nampa Claims

Thank you all for your help in reviewing the City's SRBA claims.

I sent an email last week on this subject. I will attach a copy of it. I would appreciate your response to the questions noted in that email.

The City is working to find documentation with respect to priority dates for Nos. 63-5258, 63-28219, 63-28220.

As for 63-5857, we believe this right should not have been disallowed. It is a beneficial use claim associated with the well known as "13 Ave. N". It is in the same quarter-quarter, but is not the same well as the "14 North " well. We expect to provide further documentation.

My earlier email said we have learned that the non-potable irrigation system is divided into two parts. Since sending that email, we have determined that all of the wells associated with the SRBA claims are located south of the highway and are fully integrated. There are some non-integrated wells north of the highway, but they are all post-SRBA rights. So this issue goes away.

Thank you,
-Chris Meyer

6/8/2007

Walker, Kay

From: Christopher H Meyer [ChrisMeyer@givenspursley.com]
Sent: Thursday, June 07, 2007 2:25 PM
To: Tuthill, Dave; Walker, Kay
Cc: Shurte, Jan; Warntjes, Anita
Subject: RE: City of Nampa Claims

Thank you all for your help in reviewing the City's SRBA claims.

I sent an email last week on this subject. I will attach a copy of it. I would appreciate your response to the questions noted in that email.

The City is working to find documentation with respect to priority dates for Nos. 63-5258, 63-28219, 63-28220.

As for 63-5857, we believe this right should not have been disallowed. It is a beneficial use claim associated with the well known as "13 Ave. N". It is in the same quarter-quarter, but is not the same well as the "14 North " well. We expect to provide further documentation.

My earlier email said we have learned that the non-potable irrigation system is divided into two parts. Since sending that email, we have determined that all of the wells associated with the SRBA claims are located south of the highway and are fully integrated. There are some non-integrated wells north of the highway, but they are all post-SRBA rights. So this issue goes away.

Thank you,
-Chris Meyer

From: Tuthill, Dave [mailto:Dave.Tuthill@idwr.idaho.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2007 1:23 PM
To: Walker, Kay; Christopher H Meyer
Cc: Shurte, Jan; Warntjes, Anita
Subject: RE: City of Nampa Claims

Thank you, Kay.

I am forwarding this email to Chris as the next step in this review.

Regards, Dave

-----Original Message-----

From: Walker, Kay
Sent: Tuesday, June 05, 2007 8:43 AM
To: Tuthill, Dave
Cc: Shurte, Jan; Warntjes, Anita
Subject: FW: City of Nampa Claims

Hi, Dave.

I have reviewed these claim files for you and found the following information:

6/7/2007

63-5257 - Recommended as 63-2787 (p/d included on that license)
63-5258 - No evidence of priority
63-28219 - No evidence of priority
63-28220 - No evidence of priority
63-2787 - 23 wells on license, 22 wells claimed; 22 p/ds recommended
63-7954 - Volume recommended as licensed in 2003 (171 ac x 4.5 afa = 769.5 af rounded to 770.0)

I hope this information helps. If you need anything else, give me a call. I'll keep the files on my desk for a couple of days unless you need to have them over there. Kay

-----Original Message-----

From: Warntjes, Anita
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 11:58 AM
To: Harris, Lori
Subject: FW: City of Nampa Claims

Can you find someone to help Dave with these files?

-----Original Message-----

From: Warntjes, Anita
Sent: Monday, June 04, 2007 11:57 AM
To: Shurte, Jan; Tuthill, Dave
Subject: City of Nampa Claims

Jan:

All the Basin 63 files have been sent to Western Region.
Chris Meyer has some questions on the following claims and would like to discuss them:

63-5257 why disallowed?
63-5258 why disallowed?
663-28219 Eliza Drain?
63-28220
63-2787 why 22 POD's?
63-7954 Basis for vol recommendation?

It looks like he will be talking with Dave later this week. Can you talk to Dave about these claims or pull the files and send them to him?

6/7/2007

Email Memorandum

Re: City of Nampa SRBA Claims

Date: May 31, 2007

To: David Tuthill and Anita Warntjes

From: Chris Meyer

Copies to:

Michael Fuss, Director, Public Works Department

Fred Snoderly, Superintendent, Water Works

Kent Gingrich & David Bauer, J-U-B Engineers

Terry Scanlan & Stuart Hurley, SPF Water Engineering

David and Anita,

As you know, I am working with the City of Nampa, reviewing the City's water right claims. I have some preliminary questions about the four water rights that were recommended to be disallowed.

DISALLOWED WATER RIGHT NOS. 63-28219 AND 63-29220

Two water rights (Nos. 63-28219 and 63-29220) were claimed in order to preserve an earlier priority date than is listed on the associated licenses (Nos. 63-2533 and 63-2782, respectively). In each case, the City obtained a permit with an early priority date (8-2-1937 and 9-2-1949, respectively). The City failed to file timely proof on these two claims. Accordingly, when proof was finally submitted, IDWR issued licenses, but advanced to priority dates (2-16-1971 and 11-25-1959, respectively).

Accordingly, the City filed beneficial use claims for the two rights based on the original priority dates (in addition to claims based on the licenses with the advanced priority dates). This was a belt-and-suspenders filing. Obviously, the City is not entitled to have both claims approved in a way that doubles the quantity.

However, it does seem to me that the City is entitled to the beneficial use claims dating to the date of first beneficial use, despite the advancement of the priority in the licenses. The City is willing to relinquish the claims based on the licenses if IDWR will stipulate to the beneficial use claims with earlier priority dates.

Do you agree, in principle, that the City should be able to obtain a decree based on beneficial use that dates back to the actual first time of use beneficial use? If so, then I assume the only question is how much evidence you need.

I have advised the City to review their records to search for anything that might shed light on this (e.g., a receipt showing payment for the drilling, etc.) However, that is a challenging task, particularly for a city like Nampa that has not maintained complete records. Would well logs for these two wells suffice? We're looking for those now.

DISALLOWED WATER RIGHT NO. 63-5258

Water Right No. 63-5258 (2.67 cfs) is a statutory claim. It corresponds to Well #6-D (T3N R2W §27 SENE), which is part of the City's potable water system. (The "D" stands for domestic.) This well is still in use today. No one seems to know why this water right was never permitted or licensed. A statutory claim was filed in 1983.

Water Right No. 63-5258 was disallowed due to "no lawful appropriation." Does this mean that the City simply needs to provide evidence beyond the statutory claim itself? As above, just what kind of evidence is necessary? Will a well log suffice? (We're looking for the well log.) Do we need an affidavit stating that there are no records showing that the well has never been re-drilled or improved, and that the current pumping therefore must correspond to the historic?

DISALLOWED WATER RIGHT NO. 63-5257

Water Right No. 63-5257 (0.3 cfs) is also a statutory claim. Again, no one knows why there was no permit sought. According to the statutory claim, this right corresponds to a well known as well #32-I located at T3NR2W§22 NESE. (The "I" stands for irrigation.) I am advised that this is known today as the 13th Avenue North Well and that it continues in use today as part of the City's non-potable irrigation system.

Water Right No. 63-5257 was disallowed on the basis that it was "recommended as 63-2787." Water Right No. 63-2787 is a licensed right corresponding to 23 wells. One of those wells is the well known as "14th North" located in the same quarter-quarter (T3NR2W§22 NESE). We are in the process of confirming that there are these are two different wells. Assuming we can show that, do you see any problem with getting this water right decreed?

INTEGRATED POINTS OF DIVERSION - IRRIGATION SYSTEM

The City's claims regarding integrated points of diversion were based on the best information available at the time, but that information turns out to be inaccurate.

The City claimed two separate sets of integrated points of diversion, one for its potable water delivery system and one for its non-potable delivery system. Upon further examination, we have now determined that there is no physical link between the parts of the irrigation system separated by the Interstate. Although the City plans to connect these two systems together, this has not yet been accomplished. I have been assured that the system is otherwise physically interconnected. Does this mean that the irrigation system rights need to be modified to provide for two separate sets of integrated points of diversion?

In my view, the place of use description should not be changed. The place of use should continue to be the City's municipal service area, as that evolves over time.

INTEGRATED POINTS OF DIVERSION - POTABLE SYSTEM.

The City identified 10 integrated PODs for the potable (aka domestic) delivery system (known as Wells Nos. 1-10).

One of the PODs for the potable system (Well #5) was listed with the incorrect quarter-quarter. (It was listed as T3N, R2W §22, SWNW. It should have read SWNE.) Apparently IDWR was aware of the error. However, in its Director's Report, IDWR listed both the correct and the incorrect POD, for a total of 11 PODs.

Unless you have some reason to believe that we are incorrect and there really are 11 PODS, we plan to submit a corrected list of 10 PODs in the City's Objections.

Thanks to both of you for your help in sorting these issues out.




City Of Nampa

SRBA Recommendations: 63-2449, 63-2450, 63-2484, 63-2533, 63-2779, 63-2780, 63-2781, 63-2782, 63-2787, 63-2943, 63-7003, 63-7567, 63-7708, 63-7954, 63-8312, 63-8324, 63-9180, 63-9853, 63-9889, & 63-10212

Service Area Boundary
GIS Place of Use Illustration


Legend

- Service Area
- Townships
- PLS Sections
- Quarter Quarters



Canyon County
IDWR Basin 63

Prepared by A. Warrtjes
On Wednesday, November 22, 2006
1987/88 Aerial Photography



11/21/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 63-2449
NAME AND ADDRESS: CITY OF NAMPA
411 3RD ST S
NAMPA ID 83651

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.800 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 08/13/1931

POINT OF DIVERSION:

T03N R02W S15 NESW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S15 SWSE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S17 NWSE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S20 NESE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S21 SENE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S21 NESE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S21 NESE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S22 SENE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S22 NENW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S22 SWSW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S22 SESW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S22 NESE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 SWNW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 SENW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 NWSW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 SWSW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 SWSW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S23 SWSE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S26 NWSW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S27 SWNE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S27 SENE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S27 NENW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S27 SWNW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S27 SENW Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S28 NWNE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S34 NENE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S34 NWNE Within CANYON County
T03N R02W S34 NWNW Within CANYON County

This water right is part of the non-potable water delivery system for the city of Nampa.

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
MUNICIPAL	01/01 12/31	0.800 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

Place of use is within the service area of the City of Nampa municipal water supply system as provided for under Idaho Law.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

To the extent necessary for administration between points of diversion for ground water, and between points of diversion for ground water and hydraulically connected surface sources, ground water was first diverted under this right at the Mayors Well located in T3N, R2W, Sec. 23, SENW.

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - License

**List of Alternate Points of Diversion for City of Nampa
Municipal System for Non-Potable Water**

No
SRBA
Claim
Proof
Filed
After

MAY 19 2004

Note: Extra Condition not needed in situations where the well is no longer used.

Warntjes, Anita

From: Shurte, Jan
Sent: Thursday, April 27, 2006 4:24 PM
To: Warntjes, Anita
Subject: RE: Amended Claims

Hi Anita,

Sorry this took so long to respond - it's been a little busy here with calls about the objections for Part 2. Anyway, you do amend the adjudication claim and then take a look at what was changed and if you are reviewing the claim recommendation you need to take into consideration what they amended and if the recommendation can be changed to show the amendment. If it they are trying for a different priority date - danger danger - needs some checking. If they are trying for a different pod on a license they need to show it was changed prior to 1987 - as a matter of fact if they are changing anything like a use, pod, whatever from a license or decreed right they need to show it was before 1987. Basically, you definitely need to reflect the amended claim on the original claim, however, you need to review the amendment and why they did it before changing the recommendation. Let me know if you have other questions.

Thanks,
Jan

-----Original Message-----

From: Warntjes, Anita
Sent: Tuesday, April 25, 2006 9:00 AM
To: Shurte, Jan
Subject: Amended Claims

Hi:

We received amended claims from the City of Nampa some time ago. We have done nothing yet with the claims. What is the procedure for handling amended claims? Do I change the database under the claim section? What should I do with them?

Draft

05/17/2006

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
PRELIMINARY RECOMMENDATION OF WATER RIGHTS ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

RIGHT NUMBER: 63-2449

NAME AND ADDRESS: CITY OF NAMPA
411 3RD ST S
NAMPA ID 83651

SOURCE: GROUND WATER

TRIBUTARY:

QUANTITY: 0.800 CFS

PRIORITY DATE: 08/13/1931

POINT OF DIVERSION:

80Dg (T03N R02W S23 SENW Within CANYON County

This water right is part of the non-potable water delivery system for the city of Nampa.

PURPOSE AND
PERIOD OF USE:

<u>PURPOSE OF USE</u>	<u>PERIOD OF USE</u>	<u>QUANTITY</u>
MUNICIPAL	01/01 12/31	0.800 CFS

PLACE OF USE:

Place of use is within the service area of the City of Nampa municipal water supply system as provided for under Idaho Law.

OTHER PROVISIONS NECESSARY FOR DEFINITION OR ADMINISTRATION OF THIS WATER RIGHT:

This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

EXPLANATORY MATERIAL: BASIS OF CLAIM - License

Draft

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-2449 V1

WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 63-2449 V1

<u>Owner Type</u>	<u>Name and Address</u>
Current Owner	CITY OF NAMPA 411 3RD ST S NAMPA, ID 83651 (208) 463-2270

Priority Date: 08/13/1931

Basis: License

Status: Active

<u>Source</u>	<u>Tributary</u>
GROUND WATER	

<u>Beneficial Use</u>	<u>From</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Diversion Rate</u>	<u>Annual Volume</u>
MUNICIPAL	4/01 to 10/15	0.800 CFS	
	<u>Total Diversion:</u>	0.800 CFS	

Location of Point(s) of Diversion

GROUND WATER	SE1/4NW1/4	Sec. 23, Twp 03N, Rge 02W, B.M.
CANYON County		

Place of Use

Conditions of Approval:

1. 124 Place of use is within the service area of the City of Nampa municipal water supply system as provided for under Idaho Law.
2. C18 This partial decree is subject to such general provisions necessary for the definition of the rights or for the efficient administration of the water rights as may be ultimately determined by the Court at a point in time no later than the entry of a final unified decree. Section 42-1412(6), Idaho Code.

Remarks:

Comments:

1. awamtje 9/23/2005 Water Use

Comment: Water use claimed is different than licensed. Check. Accomplished Transfer?

Dates and Other Information:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-2449 V1

Decreed Date:
 Enlargement Use Priority Date:
 Enlargement Statute Priority Date:
 Defendant:
 Plaintiff:
 Civil Case Number:
 Judicial District:
 Notice of Error Flag:
 State or Federal: S
 Owner Name Connector:
 Water District Number:
 Generic Max Rate Per Acre:
 Generic Max Volume Per Acre:
 Swan Falls Trust or Nontrust:
 Swan Falls Dismissed:
 DLE Act Number:
 Carey Act Number:
 Mitigation Plan: False

Combined Use Limits:Element Reviewed/Verified Dates:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Last Reviewed Date</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Last Verified Date</u>	<u>Verifier</u>	<u>Status</u>
Priority Date	1/13/2004 3:51:47 PM	lpew			Active
Source	1/13/2004 3:52:02 PM	lpew			Active
Contacts	9/23/2005 11:19:42 AM	awarntje			Active
POD	9/23/2005 11:20:17 AM	awarntje			Active
POU	9/23/2005 11:23:26 AM	awarntje			Active
Use	9/23/2005 11:23:52 AM	awarntje			Active
Season	9/23/2005 11:25:28 AM	awarntje			Active
Quantity	9/23/2005 11:26:41 AM	awarntje			Active
Summary			09/23/2005	awarntje	Active

Verification Log:

<u>Element</u>	<u>Date Time Stamp</u>	<u>Reviewer</u>	<u>Log text</u>
POU	9/23/2005 11:23:26 AM	awarntje	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (No) 2a. Is the POU required? (Yes) 14. Is a POU remark and/or condition required?
Use	9/23/2005 11:23:52 AM	awarntje	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Purpose of Use? (No) 5. Can the claimed Purpose of Use be verified using the water right file? (Yes) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Purpose of Use?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-2449 V1

Season	9/23/2005 11:25:29 AM	awarntje	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (No) 4. Does the Decree or License have a Period of Use? (No) 6. Is there evidence that there has been a change in the Period of Use? (Yes) 12. Does the file contain all the necessary information to verify the Period of Use? (Yes) 13. Is the basis of claim a decree, license, or a transferred beneficial use right that has had the Period of Use administratively determined? (No) 14. Is irrigation claimed as a Purpose of Use? (No) 18. Is a Period of Use remark and/or condition required?
Quantity	9/23/2005 11:26:42 AM	awarntje	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a diversion rate and/or volume? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed diversion rate and/or volume be verified using the water right file? (No) 8. Does the parent right have an annual diversion volume limit? (No) 9. Is the source Groundwater and does the purpose of use require a diversion volume limit? (No) 11. Has a diversion volume limit been established by a decree, license or transfer for this water use? (No) 12. Is a Quantity remark or condition required?
Summary	9/23/2005 11:28:38 AM	awarntje	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree, License, Permit, Application or Posted Notice? (No) 2. Does any evidence indicate a five year period of continuous nonuse occurred that could result in recommending forfeiture or partial forfeiture? (No) 5. Does this right have any combined use limits with other rights? (No) 6. Is an additional remark and/or condition required? (Yes) 7. Would you like to view the recommended right? (No) 8. Is this a split or combined right? (No) 9. Do you have documents you would like to attach or link to the file? (Yes) 10. Would you like to set the verification date?
Priority Date	1/13/2004 3:51:48 PM	lpew	(No) 1. Should this water use have been included in a later court decree of the water rights from this source? (Yes) 4. Is the basis of claim decree or license? (Yes) 5. Does the decree or license have a Priority Date? (Yes) 6. Did the Claimant claim the decreed or licensed Priority Date ? (Yes) 15. Is the basis of claim coded correctly? (No) 16. Is a Priority Date remark and/or condition required?

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

Adjudication Recommendation Report 63-2449 V1

Source	1/13/2004 3:52:03 PM	lpew	(Yes) 1. Is the basis of claim Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a source? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed source be verified using the water right file? (No) 11. Is a Source remark and/or condition required?
Contacts	9/23/2005 11:19:43 AM	awarntje	(No) 1. Do you want to schedule an appointment?
POD	9/23/2005 11:20:18 AM	awarntje	Comment for 1: Sufficient info in file (Yes) 1. Is the basis of this claim a Decree or License? (Yes) 4. Does the Decree or License have a POD? (Yes) 5. Can the claimed POD be verified using the water right file? (No) 10. Is a POD remark and/or condition required?

Water Supply Bank:

GIVENS PURSLEY LLP

LAW OFFICES

601 W. Bannock Street
PO Box 2720, Boise, Idaho 83701
TELEPHONE: 208 388-1200
FACSIMILE: 208 388-1300
WEBSITE: www.givenspursley.com

Gary G. Allen
Christopher J. Beeson
Jessica M. Borup
William C. Cole
Michael C. Creamer
Thomas E. Dvorak
Roy Lewis Eiguren
Timothy P. Feamside
Jeffrey C. Fereday
Steven J. Hippler
Karl T. Klein
Debora K. Kristensen
Anne C. Kunkel
Franklin G. Lee

David R. Lombardi
D. David Lorello, Jr.
Emily A. MacMaster
Kimberly D. Maloney
John M. Marshall
Kenneth R. McClure
Kelly Greene McConnell
Cynthia A. Mellillo
Christopher H. Meyer
L. Edward Miller
Patrick J. Miller
Judson B. Montgomery
Angela K. Nelson
Deborah E. Nelson

W. Hugh O'Riordan, LL.M.
Michael C. Orr
Kenneth L. Pursley
Bradley V. Sneed
H. Barton Thomas, LL.M.
Conley E. Ward
Robert B. White
Michael V. Woodhouse

James A. McClure
OF COUNSEL

Raymond D. Givens
RETIRED

May 13, 2004

HAND DELIVERED

David R. Tuthill, Jr. *DR*
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard Street
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Re: City of Nampa SRBA Claims
GP File No. 4628-1

Dear Dave:

On behalf of the City of Nampa, I am filing 24 original Amended Notices of Claim to a Water Right plus one copy of each. As we discussed today, there are two sets of claims: one for the potable system and one for the non-potable system. Please file the originals and return the conformed copies to my office. A self-addressed, stamped envelope is included for your convenience.

Thank you for meeting today with Paul, Bryan, Chris and me to discuss these claims. As always, your candor and insights are appreciated. I look forward to working with you throughout the adjudication process. Please contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,



John M. Marshall

JMM/hcm
Enclosures

cc: Paul Raymond (w/out enc.)
Bryan Donaldson (w/out enc.)
Chris Meyer (w/out enc.)

MAR 12 2004

Department of Water Resources

LAW OFFICES

601 W. Bannock Street
PO Box 2720, Boise, Idaho 83701
TELEPHONE: 208 388-1200
FACSIMILE: 208 388-1300
WEBSITE: www.givenspursley.com

JOHN M. MARSHALL
DIRECT DIAL: (208) 388-1270
EMAIL: johnmarshall@givenspursley.com

Gary G. Allen
Christopher J. Beeson
Jessica M. Borup
William C. Cole
Michael C. Creamer
Thomas E. Dvorak
Roy Lewis Eiguren
Timothy P. Fearnside
Jeffrey C. Fereday
Steven J. Hippler
Karl T. Klein
Debora K. Kristensen
Anne C. Kunkel
Franklin G. Lee

David R. Lombardi
D. David Lorello, Jr.
Emily A. MacMaster
Kimberly D. Maloney
John M. Marshall
Kenneth R. McClure
Kelly Greene McConnell
Cynthia A. Melillo
Christopher H. Meyer
L. Edward Miller
Patrick J. Miller
Judson B. Montgomery
Angela K. Nelson
Deborah E. Nelson

W. Hugh O'Riordan, LLM
Michael C. Orr
Kenneth L. Pursley
Bradley V. Sneed
H. Barton Thomas, LLM
Conley E. Ward
Robert B. White
Michael V. Woodhouse

James A. McClure
OF COUNSEL

Raymond D. Givens
RETIRED

March 9, 2004

David R. Tuthill, Jr. 
Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard St.
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Re: Status of SRBA Claims and Information for City of Nampa
GP File No. 4628-1

Dear Dave:

I write to update you on the status of the City of Nampa's SRBA claims. In short, after reviewing the City's previously filed claims following the February 3, 2004 informational meeting, we determined that we need to amend the City's claims. The City has two primary water systems, one for potable drinking water and one for lawn irrigation. The claims for both systems need amendment to reflect accomplished transfers of points of diversion and to take advantage of other legal protections for municipal water rights.

We are working hard to complete the claims and to compile supporting information. I appreciate your willingness to accommodate us past the March 1, 2004 target date for providing you with information on the claims. I anticipate we will file them within the next two to three weeks.

Please feel free to call if you have any questions.


Sincerely,



John M. Marshall

JMM/hcm

cc: Paul Raymond
Christopher Meyer



**City of Nampa
Municipal Service Area Boundary**

-  Municipal Service Area Boundary
-  Township
-  Section
-  Quarter Quarter



1987-88 NAPP Photography
Prepared by: Sandy Egbert
Date Prepared: 1-2-04
Idaho Dept. of Water Resources

0.1 0.0 10.20.3 Miles


State of Idaho

License and Certificate of Water Right

Water License No. 17526
 Water District No. _____

Amount 0.8 Sec. Ft.
 Priority August 13, 1931

THIS IS TO CERTIFY that **City of Nampa**
 of **Nampa, Idaho**, made application for a permit to appropriate the
 public waters of the State of Idaho, dated **August 13**, 19 **31**; that Permit No. **17526**
 was issued under said application; that Certificate of Completion of works, with a carrying capacity of
0.8 second feet, was issued thereunder on **July 22**, 19 **32**, showing that said works
 were completed on the **12th** day of **July**, 19 **32**; and that on the **19th**
 day of **August**, 1932,

City of Nampa
 of **Nampa**, State of **Idaho**, made proof to the satisfaction of the
Commissioner of Reclamation of Idaho, of the right to use ~~the waters of~~ **subterranean**
waters, a tributary of _____, for the purpose of **Irrigation and**
Domestic use, under Use Permit No. **17526** of the **Commissioner of Reclamation**
 and that said right to the use of said waters has been perfected in accordance with the laws of Idaho, and is
 hereby confirmed by the Commissioner of Reclamation of Idaho and entered of record in Volume **7**
 of Licenses, at page **3726**, on the **20th** day of **February**, 19 **33**;

The right hereby confirmed dates from **August 13**, 1931;

The Point of Diversion is located
 in the **SE ¼** **NW ¼**, Sec. **23**, Tp. **3 N**, R. **2 W**, B. M. **Canyon County**

That the amount of water to which such right is entitled and hereby confirmed, for the purposes aforesaid,
 is limited to an amount actually needed and beneficially used for said purposes, and shall not exceed **0.8**
 cubic feet per second.

Description and location of use:

Twp.	Range	Sec.	Forty-acre Tract	No. Acres Described in Permit	No. Acres Actually Irrigated
3 N	2 W	23	SW ¼ NW ¼	10	10
			SE ¼ NW ¼	30	30

The right to the use of the water aforesaid hereby confirmed is restricted to the lands or place of use
 herein described, as provided by the laws of Idaho.

WITNESS the seal and signature of the Commissioner of Reclamation, affixed at Boise, Idaho,
 this **20th** day of **February**, 19 **33**

R. W. FARIS
 Commissioner of Reclamation

(SEAL)



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1301 North Orchard Street, Boise, ID 83706 - P.O. Box 83720, Boise, ID 83720-0098
Phone: (208) 327-7900 Fax: (208) 327-7866 Web Site: www.idwr.state.id.us

DIRK KEMPTHORNE
Governor

KARL J. DREHER
Director

August 27, 2002

CITY OF NAMPA
C/O DOUGLAS COX
411 THIRD ST SOUTH
NAMPA ID 83651

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2002

WATER RESOURCES
WESTERN REGION

RE: Application for Permit No. 63-31504

Dear Mr. Cox:

The Department of Water Resources (IDWR) is reviewing Application 63-31504, which you submitted for a diversion from ground water for supplemental irrigation within the City of Nampa. Your application proposes a diversion of 4.0 cfs in addition to the 95.51 cfs of existing water rights already held by the City of Nampa. Counting beneficial use claims filed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication, the City of Nampa's existing water rights may total as much as 111.45 cfs. Please see the enclosed list for a summary of the City of Nampa's water rights.

Diverting 95.51 cfs at a constant rate will produce more than 61 million gallons per day. According to figures published on the Department of Commerce community profile for the City of Nampa, however, your actual maximum daily usage is 4.8 million gallons per day. According to the same community profile, your plant capacity is about 13 million gallons per day. These figures suggest that the City of Nampa's water rights on paper exceed actual usage. If there is, in fact, a discrepancy between "paper" water rights and actual use, it may have arisen through filing for and receiving a new water right permit each time you drilled a new well, regardless of whether the well was needed to meet increased demand or was simply a replacement for a well that was failing or had failed. IDWR is concerned that approving another permit could simply perpetuate a practice that is not in the city's best interest because the senior priority date of an existing water right may be foregone in lieu of a new right with a much junior priority.

Under the provisions of §42-222, *Idaho Code*, a water right holder may seek and obtain approval to change the point of diversion or add an additional point of diversion for an existing water right. Such a change is termed a "transfer." When a water right is transferred, it retains its original priority date, but the amount of the water right is limited to the amount of the original right. For ground water rights, the transfer provision is useful when replacing a well that has diminished in productivity or for adding a well for more efficient distribution of water.

Rather than file for a new water right that may not be needed, it could be worthwhile to review your water rights to see if a transfer would better accomplish your objective. I recommend first

determining if your peak demand is in the 95 cfs range. If so, the application you filed is probably appropriate. If not, I recommend matching the points of diversion descriptions for your recorded water rights with your active wells to determine if you hold any water rights for wells that are no longer in use. If so, you could file an application to transfer those water rights to a new well at the location proposed in your application.

While you are reviewing your water rights, another item to consider is how the City of Nampa's claims will be addressed in the Snake River Basin Adjudication. Section 42-222, *Idaho Code*, also provides for the forfeiture of a water right after five years of non-use. If the city's existing use is, in fact, far less than what has been claimed in the adjudication, water rights in excess of actual historical beneficial use may be found to have been forfeited. In addition, if a change to a water right occurred prior to November 19, 1987, the change may be claimed and decreed in the SRBA process without having to file an application for transfer.

Also, under recent legislation a municipal water provider may file an application to appropriate water for reasonably anticipated future needs. Under §42-202B, *Idaho Code*, reasonably anticipated future needs means "future uses of water by a municipal provider for municipal purposes within a service area which, on the basis of population and other planning data, are reasonably expected to be required within the planning horizon of each municipality within the service area not inconsistent with comprehensive land use plans approved by each municipality." Water appropriated for reasonably anticipated future needs is not required to be diverted and used immediately, as is the case for most new water rights. Instead, the water right can be held over a "planning horizon" in anticipation that the water will be needed to meet the municipality's needs in the future. While an application for transfer may be a better choice than an application for permit to meet your immediate needs, with additional information your existing application could possibly be converted to an application for reasonably anticipated future needs.

I will hold your application for permit for 30 days to give you time to explore this issue. Please respond by either (a) describing your diversion and distribution system(s) to show why the new water right is needed now, or (b) providing sufficient information to establish that the application is for reasonably anticipated future needs, or (c) withdrawing your application for permit. In connection with options (b) and (c), you may also wish to file an application for transfer to cover your plans to divert from the new well to meet existing needs. I have enclosed an application for transfer form for you. If I have not received a response from you within 30 days, I will recommend that your application be denied.


Normally IDWR will not issue a well drilling permit authorizing well construction until the associated water right filing is processed and approved. However, to avoid delaying your project while you are reviewing your options, IDWR will consider issuing a well drilling permit now if you accept the risk that approval to divert and use water from the well may not be granted. If this

City of Nampa
August 14, 2002
Page 3

is how you want to proceed, please submit your application for drilling permit and a request for expedited approval of the drilling permit. I have enclosed forms for you to use.

If you have any questions or need more information, please call me at 208-327-7946, or email me at skeen@idwr.state.id.us.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Shelley Walter Keen". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Shelley Walter Keen
Water Rights Supervisor

Enclosures

cc: Gary Spackman, IDWR Western Region
Dave Tuthill, IDWR Adjudication Bureau
Mark Slifka, IDWR Ground Water Protection Section

ACTION REQUIRED

3/28/91

Check 4/3/91

FROM: _____

File in

TO: _____

ALB. 02449

- ① sent app. for Permit to S. L.
- ② requested change of receipt to reflect Permit (3/28)
- ③ irr. rts. have been claimed as municipal (?)
- ④ dates have been changed (?)
- ⑤ duplicate files (?)

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Call City Engineer

RECEIVED

MAR 13 1990

approved

Date Received

RECEIVED

FEB 22 1990

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE ADJUDICATION CLAIM(S)

Department of Water Resources
 Western Regional Office

Department of Water Resources

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request by the claimant for an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

City of Nampa 208 465-2220
 Name of Claimant Phone Number

411-3rd Street South Nampa Idaho 83651
 Mailing Address City State Zip

Cayon March 1990
 County(s) where place of use is located Date claim originally due

See attached sheets
 Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested

State why an extension of time is requested explaining how a diligent effort has been made to meet the deadline:

The City of Nampa holds approximately 57 water rights at this time
and we have had to go back into some of our old records due to some
some discrepancies found in the original information given, and what was
recorded on the licenses issued. Therefore we are wanting to take this
time to check all of our records and have things brought current.

Claimant requests an extension to May 31, 1990

[Signature] 2-21, 1990
 Signature Date of request

Public Works Inspector
 Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby [☒ granted] [☐ denied] for the following reasons:

The pendency of the adjudication has been well publicized,
extension is granted due to delay in acting on extension,
 and if granted the filing deadline is extended to March 30, 1990

Signed this 11 day of March, 1990

[Signature]
 Director or his designated agent

DAVID B. SHAW **MICROFILMED**

Mail to: Idaho Department of Water Resources
 1301 N. Orchard St.
 Boise, ID 83706

JUL 25 1994

SD-33	Last Name: CITY OF NAMPA				WR	STATE		
S	O	W	Water	Priority	Source	Total	Use	
E	N	N	Right No.	Date		Diversion	Code	Desc
L	First Name							

CITY OF NAMPA								
		☒	63-02449	08/13/1931	GROUNDWATER	0.800	01	IRR
							43	DOMEST
		☒	63-02450	08/13/1931	GROUNDWATER	1.000	01	IRR
							43	DOMEST
		☒	63-02484	08/22/1933	GROUNDWATER	1.620	01	IRR
		☒	63-02533	02/16/1971	GROUNDWATER	1.220	40	MUNI
		☒	63-02779	09/02/1949	GROUNDWATER	2.000	40	MUNI
		☒	63-02780	09/02/1949	GROUNDWATER	2.500	46	REC
							49	FIRE
		☒	63-02781	09/02/1949	GROUNDWATER	3.000	40	MUNI
		☒	63-02782	11/25/1959	GROUNDWATER	1.930	40	MUNI
		☒	63-02787	09/02/1949	GROUNDWATER	30.000	01	IRR
		☒	63-02943	06/03/1953	GROUNDWATER	1.740	01	IRR
		☒	63-05257	01/01/1905	GROUNDWATER	0.300	40	MUNI
		☒	63-05258	01/01/1954	GROUNDWATER	2.670	40	MUNI
(Enter=Continue)								
(GOLD 7=This Query)					(Menu)			

(Enter=Continue)

(GOLD 7=This Query)

(Menu _____)

Receipt No. C015984

\$9,120.00

2449	130.00 ✓
2450	150.00 ✓
2484	212.00 ✓
2533	172.00 ✓
2779	250.00 ✓
2780	300.00 ✓
2781	350.00 ✓
2782	243.00
2787	3050.00 ✓
2943	296.00 ✓
5257	80.00 ✓
5258	317.00 ✓
7003	818.00 ✓

7567	329.00
7708	90.00
7954	450.00
8312	100.00 ✓
8324	* 350.00
9180	~~650.00~~ 650.00
9853	160.00 ✓
9889	200.00 ✓
10212	273.00
28219	50.00
	\$9020.00

app. for permit 55.00

163-28220 → 9085.00

35.00

9120.00

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

SD-33	Last Name: CITY OF NAMPA					STATE	
S	First	O	Water	Priority		Total	Use
E	Name	W	Right No.	Date	Source	Diversion	Code Desc
L		N					
-	CITY OF NAMPA						
-		✓	63-07567	03/06/1972	GROUNDWATER	2.790	40 MUNI
-		✓	63-07708	02/14/1973	GROUNDWATER	0.400	01 IRR
-		✓	63-07954	03/20/1974	ELIJAH DRAI	4.000	01 IRR
-		✓	63-08312	05/24/1976	GROUNDWATER	0.500	01 IRR
-		✓	63-08324	06/01/1976	GROUNDWATER	3.000	40 MUNI
-		✓	63-09180	09/27/1978	GROUNDWATER	4.000	40 MUNI
-		✓	63-09853	12/24/1981	GROUNDWATER	1.100	01 IRR
-		✓	63-09889	03/30/1982	GROUNDWATER	1.500	01 IRR
-	<i>proof due 1989</i>	✓	63-10211	03/09/1984	GROUNDWATER	8.690	40 MUNI
-	<i>proof due 1990</i>	✓	63-10212	06/28/1983	GROUNDWATER	2.230	40 MUNI
-		✓	63-10319	08/28/1985	GROUNDWATER	3.200	01 IRR
-							02 IRR S
-							03 IRR FS
-							47 REC S
-							55 DIV S

(Enter=Continue)

(GOLD 7=This Query)

(Menu _____)

MICROFILMED
JUL 25 1994

SD-33	Last Name: CITY OF NAMPA					STATE	
S	O						
E	First	W	Water	Priority		Total	Use
L	Name	N	Right No.	Date	Source	Diversion	Code Desc
	CITY OF NAMPA						
	<i>proof due 1991</i>		63-11339	03/26/1990	GROUNDWATER	0.040	43 DOMEST

(Enter=Continue)

(GOLD 7=This Query)

(Menu _____)

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Adj. Master

SD-33
Last Name: CITY OF NAMPA
STATE

First Name	Water Right No.	Priority Date	Source	Total Diversion	Use Code Desc
CITY OF NAMPA	C A63-02533	02/16/1971	GROUNDWATER	1.220	40 MUNI
	C A63-02782	11/25/1959	GROUNDWATER	1.930	40 MUNI

(Enter=Continue)
(GOLD7=This Query)
(MENU _____)

MICROFILMED
JUL 25 1994

The City of Nampa

NAMPA, IDAHO 83651

Pay to IDAHO DEPT. OF WATER RESOURCES

Address 1301 N. Orchard Street, Boise, ID 83706

Voucher _____ Vendor _____ Check _____

Date	Item	Amount
3/38/90	Filing fees for domestic wells .20 780	\$3,084.00
	Filing fees for irrigation wells .50 780	\$6,036.00
		\$9,120.00

RECEIVED
MAR 28 1990

Department of Water Resources

Do Not Write in This Space

Fund 025 042 434

O.K. Larry Blidice
Purchaser or Department Head

Approved:

Chairman Finance Com.

STATE OF IDAHO }
County of Canyon } ss.

I, the undersigned, do solemnly swear that this claim against the City of Nampa is true, that the services were performed or the articles furnished as herein stated, and that the same is correct, reasonable and just and that no part hereof has been paid.

Sign Here

X

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Signature of Affiant.

March 27, 1990

City of Nampa Adjudication Filing Fees

Irrigation Wells

Num	New W R Num	Old W R Num	Filed Cap	CFS Fee	Filing Fee	Total	Comments
1	63-2449	17526	0.80	\$80.00	\$50.00	\$130.00	Not in use
2	63-2450	17527	1.00	\$100.00	\$50.00	\$150.00	
3	63-2484	17811	1.62	\$162.00	\$50.00	\$212.00	
4	63-2780	21220	2.50	\$250.00	\$50.00	\$300.00	
5	63-2787	21268	30.00	\$3000.00	\$50.00	\$3050.00	#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
6	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
7	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
8	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
9	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
10	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
11	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
12	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
13	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
14	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
15	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
16	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
17	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
18	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
19	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
20	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
21	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
22	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
23	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
24	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
25	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
26	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
27	63-2787	21268					#s 5-27 consolidated for 30.00 cfs
28	63-2943	G-24237	2.46	\$246.00	\$50.00	\$296.00	Amend original filing from 1.74 to 2.46 cfs. New 50 h.p. pump installed 1978.
29			1.50				Abandoned
30	63-10211		8.69				Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
31							Not in use

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Nurr	New W R Num	Old W R Num	Filed Cap	CFS Fee	Filling Fee	Total	Comments
32	63-5257		0.30	\$30.00	\$50.00	\$80.00	Not in use
33							Not in use
34							UPRR; Lease agreement
35	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
36	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
37							
38							Not in use
39	63-7954		4.00	\$400.00	\$50.00	\$450.00	
40	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
41	63-7708		0.40	\$40.00	\$50.00	\$90.00	
42							Abandoned
43							
44	63-8312		0.50	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$100.00	
45							
46							Abandoned
47							
48	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
49							
50	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
51	63-9853		1.10	\$110.00	\$50.00	\$160.00	
52	63-9889		1.50	\$150.00	\$50.00	\$200.00	
53	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
54							
55	63-10211						Consolidated 6-83 for 8.69 cfs; filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
56	63-7003		7.68	\$768.00	\$50.00	\$818.00	Transfer #3057, 6-83
57	63-10319		3.20				Filing under adjudication not required (per Don Shaff DWR)
			53.86	\$5386.00	\$650.00	\$6036.00	Total Filing Fees Due for municipal irrigation use

JUL 25 1994

POOR ORIGINAL

March, 27, 1990

City of Nampa Adjudication Filing Fees

Domestic Wells

Num	New W R Num	Old W R Num	Filed Cap	CFS Fee	Filing Fee	Total Fee	Comments
1	63-2533	18483	1.22	\$122.00	\$50.00	\$172.00	Wells 1 & 2 are on same lot; drilled in 1928, structure and facilities built in 1936
2	63-2533	18483	1.22				Fees for these wells are based upon combined CFS of 1.22 CFS
3	63-2779	21219	2.00	\$200.00	\$50.00	\$250.00	
4	63-2781	21221	3.00	\$300.00	\$50.00	\$350.00	
5	63-2782	21222	1.93	\$193.00	\$50.00	\$243.00	
6	63-5258		2.67	\$267.00	\$50.00	\$317.00	Last update 1-17-84
7	63-10212		2.23	\$223.00	\$50.00	\$273.00	Well was drilled in 1965
8	63-7567		2.79	\$279.00	\$50.00	\$329.00	
9	63-8324		4.50	\$450.00	\$50.00	\$500.00	Supplement filed to increase CFS from 3 to 4.5 CFS; fees based on 4.5 CFS.
10	63-9180		6.00	\$600.00	\$50.00	\$650.00	Draft copy of license received 2-14-90
			26.34	\$2634.00	\$450.00	\$3084.00	Total Filing Fees Due Municipal Domestic Use

CITY OF NAMPA

*NOT NEGOTIABLE

INVOICE	DATE	AMOUNT	INVOICE	DATE	AMOUNT	INVOICE	DATE	AMOUNT
	3/28/90	9,120.00						
all City of Nampa								
0015984								
TOTAL AMOUNT								9,120.00

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

Date Received

RECEIVED

FEB 22 1990

State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
TO FILE ADJUDICATION CLAIM(S)

Department of Water Resources

The Idaho Department of Water Resources will consider this form as a request by the claimant for an additional period of time in which to file claim(s) beyond the 90 days allowed by Section 42-1409(10), Idaho Code.

City of Nampa (208) 465-2220
Name of Claimant Phone Number

411-3rd Street South , Nampa Idaho 83651
Mailing Address City State Zip

Cayon March 1990
County(s) where place of use is located Date claim originally due

See attached sheets
Identify water right(s) for which extension is requested

State why an extension of time is requested explaining how a diligent effort has been made to meet the deadline:

The City of Nampa holds approximately 57 water rights at this time
and we have had to go back into some of our old records due to some
some discrepancies found in the original information given, and what was
recorded on the licenses issued. Therefore we are wanting to take this
time to check all of our records and have things brought current.

Claimant requests an extension to May 31 , 1990

[Signature] 2-21 , 1990
Signature Date of request

Public Works Inspector
Title

ACTION OF THE DIRECTOR, DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

The above request for extension of time is hereby [☒ granted] [☐ denied] for the following reasons:

The pendency of the adjudication has been well publicized,
extension is granted due to delay in acting on extension
and if granted the filing deadline is extended to March 30 , 19 90

Signed this 11 day of March , 19 90

[Signature]
Director or his designated agent

DAVID B. SHAW

Mail to: Idaho Department of Water Resources
1301 N. Orchard St.
Boise, ID 83706

MICROFILMED

JUL 25 1994

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
CITY OF NAMPA

City- Nampa
Phone -

GROUNDWATER

(27) CANYON

01 - IRRIGATION
43 - DOMESTIC

Capacity - +

Total Acres: 40.0

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use -

- - - Remarks - - -
No Remarks found.

- - - Old Water Right Numbers - -
No Old Water Right Numbers found.

--- Conditions of Approval ---
No Conditions of Approval found.

WATER RIGHT

Proof Due Date	-	00/00/0000	Field Exam Made	-	00/00/0000	Field Exam Fee	-
Approved/Denied	-	00/00/0000	Protested Date	-	00/00/0000	Swan Falls	-
Proof Made Date	-	00/00/0000	Hearing Date	-	00/00/0000	Temporary Right	-
Exam to S.O. Date	-	00/00/0000	Verified Date	-	05/20/1985	DLE/Carney Act	-
Order Show Cause	-	00/00/0000	Receipt Number	-		Water District	-
Licensed Date	-	08/28/1979	Appl Received	-	00/00/0000	Transfer/Amended	-
Decree Date	-	00/00/0000	Presumption Flag	-			-

MICROFILMED
JUL 25 1994