

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: **A72-00197**
Date Received: 12/ 4/1989
Receipt No: M005289
Received By: _____

**COPY FOR
YOUR FILES**

**NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW**

**COPY FOR
YOUR FILES**

1. Name: MELODIE L BAKER 208-838-2440
Address: HC 68 BOX 304
CLAYTON ID 83227
AND/OR
Name: D WAYNE BAKER 208-838-2440
Address: HC 68 BOX 304
CLAYTON ID 83227

2. Date of Priority: MAY 1, 1936

3. Source: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK Trib. to: SALMON RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
09N	18E	4	NW	NW	NW	4	CUSTER

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE/DITCH

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	1.200		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
1.200 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 97.5 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
S/400 CATTLE AND 20 HORSES

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4	of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
09N	18E	4	NE		NE	1	IRR	11.0
			NW		NE	2	IRR	15.0
							Section Acres	26.0
10N	18E	33	SE		SW	5	IRR	9.0
			SW		SE	6	IRR	4.0
							Section Acres	13.0
							Total Acres	39.0

11. Place of use in counties: CUSTER

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
72-00196.

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date: / /

GOSSI, ET. AL.

Decree Plaintiff

GIAMPEDRAGLIA, ET. AL.

vs Decree Defendant

08-17-1993

ALL { BAKER, R+B
BAKER, D+C

DECLARED

CX-02142

-02095

-00190 [REDACTED] .40 MVLAP -00193; ALL HEARD CR.
-00191* [REDACTED] .20 " ALL -00192, 2A; -00193, ALL MCDONALD CA
-00192* [REDACTED] .20 " -ALL -00191, 2A; -00193, ALL FOX CR.
-00193 [REDACTED] 5.20 -ALL E.F.
-00194 [REDACTED] .90 -ALL E.F.
-00196 R+B 1.20 E.F.
✓-00197 R+B 1.20 E.F.
-00198 R+B 1.50 BIG LAKE CR.
-00199 R+B 1.50 E.F.
-00200 R+B 1.30 E.F.
-02142 [REDACTED] .32 LICENSE - ALL E.F.
-00201E MTH. 45 PINE CR SUBD PINE CR.
-00202D MTH. 52 PINE CR SUBD E.F.
-02095 ① ALL .44 LICENSE MCDONALD CA
-00202E ② MTH. 22 PINE CR. SUBD. E.F.

* springs - unclaimed... should... SOU data
- need directions to POD @ FOX CR.

- ① missed in Cheryl's listing / OWN. CTNG.
- ② recd: 01-27-95; & exam yet. sent DET: 2/24

COPY

U
RECEIVED

MAY 30 1995

72-00197
1.2 cfs (60")

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

East Fork

- ✓ 1. Delete Dick L. and/or Betty L. Baker from the ownership.
Change to D. Wayne and/or Melodie L. Baker, HC 68 Box 304,
Clayton, Idaho 83227, Telephone (208)838-2440 as owners.

✗ 2. through 15. all OK *add to Record*

COPY

RECEIVED

MAY 30 1995

72-00197
1.2 cfs (60")

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

East Fork

1. Delete Dick L. and/or Betty L. Baker from the ownership.
Change to D. Wayne and/or Melodie L. Baker, HC 68 Box 304,
Clayton, Idaho 83227, Telephone (208)838-2440 as owners.
2. through 15. all OK

ORIGINAL

Form 42-1409(7)



**NEW PROPERTY OWNER
NOTICE OF CHANGE OF WATER RIGHT OWNERSHIP**

Please print or type

(see instructions on back of page)

RECEIVED

DATE OF CLOSING: 05-31-94

JUN 20 1995

LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY: Township Attached Range _____
Section _____, _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4 of _____ 1/4, Govt. Lot _____ Department of Water Resources
Lot _____, Block _____, Subdivision/Townsite _____ Southern Region
If you have additional legal descriptions, please attach separate sheet.

- ☐ Served Only by a Public Service Water Supply (all water is received from a city or company who maintains water supply system, owns the water right, and bills the customer on a regular basis)
- ☐ Water Right Number(s) A72-00196, A72-00197, A72-00198, A72-00199,
Assigned by IDWR: A72-00200, A72-02142, A72-10084, A72-10174
- ☐ Name and Address of Former Owner/Claimant(s)(Seller): Richard Douglas Baker and/or Cheryl Kay Baker and/or
Richard L. Baker and/or Betty L. Baker
HC 68 Box 350
Clayton, Idaho 83227
- ☐ New Owner/Claimant (Purchaser): D. Wayne Baker and/or Melodie L. Baker
- ☐ New Mailing Address: HC 68 Box 304
Clayton, Idaho 83227
- ☐ New Telephone Number: (208) 838 -2440
- ☐ Does the change in ownership result in a splitting of the water right owned/claimed? yes X no
If yes, then describe the portion of the water right that is now owned/claimed by the new owner:

- ☐ Attach proof of ownership, describe proof attached: Memorandum of Sale
(proof of ownership must describe either the property conveyed or include the claim number. Proof of ownership is not required if this form is signed by the former owner/claimant.)

- ☐ Signature of New Owner/Claimant(s) Melodie L. Baker Date 6-16-95
Date _____
- ☐ Signature of Former Owner/Claimant(s): Betty L. Baker Date 6-16-95
Cheryl K. Baker Date 6-16-95

(Signature of former claimant(s) is not required if proof of ownership is attached.)

FOR IDWR USE ONLY:

Initial Review and Data-Entry

Date 06-09-95 By: 74

Further Action Needed: Yes

Further Action Taken

Date 07-13-95 By: 74

Final Review and Data-Entry

Date 07-13-95 By: 74

Claim Numbers of Split, if any:

COPY

Original to be mailed to Idaho Department of Water Resources
Copies to Former Owner and New Owner

MEMORANDUM OF SALE

THIS MEMORANDUM OF SALE, made and entered into this 31st day of May, 1994, by and between RICHARD L. BAKER and BETTY L. BAKER, individually, and as Co-Trustees of the RICHARD L. BAKER AND BETTY L. BAKER TRUST, under Trust Agreement dated May 1, 1991, whose current address is HC 68, Box 370, Clayton, Idaho 83227, hereinafter referred to as "Sellers," and DAVID WAYNE BAKER and MELODIE L. BAKER, husband and wife, whose current address is HC 68, Box 304, Clayton, Idaho 83227-9601, hereinafter referred to as "Buyers;"

W I T N E S S E T H:

WHEREAS, Sellers wish to sell to Buyers, and Buyers wish to purchase from Sellers certain lands and appurtenances lying and situate in Custer County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

SEE EXHIBIT "A," ATTACHED HERETO AND MADE A PART
HEREOF; and

WHEREAS, the parties have agreed upon the terms and conditions of sale, most of which have been set forth within a deed of even date and a Sale Agreement of even date, as well as within certain other related and associated documents, all of which are in escrow with Custer County Title, Inc., of Challis, Idaho; and

WHEREAS, the parties wish to document certain other agreements and understandings within this Memorandum of Sale;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of \$5.00 in hand paid

RECEIVED

JUN 20 1994

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

each party to the other, and in further consideration of the understandings and agreements herein contained, it is understood and agreed as follows, to-wit:

1. That the documents referred to above, and which are executed concurrently herewith, are hereby confirmed in their entirety.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.

Richard L. Baker
Richard L. Baker, individually
and as Co-Trustee

Betty L. Baker
Betty L. Baker, individually
and as Co-Trustee

SELLERS

David Wayne Baker
David Wayne Baker

Melodie L. Baker
Melodie L. Baker

BUYERS

206827
Custer County Rec. of
Custer County Title
Time 2:20 P.M.
7-1 1994
Ethel M. Peck, Clerk
Anna Deputy
\$ 12.00

STATE OF IDAHO)

: ss.

County of Custer)

On this 31st day of May, 1994, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared RICHARD L. BAKER and BETTY L. BAKER, individually, and as Co-Trustees of the RICHARD L. BAKER AND BETTY L. BAKER TRUST, under Trust Agreement dated May 1, 1991, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

James B. Smith
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Charlottesville, Idaho
My Comm. Expires: 1-21-98

STATE OF IDAHO)

: ss.

County of Custer)

On this 31st day of May, 1994, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared DAVID WAYNE BAKER and MELODIE L. BAKER, husband and wife, known to me to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the foregoing instrument and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year first above written.

James B. Smith
Notary Public for Idaho
Residing at: Charlottesville, Idaho
My Comm. Expires: 1-21-98

EXHIBIT "A"

TOWNSHIP 10 N RANGE 18 EAST, BOISE MERIDIAN, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO.

206827

- SECTION 25: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$
SECTION 26: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$
SECTION 35: That portion of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying North and East of Herd Creek Road. And that portion of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ lying North and East of Herd Creek and North and West of the County Road.
-

TRACT II:

TOWNSHIP 9 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, BOISE MERIDIAN, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO.

Section 4: Lot 4.

TOWNSHIP 10 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, BOISE MERIDIAN, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO.

Section 32: S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$.

Section 33: Lot 4, and Lot 5, EXCEPTING THE EASTERLY 777.3 FEET, OF SAID LOT 5

TRACT III:

TOWNSHIP 9 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, BOISE MERIDIAN, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO.

SECTION 4: Lots 1 and 2;

TOWNSHIP 10 NORTH, RANGE 18 EAST, BOISE MERIDIAN, CUSTER COUNTY, IDAHO.

SECTION 33: Lot 6 and the Easterly 777.3 feet of Lot 5 EXCEPTING THEREFROM:

A fraction of Lots 1 and 2, in Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 18 East, Boise Meridian, Custer County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows, to-wit:

Commencing at the Northeasterly corner of said Section 4, run thence South 89°45' West along the Northerly boundary of Section 4 at a distance of 1005.8 feet along the North line of said Section 4 to the REAL POINT OF BEGINNING; thence South 89°45' West, 224.3 feet; thence South 25°34' West, 334.2 feet; thence South 87°31' East, 210.3 feet; thence North 26°57' East, 349.6 feet, more or less to the Point of Beginning.

This deed subject to Pine Creek Easement reserving and subject, however, to a private Easement or right-of-way for the use and benefit of Grantors or any lineal descendants of Grantors so long as they or their descendants hold interests in lands in Section 31, Township 10 North, Range 18 East, Boise Meridian, Custer County, Idaho, or Sections 5 or 6, Township 9 North, Range 18 East, Boise Meridian, Custer County, Idaho, that may be reasonably served by such Easement. The Easement is described as that certain existing roadway commencing some 100 feet more or less, East of the intersection of the East Fork County Road and the West boundary line of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, Section 32, Township 10 North, Range 18 East, Boise Meridian, Custer County, Idaho, thence continuing Southerly through the described SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ to other lands across the East Fork River within the Pine Creek Subdivision or the sections described. This Easement is private, not public, and shall only be for the benefit of the parties named above and may be only used by those parties in that group who hold lands in the above described sections.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE STATE OF IDAHO,
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF RIGHTS TO THE USE OF WATER FROM
THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN WATER SYSTEM.

CIVIL CASE NUMBER: 39576

Ident. Number: A72-00197
Date Received: 12/04/1989
Receipt No: M005289
Received By: TO

NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT
ACQUIRED UNDER STATE LAW

1. Name: DICK L. BAKER 208-838-2271
Address: HC-68, BOX 370
CLAYTON, ID 83227

AND/OR

Name: BETTY L. BAKER 208-838-2271
Address: HC-68, BOX 370
CLAYTON, ID 83227

2. Date of Priority: MAY 01, 1936

3. Source: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK Trib. to: SALMON RIVER

4. Point of Diversion:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	County
09N	18E	4	NW	NW	NW	4	CUSTER

5. Description of diverting works:
HEADGATE/DITCH

6. Water is used for the following purposes:

Purpose	From	To	C.F.S.	(or)	A.F.A.
IRRIGATION	04/01	11/01	1.200		

7. Total Quantity Appropriated is:
1.200 C.F.S. (and/or) A.F.A.

8. Total consumptive use is 97.5 Acre Feet Per Annum.

9. Non-irrigation uses:
S/400 CATTLE AND 20 HORSES

10. Place of Use:

Township	Range	Section	1/4 of	1/4	Lot	Use	Acres
09N	18E	4	NE	NE	1	IRR	11.0
			NW	NE	2	IRR	15.0
						Section Acres	26.0
10N	18E	33	SE	SW	5	IRR	9.0
			SW	SE	6	IRR	4.0
						Section Acres	13.0
						Total Acres	39.0

11. Place of use in counties: CUSTER

12. Do you own the property listed above as place of use? YES

13. Other Water Rights Used:
72-00196.

14. Remarks:

15. Basis of Claim: DECREED

Case Number:

Court :

Decree date:


GOSSI, ET. AL.

Decree Plaintiff

vs

GIAMPEDRAGLIA, ET. AL.

Decree Defendant



Produced by the Geological Survey
in cooperation with the U. S. Forest Service

Orthophotograph prepared from 1:80 000-scale
aerial photographs taken July 24, 1974

Projection and 10,000-foot grid ticks: Idaho coordinate
system, central zone
(transverse Mercator)

1000-meter Universal Transverse Mercator grid ticks, zone 11

SCALE 1:24,000

NORTH

16. Signature(s)

(a.) By signing below, I/We acknowledge that I/We have received, read and understand the form entitled "How you will receive notice in the Snake River Basin Adjudication." (b.) I/We do _____ do not _____ wish to receive and pay a small annual fee for monthly copies of the docket sheet.

Number of attachments: _____

For Individuals:

I/We do solemnly swear or affirm that the statements contained in the foregoing document are true and correct.

Signature of Claimant(s): *Dick L. Baker* Date: *12/1/89*
_____ Date: _____

State of Idaho)
County of *Bonneville*) SS.

Subscribed and sworn (or affirmed) before me this *4th* day
of *December* 19 *89*

Anthony L. Olmsted
Notary Public

Seal

Residing at *Shosh Falls*
My Commission Expires *9/12/1994*

COMMUNICATION DOCUMENTATION

February 19, 1999

Regarding:

Customer:

Stockwater claims for Wayne and Melodie Baker

From: Vikie Hancock

[illegible]

I called Melodie Baker in reply to her letter to the department dated 1-27-99 regarding claims for stockwater on their ranch along the East Fork. I asked her what her method of stock watering was, and the number and kinds of stock. She told me that they had between 400 and 500 range cattle, and 20 horses. She told me that they watered them year round from the river and creeks and irrigation diversions. She said that there were probably four different "ranches" that they divided the stock up into, and that sometimes all of the cattle were confined to one area, and that sometime the cattle were divided up into several areas, depending on conditions.

I explained that in order to have stockwater included as an element of their existing claims, they could amend each claim to include stock as an element, however, because these claims were based upon the 1938 Decree for irrigation, their stock diversions would be included in the total diversion for irrigation, and limited to the irrigation season of use.

I told her that another option was to file late Beneficial Use claims for stockwater only, with a priority date based on the decreed priority date for irrigation (from 1938 decree). I told her there would be no late fees on de minimus stockwater claims. I gave her our guidelines of 0.02 cfs for instream stock use, and 0.11 cfs for diversion to 500 range cattle. I explained the general process of filing late claims now that recommendations have been reported to the court, and sent her the claim forms needed.

V ↑

EAST FORK RANCHES
WAYNE AND MELODIE BAKER
HC 68 BOX 304
CLAYTON, IDAHO 83227-9601

RECEIVED

FEB 10 1999

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

January 27, 1999

Vikie Hancock
Water Resource Agent
State of Idaho
Department of Water Resources
1341 Fillmore St., Suite 200
Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Dear Ms. Hancock,

I appreciate your changing the points of diversion to the forty acre tract, only.

Thanks also for the changes on 72-00193A and moving the "dot" down on the one GIS.

I noticed that on claim 72-00201B, under Explanatory Material, it says: "property is also known as LOT 3, BLK 1, and it should be LOT 10. Must be a typo, it was right on the papers before.

On claims 72-00193A, 72-00198, 72-00197, 72-00196, 72-002142, 72-00200, 72-00199 all show incidental use for 400 cows and 20 horses. This is non-irrigation use, and I understand this is a given as a beneficial use in the Adjudication and thus not an element of the water right, but since there has always been this stated on the claim, why take it off now? The stockwater claims we filed for, are not uses along the river and in our ditches, that the above claims covered under non-irrigation/incidental. We are just concerned that down the road, with all of the environmental pressure and pressure on water for the endangered species, we are going to lose this right if not shown, and where it was already stated on the above claims, would like to see it remain, if that makes sense. We do not want it to take away from the diversion amount allowed for irrigation under our decree.

Thank you for taking care of all of this. I hope I am not making this any more difficult than necessary. We are just gunny when it comes to our water, we just keep getting so much pressure.

Sincerely,

Melodie L. Baker

AJ0749NP
No. R72-00197

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 12/29/98
PAGE: 1

OWNER CODE	NAME & ADDRESS	PRIORITY DATE	WATER USE	USE PERIOD FROM TO	DIVERSION RATE	DIVERSION VOLUME
C	MELODIE L BAKER HC 68 BOX 304 CLAYTON ID 83227 (208) 838-2440	05/01/1936	IRRIGATION	04-01 10-31	1.20 CFS	
				TOTAL DIVERSION:	1.20 CFS	
C	D WAYNE BAKER HC 68 BOX 304 CLAYTON ID 83227					

Water Source: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

Tributary to: SALMON RIVER

Stage: DECREED

Point(s) of Diversion: T09N R18E S04 Lot04(NWNW)

CUSTER County

Place of use Legal Description for: IRRIGATION

		NE				NW				SW				SE										
T	R S	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: Lt	Ac	Lt	Ac	: SUM
09N	18E 4	:	01-08	02-12	:					:						:				:				: 20.8
10N	18E 33	:			:					:						:				:				: 17.9
																								: TOTAL ACRES 38.7

POU County: CUSTER

Other Rights: 72-00196.

Conditions of Approval:

- C03 - RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION
- PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.
- C16 - THE FOLLOWING WATER RIGHTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF WATER
- IN BASIN 72 SHALL BE ADMINISTERED SEPARATELY FROM ALL OTHER WATER
- RIGHTS IN BASIN 72:
- WATER RIGHT NO. SOURCE
- NONE NONE
- THE FOLLOWING WATER RIGHTS FROM THE FOLLOWING SOURCES OF WATER
- IN BASIN 72 SHALL BE ADMINISTERED SEPARATELY FROM ALL OTHER WATER
- RIGHTS IN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN:
- WATER RIGHT NO. SOURCE
- NONE NONE
- ALL WATER RIGHTS WITHIN BASIN 72 ARE FROM CONNECTED SOURCES
- OF WATER IN THE SNAKE RIVER BASIN AND SHALL BE ADMINISTERED
- CONJUNCTIVELY.

Remarks: POU1 USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 72-00196 IS LIMITED TO THE
IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 39 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.

EXP RIGHT NO. 72-00196 IS ALSO DIVERTED THROUGH POINT OF

AJ0749NP
No. R72-00197

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM PROFILE REPORT
(REGIONAL)

DATE: 12/29/98
PAGE: 2

Remarks: DIVERSION DESCRIBED ABOVE.

Dates: Exam Made Date: Verified Date: Objection Filed Date:

Misc: Water District Number: Field Inspection Flag:
Presumption Flag:



State of Idaho

DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

1341 Fillmore Street, Suite 200, Twin Falls, ID 83301-3380

Phone: (208) 736-3033 FAX: (208) 736-3037

December 29, 1998

PHILIP E. BATT
GOVERNOR

KARL J. DREHER
DIRECTOR

D. Wayne and Melodie Baker
HC 68 Box 304
Clayton, Idaho 83227

RE: Snake River Basin Adjudication (SRBA)
Claim Numbers: A72-00193A, -00196, -00197, -00198, -00199,
-00200, -00201B, -00224, -00225, -02142

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Baker:

I am writing in response to your reply to our Notice of Error forms for the above adjudication claims. I hope that I can address the comments that you have on the many claims in this letter. I'll tackle the questions which appear most often first, and then move on to more specific items, in the hope that this method will be most understandable, for all of us.

First, I agree with your request that we identify the points of diversion to the forty acre tract, only. It has been Department policy to locate points of diversion to the ten acre tract whenever possible, for accuracy. However, when dealing with surface water, and especially with a river as dynamic as the East Fork, we recognize the benefit of backing that off a bit to allow for changes in the river channel. I have corrected our records per your request.

Next, regarding *stockwater as a Use*. All of the claims referenced above were originally filed for irrigation use only, with stockwater listed as incidental, and thus not an element of the water right. All of the claims, except 72-02142, which is based on a license for irrigation only and originally filed by George Shinderling, are based on decreed rights from a 1938 Court Decree which was silent on stockwater usage. You do, however, have stockwater claims, based on beneficial use, in the Adjudication. You should have received the partial decree for these claims on or around October, 1997. I am sending you copies of the stockwater claims that you filed with the Department. If you now feel that these claims do not accurately represent your stockwater usage, you have a couple of options. You can file additional claims for stockwater based on beneficial use. You can amend the above irrigation claims that are based on decree to include stockwater as a use; however, the diversion amount for stock would be included in the diversion amount allowed for irrigation under your decree, so the total diversion rate would remain the same. Stockwater is considered incidental to your irrigation water right, whether or not it is listed as a use, however, if you wish to proceed with either of the stockwater options outlined, please let me know, and I will forward the appropriate forms.

Next, I will try to explain our method for determining the proposed recommendation of your irrigated *Place of Use*. The Adjudication process was begun in 1987 to determine the status of water rights in Idaho at that time. The Department contracted to have aerial photographs taken then, so that we would have these photos to calculate what was being irrigated at the time the Adjudication was begun. Statutes were enacted by the Idaho Legislature to ensure that all water uses existing at commencement could be included in the process, whether or not the landowner had complied with permit requirements. However, any new uses - including an addition in acres irrigated - require permits, per Idaho law. It has been a Department policy to recommend acres where resumption of irrigation occurred during the process of the Adjudication on acres that may have been dry in 1987, but had been irrigated historically, provided that no injury to other water users is apparent (ie: your claim 72-00201B in Pine Creek Subdivision). We cannot, however, include in our recommendation acres that you may wish to irrigate in the future.


The department uses "Arc View", a computer program also used by Custer County, to locate and calculate the irrigated acres, based on aerial photography. The exact shape of the fields is sometimes subject to human interpretation, therefore I also used notes that former agent Frank Hitchcock took during his field exams of your property. If you see that I have missed acres that you irrigate, please document them by drawing the acres, and the ditches used to irrigate them, on the GIS color images you received in the mail, and send them down to me, so that I can add to my recommendation. This also goes for points of diversion. If you know that a point of diversion wrong as represented on the GIS image, please mark the correct location so that I may change my recommendation. I'll then send you a corrected GIS image back for your approval.

You mentioned specific acres that you wished added to claim number 72-00193A in the NWNE of Section 35. I believe that this field was included in claim 72-00193B for Richard and Cheryl Baker, per instructions to former agent Frank Hitchcock. They didn't mention the discrepancy in their reply to our Notice of Error for 72-00193B, but further checking on my part shows that the field in question seems to be included in your Warranty Deed. I am, therefore, correcting the split of claim 72-00193 so that your "A" portion includes these acres. I'm also glad you caught the error in Explanatory Material - I corrected the EF-8 legal to read NESW.

On claim 72-00224 and -00225 you questioned the legal description for EF-3. Changing the legal to read to the $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ instead of $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ will still not make it match your original claim. Gary Ingram seemed to agree with the legal for EF-3 as I have described it, however, if you strongly disagree, let me know. In regard to the point of diversion for claim numbers 72-00199 and -00200, the point on the GIS image is incorrectly a bit north of section 5 since the river goes right along the section line, but your recommendation reads correct. I will move the "dot" down on our master GIS file to match the legal.

I hope that I have covered all of your concerns. I am sending you a corrected copy of the split claim 72-00193A, showing the change in place of use, and of the other claims with updated point of diversion legals, and other data entry corrections. Please let me know as soon as possible if you still wish to add irrigated acres, change specific points of diversion, or file for additional stockwater uses. The actual Director's Report to the SRBA Court is scheduled to be sent January 12, 1999, and it will be best if we can get things corrected before then. I want to thank you for your cooperation in the SRBA. It has certainly been a complicated process, but hopefully we are nearing the end in your basin. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to call me.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read 'V. Hancock', written in dark ink.

Vikie Hancock

Water Resource Agent

November 20, 1998

NOTICE OF ERROR
REPLY

RECEIVED

DEC 17 1998

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

Please type or print clearly, fill out this form completely and mail.

Return this reply form to IDWR by the deadline date printed below if you want IDWR to change your recommendation or you want to let IDWR know that you agree with its recommendation.

YOUR DEADLINE FOR RETURNING A NOTICE OF ERROR REPLY IS: December 18, 1998

Water right number: 72-00197

MELODIE L BAKER
D WAYNE BAKER
HC 68 BOX 304
CLAYTON ID 83227

Daytime Telephone Number:

Evening Telephone Number:

1. Describe the portion of the proposed recommendation with which you disagree (e.g. priority date, period of use, source): (1) Purpose of Use states Irrigation. This should read Irrigation and Stockwater. Doesn't show stockwater on preliminary, it does on our original and has been determined for historical beneficial use

2. Describe the changes you wish to make in the proposed recommendation:

(1) Purpose of Use: Should state
Irrigation and Stock water-add stockwater as in the original-historical beneficial use right.

3. Give a brief explanation as to why you disagree with these portions:

(1) Our legal reads "Irrigation and Stockwater" and so should the preliminary. It was proven as historical beneficial use and still can

 I AGREE with this proposed recommendation; no changes need to be made.

DATE: 12/17/98 ^{11/15} SIGNATURE: Melodie L. Baker David Wayne Baker
Please print your name: Melodie L Baker David Wayne Baker

AJ0743NP
(OD-124)

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIMS PROOF READING REPORT
(REGIONAL)

11/16/98 09:56:42
Page 1

Water Right Number - R72-00197

Prefix Translation:

RESEARCH

Old (D) Number -

+ - - - - Last Name - - - - +

+ - First Name - - - +

+ - - - - Address - - - - +

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***

BAKER

MELODIE L

1 - HC 68 BOX 304

State- ID Zip- 83227

BAKER

D WAYNE

1 - HC 68 BOX 304

State- ID Zip- 83227

*** O - ORIGINAL OWNER ***

BAKER

BETTY L

1 - HC-68 BOX 370

State- ID Zip- 83227

BAKER

DICK L

1 - HC-68 BOX 370

State- ID Zip- 83227

YACOMELLA

MARCO

1 - HC-68 BOX 370

State- ID Zip- 83227

+ - - Priority Date - - +
05/01/1936

+ - - Region - - - +
17 SOUTHERN

+ - - Stage - - - +
D - DECREED

+ - - Status - - - +

+ - - Source - - - - +
SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

+ - - Tributary - - - - +
SALMON RIVER

+ - - - - Point of Diversion - - - - +
Twn Rng Sec Tract Lot RFlg County

09N 18E 4 NW NW NW 4 (37) CUSTER EF-10

+ - - Code Description Period From Period To Water Use - - - - +
01 - IRRIGATION 04/01 10/31 1.200

+ - Total Div. Rate - + + - Total Div. Volume - + + - Total Consumptive Use - + + - KW Usage - +
1.200 0.0

FD 5 21
1990

Water right number - R72-00197

Prefix Translation: RESEARCH

Tw	Rng	Sec	Tract	Lot	Acres	Code	Description	County
09N	18E	4	NE NE	1	8.8	01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
09N	18E	4	NW NE	2	12.0	01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
10N	18E	33	SE SW	5	9.7	01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
10N	18E	33	SW SE	6	8.2	01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER

Total Acres: 38.7

+ - - Diversion Means - HEADGATE/DITCH

+ - - Non-Irrigation Use -

+ - - Other Rights for Same Use - 72-00196.

+ - - - - - Remarks - - - - - +

POU1 USE OF THIS RIGHT WITH RIGHT NO. 72-00196 IS LIMITED TO THE
IRRIGATION OF A COMBINED TOTAL OF 39 ACRES IN A SINGLE
IRRIGATION SEASON.

+ - - - - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +

No Old Water Right Numbers Found

+ - - - - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +

C03 - RIGHT INCLUDES ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POINT OF DIVERSION
- PURSUANT TO SECTION 42-1425, IDAHO CODE.

+ - - - - Decree Plaintiff - - - +
GOSSI, ET. AL.

+ - - - - Decree Defendant - - - +
GIAMPEDRAGLIA, ET. AL.

+ - Decree Date - +
00/00/0000

+ - - - - - Comments - - - - - +

Date: 12/22/1995 User Id: FHITCHCO Subject: COPIED FROM REMARKS
OWNERSHIP CHANGE ENTERED 07-13-1995 BY FH.
S/400 CATTLE AND 20 HORSES

+ - - Audit Fields - - +

11/16/98 VHANCOCK

+ - - - - - Adjudication Rights Dates and Flags Area - - - - - +

Court Report Filing - 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Made - 00/00/0000	Civil Case Number - 39576
Objection Filed Date - 00/00/0000	Field Inspection Flag -	Old Case Number -
Response Filed Date - 00/00/0000	Verified Date - 11/16/1998	Old Judicial District -
Claim Received Date - 12/04/1989	Last Amended Date - 00/00/0000	Temporary Right - P
	Receipt Number - M005289	Water District -
	POU Ownership Flag - Y	Federal/State Indicator - S
	Name Connector - AND/OR	Presumption Flag -
		Notice of Error Flag -

CLAIM NUMBER 72-00196 DATE 10-17-98
72-00197 AGENT WHL

TRANSFER NUMBER _____
CLAIMED ACRE TOTAL 39
GIS ACRE TOTAL 38.7

	TWP	RNG	SEC	NE				NW				SW				SE				
				NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	NE	NW	SW	SE	
CLAIM	<u>9N</u>	<u>18E</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>15</u>															<u>26</u>
GIS				<u>8.8</u>	<u>12</u>															<u>20</u>
RECOM																				
CLAIM	<u>10N</u>	<u>18E</u>	<u>33</u>												<u>9</u>			<u>4</u>		<u>12</u>
GIS															<u>9.7</u>			<u>8.2</u>		<u>17</u>
RECOM															<u>9.7</u>			<u>8.2</u>		<u>17</u>
CLAIM																				
GIS																				
RECOM																				

B72-DBPOU.SHP _____
SHAPE NOS. _____
356 _____

B72-DBPOD.SHP _____
NOS. DESCRIPTION _____
214 EF10 Section 4 T9N R18E NW NW NW


DBF TABLES UPDATED _____
B72-DBPOU.DBF _____
B72-DBPOD.DBF _____

9/14 ✓

6/15

**RIGHTS 72-00196,
and 72-00197**

RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE
TWP 10N, RNG 18E, SEC 33
TWP 09N, RNG 18E, SEC 4
data entry on October 28, 1998



RECOMMENDED PLACE OF USE

⊙ **RECOMMENDED POINT OF DIVERSION**

▭ **SECTION LINES**

▭ **QUARTER/QUARTER LINES**

▭ **SUBDIVISION LOT BOUNDARIES**

GIS PLACE OF USE PRESENTATION

1987 OR 1988 NAPP AERIAL PHOTOTGRAPHY

DATA ENTRY BY VIKIE HANCOCK

REEV. UATION OF IRRIGATION RA ES BASED UPON 199. REVISED HUBBLE ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

CLAIM NUMBERS

72-00196

 DATE: 11-16, 1998
72-00197

 REVIEWED BY DA

CHALLIS AREA SOIL REVIEW

SOIL TYPE	BORDER CFS/AC		FURROW CFS/AC		OPTIMUM ROTATION		SOIL TYPE	BORDER CFS/AC		FURROW CFS/AC		OPTIMUM ROTATION	
	PAST	ALFA	PAST	ALFA	PASTURE	ALFALFA		PAST	ALFA	PAST	ALFA	PASTURE	ALFALFA
ATC	.15	.13			6.49	7.62	PD2	.11	.12			5.15	4.74
BB1	.09	.09			6.09	6.17	RE2	.29	.21			3.15	4.74
BRD							RP1	.19	.21			4.89	4.57
BC1	.16	.17			5.82	5.46	SH1	.06	.05			9.37	12.10
BK1	.07	.06			10.62	11.86	SOC	.08	.07			7.38	8.08
BT1	.18	.16			3.99	4.19	SSA	.15	.14			4.79	5.01
BT2	.41	.32			2.34	2.87	SXF	.12	.11			6.14	6.80
CB1	.06	.06			11.55	13.47	TC1	.06	.06			9.82	9.55
CHA	.21	.21			4.52	4.76	TD1	.04	.03			12.46	16.50
CP1	.36	.36			2.61	2.78	WB2	.13	.13			5.37	5.43
DB1	.13	.11			5.66	6.65	WAA	.19	.16			3.81	4.23
DBB	.13	.11			5.71	6.69	WBB	.17	.14			3.36	3.84
DBC	.13	.11			5.71	6.69	WC1	.07	.06			11.24	13.45
DMF	.18	.21			5.12	4.72	WPT	.19	.15			3.16	3.69
DT2	.05	.04			12.46	16.50	WV1	.07	.06			11.24	13.45
DWA	.14	.14			4.79	5.01	XHS						
G01	.10	.12			12.47	10.51	YN1	.18	.14			5.38	6.92
HAYSE													
KEG	.08	.07			8.73	10.06							
MUG	.15	.14			4.54	4.98							
NDL	.13	.12			5.30	6.06							

The rate per acre calculations are based upon a 300 foot run at a 2% slope. An 8 hour set time was also used. Individual graded border evaluations, soil characteristics work sheets, and peak irrigation diversion requirement work sheets have been created and archived separately for each soil type.

Where actual rotation is shorter than the optimal rotation, optimal rotation is the criteria to be used for the recommendation analysis and, therefore, the rotation percentage adjustment is not necessary. The rate becomes the acres per soil type times the rate per acre from the above chart.

SOIL TYPE	OPTIMAL ROTATION / (chart)	ACTUAL ROTATION / (field)	ACRES PER SOIL TYPE (field)	RATE PER ACRE (chart)	TOTAL DIVERSION RATE
<u>Hb1</u>	/	*	<u>29.6</u>	*	= CFS
<u>CP1</u>	/	*	<u>6.3</u>	*	= <u>229</u> CFS
<u>DBB</u>	/	*	<u>2.8</u>	*	= <u>.36</u> CFS
	/	*		*	= CFS

 TOTAL ACRES 38.7

DECREED RATE =

CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE =

MEASURED DIVERSION RATE =

HUBBLE

to said action or their successors, and the consent of all parties to this action as shown by the pleadings and stipulation of counsel in this action, is hereby modified and corrected as to the quantities of water and dates of priority of appropriation thereon, in the manner and to the extent as in paragraph seven herein set forth.

7. That the following named persons, parties to this suit, are entitled to the uninterrupted use of the flow of the waters of East Fork of the Salmon River and its tributaries, forks, branches, springs and streams, hereinafter named, upon the lands mentioned and described in the complaint, answer and cross-complaint and stipulations on file herein, and appurtenant to said lands as hereinafter specified, in the following amounts and from the following dates, to-wit:

That ROSA A. GOSSI and FRED GOSSI are the owners of Lots 1 and 2, Section 3, Township 9 North, Range 18, E. B. M.; NW¹/₄SW¹/₄, Section 25; E¹/₂SE¹/₄, Section 26; SE¹/₄SE¹/₄, Section 34; SW¹/₄SW¹/₄, W¹/₂NE¹/₄, NW¹/₄SE¹/₄, Section 35, all in Township 10 North, Range 18, E. B. M. and appurtenant to said land they are entitled to .4 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of Herd Creek with date of priority May 1, 1885; .2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of McDonald Creek with date of priority May 1, 1885; .2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of Fox Creek with date of priority May 1, 1885; 5.2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of East Fork of the Salmon River with date of priority May 1, 1885; and .3 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of the East Fork of Salmon River with date of priority May 1, 1936, and with points of diversion in the NW¹/₄NE¹/₄, Section 2; SE¹/₄NE¹/₄, NE¹/₄NW¹/₄, Section 3; all in Township 9 North, Range 18, E. B. M.; and NW¹/₄NE¹/₄, SW¹/₄NE¹/₄, SE¹/₄SE¹/₄, NW¹/₄SE¹/₄, and SE¹/₄SW¹/₄, Section 35, all in Township 10 North, Range 18, E. B. M.;

That HATTIE BAKER is the owner of SW¹/₄NE¹/₄, E¹/₂NE¹/₄, Section 27, Township 9 North, Range 17, E. B. M., and appurtenant to said land, she is entitled to 1.2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of the East Fork of the Salmon River with date of priority May 1, 1915, point of diversion in the SE¹/₄NW¹/₄, Section 27, Township 9 North, Range 17, E. B. M.

That MARCO YACOMELLA is the owner of Lots 1 and 2, Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 18, E. B. M., and Lots 5 and 6, Section 35, Township 10 North, Range 18, E. B. M.; and appurtenant to said land he is entitled to 1.2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of the East Fork of the Salmon River with date of priority May 1, 1895 and he is also entitled to 1.2 cubic feet per second of time of the waters of said East Fork of the Salmon River with date of priority May 1, 1936, with point of diversion in Lot 3, Section 4, Township 9 North, Range 18, E. B. M.

Basin	72	IRRIGATION	DIV. RATE	IFAG #
JP RANCH		SALMON, E.F.	6.37	EF-1
"		" "	15.40	EF-2
BAKER WAYNE		INDIAN CANY	18.57	EF-3
ANDERSON		SALMON, E.F.	14.75	EF-4
BRADSHAW		ROAD CR.	.90	N/A
E.F. SUBD 1-7		" "	1.105	N/A
E.F. SUBD		SALMON, E.F.	4.30	EF-5
CRESTO		" "	3.12	EF-6
BAKER, D+B		" "	14.70	EF-7
" "		" "	4.06	EF-8
" "		" "	2.88	EF-9
PENNETTS		HEARD CR.	2.12	HC-3
BAKER, D+B		SALMON, E.F.	4.42	HC-2
" "		" "	24.67	HC-1
" "		McDONALD CR.	N/A	N/A
" "		FOX CR.	N/A	N/A
" "		SALMON, E.F.	8.42	EF-10
" "		" "	17.67	EF-11
" "		" "	15.89	EF-12
* GUFFY		PINE CR. #2	.34	N/A
" "		" " #2	1.37	N/A
PINE CR. SUBD		SALMON, E.F.	13.73	EF-13
BAKER, D+B		" "	14.97	EF-15
" , EDDIE		" "	12.99	EF-16
" "		" "	6.26	EF-17
" "		BIG BAULDER CR.	4.34	BB-1
" "		" "	3.62	BB-2
" "		SALMON, E.F.	1.34	EF-18

6.18

* BAKER, D+B BIG LAKE CR. 3.45

RECEIVED

AUG 01 1995

Department of Water Resources
Southern Region

MEASUREMENT

SEF-07

05/25/93	6.8 Above	-	n/a
07/12/93	13.2	0.6-0.7F	n/a
09/14/93	12.0	0.5-0.6F	n/a
09/07/93 ~IDWR	14.75		
08/11/59	12.6	-	-

SEF-08

05/26/93	5.1	1.93	n/a
07/13/93	3.4	1.75	n/a
09/14/93	3.8	-	n/a
09/07/93 ~IDWR	4.11 Above		

SEF-09

05/26/93	7.4	98% Sub.	n/a
07/13/93	5.3	97% Sub.	n/a
09/14/93	3.1	None	n/a
09/07/93 ~IDWR	3.1 Above		

1958 or 1959 6.4 - -
 Headgate washed out 1958, to rebuild in 1960 for 5.0 cfs. (1959 data)

SEF-10

05/26/93	6.3	1.21	n/a
07/13/93	4.1	1.58	n/a
09/15/93	6.5	1.06	n/a
09/07/93 ~IDWR	9.0 Above		
08/24/59	5.5	-	-

SEF-11

05/27/93	14.8	1.66	n/a
07/13/93	17.9	1.75	n/a
09/15/93	13.6	1.58-1.59F	n/a
09/07/93 ~IDWR	17.5		
07/17/59	8.8	-	-

SEF-12

05/27/93	16.8	-	n/a
07/13/93	17.7	2.1-2.2F	n/a
09/20/93	13.6	1.96-2.0F	n/a
09/09/93 ~IDWR	16.0		
07/17/59	8.4	-	-

RECEIVED

NOV 24 1993

Department of Water Resources
 Eastern Region Office

From IDF 86

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

PAGE: 1
GENERAL INFORMATION

CLAIM NUMBER: A72-00197

09:45:16
05/17/95

LAST: YACOMELLA
ADDRESS:
CITY: -
PHONE NUMBER: 208-000-0000
STATE: ID ZIP: 00000

OWNER CODE: 0

LAST: BAKER
ADDRESS: HC-68 BOX 370
CITY: CLAYTON
PHONE NUMBER: 208-838-2271
STATE: ID ZIP: 83227

OWNER CODE: C

LAST: BAKER
ADDRESS: HC-68 BOX 370
CITY: CLAYTON
PHONE NUMBER: 208-838-2271
STATE: ID ZIP: 83227

OWNER CODE: C

FIELD EXAM REQUIRED?
VERIFICATION REQUIRED DUE TO REMARKS?
NO REMARKS IN CLAIM
DATABASE N
FIELD EXAMINER

CVR

CONTACT PERSON: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

DATE OF FIELD EXAM: 2/2/93

APPOINTMENT MADE: Y(____) N(____)

TIME: ____:____ A(____) P(____)

ACCOMPANIED BY: _____

PHONE NUMBER: (____) ____-____

RELATIONSHIP TO CLAIMANT: _____

DIRECTIONS TO PROPERTY / NOTES:

Field Exam by Frank Hitchcock.
See photos in file 72-00196
See irrigation practices & diversion descriptions in 72-00190

- A. AJ CLAIM: # A72-00197 PRIORITY DATE: 05-01-1936 STAGE: D
- B. WR RECORD: # 72-00197 PRIORITY DATE: 05-01-1936 STAGE: D
- C. CAN THE PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED BE CONFIRMED BY A DECREE OR LICENSE? Y(☒) N(☐)
- D. HAS THERE BEEN A LATER COURT DECREE OF THE WATER RIGHT(S) FROM THIS WATER SOURCE? Y(☐) N(☒)
- E. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE?
(IF YES, DESCRIBE BELOW) Y(☐) N(☒)
- F. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON A PERMIT, WHERE IS THE PERMIT IN THE LICENSING PROCESS?
- PROOF OF BENEFICIAL USE SUBMITTED N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)
- FIELD EXAM COMPLETED N/A(☐) Y(☐) N(☐)
- FIELD EXAM SENT TO STATE OFFICE N/A(☐) Y(☐) N(☐)
- LICENSE ISSUED N/A(☐) Y(☐) N(☐)
- * IF THE PERMIT WILL NOT BE LICENSED PRIOR TO THE DIRECTOR'S REPORT,
USE CONDITION CODE A01 AND RECOMMEND AS PERMITTED.
- G. IF THIS CLAIM IS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, IS ADDITIONAL EVIDENCE
OF THE PRIORITY DATE REQUIRED? N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)
- * FOR CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE, DESCRIBE THE EVIDENCE OF PRIORITY SUBMITTED,
INCLUDING THE ANALYSIS OF THE EVIDENCE.
- H. IS THE STAGE OF THE CLAIM CODED CORRECTLY? Y(☒) N(☐)

NOTES: _____

72-00197 represents decreed water with priority date
of 1895 for same POU.

=====

RECOMMENDED PRIORITY DATE:

05-01-1936

REVIEWED BY

[Signature]

DATE

11-23-98

A. AJ CLAIM POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP. RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	POD FLAG	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NUMBER
A72-00197	09N 18E	4		NW	NW	4		CUSTER		
OTHER AJ CLAIMS WITH SAME AJ POD						WR RECORDS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT				
A PREFIX A72-00196						R PREFIX R72-00196 R72-10493				
						NONE				

SOURCE: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

TRIB: SALMON RIVER

B. WR POINT OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE:

RIGHT	TWP. RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	POD FLAG	COUNTY	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NUMBER
72-00197	09N 18E	4		NE	NW	3		CUSTER		
OTHER WR RECORDS WITH SAME WR POD						AJ CLAIMS IN SAME 40 ACRE TRACT				
72-00196						A PREFIX A72-10493 A72-12291 A72-12292 A72-16011				
						R PREFIX NONE				

SOURCE: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

TRIB: SALMON RIVER

C. IS THE CLAIMED POD WITHIN THE SAME 40 ACRE TRACT THAT IS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(☒) N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POD, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

D. CAN THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE OR GPS DATA? N/A() Y() N(☒)

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POD BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(☒) N()

F. IS THE CLAIMED SOURCE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(☒) N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN SOURCE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

G. CAN THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE? Y() N(☒)

H. SHOULD THE CLAIMED SOURCE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(☒) N()

I. HOW WAS THE POD AND SOURCE VERIFIED?

LICENSING FIELD EXAM (DATE OF FIELD EXAM _____)

Y() N()

AJ FIELD EXAM

(DATE OF FIELD EXAM 8-17-93)

NAME OF EXAMINER F. Hitchcock

Y(☒) N()

OTHER (DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW)

NOTES: P/d Screened by IDFG Fish Screen EF 10

RECOMMENDED POINT(S) OF DIVERSION AND SOURCE: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED ()

TWP.	RGE.	SEC.	1/4	1/4	1/4	LOT	POD FLAG	COUNTY CLUSTER	DIVERSION NAME	DIVERSION NBR
09N	18E	4	NW	NW	NW	4			EF 10	

SOURCE: SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

TRIB: SALMON RIVER

REVIEWED BY: [Signature]

DATE: 11-23-98

SYSTEM DESCRIPTION: DESCRIBED BELOW (), SEE LICENSE FILE (), OR SEE ATTACHMENT (☒)

See claim file 72-00190 for description of
irrigation practices & diversion works.

A. ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBERS: A72-00197
R72-00197

B. POU LISTING

TWP	RNG	SEC	1/4	1/4	LOT	NAME	AJ #/ACRES	WR #/ACRES	LANDSAT DATA	FIELD EXAM.	RECOMM. ACRES
09N	18E	4	NE	NE	1	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00197 / 11.0	72-00197 /		6.5	
			NE	NE	1	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00196 / 11.0	72-00196 /			
			NE	NE	1	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00196 / 11.0	- /		8.8	
			NE	NE	1	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00197 / 11.0	- /			
09N	18E	4	NW	NE	2	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00197 / 15.0	72-00197 /			
			NW	NE	2	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00196 / 15.0	72-00196 /		12	
			NW	NE	2	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00196 / 15.0	- /			
			NW	NE	2	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00197 / 15.0	- /			
10N	18E	33	SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00197 / 9.0	72-00197 /	18.0		
			SE	SW		STATE OF IDAHO	R72-10493 /	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00196 / 9.0	72-00196 /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00198 / 5.0	- /		9.7	
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00199 / 5.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00200 / 5.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00196 / 9.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00197 / 9.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00198 / 5.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00199 / 5.0	- /			
			SE	SW	5	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00200 / 5.0	- /			
10N	18E	33	SW	SE	6	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00197 / 4.0	72-00197 /	10.0		
			SW	SE	6	BAKER, DICK L	A72-00196 / 4.0	72-00196 /		8.2	
			SW	SE	6	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00196 / 4.0	- /			
			SW	SE	6	BAKER, DICK L	R72-00197 / 4.0	- /			

ADDITIONAL POU FOUND

TOTAL ACRES A72-00197

39.00

28.00

POU COUNTY: CUSTER

38.7

C. IS WATER USAGE FOUND IN TRACTS OTHER THAN THOSE CLAIMED?

Y() N(☒)

* IF YES, ARE THESE TRACTS LISTED ABOVE (), OR ARE THEY LISTED ON AN ATTACHED SHEET ()

LIST OF ALL RIGHTS WITH A SAME POU AND IRRIGATION USAGE

CLAIM NO.	SOURCE	NAME	DIV. RATE	DIV. RATE/ACRE	DIV. VOL.	DIV. VOL./ACRE
A72-00197	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.200	0.030		
A72-00196	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.200	0.030		
R72-00196	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.200	0.030		
R72-00197	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.200	0.030		
A72-00198	BIG LAKE CREEK	BAKER, DICK L	1.500	0.009		
R72-00198	BIG LAKE CREEK	BAKER, DICK L	1.500	0.009		
R72-00199	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.500	0.009		
A72-00199	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.500	0.009		
A72-00200	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.300	0.008		
R72-00200	SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK	BAKER, DICK L	1.300	0.008		

LIST ALL RIGHTS WITH SAME POU BUT NOT USED FOR IRRIGATION.

CLAIM NO.	PURPOSES	NAME	MONTH/DAY	RATE	VOLUME
R72-10493	FISH PROPAGATION	STATE OF IDAHO	FROM 04-15 TO 10-15	0.800 CFS	

D. ARE OTHER WATER RIGHTS USED FOR THE SAME PURPOSE?

Y(☒) N()

* IF YES, COMPLETE OVERLAP REVIEW:

OTHER RIGHTS LISTED IN CLAIM: 72-00196.

Decreed water with 1895 priority from same source

E. IS THE CLAIMED POU THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE?

N/A() Y(☒) N()

* IF NO, AND IF THE CHANGE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN POU, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

F. CAN THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE AND AVAILABLE AERIAL PHOTOS?

N/A() Y() N(☒)

G. SHOULD THE CLAIMED POU BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM?

Y(☒) N()

H. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THIS RIGHT?

Y() N(☒)

CHECK DOCUMENTS USED TO VERIFY POU: ☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD, IDWR NO. V24; () TOPOGRAPHIC QUAD, IDWR NO. _____;
☒ NAPP, IDWR NO. V24 SE; () ASCS PHOTO; () OTHER _____

NOTES:

Irrigated acres calculated & located with
ARC VIEW GIS program using 1988 NAPP Aerial
photography and quarter/quarter overlays

RECOMMENDATIONS:

TOTAL ACRES: 39 N/A()

REVIEWED BY: [Signature]

DATE: 11-23-98

A. AJ CLAIMED USES:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
A72-00197 IRRIGATION	FROM 04-01 TO 11-01	1.200 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME		1.200 CFS	

B. WR RECORDED USES:

PURPOSE OF USE	PERIOD OF USE (MONTH/DAY)	DIV. RATE FOR EACH USE	DIV. VOL. FOR EACH USE
72-00197 IRRIGATION	FROM TO	1.200 CFS	
TOTAL DIVERSION RATE/VOLUME		1.200 CFS	

C. DOES THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE CORRECTLY DESCRIBE THE WATER USE? Y(☒) N(☐)

D. IS THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(☐) Y(☒) N(☐)

* IF NO, AND IF THE ACTUAL WATER USE IS VERIFIED AS AN ACCOMPLISHED CHANGE IN PURPOSE OF USE, DESCRIBE THE INJURY ANALYSIS PERFORMED AND ENTER FLAG "C" WITH THE APPROPRIATE EXPLANATORY CODE.

E. SHOULD THE CLAIMED PURPOSE OF USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(☒) N(☐)

F. DOES THE CLAIMED PERIOD OF USE CONFORM TO IDWR STANDARDS? Y(☐) N(☒)

G. SHOULD THE PERIOD OF USE BE RECOMMENDED TO IDWR STANDARDS? Y(☒) N(☐)

* IF THE RECOMMENDED PERIOD OF USE IS DIFFERENT THAN IDWR STANDARDS, EXPLAIN IN NOTES BELOW.

H. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(☐) Y(☒) N(☐)

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

I. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? N/A(☐) Y(☐) N(☒)

J. IS THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A(☒) Y(☒) N(☐)

* IF NO, DESCRIBE IN NOTES BELOW HOW THE RECOMMENDED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE WAS DETERMINED.

K. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME FOR EACH USE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? N/A(☒) Y(☐) N(☐)

NOTES:

*No period of use in decal. Recommend
current EPWR standards for Busen 72.*

AJ CLAIM TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 1.200 CFS

WR ROOT RIGHT TOTAL DIVERSION RATE: 1.200 CFS

A. IS THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE THE SAME AS DESCRIBED IN THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y(☒) N()

B. CAN THE CLAIMED TOTAL DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED USING THE WATER RIGHT FILE? N/A() Y() N(☒)

* ALL CLAIMS BASED ON BENEFICIAL USE (INCLUDING POSTED NOTICES AND STATUTORY CLAIMS) REQUIRE A FLOW MEASUREMENT.

C. IS THERE ANY INDICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN A FIVE YEAR PERIOD OF CONTINUOUS NONUSE OF THIS RIGHT, OR ANY PORTION OF THE DIVERSION RATE? Y() N(☒)

* IF AN AMOUNT SMALLER THAN THE ORIGINAL DIVERSION RATE IS CLAIMED AND A FIELD EXAM IS NOT REQUIRED, EXPLAIN IN NOTES.

D. SHOULD THE CLAIMED DIVERSION RATE BE VERIFIED BY FIELD EXAM? Y(☒) N()

E. FLOW MEASUREMENT

MEASUREMENT METHOD IDF#6 information, SEE ATTACHED CALCULATIONS.

F. RATE/VOLUME CALCULATIONS: (IRRIGATION)

1a. IRRIGATION RATE
ACRES 39 * x 0.02 CFS/ACRE = .78 CFS
(Taken from pou review)

IS A DIVERSION RATE PER ACRE GREATER THAN 0.02 CFS/ACRE CLAIMED? Y(☒) N()

IF YES, IS AN ADDITIONAL WATER USE FORM FILED AND ATTACHED? Y(☒) N()

2. IRRIGATION DIVERSION VOLUME

CLAIMED DIVERSION VOLUME = AF/A
ACRES _____ x DIVERSION VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =
TOTAL DIVERSION VOLUME 97.5 AF/A

3. IRRIGATION CONSUMPTIVE USE

CLAIMED CONSUMPTIVE USE = 97.5 AF/A
ACRES _____ x CONSUMPTIVE VOL. AF/A FOR AREA _____ =
TOTAL CONSUMPTIVE USE 97.5 AF/A

4. CALCULATIONS FOR USES OTHER THAN IRRIGATION: SEE BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()

1b. CALCULATIONS

* Decree awarded
0.03 cfs per acre

72-00196 = 1.2 cfs
72-00197 = 1.2 cfs
2.4

NOTES: Decree awarded 0.03 cfs per acre. Druppbe
analysis indicated requirement above amount
claimed. Recommended as claimed for both
72-00196 and 72-00197 (overlapping POW) for 2.4 cfs total.

WR RECORD CONDITIONS/REMARKS:

RECOMMENDATIONS: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED ()

REC. PURPOSE OF USE	REC. PERIOD		REC. DIV		REC. DIV		REC. CONS.	
	FROM	TO	RATE	CFS	VOL.	AF/A	USE	
IRRIGATION	04-01	11-01	DATABASE 1.200	EXAMINER ✓	DATABASE	EXAMINER	DATABASE 97.5	EXAMINER
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____	_____
TOTAL FOR THIS RIGHT			1.200	✓		✓	97.5	✓

NON-IRRIGATION USES CLAIMED: S/400 CATTLE AND 20 HORSES

NON-IRRIGATION USES RECOMMENDED: CHECK HERE IF RECOMMENDED AS CLAIMED ()

REVIEWED BY: _____ *incidental stockwater*
DATE: 11-23-98

FLAG: _____

STANDARD CONDITIONS (CODE): _____

STANDARD REMARKS (CODE): _____

EXPLANATORY CONDITIONS (CODE): _____

EXPLANATORY REMARKS (CODE): _____

E11 39 ac
w/194

PAS w/196

CX3

A. CHECK ONLY THE FOLLOWING THAT APPLY:

	ACCEPTED AS CLAIMED	NEEDS REVIEW
OWNERSHIP _____	(☒)	()
FORFEIT/ABANDON _____	(☒)	()
ADDITIONAL DIV. AMOUNT _____	(☒)	()

B. SPLIT WATER RIGHT REVIEW

ROOT WATER RIGHT NUMBER: 72-00197

TOTAL DIVERSION RATE OF WR SPLITS	DATABASE 1.200	EXAMINER _____	CFS
-----------------------------------	-------------------	-------------------	-----

SPLITS:

OLD RIGHT NUMBERS	CURRENT CLAIM NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE	CURRENT "R" CLAIM NUM.	"R" DIV. RATE	WR RIGHT NUMBERS	DIVERSION RATE
-	R72-00197	1.200	R72-00197	1.200	72-00197	1.200
TOTAL CFS		1.200		1.200		1.200

DIVERSION RATE REVIEW

DO THE SUM CFS RATE OF THE SPLITS EQUAL THE ROOT RIGHT CFS RATE? (Y/N)
IF NO, IS THE WRITTEN REVIEW DESCRIBED BELOW () OR SEE ATTACHMENT ()?

DATABASE	FIELD EXAMINER
Y	Y(☒) N()

NOTES:

no splits

AUTHENTICATION:

SIGNATURE: _____

DATE: _____

NAME OF FIELD EXAMINER: _____

TITLE: _____

MAPS AND AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS USED TO REVIEW THIS NOTICE OF CLAIM TO A WATER RIGHT

TYPE OF MAP OR PHOTOGRAPH (CHECK IF USED)	IF USED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE DATE AND OTHER INFORMATION	COPY INCLUDED IN FILE?
☒ ORTHOPHOTOQUAD	DATE OF PHOTO <u>9-24-74</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>124</u>	Y(☒) N(☐)
☐ TOPOGRAPHIC	DATE FIELD CHECKED _____ IDWR ID NO. _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☒ NAPP	DATE OF PHOTO <u>9-18-88</u> IDWR ID NO. <u>124 SE</u>	Y(☒) N(☐) GIS FILE
☐ ASCS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ ASCS COLOR SLIDE	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ BLM OR USFS AERIAL PHOTO	DATE OF PHOTO _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ TOWNSHIP SURVEY (GOVERNMENT PLAT)	DATE OF SURVEY _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☒ SCs SOIL SURVEY MAP	DATE ISSUED <u>GIS FILE IN ARC VIEW</u>	Y(☐) N(☒)
☐ SUBDIVISION PLAT MAP	DATE OF MAP _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	Y(☐) N(☐)

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS (CHECK IF ATTACHED)	IF ATTACHED, SUPPLY APPROPRIATE INFORMATION	NO. OF PAGES	NOTES
☐ LICENSING FIELD EXAM	DATE OF FIELD EXAM _____	_____	_____
☐ WATER RIGHT LICENSE	DATE LICENSE SIGNED _____	_____	_____
☐ IDWR APPROVED TRANSFER	DATE TRANSFER APPROVED _____	_____	_____
☒ SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	DATE DRAWN <u>See claim # 72-00190 by F. Hitchcock</u>	_____	_____
☒ PHOTOGRAPHS	DATE(S) PHOTOS TAKEN <u>See claim # 72-00196 by F. Hitchcock</u>	_____	_____
☐ SPLIT RIGHT REVIEW	DATE REVIEW COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☒ HUBBLE ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED <u>11-16-98 DHA</u>	_____	_____
☐ CONVEYANCE LOSS ANALYSIS	DATE ANALYSIS COMPLETED _____	_____	_____
☒ FLOW MEASUREMENT CALCULATIONS	DATE SYSTEM MEASURED <u>IDF & game info</u>	_____	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____
☐ OTHER _____	DATE _____	_____	_____

STATE OF IDAHO
DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES
ADJUDICATION CLAIM VERIFICATION REPORT

09:45:16
05/17/95

PAGE: 16
QUICKLOOK INFORMATION

33
CLAIM NUMBER: A72-00197

WR ELEMENT	DATA DISCREPANCY Y/N	CORRESPONDENCE SENT/RECEIVED BY WHOM/DATE	FIELD EXAM BY WHOM/DATE	CHANGE CLAIM Y/N	ELEMENT DOCUMENTED WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED REVIEW WHOM/DATE	COMPLETED DATA ENTRY WHOM/DATE	VERIFIED DATE WHOM/DATE
PRIORITY DATE			F.H. 8-17-98		F.H. 8-17-98	D.A. 11-23-98	D.A. 11-23-98	
POINT OF DIV								
SOURCE								
PLACE OF USE	Y							
DIV. AMOUNT CFS/VOLUME								
CONSUMPTIVE USE	-							
PURPOSES OF USE								
PERIOD OF USE	Y							
BASIS OF CLAIM								
REMARKS			✓		✓	✓	✓	

BARCODE UPDATED
WHOM/DATE

09:56:15
05/17/95
34

CLAIM NUMBER: A72-00197

E" 196
39 ac

CLAIM	INIT		
T.	R.	S.	Q/Q
P/D	V24	OPQ	
PHOTO	7-24-74		
DT. & ID.			

R18E

31

32

33

34

6

5

4

3

T
9
N

T
10
N

Asclamaad

Asclamaad

MAP

Water Right Number - 72-00197

Prefix Translation:

WATER RIGHT

Old (D) Number -

*** C - CURRENT OWNER ***
YACOMELLA

MARCO

1 -
2 -
3 -
City-
Phone -

State- Zip-

Priority Date - - - +
05/01/1936

Region - - - +
17 SOUTHERN

Stage - - - +
D - DECREED

Status - - - +

Source - - - - - +
SALMON RIVER, EAST FORK

Tributary - - - - - +
SALMON RIVER

Twn	Rng	Sec	Tract	Lot	Rflg	County	Point of Diversion	Stream Index (Blank if no Index Code)
09N	18E	4	NE NW	3		(37) CUSTER		

Code	Description	Period From	Period To	Water Use	Diversion Rate	Diversion Volume
01 - IRRIGATION					1.200	

Total Div. Rate - + - Total Div. Volume - + - Total Div. Capacity - +
1.200

Twn	Rng	Sec	Tract	Lot	Acres	Place of Use	Code	Description	County
09N	18E	4	NE NE	1			01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
09N	18E	4	NW NE	2			01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
10N	18E	33	SE SW	5			01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER
10N	18E	33	SW SE	6			01	IRRIGATION	(37) CUSTER

- - - Diversion Means -

- - - Non-Irrigation Use -

- - - Other Rights for Same Use -

- - - Remarks - - - - - +
No Remarks found.

- - - Old Water Right Numbers - - - - - +
No Old Water Right Numbers found.

- - - Conditions of Approval - - - - - +
No Conditions of Approval found.

- - - Decree Plaintiff - - - + - - - Decree Defendant - - - + - - - Decree Date - - - +
GOSSI, ET. AL. GIAMPEDRAGLIA, ET. AL. 02/14/1938

WR BASE RIGHT

