

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

RECEIVED

JAN 09 2008

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

In Re SRBA

)

A. Subcase: 37-00894B

)

Case No. 39576

)

)

STANDARD FORM 2
RESPONSE TO OBJECTION

)

)

Please **print or type** the following information:

B. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON RESPONDING

Name: David M. Selgren

Address: P.O. Box 2810

Sun Valley, ID 83353

c/o Elizabeth Bosted

P.O. Box 85

Paul, ID 83347

Daytime Phone:

Name & Address of Attorney, if any:

Fritz X. Haemmerle

HAEMMERLE & HAEMMERLE, P.L.L.C.

P.O. Box 1800

Hailey, ID 83333

**C. NAME AND ADDRESS OF PERSON WHO FILED THE OBJECTION TO
WHICH YOU ARE RESPONDING**

Name: Tom O'Gara

John F. Stevenson

Bill Molyneux

Pete Van Der Meulen

Grace Eakin

Rocky Sherbine

Gerald Bashaw

Pepin Corso-Harris

c/o Concerned Water Users

P.O. Box 1200

Bellevue, ID 83313

Big Wood Canal Company

112 South Apple Street

Shoshone, ID 83352

Address: Albert P. Barker

BARKER, ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP

P.O. Box 2139

Boise, ID 83701

Tel: (208) 336-0700

For O'Gara, Stevenson, Molyneux,

Van Der Meulen, Eakin

Sherbine & Bashaw

Craig Hobdey

HOBDEY & HOBDEY

P.O. Box 176

Gooding, ID 83330

Tel: (208) 934-4420

For: Big Wood Canal Company

D. I am responding to the objections to the following elements. (Please check the appropriate box(es)).


1. ☐ **Name and Address**
2. ☐ **Source**
3. ☒ **Quantity**
4. ☐ **Priority Date**
5. ☐ **Point(s) of Diversion**
6. ☐ **Instream Flow Description**
7. ☐ **Purpose(s) of Use**
8. ☐ **Period of Year**
9. ☐ **Place of Use**
11. ☐ **Recommendation**

☐ This water right should not exist.

☐ This water right was not recommended, but should be recommended with the elements described above.

Reasons for Response:

1. **Failure to Properly Serve:** The Big Wood Canal Company did not verify their objection as required by law, so its objection should be dismissed. The "Concerned Water Users" failed to sign the objection in its name, so its objection should be dismissed. Also, the Objectors failed to serve both owners of the water right.
2. **Lack of Standing:** The so-called "Concerned Water Users" are not claimants in the SRBA, so its objection should be dismissed. Upon information and belief, the claimant also maintains the Objectors are unaffected and are not injured in fact by the claims.



F.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on Dec 7th, 2008, I mailed the original and copies of this response, including all attachments, to the following persons:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
PO Box 2707
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707

2. One copy to the claimant of the water right at the following address:

David M. Selgren	c/o Elizabeth Bosted
P.O. Box 2810	P.O. Box 85
Sun Valley, ID 83353	Paul, ID 83347

3. One copy to the party who filed the objection at the following address:

Concerned Water Users
P.O. Box 1200
Bellevue, ID 83313

Albert P. Barker
BARKER, ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP
P.O. Box 2139
Boise, ID 83701
Tel: (208) 336-0700
For O'Gara, Stevenson, Molyneux,
Van Der Meulen, Eakin
Sherbine & Bashaw

Craig Hobdey
HOBDEY & HOBDEY
P.O. Box 176
Gooding, ID 83330
Tel: (208) 934-4420
For: Big Wood Canal Company

4. Copies to:

IDWR Document Depository United States Department of Justice
PO Box 83720 Environment & Natural Resource Division
Boise, ID 83720-0098 550 West Fort Street, MSC 033

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
PO Box 44449
Boise, ID 83711-4449
Boise, ID 83724


Fritz X. Haemmerle