

Randall C. Budge (ISB #1949)
Candice M. McHugh (ISB #5908)
Thomas J. Budge (ISB #7465)
RACINE OLSON NYE BUDGE
& BAILEY, CHARTERED
201 E. Center St., Pocatello, Idaho 83201
P.O. Box 1391, Pocatello, Idaho 83204
Telephone: (208) 232-6101
Facsimile: (208) 232-6109

2
RECEIVED

DEC 10 2007

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT
OF THE STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS**

In Re: SRBA	)	Subcases (See Attachment A)
	)	
	)	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEFING
Case No. 39576	)	IN SUPPORT OF MOTION
	)	TO PARTICIPATE
	)	

COMES NOW Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA"), Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District, Bingham Ground Water District, Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District, Fremont-Madison Ground Water District, Magic Valley Ground Water District, North Snake Ground Water District, Fremont-Madison Ground Water District, and Jefferson-Clark Ground Water District, acting for and on behalf of their members, by and through counsel, and hereby submit this brief in support of the motion to participate filed herein. For the reasons set forth below, this Court should allow the ground water districts and/or IGWA to participate in these subcases.

INTRODUCTION

On March 30, 2007, IGWA filed a motion to participate in these subcases on behalf of its members, which include the above-identified ground water districts. The Surface Water Coalition ("SWC") filed a response to IGWA's motion to participate on August 23, 2007,

arguing that IGWA should be barred from participating in the SRBA. A hearing on the motion was held August 29, 2007, during which IGWA orally moved to amend its motion to expressly include its member ground water districts as movants; namely, Aberdeen-American Falls Ground Water District, Bingham Ground Water District, Bonneville-Jefferson Ground Water District, Madison Ground Water District, Magic Valley Ground Water District, North Snake Ground Water District, Fremont-Madison Ground Water District, and Jefferson-Clark Ground Water District. The Special Master granted IGWA's motion to amend via order September 10, 2007. The Order provided additional time for supplemental briefing and set a deadline for responses by the SWC entities. This brief supports the ground water districts right to participate in these subcases, and further supports IGWA's right to participate.

ARGUMENT

I. THE GROUND WATER DISTRICTS HAVE STATUTORY AUTHORITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SRBA ON BEHALF OF THEIR MEMBERS.

Each of the aforementioned ground water districts operates and exists pursuant to the Ground Water District Act, Title 42, Chapter 52, Idaho Code. Section 42-5224(6) of the Act expressly authorizes the districts to "represent district members, with respect to their individual water rights, in general water rights adjudications...." The Act provides for participation by ground water districts even where one or more district members participate individually. I.C. § 42-5224. The legislature certainly understood that ground water district members utilize a common water source in which they are all dependent and interdependent, and that protection of their shared interests can often be best accomplished collectively through the district. It would be extremely inefficient to require hundreds or thousands of ground water users to individually participate in the SRBA to protect their common interest. More critically, very few, if any, individual ground water users are financially capable of sustaining the extraordinary technical

and legal expertise required to protect their interests against attack from behemoth SWC entities and dominant hydro-power interests. While ground water districts would almost certainly qualify to represent their members in a general adjudication under the common law doctrine of associational standing, the legislature went further and created a statutory right to represent their members in general adjudications.

SRBA Administrative Order 1 (“AO1”) does not supersede or negate the statutory right of ground water districts to represent their members in general water rights adjudications. So long as one or more district member qualifies as a “party to the adjudication” pursuant to AO1, a district is entitled to represent that member’s interest in the SRBA. In reality, all of the members of the subject ground water districts own water rights in the Snake River Basin which have been, or are in the process of being, adjudicated in the SRBA. The districts’ collective membership includes thousands of water right holders—all of who are “claimants” per Idaho Code § 42-1401A and AO1 § 10(k). For example, attached hereto as Exhibit B is a list of Fremont-Madison Ground Water District members and their accompanying water rights which have been, or are in the process of being, adjudicated in the SRBA.

Ground water districts have participated in numerous SRBA cases to date, including those listed in Exhibit C attached hereto. In fact, the SRBA Court has explicitly declared that “[t]he NSGWD (North Snake Ground Water District) is a party to the SRBA.” *Response to U.S. Motion for Status Conference; Order on Nez Perce Tribe’s Motion to Set Aside All Decisions, Judgments and Orders Entered by Judge R. Barry Wood, and Motion to Disqualify Judge Wood*, 4 SRBA 16 (2000), at 16.34. The ground water districts are statutorily entitled to participate in the SRBA and in these subcases.

II. THE GROUND WATER DISTRICTS ARE ENTITLED TO INTERVENE IN THESE SUBCASES PURSUANT TO RULE 24 OF THE IDAHO RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.

A. The ground water districts are entitled to participate as a matter of right pursuant to Rule 24(a).

Rule 24(a) makes intervention a matter of right “when the applicant claims an interest relating to the property or transaction which is the subject of the action and the applicant is so situated that the disposition of the action may as a practical matter impair or impede the applicant’s ability to protect that interest, unless the applicant’s interest is adequately represented by existing parties.” I.R.C.P. The ground water districts meet that standard in these subcases.

There can be no legitimate argument but that the ground water districts’ members have a direct interest in the subject matter of these subcases, which is underground water in the Eastern Snake Plain Aquifer (“ESPA”). A major reason for the formation of ground water districts is to protect their members’ collective interest in the ESPA from which their water rights are all dependent and interdependent. Naturally, the ground water districts have an acute interest in any proceeding that bears on the ESPA, and particularly any proceeding that may influence the Idaho Department of Water Resources’ (“IDWR”) administration of ground water rights in the ESPA. Disposition of this action may as a practical matter impair the districts’ ability to protect those interests.

Administration of ground water rights in the ESPA is governed by the Rules for Conjunctive Management of Surface and Ground Water Sources (the “CM Rules”), and these subcases may shape and interpret those Rules. For example, these subcases bear on the definitions of “recharge,” “ground water,” and “recovered water”—all of which directly affect on the amount of water available to fill the districts’ members’ ground water rights. Further, these subcases may affect the districts’ mitigation obligations and mitigation credits. The ground

water districts have a substantial interest in minimizing mitigation obligations in which they are currently engaged and may incur in the future. The districts may not be able to adequately protect their members' interests in the administration of ground water rights pursuant to the CM Rules, including minimizing any mitigation obligations the districts may incur, without participating in these subcases.

The ground water districts' interest in these subcases is not adequately represented by other parties. While some other ground water users are involved in these subcases, they do not share all of the interests of the districts' members, nor does Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, which is not a ground water district, share all of the same interests as the ground water districts.¹ As explained above, the Idaho legislature statutorily authorized ground water districts to participate in general adjudications, district court cases, and administrative matters, on behalf of their members, even though certain members may also participate individually. Idaho Code § 42-5224. Ground water districts and their members share certain interests in the ESPA that are uniquely cognizable on a collective level. Mitigation obligations and credits are a prime example of a collective interest that is greater than any individual ground water right. The global effects of other CM Rules also outstretch the geographically limited interests of individual water rights. Further, the extraordinarily complex interrelationship between the ESPA and surface water flows in the Snake River, as well as the considerable scientific and legal expenses involved in analyzing and administering hydraulically connected surface and ground water rights, create a practical necessity that the districts' members act collectively to protect against injury to their shared water source.

¹ Counsel for Fremont-Madison Irrigation District, Mr. Jerry Rigby, supports the ground water district's participation in these subcases.

The ground water districts are entitled to participate in these subcases as a matter of right because their members own water right interests that are directly affected by these subcases, disposition of this action may impair their ability to protect those interest, and no other parties adequately represent the interests of the districts' members, particularly their uniquely collective interests, in these subcases.

B. The ground water districts qualify for permissive intervention in these subcases.

In the event the ground water districts are not granted intervention as a matter of right, the districts respectfully request permissive intervention. The decision of whether to grant a motion to intervene is discretionary with the trial court. *In re SRBA Case No. 39576, Minidoka Nat'l Wildlife Refuge, SRBA Subcase No. 36-15462*, 134 Idaho 106, 110, 996 P.2d 806, 810 (2000). Permissive intervention is appropriate any time “a statute confers a conditional right to intervene; or (2) when an applicant’s claim or defense and the main action have a question of law or fact in common.” I.R.C.P. 24(b). The ground water districts meet one or both of those criteria.

The ground water districts interests in the subject matter of these subcases share common questions of law and fact with issues being litigated. As explained above, these subcases may affect the definitions of “ground water,” “recovered water,” and “recharge,” which directly bear on the districts’ ability to protect their members’ water rights. The SWC asserts that “these proceedings will not define what constitutes ground water or determine how the Department should conjunctively manage the waters of the ESPA.” *Response to IGWA’s Motion to Participate*, at 8. Unlike the SWC, the ground water districts are unable to divine every issue which may be decided in these subcases. To be certain, these cases involve “recovered water” which is pumped from beneath the surface. Idaho Code § 42-228. Given that the statutory

definition of “ground water” includes “all water under the surface of the ground whatever may be the geologic structure in which it is standing or moving,” Idaho Code § 42-230, it appears these subcases may very well have an effect on what is and is not included within the ground water supply available to the districts’ members.

More importantly, intervention should be permitted if the facts of these subcases present issues which could be litigated, even if such issues are not directly raised by the current parties. The doctrine of claim preclusion “bars adjudication not only on the matters offered and received to defeat the claim, but also as to ‘every matter which might and should have been litigated in the first suit.’” *Ticor Title Co. Stanion II*, 144 Idaho 119, 157 P.3d 613, 617 (2007). These subcases facially involve factual or legal issues affecting the definition of “recovered water.” Consequently, issues relating to the distinction and relationship between “recovered water,” “ground water,” and “recharge” are certainly exposed to claim preclusion as issues “which might and should have been litigated” in these subcases. The decision in *Gisler v. North Snake Ground Water Dist.*, 136 Idaho 747, 751, 40 P.3d 105, 109 (2002) requires the districts to participate in these subcases or be barred from participation in the appellate process. Consequently, the districts may be prejudicially barred from raising important issues if not permitted to participate in these subcases.

Finally, in exercising its discretion over permissive intervention, “the court shall consider whether the intervention will unduly delay or prejudice the adjudication of the rights of the original parties.” I.R.C.P. 24(b). In truth, the districts’ intervention in these subcases will improve judicial economy by avoiding a need to substitute thousands of individual ground water right holders for the districts. Given that the districts’ members are directly affected by these subcases, it is critical that their interests be adequately represented at all stages of the process.

Failure to permit the districts' participation will prejudice their ability to protect their interests. For these reasons, permissive intervention is appropriate in the event the districts are not granted intervention as a matter of right.

III. IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC. IS A PARTY TO THE SRBA BECAUSE IT HAS BEEN JOINED IN THE SRBA.

Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc. ("IGWA"), is entitled to participate in these subcases because IGWA has previously been joined in the SRBA. SRBA Administrative Order 1 ("AO1") provides that "[a]ny party to the adjudication ... may seek leave to participate in a subcase by filing a timely *Motion to Participate*." Rule 10.k. The Order defines "party to the adjudication" to mean "[a]ny claimant as defined in Idaho Code §§ 42-1401A(1) and (6)." AO1, § 2q. (emphasis added). Section 1401A(6) creates a statutory right in "any person served or joined" to participate in the SRBA, as confirmed by the SRBA District Court:

The rule is that persons 1) who assert ownership of water rights in Idaho, or 2) on whose behalf ownership of rights to the use of water is asserted or 3) who are served or joined, are claimants (hence, parties to the adjudication) and may become parties to a subcase merely by filing an objection or response to a claim.

Orders on Motions for Summary Judgment and Motions to Strike and Order Setting Scheduling Conference, In re SRBA Case No. 39576, Consolidated Subcase No. 67-13701 (Feb. 18 2003) at 15 (emphasis added).

IGWA is a party to the SRBA because it has been "served or joined" in the adjudication.² IGWA has participated in multiple SRBA cases and subcases, including those listed in Exhibit D attached hereto. IGWA has also been a named party in multiple appeals from the SRBA, including *State of Idaho, et al. v. Hagerman Water Right Owners, Inc., et al.*, 130 Idaho 727, 947 P.2d 400 (1997) and *State of Idaho, et al. v. Hagerman Water Right Owners, Inc., et al.*, 130

² The statutory definition of "person" includes "any ... public or private entity." I.C. § 42-1402A(7). Thus, IGWA fits within the definition of "person."

Idaho 736, 947 P.2d 409 (1997). Notwithstanding IGWA's sound record of participation, the SWC asserts that IGWA has never been joined in the SRBA. *Response to IGWA's Motion to Participate*, at 3. Without any supporting argument, the SWC declares: "Since it has not been 'served or joined,' IGWA would only be authorized to file a motion if it were a claimant." *Id.* The SWC refuses to explain how IGWA could participate in numerous SRBA proceedings without being joined in the SRBA. Instead, the SWC acts as if IGWA is incapable of being served or joined in the SRBA. That position ignores the statutory right of entities "served or joined" to participate in the SRBA. That IGWA has participated in multiple SRBA cases is prima facie evidence that it has been joined in the SRBA. IGWA's motion to participate should be granted.

IV. IDAHO GROUND WATER APPROPRIATORS, INC., IS ENTITLED TO REPRESENT ITS MEMBERS WHO ARE PARTIES TO THE SRBA.

In the event IGWA is not deemed to have been joined as a party to the SRBA, IGWA has standing to represent the districts in the SRBA under to the rule of "associational standing" set forth in *Glengary-Gamlin Protective Association, Inc. v. Bonner County Board of Commissioners*, 106 Idaho 84, 87 (Ct. App. 1983) (citing *Sierra Club v. Morton*, 405 U.S. 727, 739 (1972)). The *Glengary-Gamlin* decision considered whether a home owner's association had standing to represent its members in a proceeding governed by the Local Land Planning Act, which expressly limited standing to "affected person[s]," defined as "[those] having an interest in real property which may be adversely affected" *Id.* (citing Idaho Code § 67-5215(b)). The association did not have standing under the statutory definition. Nevertheless, the Court granted standing to the association by adopting the United States Supreme Court rule for organizational standing:

an association has standing to bring suit on behalf of its members when: (a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; (b) the interests it seeks to protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and (c) neither the claim asserted, nor the relief requested, requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit.

Glengary-Gamlin, 106 Idaho at 87-88 (citing *Hunt v. Washington Apple Advertising Commission*, 432 U.S. 333, 343 (1977)). The Court made clear that “even in the absence of injury to itself, an association may have standing solely as the representative of its members.” *Id.* at 87.

Because associational standing is conditioned upon the standing of its members, the doctrine does not circumvent statutory standing pre-requisites; it simply provides a vehicle those *who already have standing* to protect their shared interests and pursue their common objectives in a unified manner. The obvious purpose of associational standing is to promote judicial economy by permitting persons with standing to act together rather than forcing them to participate independently. It is not an exception to statutory standing requirements, but an extension of those requirements to “parent” associations.

While Administrative Order 1 and Idaho Code § 42-1401A define a “party to the adjudication,” they do not eliminate associational standing from the SRBA. The law set forth in *Glengary-Gamlin* clearly permits a group of persons or entities who qualify as parties to the SRBA to form an association to represent their collective interests in the SRBA District Court. Nowhere does AO1 or the Idaho Code eliminate that right. Indeed, the doctrine of associational standing, and the policies for its existence, apply as well to the SRBA as any other court proceeding.

IGWA has organizational standing under Idaho law to represent its members in these subcases pursuant to the three-part rule set forth in *Glengary-Gamlin*, demonstrated as follows.

A. IGWA's members have standing to sue in their own right.

IGWA comprises seven ground water districts with a statutory right to participate in the SRBA as explained above, together with irrigation districts, municipalities and business who own water rights which have been, or are in the process of being, adjudicated in the SRBA. It is not disputed that the individual water right owners qualify as "claimants" under Idaho Code § 42-1401A(1) and, therefore, as a "party to the adjudication" under SRBA rules 10.k and 2.q. *AOI*. There is no question that IGWA's members have standing to participate in these subcases in their own right.

B. IGWA seeks to protect interests that are germane to IGWA's purpose.

IGWA's express organizational purpose set forth in its Articles of Incorporation is "[t]o represent the interests of Idaho's ground water users and promote the efficient use and economic development of water resources." *Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Idaho Ground Water Appropriators, Inc.* at 1 (attached hereto as Exhibit D). IGWA exists to protect its members' collective interest in the East Snake Plain Aquifer ("ESPA") from which their water rights are all dependent and interdependent. The complex interrelationship between the ESPA and surface water flows in the Snake River, as well as the considerable scientific and legal expenses involved in analyzing and administering hydraulically connected surface and ground water rights, create a practical necessity that IGWA's members act collectively to protect against injury to their shared water source.

IGWA's members' unique property interest in the ESPA is certainly not "of a generalized nature held in common with the general public." *In re SRBA Case No. 39576, Consolidated Subcase No. 75-13316*. Rather, IGWA's membership often comes under attack from other dominant water right holders in the SRBA, the least of which is the SWC which is seeking to

preclude IGWA from participation in these subcases. IGWA's membership cannot rely upon the State of Idaho to protect their special interest in the ESPA and in the state's administration of ground water rights. Because these subcases facially involve the use and administration of ground water plainly verifies that IGWA's interest in participating in these subcases is germane to IGWA's organizational purpose.

C. Neither the claim asserted, nor relief requested, requires the participation of IGWA's individual members in these subcases.

Finally, an organization has standing to represent its members so long as any damages the organization seeks on behalf of its members are not "peculiar to the individual member" such that "both the fact and extent of injury would require individualized proof." *Glengary-Gamlin*, 106 Idaho at 89 (citing *Hunt*, 422 U.S. at 515-516). In *Glengary-Gamlin* the court sustained the association's claim of standing because the action "did not require particularized proof of injuries claimed by individual members of the Association." *Id.* Similarly, IGWA has not sought any damages in these subcases, let alone damages that would require particularized proof of injuries claimed by individual members. While these subcases certainly affect IGWA's members, the affect is common among IGWA's members and any evidence presented would be collective rather than individualized.

IGWA clearly meets the requirements for associational standing to represent its members in the SRBA. The SWC wrongly asserts that "an association of claimants has no standing to participate" in the SRBA. *Response to IGWA's Motion to Participate*, at 4. That assertion disregards the law set forth in *Glengary-Gamlin*. The SWC relies upon *Fort Hall Water Users Ass'n v. United States*, 129 Idaho 39, 921 P.2d 739 (1996) in arguing that associational standing has no place in the SRBA. The *Fort Hall Water Users Ass'n* ("FHWUA") decision, however, did not address associational standing for the obvious reason that none of FHWUA's members

had individual standing to sue in their own right. Neither FHWUA nor its members owned water rights; rather, the association and its members simply had a contractual right to use the water. *FHWUA*, 129 Idaho at 42. Since neither FHWUA nor its members qualified as claimants in the SRBA, the Court found that FHWUA did not have standing. Associational standing was not at issue and was not decided by that case.

The SWC also relies upon the *Order on Motion to Participate/Intervene*, AOI § 10(k), I.R.C.P. 24(a) & (b); *Order on Motion to Dismiss Objections to Amended Claims*, I.R.C.P. 12(b)(6) in Consolidated Subcase No. 75-13316 (“*Wild & Scenic Order*”) which also failed to address associational standing and the 3-part test set forth in *Glengary-Gamlin*. The *Wild & Scenic Order* relied upon *FHWUA* in finding that “IRU and TWS are not claimants in the SRBA and therefore do not satisfy the statutory standing requirements to file objections and/or responses in the SRBA.” *Id.* at 16.6. Even though IRU and TWS were not permitted to file their own objections in the SRBA, the Court did consider granting IRU and TWS’s motion to participate/intervene under I.R.C.P. Rule 24, but found that neither IRU nor TWS met the statutory requirements for intervention of right or permissive intervention. The *Wild & Scenic Order* clearly stands for the proposition that IRU and TWS do not independently qualify as “claimants” in the SRBA; however, the Order is silent on the issue of associational standing, and certainly does not go so far as to affirmatively eliminate associational standing from consideration from the SRBA.

The *Glengary-Gamlin* decision firmly settled the question of whether an association has standing to represent its members in Idaho courts, and IGWA meets the required standard. The SRBA District Court should not eliminate associational standing in the SRBA when, as in this case, the protection of common interests among the association’s members practically require

collective effort and judicial economy will be served by allowing the organization to represent the common interests of its members. Judicial economy is certainly served by permitting IGWA's representation of the common interests of its ground water district members. Therefore, the districts support IGWA's associational standing to represent its members in these subcases.

CONCLUSION

As noted in this Court's *Order Denying Motion to Participate* issued by Special Master Dolan in subcases 01-1J, et al. on August 28, 2007, "[n]o one disputes" that these subcases "directly affect IGWA's members." (Order at 2.) Therefore, the Ground Water Districts should be allowed to participate in these subcases. The Ground Water Districts' participation will not unnecessarily broaden the interests nor will it unduly delay or prejudice the rights of the parties presently involved. Conversely, if the Ground Water Districts are not allowed to participate in these subcases, the precedent set with regard to the source of water recharge, recovery water, and mitigation and replacement water agreements could adversely affect their interests and their members' interests.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED.

DATED this 7th day of December, 2007.

RACINE OLSON NYE BUDGE
& BAILEY, CHARTERED

By: 
THOMAS J. BUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the above document was mailed on December 7, 2007, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

MILNER IRRIGATION DISTRICT
NORTH SIDE CANAL COMPANY
TWIN FALLS CANAL COMPANY
Represented by:
BARKER, ROSHOLT & SIMPSON, LLP
113 Main Avenue W., Suite 303
P.O. Box 485
Twin Falls, ID 83303-0485
Phone: 208-733-0700

AMERICAN FALLS RESERVOIR
Represented by:
C. Thomas Arkoosh
301 Main Street
P.O. Box 32
Gooding, ID 83330
Phone: 208-934-8872

A & B IRRIGATION DISTRICT
BURLEY IRRIGATION DISTRICT
Represented by:
ROGER D. LING
615 H. Street
P.O. Box 396
Rupert, ID 83350-0396
Phone: 208-436-4717

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
USDI BUREAU OF RECLAMATION
Represented by:
Boise, ID 83724
Environment & Nat'l. Resources
550 W. Fort Street, MSC 033
U.S. Department of Justice

RIVERSIDE CANAL CO.
P.O. Box 52
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Phone: 208-785-6633
IDWR Repository
P.O. Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098
PEOPLE CANAL & IRRIGATION CO.
1050 W. Highway 39
Blackfoot, ID 83221
Phone: 208-684-3105

BLACKFOOT IRRIGATION CO.
108 E. 200 N.
Phone: 208-785-6992
Blackfoot, ID 83221

STATE OF IDAHO
Represented by:
NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION CHIEF
Attorney General's Office
PO Box 44449
Boise, ID 83711-4449

MINIDOKA IRRIGATION DISTRICT
Represented by:
W. KENT FLETCHER
Phone: 208-678-3250
P.O. Box 248
Burley, ID 83318
1200 Overland Avenue

F. DAVE RYDALCH
CLYDE WHITE
Represented by:
RAY W. RIGBY
25 N 2ND E
PO BOX 250
REXBURG, ID 83440-0250

NORTH FREMONT CANAL SYSTEMS
C/O LON ATCHLEY
PO BOX 241
ASHTON, ID 83420

DEE REYNOLDS
1344 N 3550 E
ASHTON, ID 83420

EGIN BENCH CANALS INC
PO BOX 15
ST ANTHONY, ID 83445

CRAPO FARMS INC
1908 E 500 N
ST ANTHONY, ID 83445

EVVA L LENZ
3443 E 1200 N
ASHTON, ID 83420

FREMONT-MADISON JOINT SCHOOL
DISTRICT #215
147 NORTH 2ND W
ST ANTHONY, ID 83440

ASHTON DEVELOPMENT LLC
Represented by:
LYNN HOSSNER
PROS ATTY FREMONT CNTY
109 NORTH SECOND WEST
ST ANTHONY, ID 83445

ASHTON DEVELOPMENT LLC
PO BOX 150
ASHTON, ID 83420

REXBURG IRRIGATION COMPANY
C/O DONALD DAY
975 S 5500 W
REXBURG, ID 83440

KENNETH T & DOUGLAS K SAKOTA
KENNETH T SAKOTA
PO BOX 163
REXBURG, ID 83440

SHOSHONE-BANNOCK TRIBES
Represented by:
JEANETTE WOLFLEY
SPECIAL COUNSEL
SHOSHONE-BANNOCK TRIBE
202 N ARTHUR
POCATELLO, ID 83202

ENTERPRISE IRRIGATION DISTRICT
BLACKFOOT IRRIGATION
BOOM CREEK CANAL CO
BRG LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
CONANT CREEK CANAL CO
CHESTER CANAL & IRRIGATING
CRAPO FARMS INC
DENNIS & SUSAN FRANSEN
DEWEY CANAL CO.
HESS FARMS INC
DANIEL & MARY HESS

BLACKFOOT IRRIGATION CO.
NORTH FORK RESERVOIR CO.
CORBETT SLOUGH DITCH CO.
DELL & PATRICIA MILLER
DJ & J FARMS
VAL HAMMOND
BETTY & JOSEPH JENSEN
HOWARD JENSEN FARMS
JAY LENZ
PORTIAL & LYNN LOOSLI
JAMES & JERALIE NASH
RYAN ORME
DOUG & NORMAN HILLAM
SALEM UNION CANAL CO LTD
SOUTHEAST IDAHO CANAL CO.
FREMONT COUNTY DISTRICT 4
FREMONT MADISON JOINT SCHOOL
FREMONT-MADISON IRRIGATION
NORTH FREMONT CANAL SYSTEMS
NEW LAVASIDE DITCH CO. LTD.
PARSONS DITCH CO.
PEOPLES CANAL & IRRIGATION CO.
RIVERSIDE CANAL CO.
UNITED CANAL CO.
TETON ISLAND FEEDER CANAL CO
LYNN & T LYNN EVANS
HELEN EVANS
NIKKI REYNOLDS
ISLAND WARD CANAL COMPANY
LA JEAN PIEPER
RICHARD PIEPER
MADISON SCHOOL DISTRICT #321
LAVERELLE STECKLEIN
LISA & WILLIAM WELLS
RICHARD & RONALD LINDSAY
WATSON CANAL CO.
WEARYRICK DITCH CO.

Represented by:
Jerry R. Rigby
25 N. 2nd East
P.O. Box 250
Rexburg, ID 83440-0250
Phone: 208-356-3633



Signature of person mailing document

EXHIBIT A

21-00048	21-07173
21-00049	21-07175
21-00060B	21-07194
21-00073B	21-07300A
21-00073D	21-07564
21-00073F	21-10286
21-00073J	21-10463
21-00075	21-10527
21-00109C	21-10560
21-00141	21-10890
21-00148	21-10892
21-00159	21-10921
21-00165	21-10930
21-02000	21-10944
21-02035	21-12358
21-02037	21-12858
21-02103	21-12889
21-02118	21-12896
21-02119	21-12902
21-02121	21-12908
21-02122	21-12910
21-02129	21-12911
21-2154	21-12912
21-02156	21-12919
21-02161	21-12921
21-04114	21-12923
21-04155	21-12934
21-07007	21-12946
21-07024	21-12952
21-07027	21-12954
21-07033	21-12955
21-07046	21-12957
21-07049	21-12961
21-07050	21-13018
21-07060	21-13019
21-07089	
21-07104	
21-07138	
21-07152	

21-00048
21-07170
27-02125A
27-02126
27-07005
27-07065
27-07089
27-07093
27-07095
27-07098
27-07306
27-07315
27-07561
22-02303B
22-07022
22-07032
22-07198
22-07225
22-07324
22-07593
22-07672
22-13505
22-13506

21-07173

EXHIBIT "B"

FREMONT-MADISON GROUND WATER DISTRICT

MADISON GROUNDWATER DISTRICT
List of Water Right Owners/Addresses

Notes:

The following list includes water right information for Snake River Basin Adjudication claims and recommendations for water permits that are located within district boundaries. This list includes all groundwater uses with a diversion for and/or for irrigation of more than 0.5 acre.

Data was extracted from the IDWR Enterprise database on 3/18/2004.

BASIN	SEQ	SPLIT	PRIORITY	OrganizationName	LastName	FirstName
22	2147		Jan 7 1953	TETON NEWDALE CEMETARY DIST		
22	2156		Aug 3 1954		SMITH	JOHN H
22	2156		Aug 3 1954		SMITH	H
22	2166		Feb 20 1957		HUSKINSON	RALPH W
22	2168		Apr 7 1958	BHA INC		
22	2171		Dec 2 1958	BAKER FARMS INC		
22	2174		Feb 10 1960	BYU IDAHO		
22	2175 A		Feb 23 1960	CLAUDIA J CROFTS TRUST		
22	2175 A		Feb 23 1960	GORDON S CROFTS TRUST		
22	2176		Mar 21 1960	SETH WOOD FARMS INC		
22	2177		Mar 9 1960	H & L FARMS		
22	2178		May 17 1960		NEVILLE	DARRELL C
22	2178		May 17 1960		NEVILLE	THEDA C
22	2179 A		May 24 1960		RICKS	R VERNON
22	2179 B		May 24 1960		RICKS	LUCILLE
22	2180		Jul 19 1960		ER	JUNIOR
22	2181		Jul 19 1960		ARNOLD	KAY LYNNE
22	2181		Jul 19 1960		ARNOLD	LANE
22	2182		Nov 29 1960	T R WEBSTER & SONS INC		
22	2183		Aug 29 1960	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	2184		Oct 3 1960		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	2185		Oct 10 1960	ARLENCO INC		
22	2186		Oct 17 1960	WALZ ENTERPRISES INC		
22	2187		Oct 20 1960	BEESLEY FARMS INC		
22	2190		Nov 7 1960	WEBSTER DEEP WELL FARM INC		
22	2191		Nov 15 1960		PARKINSON	NORMA B
22	2191		Nov 15 1960		PARKINSON	PRESTON H
22	2192		Nov 15 1960		LENT	GLENDA
22	2192		Nov 15 1960		WOOD	GREGORY H
22	2192		Nov 15 1960		WOOD	SCOTT
22	2194 A		Nov 15 1960	R & S WEBSTER ENTERPRISES LLC		
22	2194 B		Nov 15 1960	CORNELISON OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		
22	2195 A		Dec 11 1960		POCOCK	BETH
22	2195 A		Dec 11 1960		POCOCK	RALPH R
22	2196		Feb 25 1961		MAN	VAL E
22	2197		Dec 19 1960		ERIKSON	GUY A
22	2197		Dec 19 1960		ERIKSON	KEITH
22	2198		Jan 16 1961	H & L FARMS		

22	2200	May 14 1961		GODFREY	REESE J
22	2200	May 14 1961		HOWARD	BOYD E
22	2200	May 14 1961		ER	JUNIOR
22	2201 A	Oct 19 1961	B & B FARMS LTD		
22	2202	Feb 21 1961	GARY BALL INC		
22	2215	Sep 18 1961		STEWART	ROY
22	2217	Dec 9 1961	CITY OF NEWDALE		
22	2219	Jan 26 1962	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	2220 A	Mar 2 1962		RICKS	R VERNON
22	2220 B	Mar 2 1962	MGR FARMS LLC		
22	2221	Apr 16 1962		PINCOCK	MERRILL R
22	2223	May 25 1962		RILEY	NORMAN N
22	2223	May 25 1962		RILEY	ROBIN H
22	2224	Nov 8 1962	H & L FARMS		
22	2227	Mar 29 1963		SMITH	CRAIG J
22	2230	Sep 18 1963		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	2231	Oct 30 1963		HUSKINSON	ROBERT D
22	2231	Oct 30 1963	FLYING H CORP		
22	2231 A	Oct 30 1963		CUMMINGS	HAZEL P
22	2231 A	Oct 30 1963		PARKINSON	DON T
22	2231 A	Oct 30 1963		PARKINSON	ROSS T
22	2231 A	Oct 30 1963	PARKINSON FARMS INC		
22	2234 A	Dec 16 1964		CUMMINGS	HAZEL P
22	2234 A	Dec 16 1964		PARKINSON	DON T
22	2234 A	Dec 16 1964		PARKINSON	ROSS T
22	2234 A	Dec 16 1964	PARKINSON FARMS INC		
22	2234 B	Dec 16 1964		CUMMINGS	HAZEL P
22	2234 B	Dec 16 1964		PARKINSON	DON T
22	2234 B	Dec 16 1964		PARKINSON	ROSS T
22	2234 B	Dec 16 1964	PARKINSON FARMS INC		
22	2234 C	Dec 16 1964	JEPPESEN BROS RANCH		
22	2235	Jan 13 1965	RANDALL CORP		
22	2236 A	Jan 25 1965		COOK	NANCY
22	2236 A	Jan 25 1965		COOK	STEPHEN
22	2236 B	Jan 25 1965		RICKS	GRANT H
22	2238	Jun 29 1964	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	2242 A	Jan 28 1965		SUTTON	RANDALL D
22	2242 B	Jan 28 1965		SUTTON	KENT V
22	2242 B	Jan 28 1965		SUTTON	LEE H
22	2242 B	Jan 28 1965		SUTTON	THOMAS
22	2242 B	Jan 28 1965	ESTATE OF JESSE L SUTTON		
22	2244	Mar 8 1965		HUSKINSON	ROBERT D
22	2244	Mar 8 1965		HUSKINSON	SUE R
22	2245 A	Mar 11 1965	MGR FARMS LLC		
22	2245 B	Mar 11 1965		RICKS	LUCILLE
22	2246	Mar 13 1965	SON RISE INC		
22	2247	Mar 22 1965	FRANKO FARMS INC		
22	2250	May 24 1965		SMITH	BLAINE
22	2250	May 24 1965		SMITH	GARRY

22	2251	Apr 30 1968		SUTTON	BRET
22	2251	Apr 30 1968		SUTTON	KIRT
22	2252	Jun 28 1965	SPLIT 8 FARMS INC		
22	2254	Aug 9 1965	BROWN INC		
22	2255	Aug 10 1965	WEBSTERS MILE HIGH FARM INC		
22	2256 A	Aug 12 1965		STEWART	ARDEN R
22	2256 C	Aug 12 1965		STAKER	DONALD
22	2256 C	Aug 12 1965		WALTERS	WARREN
22	2256 D	Aug 12 1965		N	ALAN D
22	2256 D	Aug 12 1965		N	EVONNE
22	2257	Aug 19 1965		MAN	VAL E
22	2258 A	Aug 26 1965	SUMMERCO INC		
22	2258 B	Aug 26 1965	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	2260 A	Sep 30 1965	PARTNERSHIP		
22	2260 B	Sep 30 1965	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	2263 A	Oct 26 1965	MYRON LEWIS FARMS		
22	2263 B	Oct 26 1965	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	2264 B	Oct 26 1965	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		CUMMINGS	HAZEL P
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		PARKINSON	DON T
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		PARKINSON	ROSS T
22	2269	Apr 28 1966	PARKINSON FARMS INC		
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		CUMMINGS	HAZEL P
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		PARKINSON	DON T
22	2269	Apr 28 1966		PARKINSON	ROSS T
22	2269	Apr 28 1966	PARKINSON FARMS INC		
22	2271 A	Apr 25 1966		ROBINSON	BELBA
22	2271 A	Apr 25 1966		ROBINSON	WALLACE
22	2271 B	Apr 25 1966		POCOCK	RALPH R
22	2271 B	Apr 25 1966		MAN	VAL E
22	2271 C	Apr 25 1966		ROBINSON	WALLACE
22	2271 D	Apr 25 1966		KLINGLER	GRANT L
22	2273	Jun 30 1966	CORNELISON OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		
22	2275	Aug 2 1960		HANSEN	JEWEL N
22	2275	Aug 2 1960	ESTATE OF HANS M HANSEN		
22	2277	Aug 15 1966		STEWART	ARDEN R
22	2277	Aug 15 1966		STEWART	ROY
22	2291	Mar 3 1960		ERIKSON	GUY A
22	2291	Mar 3 1960		ERIKSON	KEITH
22	2295	Feb 9 1960	SUMMERCO INC		
22	2297	Sep 20 1960	DON SMITH & SONS INC		
22	2298	Oct 5 1960		SMITH	CRAIG J
22	2299 A	Dec 12 1960		JEPPESEN	DALLAN
22	2299 B	Dec 12 1960		ATKINSON	VIVIAN
22	2299 C	Dec 12 1960		RICKS	JUNE
22	2299 C	Dec 12 1960		RICKS JR	PETER J
22	4009	Jun 1 1939	CITY OF SUGAR CITY		
22	4052	Sep 1 1961	BHA INC		
22	4064	Jan 1 1885		SQUIRES	ELIZABETH

22	4064	Jan 1 1885		SQUIRES	LAVAR
22	4091	May 1 1962	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	4130	Jun 1 1956	BYU IDAHO		
22	4130	Jun 1 1956	BYU IDAHO		
22	4184 A	Jun 1 1962		RICKS	R VERNON
22	4184 D	Jun 1 1962		BLASER	RICKS
22	4184 E	Jun 1 1962	MGR FARMS LLC		
22	4184 F	Jun 1 1962		JENKINS	LORENE
22	4186	Jul 8 1983		ARNOLD	BRUCE J
22	4187	Jun 1 1982	KEITH J ARNOLD & SONS INC		
22	4188	Jun 10 1977	KEITH J ARNOLD & SONS INC		
22	4190	May 1 1961		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	4194	Oct 10 1960	ARLENCO INC		
22	7000	Jun 13 1967		ERIKSON	ROGER
22	7000	Jun 13 1967		ERIKSON	TED
22	7000	Jun 13 1967		ERIKSON	WAYNE
22	7004	Aug 16 1967	RANDALL CORP		
22	7005 A	Feb 10 1968	PARTNERSHIP		
22	7005 B	Feb 10 1968	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	7007	Apr 4 1968	V G ASHCROFT & SONS		
22	7010	May 20 1968		ROBINSON	BELBA
22	7010	May 20 1968		ROBINSON	WALLACE
22	7015	Oct 29 1968	CREDIT ASSN		
22	7015	Oct 29 1968	KLINGLER BROS		
22	7016	Dec 3 1968		MAN	VAL E
22	7021	Mar 21 1969	BEESLEY FARMS INC		
22	7023 A	Jun 26 1969		SMITH	BLAINE
22	7023 A	Jun 26 1969		SMITH	GARRY
22	7023 B	Jun 26 1969		HARDING	GALE W
22	7023 B	Jun 26 1969		HEINER	DR CRAIG
22	7024	Jul 9 1969		WILLIAMS	ARLENE B
22	7024	Jul 9 1969		WILLIAMS	JAY
22	7040	Oct 21 1970	T R WEBSTER & SONS INC		
22	7047 A	Sep 9 1971		HUSKINSON	RANDY
22	7047 B	Sep 9 1971	FLYING H CORP		
22	7048	Jul 13 1971		WALTERS	BARBARA S
22	7048	Jul 13 1971		WALTERS	WARREN J
22	7050	Dec 9 1971		ROBINSON	BELBA
22	7050	Dec 9 1971		ROBINSON	WALLACE
22	7051	Dec 9 1971		ROBINSON	LARID DEE
22	7052 A	Nov 26 1971		POCOCK	RALPH R
22	7052 A	Nov 26 1971		MAN	VAL E
22	7052 B	Nov 26 1971		MAN	VAL E
22	7054 A	Mar 31 1972		SMITH	JOHN H
22	7054 B	Mar 31 1972	D S & S INC		
22	7060	Jul 31 1972	SETH WOOD FARMS INC		
22	7063	Feb 13 1973		RICKS	LUCILLE
22	7064 B	Feb 14 1973		BLASER	RICKS
22	7064 C	Feb 14 1973	MGR FARMS LLC		

22	7064 D	Feb 14 1973		JENKINS	LORENE
22	7066	Mar 20 1973	BROWN INC		
22	7068	Aug 1 1973	PARTNERSHIP		
22	7071	Apr 25 1973	FRANKO FARMS INC		
22	7071 A	Apr 25 1973	TRIPLE J FARMS		
22	7073	Jun 12 1973	WEBCO FARMS INC		
22	7074	Jun 19 1973	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	7075	Jul 5 1973		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	7082 A	Nov 11 1973	R & B INC		
22	7083 A	Nov 11 1973	K W HUSKINSON & SONS INC		
22	7083 B	Nov 11 1973	FLYING H CORP		
22	7088	Mar 4 1974		COOK	NANCY
22	7088	Mar 4 1974		COOK	STEPHEN
22	7089 A	Mar 8 1974	MGR FARMS LLC		
22	7089 B	Mar 8 1974		KAUER	LORIN B
22	7090	Apr 12 1974		PERCIVAL	WANDA
22	7090	Apr 12 1974		POWELL	LAVINIA
22	7090	Apr 12 1974		ZOLLINGER	H LE ROY
22	7090	Apr 12 1974	ZOLLINGER FARMS		
22	7092 A	Jun 3 1974		SUTTON	GARTH
22	7092 A	Jun 3 1974		SUTTON	JOLENE
22	7092 B	Jun 3 1974		SUTTON	GARTH
22	7106	Sep 26 1974	MADISON SCHOOL DISTRICT # 321		
22	7127	Feb 24 1975		ARNOLD	BRUCE J
22	7132	May 25 1975	MGR FARMS LLC		
22	7136	Jun 24 1975		WEBSTER	JANET
22	7136	Jun 24 1975		WEBSTER	KEVIN B
22	7153	Aug 14 1975	CITY OF SUGAR CITY		
22	7156	Aug 5 1975	FRANKO FARMS INC		
22	7167 A	Oct 29 1975		POCOCK	RALPH R
22	7167 A	Oct 29 1975		MAN	VAL E
22	7167 B	Oct 29 1975		MAN	VAL E
22	7169	Dec 4 1975		WARD	BYRON C
22	7169	Dec 4 1975		WARD	NORMA S
22	7174	Jan 23 1976	BAKER FARMS INC		
22	7175	Feb 4 1976	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	7176	Feb 4 1976	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	7184	Apr 14 1976	LATTER DAY SAINTS		
22	7184	Apr 14 1976	LATTER DAY SAINTS		
22	7188	Jul 6 1976		RICKS	JAY C
22	7188	Jul 6 1976		RICKS	MARK R
22	7190	Jul 30 1976	WAHOO INC		
22	7190	Jul 30 1976	WAHOO INC		
22	7208	Feb 10 1977	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7209	Feb 10 1977	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7210	Feb 15 1977	VAL E SCHWENDIMAN FARMS INC		
22	7216	Mar 4 1977	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7222	Apr 1 1977		ARNOLD	BRUCE J
22	7223	Apr 5 1977	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		

22	7227	Apr 23 1977		SUTTON	GWEN A
22	7227	Apr 23 1977		SUTTON	KENT V
22	7227	Apr 23 1977		SUTTON	STEVE J
22	7230	May 3 1977	STEVECO CANYON FARMS INC		
22	7235	Jun 7 1977	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7247	Jun 10 1977		RICKS	R BRENT
22	7247	Jun 10 1977		RICKS	SUE
22	7256	Aug 31 1977	K L B INC		
22	7259	Sep 9 1977		CRAWLEY	FRANCES S
22	7259	Sep 9 1977		CRAWLEY	JACK R
22	7259	Sep 9 1977		SIDDOWAY	CAROLYN H
22	7259	Sep 9 1977		SIDDOWAY	BOYD
22	7280 A	Jun 27 1978		ROWAN	H
22	7280 B	Jun 27 1978		RILEY	NORMAN N
22	7280 B	Jun 27 1978		RILEY	ROBIN H
22	7287	Jan 16 1979		NEDROW	DE LYNN P
22	7287	Jan 16 1979		MAN	DAVID V
22	7287	Jan 16 1979		MAN	MELANIE
22	7300	Aug 8 1980	CREDIT ASSN		
22	7302	Aug 30 1979	PARTNERSHIP		
22	7303	Oct 5 1979		STEWART	ARDEN R
22	7313 A	Jan 15 1980	VAL E SCHWENDIMAN FARMS INC		
22	7313 B	Jan 15 1980	VAL E SCHWENDIMAN FARMS INC		
22	7314	Jan 15 1980	PARTNERSHIP		
22	7320	Apr 10 1980	CRAPO FARMS INC		
22	7325	May 9 1980	WEBSTERS INC		
22	7326	May 9 1980	BAKER FARMS INC		
22	7336	Oct 22 1980		SMITH	A LYLE
22	7336	Oct 22 1980		SMITH	RUTH
22	7354	Mar 27 1981	VALLEY VIEW RANCH		
22	7360	Apr 14 1981	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7364	Jun 5 1981		N	ALAN D
22	7364	Jun 5 1981		N	EVONNE
22	7374	Aug 5 1981		ROBINSON	LARID DEE
22	7375 A	Aug 17 1981	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7375 B	Aug 17 1981	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7377	Sep 21 1981		JEPPESEN	DALE
22	7377	Sep 21 1981		JEPPESEN	JOSEPH
22	7385	Dec 24 1981	PARTNERSHIP		
22	7388	Jan 16 1982	NEDROW FARMS INC		
22	7397	May 20 1982		HARRIS	R LAYNE
22	7406	Jun 24 1982		ARNOLD	BRUCE J
22	7408	Jul 27 1982	WEBSTER DEEP WELL FARM INC		
22	7409	Aug 2 1982	WEBSTERS MILE HIGH FARM INC		
22	7418	Jan 3 1983		SMITH	JOHN H
22	7418 B	Jan 3 1983	FRANKO FARMS INC		
22	7439	May 17 1983		POCOCK	RALPH R
22	7440	May 17 1983	CREDIT ASSN		
22	7440	May 17 1983	KLINGLER BROS		

22	7472	Nov 30 1983	GARTH SUTTON FARMS INC		
22	7473	Dec 23 1983	ARTCO INC		
22	7473	Dec 23 1983	ARTCO INC		
22	7476	Feb 10 1984	ARLENCO INC		
22	7481	Sep 25 1984		SUMMERS	DANNY
22	7485	Jan 11 1985	SETH WOOD FARMS INC		
22	7491	May 20 1985	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	7493	May 24 1985	ZOLLINGERS FARM INC		
22	7495	May 24 1985	ZOLLINGER PARTNERSHIP		
22	7498	Jun 17 1985		HUSKINSON	RANDY
22	7501	Oct 14 1992		ARNOLD	BRUCE J
22	7502	Jun 27 1985		COOK	STEPHEN B
22	7502	Jun 27 1985		THOMAS	HELEN I
22	7504	Jul 1 1985	TETON PIPELINE ASSN INC		
22	7507	Jun 28 1985		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	7509	Jul 1 1985		SMITH	JOHN H
22	7510	Jul 1 1985	D S & S INC		
22	7512	Jun 27 1985	BROWN INC		
22	7513	Jun 25 1985		SUTTON	STANLEY
22	7515	Jun 28 1985	SUMMERCO INC		
22	7518	Aug 21 1985	SETH WOOD FARMS INC		
22	7524	Jul 23 1986		BAKER	PERCIA
22	7524	Jul 23 1986		BAKER	THOMAS K
22	7528	Jul 15 1987		JEPPESEN	BEVAN
22	7538	Jun 27 1988	D S & S INC		
22	7540	Aug 10 1988		STEWART	DORIS
22	7540	Aug 10 1988		STEWART	K CLYDE
22	7546	Aug 19 1988		WALTERS	BARBARA S
22	7546	Aug 19 1988		WALTERS	WARREN J
22	7549	Aug 24 1988		ARCHIBALD	GARY
22	7549	Aug 24 1988		ARCHIBALD	PATRICIA
22	7553 A	Aug 25 1988		HUSKINSON	ROBERT D
22	7553 A	Aug 25 1988		HUSKINSON	SUE R
22	7553 B	Aug 25 1988	FLYING H CORP		
22	7561	Aug 23 1988	GREEN CANYON HOT SPRINGS INC		
22	7563	Aug 8 1988		SMITH	BLAINE
22	7564	Aug 31 1988	CHANTRILLS INC		
22	7568	Sep 1 1988		HARDING	GALE W
22	7574	Aug 16 1988	NEDS MOODY CREEK PRODUCE		
22	7584	Aug 30 1988		HILL	BRENT
22	7584	Aug 30 1988		LLOYD	LINDA
22	7584	Aug 30 1988		PERKES	ROBERT R
22	7610	Sep 1 1988	BHA INC		
22	7610	Sep 1 1988	WAHOO INC		
22	7617	Jun 1 1978	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	7653	Apr 25 1989	VALLEY VIEW RANCH		
22	7654	Mar 16 1989		BYRNE	J R
22	7661	Jan 26 1990	STEVECO CANYON FARMS INC		
22	7662	Dec 26 1989	CITY OF SUGAR CITY		

22	7664	Feb 28 1990		JEPPESEN	DALE
22	7664	Feb 28 1990		JEPPESEN	JOSEPH
22	7668	Apr 30 1990	FRANK SUMMERS, INC		
22	7674	Jun 19 1990	SON RISE INC		
22	7675	Jun 12 1990		SUTTON	GARTH
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	BRANDI
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	GARTH
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	JOLENE
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	RICK
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	SHANE
22	7676	Aug 20 1990		SUTTON	SUSAN
22	7683	Aug 30 1990		JEPPESEN	BEVAN
22	7683	Aug 30 1990		JEPPESEN	MERLE K
22	7683	Aug 30 1990	SERVICES ACA		
22	7687	Oct 23 1990	JEPPESEN BROTHERS		
22	7689	Nov 15 1990	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	7699	Mar 20 1991		BYBEE	KYLE
22	7703	Mar 25 1991		MAN	DAVID
22	7703	Mar 25 1991		MAN	DIANE P
22	7703	Mar 25 1991		MAN	VAL E
22	7704	Mar 25 1991		MAN	DAVID
22	7704	Mar 25 1991		MAN	DIANE P
22	7704	Mar 25 1991		MAN	VAL E
22	7705	Apr 8 1991	CREDIT ASSN		
22	7705	Apr 8 1991	KLINGLER BROS		
22	7706	Apr 12 1991		BYRNE	J R
22	7707	Apr 8 1991	CREDIT ASSN		
22	7707	Apr 8 1991	KLINGLER BROS		
22	7711	Feb 22 1989		SUTTON	GARTH
22	7711	Feb 22 1989		SUTTON	JOLENE
22	7711	Feb 22 1989		SUTTON	RICK
22	7711	Feb 22 1989		SUTTON	SHANE
22	7711	Feb 22 1989		SUTTON	SUSAN
22	7728	Jan 5 1992		MAN	DAVID
22	7728	Jan 5 1992		MAN	VAL E
22	7754	Dec 17 1992	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	7792	Sep 4 1996		ROWAN	H
22	10021	Aug 1 1962		RICKS	GRANT H
22	10045	Jul 1 1921		TARBOX	JUDY ANN
22	10045	Jul 1 1921		THOMAS	RALPH
22	10118	May 1 1981	NELSON RICKS CREAMERY CO		
22	10129	May 6 1977		MAN	DIANE P
22	10129	May 6 1977		MAN	VAL E
22	10395	Apr 1 1945		T	KENDALL E
22	10395	Apr 1 1945		T	YOLANDA L
22	10464	Apr 15 1978		BAKER	KAMI
22	10464	Apr 15 1978		BAKER	PAUL L
22	10483	Jun 1 1968	FRANK SUMMERS INC		
22	10624	Jun 1 1971	TRIPLE J FARMS		

22	10646	Jun 1 1961	SUMMERCO INC		
22	10775	Apr 1 1974	KLINGLER BROS		
22	10777	Jun 1 1962	SUMMERCO INC		
22	10805	Jun 11 1977		RICKS	R BRENT
22	10805	Jun 11 1977		RICKS	SUE
22	10886	Jul 29 1953		SAKOTA	MABEL S
22	10897	Jun 20 1966		SUTTON	LEE H
22	10939	Nov 23 1965	R & S WEBSTER ENTERPRISES LLC		
22	10971	Mar 20 1969	BEESLEY FARMS INC		
22	10972	Jun 25 1975		WEBSTER	JANET
22	10972	Jun 25 1975		WEBSTER	KEVIN B
22	11003	Apr 1 1975	BAKER FARMS INC		
22	11004	Apr 1 1985	BAKER FARMS INC		
22	11019	Apr 1 1971	HUSKINSON BROTHERS LTD		
22	11034	Jun 13 1973	CORNELISON OLSEN PARTNERSHIP		
22	11036	Jun 15 1905		BALL	IRVIN L
22	11036	Jun 15 1905		BALL	G
22	11147	May 1 1961		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	11149	Apr 15 1981		SMITH	RICHARD F
22	11285	Jul 19 1963	DUSTY ACRES INC		
22	11318	Apr 1 1966	SUMMERS FARM & RANCH INC		
22	11376	Mar 8 1965		HUSKINSON	ROBERT D
22	11376	Mar 8 1965		HUSKINSON	SUE R
22	11384	Jul 31 1954		NELSON	KWENDEN
22	11384	Jul 31 1954		NELSON	MERLA
22	11385	Dec 31 1888		NELSON	KWENDEN
22	11385	Dec 31 1888		NELSON	MERLA
22	11435	Apr 1 1984		POWELL	LAVINIA
22	11435	Apr 1 1984		ZOLLINGER	H LE ROY
22	11435	Apr 1 1984		ZOLLINGER	PERCIVAL
22	11451	Aug 31 1960	DIET CENTER INC		
22	12442	Dec 31 1978		BLAKE	MARY
22	12656	Jan 16 1979		MAN	DAVID V
22	12656	Jan 16 1979		MAN	MELANIE
22	12900	Feb 1 1965		ER	JUNIOR
22	13130	Jun 1 1961	CITY OF REXBURG		
22	13207	Dec 7 2001		KLINGLER	MYRNA T
22	13207	Dec 7 2001		KLINGLER	WILLIAM B

EXHIBIT "C"

**SRBA CASES
GROUND WATER DISTRICT AS PARTY**

CASES WITH GROUND WATER DISTRICTS AS PARTIES:

Subcase 35-07200	Subcase 36-15127A
Subcase 36-00003A	Subcase 36-15127B
Subcase 36-00003B	Subcase 36-15168
Subcase 36-00003C	Subcase 36-15193A
Subcase 36-00003D	Subcase 36-15193B
Subcase 36-00003E	Subcase 36-15194A
Subcase 36-00003F	Subcase 36-15194B
Subcase 36-00003J	Subcase 36-15195A
Subcase 36-00003K	Subcase 36-15195B
Subcase 36-00003L	Subcase 36-15196A
Subcase 36-00003M	Subcase 36-15196B
Subcase 36-00006	Basin 37, Part 1 (346
Subcase 36-00007	subcases)
Subcase 36-00008	Subcase 91-00013
Subcase 36-00022	
Subcase 36-00023	
Subcase 36-00035E	
Subcase 36-00047	
Subcase 36-00061	
Subcase 36-00062	
Subcase 36-00063	
Subcase 36-00068	
Subcase 36-00069	
Subcase 36-00099	
Subcase 36-00100	
Subcase 36-00106	
Subcase 36-02048	
Subcase 36-02338	
Subcase 36-02356	
Subcase 36-02414	
Subcase 36-02703	
Subcase 36-02734	
Subcase 36-04011	
Subcase 36-04013A	
Subcase 36-04013B	
Subcase 36-04013C	
Subcase 36-07040	
Subcase 36-07071	
Subcase 36-07080	
Subcase 36-07148	
Subcase 36-07210	
Subcase 36-07427	
Subcase 36-07568	
Subcase 36-07720	
Subcase 36-07731	

EXHIBIT "D"

**SRBA CASES
IGWA AS PARTY**

CASES WITH IGWA AS A PARTY:

Subcase 91-2
Subcase 91-3
Subcase 91-4
Subcase 91-5
Subcase 91-10
Subcase 91-13
Subcase 92-21
Subcase 92-23
Subcase 92-26
Subcase 92-21-27
Subcase 92-21-37