

DEPARTMENT OF
WATER RESOURCES

In Re SRBA	)	Subcase 02-10081
	)	
Case No. 39576	)	
	)	STANDARD FORM 1
	)	OBJECTION
	)	

Josephine P. Beeman
Beeman & Associates, P.C.
409 West Jefferson Street
Boise, Idaho 83702
(208) 331-0950
(208) 331-0954 (fax)

Page 1

I object to the following elements as recommended in the Director's Report.

1. ☐ **Name and Address**
2. ☐ **Source**
3. ☐ **Quantity**
4. ☐ **Priority Date**
5. ☐ **Point(s) of Diversion**
6. ☐ **Instream Flow Description**
7. ☐ **Purpose(s) of Use**
8. ☐ **Period of Year**
9. ☒ **Place of Use:** The place of use should not include acreage in Township 5 south, Range 3 east, Section 18 that lies above the Grand View Mutual Canal Company canal.
10. ☒ **Other:** The recommendation should indicate that the common place of use 2-80, 2-81, and 2-7336 does not supplant the delivery and allocation of priority shares within the Grand View Mutual Canal Company based on when lands within the common place of use began irrigation relative to the priority dates of these 3 water rights.
11. **I object because:**
 - ☐ This water right should not exist.
 - ☐ This water right was not recommended, but should be recommended with the elements described above.

REASONS SUPPORTING OBJECTION(S):

The common place of use recommended for water rights 2-80, 2-81, and 2-7336 held by the Grand View Mutual Canal Company includes acreage in Township 5 south, Range 3 east, Section 18, that lies above the Grand View Mutual Canal Company canal and was not susceptible of gravity irrigation from the canal when water rights 2-80 and 2-81 were established. Further, these lands in Section 18 were not irrigated until the recent past. The 42 acres originally associated with license 2-7336 did not include lands above the canal in Section 18, and the common place of use now recommended for 2-7336 should not include these Section 18 lands.

The land above the canal in Township 5 south, Range 3 east, Section 18 is included in the common place of use recommended for water rights 2-7090A and 2-7212 held by the Upper Grand View Canal Company. This is appropriate.


Water rights 2-80, 2-81, and 2-7336 have separate priority dates but a common place of use. However, lands within the common place of use are also associated with priority shares for delivery of water in accordance with the commencement of irrigation on specific lands relative to the priority dates of these 3 water rights. This recognition of priority delivery within the Grand View Mutual Canal Company should not be altered by the recommendation of a common place of use.

VERIFICATION

State of Idaho)
) ss.
County of Ada)


I, Josephine P. Beeman, being duly sworn, upon oath, depose and say:


That I am the attorney for the party/claimant filing this objection, as defined by I.C. §§ 42-1401A(i) and (6), and that I have read this objection, know its contents and believe that the statements are true to the best of my knowledge.



Josephine P. Beeman

Subscribed and sworn to before me on: December 5, 2007





Notary Public for the State of Idaho
Residing at: Boise, Idaho
My Commission Expires: July 29, 2008

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that on December 5, 2007, I mailed the original and copies of this objection, including all attachments, to the following persons:

1. Original to:

Clerk of the District Court
Snake River Basin Adjudication
253 Third Avenue North
PO Box 2707
Twin Falls, ID 83303-2707

2. One copy to the claimant of the water right at the following address:


Grand View Mutual Canal Co.	Attorney: Charles Honsinger
P. O. Box 9	Ringert Clark
Grand View, ID 836244	P. O. Box 2773
	Boise, ID 83701-2773

3. Copies to:

IDWR Document Depository
PO Box 83720
Boise, ID 83720-0098

Chief, Natural Resources Division
Office of the Attorney General
State of Idaho
P. O. Box 44449
Boise, ID 83711-4449

United States Department of Justice
Environment and Natural Resource Division
550 West Fort Street, MSC 033
Boise, ID 83724



Josephine P. Beeman, Attorney