




IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE FIFTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT OF THE
STATE OF IDAHO, IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF TWIN FALLS

In Re SRBA	)	SPECIAL MASTER'S
	)	RECOMMENDATION REGARDING
Case No. 39576	)	PREJUDICE ON MOTION TO FILE
	)	LATE CLAIM
	)	
	)	WATER RIGHT NOS.: 29-13779 and
	)	29-13781

I. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On April 26, 2007, the SRBA Court received a *Motion to File Late Notice of Claim* from Coleman Paniogue for water rights 29-13779 and 29-13781. A hearing on the *Motion* was held on July 17, 2007, before presiding Judge John M. Melanson. An *Order of Reference to Special Master Brigitte Bilyeu* was issued July 18, 2007.

In the *Order of Reference*, Judge Melanson ruled that both "good cause" for the untimeliness and a "meritorious position" had been demonstrated by Mr. Paniogue. However, Judge Melanson asked this Special Master to take up further proceedings to determine prejudice to other parties, if any. A hearing was held on September 26, 2007. The Idaho Department of Water Resources was present and reported on the likelihood of prejudice to other parties.

II. STANDARD OF REVIEW

The SRBA Administrative Orders provide that *Motions to File a Late Notice of Claim* are to be reviewed under the criteria of I.R.C.P. 55(c) and 60(b). *SRBA Administrative Order 1 (AO1)* § 4 (d)(2)(d).

III. FINDINGS

Judge Melanson has previously determined that Mr. Paniogue has shown both "good cause"

SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION
REGARDING PREJUDICE ON MOTION TO FILE LATE CLAIM
G:/BASIN FOLDERS/29MRR/13779.13781.RECOMMEND.MOTN.LATE CLAIM.GRANTED
9/27/07

and a “meritorious position.” Judge Melanson asked for findings regarding “prejudice to other parties” if the late claim is allowed. The SRBA Court places emphasis on the element of prejudice to other parties in basins where the reported “irrigation and other purposes” water rights have been litigated and decreed. The SRBA Court recently noted that a late claim on a fully decreed source potentially puts parties with rights on the same source in the position of re-litigating issues that have already been decided, or in the position of addressing new issues that should have been properly raised at the time the source of the subject claim was initially report and litigated. *Order of Reference* (Subcase No. 34-13811) (October 28, 2003)

The water right at issue here is in Basin 29. Many of the “irrigation and other purposes” water rights in Basin 29 have been litigated and decreed. It is, therefore, important to determine whether allowing a late claim would prejudice existing water rights. The Idaho Department of Water Resources is very familiar with the water rights in Basin 29. IDWR reported that it has considered the potential impact of this late claim on other water rights and believes the likelihood of prejudice to other parties is remote.

IV. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Based on IDWR’s Report, this Special Master concludes that the likelihood of prejudice to other parties in the SRBA and in Basin 29 is remote. Furthermore, once the *Director's Report* for this water right is filed, other parties will have an opportunity to object.

THEREFORE, IT IS RECOMMENDED that the *Motion to File Late Claim* be **granted** for water right numbers 29-13779 and 29-13781.

DATED: September 28, 2007.


BRIGETTE BILYEU
Special Master
Snake River Basin Adjudication

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the SPECIAL MASTER'S RECOMMENDATION REGARDING PREJUDICE ON MOTION TO FILE LATE CLAIM was mailed on September 28, 2007, with sufficient first-class postage to the following:

DIRECTOR OF IDWR
PO BOX 83720
BOISE, ID 83720-0098

COLMAN S PANIOGUE
22 COLGATE
POCATELLO, ID 83201
Phone: 208-232-8437


Deputy Clerk