

Claim Number: _____

Owner Type	Full Name	Address	City	State	Zip
------------	-----------	---------	------	-------	-----

Current Owner: _____

Current Owner: _____

Phone No.: _____

Current Owner: _____ Phone No.: _____

Name connector: and _____, or _____, and/or _____.

Representing Attorney: _____

Phone No.: _____

Accompanied By: _____

Phone No: _____

Relationship to Claimant: _____


Date of Field Exam: _____

Discussion of right origin and split history. Questions and problems.

As there

Transfer for this P?

Reviewer: _____ **Date:** _____



6/23/04 ABR

1281.5
Dunn Claims

- 22-641E - claimant ~~owns~~ ^{claims} 173.5 of decreed
POV which he owns. Decree states .017 cts/acre
which is ~~2.0~~ 2.1 cts
- 22-1145 - claimant claims 700 acres of decreed POV
which he owns. Decree states .017 cts/acre which
is 3.4 cts
- 22-12783 - claimant claims 240 acres of decreed
POV which he owns. Decree states .017 cts/acre
which is 4.08 cts
- 22-13383 - claimant claims 159 acres of decreed
POV which he owns. Decree states .017 cts/acre
which is 2.7 cts
- 22-641D - claimant ~~owns~~ ^{claims} 267 acres of decreed
POV which he owns. Decree states .017 cts/acre
which is 4.54
- 22-6094 - claimant ~~owns~~ ^{claims} 80 acres of original POV
which he owns. Decree states .02 cts/acre
which is 1.6 cts The rest of 609 is
not claimed.
- 22-406 - claimant owns all of decreed POV and
claims it. Receives .4 cts
- 22-407 - claimant claims and owns all of decreed
POV he receives all 2.8 cts
- 22-276A - claimant owns all of decreed POV but
claims land outside of original POV which
he owns. Assuming an accomplish charge in
POV ~~POS~~ condition. Receives 1.6 cts

Dunn Claims Cont.

22-276B - claimant owns all of decreed pcv and claims 80 acres of it. Retains 1.6 cfs

22-156 - SW SW of sec 17 could not have been flood irrigated should be, SWSE of Sec 12. Also there is a lot of swampy land. There are no competing claims for this right. I am giving ~~the~~ the full 2 cfs for his 70 acres.

22-262 - Swampy land would make it so all of decreed land was not irrigated. Claimant is claiming .8 cfs for 123.5 acres which ~~seems~~

seems ok to me. He owns full PCV.

22-263 - Same as 262. I am allowing full 1.6 cfs no competing claims

22-264 - Same as 262. I am allowing full .8 cfs no competing claims

22-408B - only owns 120 acres of decreed pcv which is 6 cfs based on decreed cfs/acre

Selling of land resulted in an unproportional split of water - 408B retains .7 cfs

22-409B - selling of land resulted in unproportional split of water - 409B retains .875 cfs

22-410B - claim reflects amount of water sold to Dunn 410B retains 1.225 cfs

2-27-06 TR

season since said date in the irrigation of the above described lands and which has been in addition to any other right fixed or decreed to the same.

XXV.

That the plaintiff Village of Driggs is a municipal corporation embracing the following lands described as,--

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 26 and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 35 Tp. 5 N. R. 45 E. B. M.

which said tract of land has been platted and subdivided into lots and blocks, that on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, the said Village of Driggs has diverted and conveyed 3.2 cubic feet or 160 miners inches of the waters of Teton Creek by and through what is known as the Grand Teton Canal with point of diversion about one-half mile east of the Idaho-Wyoming boundary line on the north side of said Teton Creek, for the use of said Village and the inhabitants thereof, and has continually used said quantity of water during each season since said date for domestic and other purposes.

From the foregoing findings of fact the court concludes that,--- the plaintiffs herein named are entitle, and,--

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,

22-0608 1. That the plaintiff Leigh Creek Canal Company, a corporation is awarded a right to the use of 54.4 cubic feet per second or 2,720 miners inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek with priority date of January 22nd, 1916 with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph VII of the findings of fact.

22-0609 2. That the plaintiffs J. Carman Buxton, G. L. Wade and Sam Bell are awarded the use of 8 cubic feet per second or 400 mine rs inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek with date of priority of January 22nd 1916, with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph VIII of the findings of fact.

22-0610 3. That the plaintiff A. J. Pullmer is awarded 5.6 cubic feet per second or 280 miners inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek With priority date of January 22nd, 1916, and with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph IX of the findings of fact.

a corporation, are the owners of or have estate in the following described lands,--

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 31, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 33 in township 6 north of range 45 E.B.M., SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 5, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8, all of section 9, W $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 10 all in township 5 north of range 45 E.B.M., S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 7, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8 all in township 5 north of range 46 E.B.M. containing 2,720 acres, more or less,

and the said plaintiff is the owner of a canal or ditch system by and through which it diverts water from South Leigh Creek for the irrigation of the above described lands, with point of diversion and diversion works located about one half mile east of the Idaho-Wyoming boundary line in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5 in township 44 north, range 118 West 6th Prin. Meridian, and the said plaintiff, its stockholders, or predecessors in interest have diverted on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, from said South Leigh Creek 54.4 cubic feet per second or 2,720 miners inches of water and applied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation of the above described lands during the irrigating season on or before and ever since said date in addition to any other rights fixed, decreed or granted to the said plaintiff or its stockholders.

VIII.

That the plaintiffs J. Carman Buxton, G. L. Wade and Sam Bell are the owners of or have an estate in the following described lands,--

E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8, S $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 6 township 5 north of range 45 E.B.M.

and are the owners of a common ditch by and through which they divert water from South Leigh Creek with point of diversion and diversion works located in the S $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 32 Tp. 3 N.R. 45 E.B.M., and on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, plaintiffs or their predecessors in interest have so diverted 8 cubic feet per second or 400 miners inches of the waters of said creek and applied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation of the above described lands during each irrigation season which has been in addition to any other rights fixed, decreed or granted to said plaintiffs or their predecessors in interest.

22-609

season since said date in the irrigation of the above described lands and which has been in addition to any other right fixed or decreed to the same.

XXV.

That the plaintiff Village of Driggs is a municipal corporation embracing the following lands described as,--

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 26 and N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 35 Tp. 5 N. R. 45 E. B. M.

which said tract of land has been platted and subdivided into lots and blocks, that on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, the said Village of Driggs has diverted and conveyed 3.2 cubic feet or 160 miners inches of the waters of Teton Creek by and through what is known as the Grand Teton Canal with point of diversion about one-half mile east of the Idaho-Wyoming boundary line on the north side of said Teton Creek, for the use of said Village and the inhabitants thereof, and has continually used said quantity of water during each season since said date for domestic and other purposes.

From the foregoing findings of fact the court concludes that,--- the plaintiffs herein named are entitled, and,--

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED,

22-0608 1. That the plaintiff Leigh Creek Canal Company, a corporation is awarded a right to the use of 54.4 cubic feet per second or 2,720 miners inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek with priority date of January 22nd, 1916 with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph VII of the findings of fact.

22-0609 2. That the plaintiffs J. Carman Buxton, G. L. Wade and Sam Bell are awarded the use of 8 cubic feet per second or 400 miners inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek with late of priority of January 22nd 1916, with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph VIII of the findings of fact.

22-0610 3. That the plaintiff A. J. Pullmer is awarded 5.6 cubic feet per second or 280 miners inches of the waters of South Leigh Creek With priority date of January 22nd, 1916, and with point of diversion and for the irrigation of the lands described in pargraph IX of the findings of fact.

a corporation, are the owners of or have estate in the following described lands,--

SE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 31, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 33 in township 6 north of range 45 E.B.M., SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 2, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 3, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 5, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8, all of section 9, W $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 10 all in township 5 north of range 45 E.B.M., SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec 7, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8 all in township 5 north of range 46 E.B.M. containing 2,720 acres, more or less,

and the said plaintiff is the owner of a canal or ditch system by and through which it diverts water from South Leigh Creek for the irrigation of the above described lands, with point of diversion and diversion works located about one half mile east of the Idaho-Wyoming boundary line in the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 5 in township 44 north, range 118 West 6th Prin. Meridian, and the said plaintiff, its stockholders, or predecessors in interest have diverted on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, from said South Leigh Creek 54.4 cubic feet per second or 2,720 miners inches of water and applied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation of the above described lands during the irrigating season on or before and ever since said date in addition to any other rights fixed, decreed or granted to the said plaintiff or its stockholders.

VIII.

That the plaintiffs J. Carman Buxton, G. L. Wade and Sam Bell are the owners of or have an estate in the following described lands,--
E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 8, S $\frac{1}{2}$ Sec. 6 township 5 north of range 45 E.B.M.

and are the owners of a common ditch by and through which they divert water from South Leigh Creek with point of diversion and diversion works located in the S $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Sec. 32 Tp. 3 N.R. 45 E.B.M., and on or before and ever since the 22nd day of January, 1916, plaintiffs or their predecessors in interest have so diverted 8 cubic feet per second or 400 miners inches of the waters of said creek and applied the same to beneficial use in the irrigation of the above described lands during each irrigation season which has been in addition to any other rights fixed, decreed or granted to said plaintiffs or their predecessors in interest.